



ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250
Alameda, CA 94502-6577
(510) 567-6700
FAX (510) 337-9335

August 3, 2012

Terri Costello
Betty J. Woolverton Trust
12 Meadowlark Court
Danville, CA 94526
(Sent via electronic mail to Terri.costello@yahoo.com)

Steven Woolverton
100 Sterling Oaks Drive, #167
Chico, CA 95928

Patrick Costello
(Sent via electronic mail to chevypat@aol.com)
Crown Chevrolet Cadillac Isuzu
P.O. Box 2010
Dublin, CA 94568

Subject: Request for Baseline Environmental Project Schedule, Preferential Pathway Study, Initial and Updated Site Conceptual Model, Soil, Groundwater, and Soil Vapor Investigation Work Plan and Report, and Electronic Submittal of Information Compliance; Fuel Leak Case No. RO0003014 and GeoTracker Global ID T00000001616, Crown Chevrolet Cadillac Isuzu, 7544 Dublin Boulevard and 6707 Golden Gate Drive, Dublin, CA 94568

Dear Ms. Costello, Mr. Costello, and Mr. Woolverton:

Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH) staff has reviewed the case file and the proposed multi-use residential/commercial site redevelopment and veterans' housing project for the above-referenced site including the following documents:

1. *Site Summary and Potential Mitigation Measures* (Site Summary Memorandum), dated July 6, 2012, prepared on behalf of Crown Chevrolet Cadillac Isuzu (Crown Chevrolet) by AMEC, Geomatrix, Inc. (AMEC). This memorandum provides Crown Chevrolet's framework for discussion with ACEH regarding a path forward toward regulatory closure for the site, an overview of environmental findings at the site, and discusses potential mitigation measures to be undertaken as part of, and supplemental to, potential site redevelopment activities.
2. *"Confidential" Conceptual Site Plans*, dated March 28, 2012, prepared on behalf of Kingsmill Group and Eden Housing, by Architects Orange. These plans present proposed concepts for the site including mixed-use development on the north parcel located at 7544 Dublin Boulevard as 310 homes (multi-unit structures) and 17,000 square feet of retail space to be developed by Kingsmill/Fairfield Residential, and development of the south parcel located at 6707 Golden Gate Drive as 76 units of affordable veterans' housing to be built and operated by Eden Housing, Inc.
3. *"Confidential" Figures RO#3014 Figures 1 through 6*, dated April 4, 2012, prepared on behalf of Crown Chevrolet by AMEC presenting data compiled from field investigations conducted by AMEC, Ninyo & Moore, and Basics Environmental.
4. *Remediation Report*, dated December 21, 2011, prepared on behalf of Crown Chevrolet by AMEC. The Remediation Report presents the results of soil and groundwater remediation activities conducted in October 2011 at two areas within the service area of the former car

dealership (Building B) at the site; a former oil-water separator sump (the sump), and a former front-end alignment pit (F.E. Pit).

5. *Soil, Groundwater, and Soil Vapor Investigation Report* (Investigation Report), dated September 27, 2011), prepared on behalf of Crown Chevrolet by AMEC. The Investigation Report presents results of soil, groundwater, and soil vapor sampling conducted by AMEC at the site in May, June, and July 2011.
6. *Additional Phase II Environmental Site Assessment* (Phase II Report), dated September 16, 2011, prepared on behalf of the Chabot – Las Positas Community College District, a potential buyer of the Crown Chevrolet property, by Ninyo & Moore. The Phase II Report presents results of soil, groundwater, and soil gas sampling conducted by Ninyo & Moore at the Crown Chevrolet property in August and September 2011, a Health Risk/Hazard Characterization to evaluate human health risk associated with soil gas, and identification and cost comparison of conceptual remediation alternatives and engineering controls relating to the chlorinated solvent groundwater plume identified at the site.

Based on our review of these documents and the discussions during the meetings held on July 12 and 18, 2012 with representatives from ACEH, Crown Chevrolet, Kingsmill Group, ENGEIO Incorporated, and AMEC, we request that you address the technical comments, perform the requested work, and send us the reports listed below.

