

Michael Reynolds , attorney for Witteneau, called for setting up meeting with himself, consultant, and us to address my January DIR_L. He claimed the information I was provided mis-represented the previous work at the site, that there was no contamination associated with activities at the property, there are no residences in the area, and that there are no wells in Alameda. This is just a partial list of his claims. We went back and forth with some of his statements. He responded he was not an expert.

I asked him several questions about the neighborhood and the previous work. I outlined what we need to do before we could close the site- saying it was what was in the DIR_L. I also mentioned I had a similar phone conversation with Cynthia Dittmar, the consultant contact.

Mr. Reynolds was adamant about the meeting. When pressed for a meeting, I said it would need to be scheduled around my boss' schedule. He asked for a 30 day extension on the workplan submittal to which I agreed. I requested Mr. Reynolds email me his extension request and include a detailed agenda for the meeting.