Nowell, Keith, Env. Health

From: John Lucio <John.Lucio@erm.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 14, 2017 11:52 AM

To: Nowell, Keith, Env. Health

Subject: RO0003196 - Geotracker Issue Resolution

Hi Keith,

Per our discussion yesterday, I am sending you this email to address the identified issues with our Geotracker submittals for RO0003196 (205 Brush Street, Oakland).

- 1) With regard to the GEO_XY and GEO_Z submittals, the location of SV-2 on the figures in the report was not correct as an earlier figure generated before the receipt of the survey data was used and never updated. The survey data contained in the uploaded GEO_XY and GEO_Z submittals are correct. The figures have been updated with the survey data and subsequent reports will have the surveyed location of SV-2.
- 2) With regard to the GEO_WELL and GEO_MAP submittal, you indicated that you only had water level results for two dates (5/4/17 and 7/18/17) and were missing the data provided in the report. I researched this with the person who uploads the files to geotracker and you are correct. She did not upload the files from 14 August and 15 September that are presented in the report. We will have these uploaded as soon as possible.
- 3) With regard to the EDF submittal, I apologize for misstating the reason for the qualification of the TPH data. I was looking at the wrong table during our discussion and didn't realize it until I looked at the data table. The data in question was not qualified due to the chromatogram not looking like the standard. It was qualified because the lab detected TPH-diesel in the associated blank at 45 μ g/L. The concentrations detected in the samples from the site (110 μ g/L) were less than 5 times the amount detected in the blank, so the data was qualified as non-detect consistent with the USEPA National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review. The differences in the edf's versus the tables are likely due to the detections in the lab blanks and qualification of those samples associated with the lab blank as non-detect per the Nation Functional Guidelines. This information is provided in the QA?QC memo that is provided as Appendix B.

Hopefully, this addresses all your questions. I apologize for the discrepancy's in the upload and moving forward these should be eliminated (or at least diminished). Please contact me with any questions.

Thanks,

John Lucio Program Director

ERM 1277 Treat Boulevard, Suite 500 Walnut Creek, CA 94597

Tel: +01 925 482 3222 (direct line) Tel: +01 925 946 0455 (switchboard)

Mobile: +01 925 623 4453

www.erm.com john.lucio@erm.com This message contains information which may be confidential, proprietary, privileged, or otherwise protected by law from disclosure or use by a third party. If you have received this message in error, please contact us immediately at (925) 946-0455 and take the steps necessary to delete the message completely from your computer system. Thank you.

Please visit ERM's web site: http://www.erm.com