TECHNICAL COMMENTS

1. **ACEH Review/Approval of Soil, Water and Soil Vapor Investigation Work Plans** – Subsequent to the site being listed as a Leaking Underground Fuel Tank (LUFT) Cleanup Site in October 2009, field investigation activities have been conducted on behalf of both Crown Chevrolet and Chabot - Las Positas Community College District. However, ACEH only received work plans prepared by AMEC on behalf of Crown Chevrolet for review and approval. Work plans for soil, groundwater, and soil vapor investigations must be submitted to ACEH for review and approval prior to conducting the work. Additionally, all results of investigative work must be reported to ACEH and uploaded to the State Water Resource Control Board's (SWRCB) GeoTracker and ACEH FTP databases.
2. **Proposed Site Mitigation and Closure Activities** – In the Site Summary Memorandum, AMEC presents a description of proposed measures prepared by ENGEIO, consultant for the Kingsmill Group (the prospective purchase of the site), to mitigate vapor intrusion risks through the installation of engineering controls during site redevelopment including:
 - Installation of a vapor intrusion (VI) mitigation system consisting of a vapor membrane and sub slab ventilation system where residential or commercial space is planned at the ground level in the northern half of the north parcel.
 - Long-term sub-slab and indoor air monitoring and maintenance of the VI mitigation system in accordance with an Operation and Maintenance Plan.
 - Observation and monitoring during site demolition and earth work in accordance with a site-specific Risk Management Plan prepared to provide protocols as a contingency to address unforeseen environmental impacts that may arise during demolition and grading activities (underground storage tanks, sumps, septic tanks, sewer lines, stained/odoriferous soil, hazardous debris, and buried drums/containers).

Additional measures, proposed by AMEC on behalf of Crown Chevrolet, include:

- Possible removal of the two existing underground storage tanks (USTs) (one 1,000-gallon capacity gasoline and one 1,000-gallon capacity waste oil).

- Installation of a groundwater monitoring well network and long-term groundwater monitoring to verify a decrease in volatile organic compound (VOC) concentrations with time.
- Recordation of a deed restriction to prevent the use of on-site groundwater.

AMEC proposes that these measures would be submitted in a formal Corrective Action Plan (CAP) to regulatory agency(s) for review, comment and approval prior to issuance of a grading permit. However, as discussed in our meetings on July 12 and 18, 2012, these proposed measures are not supported by site data collected to date.

Decisions about risk, remediation, and reuse should be based on a comprehensive Site Conceptual Model (SCM). As discussed in our meetings on July 12 and 18, 2012, the SCM presented by AMEC in the Site Summary Memorandum does not adhere to industry standards and is unacceptable. Therefore, the recommendation to mitigate human health risks to site occupants and visitors solely through use of engineering controls is premature and is not supported by adequate site characterization, an exposure pathway analysis, and risk assessment. Please prepare a comprehensive SCM as described in Item 5 below.

3. **Underground Storage Tank Removal** – As discussed in our meeting on July 12, 2012, the two existing USTs at the site located just south of Building B, are currently out of compliance with their operating permit conditions. You are required to remove the two tanks at the site in accordance with the directives of the Alameda County Department of Environmental Health Certified Unified Program Agency (CUPA). The tank removal process must be integrated with the current site characterization activities regulated under the oversight of ACEH LOP.
4. **Preferential Pathway Study** – Please conduct a study to locate potential migration pathways and conduits (wells, utilities, pipelines, etc.) in the vicinity of the site that could spread contamination through vertical and lateral migration. Determine the probability of non aqueous phase liquid (NAPL) and/or plumes (groundwater and/or soil vapor) encountering preferential pathways and conduits.

The study shall include a detailed well survey and utility survey as described below:

- i. Utility Survey - An evaluation of all utility lines and trenches (including sewers, storm drains, pipelines, trench backfill, etc.) within and near the site and plume area(s). Please include maps and cross-sections illustrating the location and depth of all utility lines and trenches within and near the site and plume areas(s).
- ii. Well Survey - A detailed well survey of all wells (monitoring and production wells: active, inactive, standby, decommissioned (sealed with concrete), abandoned (improperly decommissioned or lost); and dewatering, drainage, and cathodic protection wells) within a one mile radius of the subject site. As part of your detailed well survey, please perform a background study of the historical land uses of the site and properties in the vicinity of the site. Use the results of your background study to determine the existence of unrecorded/unknown (abandoned) wells, which can act as contaminant migration pathways at or from your site. Please review and submit copies of historical maps, such as Sanborn maps, aerial photographs, etc., when conducting the background study.

Please present your analysis and interpretation of the results of the preferential pathway study in the SCM described below.

5. **Site Conceptual Model** – The SCM is an essential decision-making and communication tool for all interested parties during the site characterization, remediation planning and implementation, and closure process. A SCM is a set of working hypotheses pertaining to all aspects of the

contaminant release, including site geology, hydrogeology, release history, residual and dissolved contamination, attenuation mechanisms, pathways to nearby receptors, and likely magnitude of potential impacts to receptors. The SCM is initially used to characterize the site and identify data gaps. As the investigation proceeds and the data gaps are filled, the working hypotheses are modified, and the overall SCM is refined and strengthened until it is said to be "validated". At this point, the focus of the SCM shifts from site characterization towards remedial technology evaluation and selection, and later remedy optimization, and forms the foundation for developing the most cost-effective corrective action plan to protect existing and potential receptors.

Both industry and the regulatory community endorse the SCM approach. SCMs for chlorinated solvent sites should reflect the importance of source architecture (i.e., three-dimensional distribution of the chlorinated solvents), subsurface heterogeneities, and constraints on dispersive processes. Technical guidance for developing an SCM for chlorinated solvent sites is presented in the *Integrated DNAPL Site Strategy*, Interstate Technology & Regulatory Council Integrated DNAPL Site Strategy Team, dated November 2011; *Final Guidance for the Evaluation and Mitigation of Subsurface Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air*, California Environmental Protection Agency Department of Toxic Substances Control, October 2011; and *Proven Technologies and Remedies Guidance – Remediation of Chlorinated Volatile Organic Compounds in Vadose Zone Soil*, Cal EPA, DTSC, April 2010.

As discussed above, the SCM presented by AMEC in the Site Summary Memorandum does not adhere to industry standards and is unacceptable. Therefore, at this juncture, we request that your consultant develop an initial SCM, based on the current knowledge of the site.

The SCM shall incorporate, but is not limited to, the topics listed below. Please maximize the use of large-scaled maps and graphics, tables, and conceptual diagrams to illustrate key points. Also, please include a list of technical references you reviewed.

- a. Regional and local (on-site and off-site) geology and hydrogeology. Include a discussion of the surface geology (e.g., soil types, soil parameters, outcrops, faulting), subsurface geology (e.g., stratigraphy, continuity, and connectivity), and hydrogeology (e.g., water-bearing zones, hydrologic parameters, impermeable strata). Please include a structural contour map (top of unit) and isopach map for the aquitard that is presumed to separate your release from the deeper aquifer(s), cross sections, soil boring and monitoring well logs and locations, and copies of regional geologic maps.
- b. Analysis of the hydraulic flow system in the vicinity of the site. Include rose diagrams for depicting groundwater gradients. The rose diagram shall be plotted on groundwater elevation contour maps and updated in all future reports submitted for your site. Please address changes due to seasonal precipitation and groundwater pumping, and evaluate the potential interconnection between shallow and deep aquifers. Please include an analysis of vertical hydraulic gradients, and effects of pumping rates on hydraulic head from nearby water supply wells, if appropriate. Include hydraulic head in the different water bearing zones and hydrographs of all monitoring wells.
- c. Release history, including potential source(s) of releases, potential contaminants of concern (COC) associated with each potential release, confirmed source locations, confirmed release locations, and existing delineation of release areas. Address primary leak source(s) (e.g., a tank, sump, pipeline, etc.) and secondary sources (e.g., high-concentration contaminants in low-permeability lithologic soil units that sustain groundwater or vapor plumes). Include local and regional plan view maps that illustrate the location of sources (former facilities, piping, tanks, etc.).

- d. Plume (soil gas and groundwater) development and dynamics including aging of source(s), phase distribution (NAPL, dissolved, vapor, residual), diving plumes, attenuation mechanisms, migration routes, preferential pathways (geologic and anthropogenic), magnitude of chemicals of concern and spatial and temporal changes in concentrations, and contaminant fate and transport. Please include three-dimensional plume maps for groundwater and two-dimensional soil vapor plume plan view maps to provide an accurate depiction of the contaminant distribution of each COC.
- e. Summary tables of chemical concentrations in different media (i.e., soil, groundwater, and soil vapor). Please include applicable environmental screening levels on all tables. Include graphs of contaminant concentrations versus time.
- f. Current and historic facility structures (e.g., buildings, drain systems, sewer systems, underground utilities, etc.) and physical features including topographical features (e.g., hills, gradients, surface vegetation, or pavement) and surface water features (e.g. routes of drainage ditches, links to water bodies). Please include current and historic site maps.
- g. Current and historic site operations/processes (e.g., parts cleaning, chemical storage areas, manufacturing, etc.).
- h. Other contaminant release sites in the vicinity of the site. Hydrogeologic and contaminant data from those sites may prove helpful in testing certain hypotheses for the SCM. Include a summary of work and technical findings from nearby release sites, including the two adjacent closed LUFT sites, (i.e., Montgomery Ward site and the Quest Laboratory site).
- i. Land uses and exposure scenarios on the facility and adjacent properties. Include beneficial resources (e.g., groundwater classification, wetlands, natural resources, etc.), resource use locations (e.g., water supply wells, surface water intakes), subpopulation types and locations (e.g., schools, hospitals, day care centers, etc.), exposure scenarios (e.g. residential, industrial, recreational, farming), and exposure pathways, and potential threat to sensitive receptors. Include an analysis of the contaminant volatilization from the subsurface to indoor/outdoor air exposure route (i.e., vapor pathway). Please include copies of Sanborn maps and aerial photographs, as appropriate.
- j. Identification and listing of specific data gaps that require further investigation during subsequent phases of work. Proposed activities to investigate and fill data gaps identified.

Please prepare an initial SCM, synthesizing information and analytical data collected to date and presented in the following reports:

- Remediation Report (AMEC, December 21, 2011)
- Soil, Groundwater, and Soil Vapor Investigation Report (AMEC, September 27, 2011)
- Additional Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (Ninyo & Moore, September 16, 2011)
- Revised Soil and Groundwater Investigation Report (AMEC, April 4, 2011)
- Limited Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (Ninyo & Moore, January 7, 2011)
- Limited Phase II Environmental Site Sampling Report (Basics Environmental, March 16, 2009)
- Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (AEI, October 29, 2008)
- Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (Basics Environmental, October 14, 2008)

Please submit the initial SCM with a work plan (see Item 6 below) to support the next phase of proposed investigation by the date specified below.

There may need to be additional phases of investigations, each building on the results of prior work, to validate the SCM. Characterizing the site in this manner will focus the scope of work to address the identified data gaps, which improves the efficiency of the work, and limits the overall costs. An updated SCM will be required to be submitted at each key subsequent juncture of the project to support proposed site management strategies and facilitate stakeholder review and informed decision-making for all site decisions about risk, remediation, and reuse.

6. **Soil, Groundwater, and Soil Vapor Investigation Work Plan** – Please prepare a Soil, Groundwater, and Soil Vapor Investigation Work Plan to address ACEH's technical comments discussed in the meetings on July 12 and 18, 2012, and the data gaps identified in the initial SCM. Due to the extensive nature of our technical comments, we will provide a summary of them in a separate directive letter. Please provide sufficient detail and rationale for the scope of work to allow evaluation of the reasonableness of the proposed work, including methods to prevent cross-contamination of water bearing zones at the site. Technical guidance for developing an acceptable scope of work is presented in the Practical Handbook of Environmental Site Characterization and Ground-water Monitoring, David M. Nielsen (editor), 2006, 2nd Edition. Please submit the work plan by the date specified below.
7. **Baseline Environmental Project Schedule** – As discussed in our meetings on July 12 and 18, 2012, ACEH is committed to working with the project stakeholders to achieve cleanup and development of the site. In order to facilitate this process, please submit a proposed Baseline Environmental Project Schedule (Project Schedule) that provides details of the proposed site closure strategy and the environmental work that will be required to prepare a validated SCM, gain approval of a CAP, implement and monitor remediation and mitigation measures, commence site construction, and obtain site closure. The Project Schedule should be a focused schedule that includes only site development activities that are impacted by the environmental schedule (i.e., planning review/approval process, architectural design/approval process, issuance of building permit, site demolition, grading, construction activities, issuance of occupancy permits, etc.). The Project Schedule should include, but not be limited to, the following key environmental elements and milestones:
 - Preferential Pathway Study
 - Soil, Groundwater, and Soil Vapor Investigations
 - Initial, Updated, and Final/Validated SCMs
 - UST Removal
 - Feasibility Study/Corrective Action Plan
 - Remedial Actions (Source Removal, etc.)
 - Monitoring Well Installation/Decommissioning/Replacement
 - Short Term Mitigation Measures Incorporated into Site Redevelopment during Remediation Phase
 - Remediation Phase Vapor Intrusion Membrane and Sub-Slab Ventilation System, and Operation and Maintenance Plan
 - Risk Management Plan for Site Demolition and Earthwork Activities
 - Monitoring Plans (Vapor Intrusion/Groundwater)

- Public Participation Program (Fact Sheet Preparation/Distribution/Public Comment Period, Community Meetings, etc.)

Please use a critical path methodology/tool to construct a schedule with sufficient detail to support a realistic and achievable project schedule. The schedule is to include at a minimum:

- Defined work breakdown structure including summary tasks required to accomplish the project objectives and required deliverables
- Summary task decomposition into smaller more manageable components that can be scheduled, monitored, and controlled
- Sequencing of activities to identify and document relationships among the project activities using logical relationships
- Identification of critical paths, linkages, predecessor and successor activities, leads and lags, and key milestones
- Identification of entity responsible for executing work
- Estimated activity durations (ACEH review times are based on calendar days)

Please include a narrative identifying assumptions (i.e., analytical laboratory turnaround time, ACEH review time, number of review cycles, etc.), project constraints, and contingency plans. Also, include a discussion about proposed schedule compression techniques (i.e., cost and schedule tradeoffs, fast tracking, etc) to shorten the environmental project schedule without changing the project scope, in order to meet schedule constraints, imposed dates, or other site redevelopment schedule objectives.

Please include a project kick-off meeting/teleconference call as an initial activity in the breakdown of each summary task. The intent of the project kick-off meeting will be to facilitate review of the updated SCM, schedule, and scope of work prior to initiation of the next major phase of work. The baseline schedule will be required to be updated prior to the start of a new task for use in the kick-off meetings and at other key junctures as necessary in order to maintain a realistic schedule throughout the project as work progresses.

Please submit an electronic copy of the focused Baseline Project Schedule and schedule updates in portable data format (pdf) as well as a paper copy (Attn: Dilan Roe) in accordance with the dates listed below. We will provide a sample schedule demonstrating the level of detail we are requesting in the project schedule under separate cover. ACEH will review the schedule and provide comment with respect to inclusion of key elements (e.g., submittal/approval of work plans, SCM, investigation reports, UST removal, CAP, public participation documents, etc.) and proposed ACEH review times.

8. **Electronic Submittal of Information (ESI) Compliance** – A review of the SWRCB GeoTracker and ACEH FTP databases indicates the site is still out of compliance with Geotracker and ACEH upload requirements per our email directive dated May 1, 2012.

Site data and documents are maintained in two separate electronic databases – the county's FTP site and the SWRCB's GeoTracker database. Both databases act as repositories for regulatory directives and reports, however, only GeoTracker has the functionality to store electronic compliance data including analytical laboratory data for soil, vapor and water samples, monitoring well depth-to-water measurements, and surveyed location and elevation data for permanent sampling locations. Although the SWRCB is responsible for the overall operation and

maintenance of the GeoTracker System, ACEH, as lead regulatory agency, is responsible to ensure the GeoTracker database is complete and accurate for sites regulated under ACEH's Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs (SWRCB March 2011 document entitled *Electronic Reporting Roles and Responsibilities*).

Because GeoTracker is often used as the sole source of information at sites where chemical releases have occurred, the accuracy, completeness, and timeliness of the information on the database is critical in the following realms in order to facilitate review and analysis of data and informed decision making that is protective of health, safety and the environment:

- The public domain during the public participation process as required by Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations (CCR), Chapter 16, Section 2728;
- The real-estate industry during property transactions;
- RPs, consultants, and the ACEH during the site investigation, corrective action, monitoring, and case closure process; and
- State and federal government during decision making related to closure recommendations and petitions, priorities and funding, and evaluation of the UST cleanup program effectiveness.

A review of the case file indicates that the SWRCB GeoTracker database is not complete, thus rendering the site to a non-compliant status pursuant to California Code of Regulations, Title 23, Division 3, Chapter 30, Articles 1 and 2, Sections 3890 to 3895. At present missing data and documents include, but may not be limited to:

- complete copies of reports, in pdf format, including the signed transmittal letter and professional certification (GEO_REPORT files);
- analytical data for soil, water and vapor samples collected for the purpose of subsurface investigation or remediation, including influent/effluent water samples from remediation systems (EDF files);
- stand alone site maps displaying tank locations, streets bordering the facility, and sampling locations for all soil, water and vapor samples (GEO_MAP files);
- stand alone boring logs (GEO_BORE files);

To expedite this goal, ACEH has compiled a comprehensive index of compliance documents and data for the site contained in both the county FTP site and the SWRCB GeoTracker website, and identified specific items requiring upload to the databases (Attachment 1). Additionally, in order to facilitate ease of review and document sorting capabilities, we have provided a file naming convention for the GeoTracker submittals that is consistent with the naming convention used for files on the county's FTP site. Please upload the requisite data and documents in accordance with the naming convention provided in Attachment 1, to the SWRCB GeoTracker website by the date specified below. Copies of historic reports contained on the ACEH FTP site can be uploaded to the GeoTracker database for this purpose. Also, please transmit all analytical laboratory results collected for the purpose of subsurface investigation or remediation in a comprehensive excel spreadsheet to ACEH via email (dilan.roe@acgov.org) in order to facilitate electronic access and review of historic data.

TECHNICAL REPORT/WORK REQUEST

Please perform the requested work and submit technical reports to Alameda County Environmental Health Environmental Health (Attention: Dilan Roe), according to the following schedule:

- **August 17, 2012** – Baseline Environmental Project Schedule
File to be named: ADD_R_BASE_SCH_yyyy-mm-dd
- **August 17, 2012** – Electronic Submittal of Information
- **Date to be Determined** – Initial Site Conceptual Model
File to be named: SCM_R_yyyy-mm-dd

The submittal compliance date for the Initial Site Conceptual Model will be finalized in a subsequent Directive Letter and will be based on the date proposed in the Baseline Project Schedule.

- **Date to be Determined** – Soil, Groundwater, and Soil Vapor Investigation Work Plan
File to be named: WP_R_yyyy-mm-dd

The submittal compliance date for the Soil, Groundwater, and Soil Vapor Investigation Work Plan will be finalized in a subsequent Directive Letter and will be based on the date proposed in the Baseline Project Schedule.

- **Date to be Determined** – Soil, Groundwater, and Soil Vapor Investigation Report
File to be named: SWI_R_yyyy-mm-dd

The submittal compliance date for the Soil, Groundwater, and Soil Vapor Investigation Report will be finalized in a subsequent Directive Letter and will be based on the date proposed in the Baseline Project Schedule.

- **Date to be Determined** – Preferential Pathway Study

The compliance date for completion of the preferential pathway study will be finalized in a subsequent Directive Letter and will be based on the date proposed in the Baseline Project Schedule.

- **Date to be Determined** – Updated Site Conceptual Model
File to be named: SCM_R_yyyy-mm-dd

The submittal compliance date for the Updated Site Conceptual Model will be finalized in a subsequent Directive Letter and will be based on the date proposed in the Baseline Project Schedule.

- **Date to be Determined** – Environmental Project Schedule Updates
File to be named: ADD_R_BASE_SCH_UPD_yyyy-mm-dd

The submittal compliance date for the Environmental Project Schedule Updates will be finalized in a subsequent Directive Letter and will be based on the date(s) proposed in the Baseline Project Schedule.

If you have any questions, please call me at (510) 567-6767 or send me an electronic mail message at dilan.roe@acgov.org.

Sincerely,

Dilan Roe, PE
Hazardous Materials Specialist

Enclosures: Attachment 1 – SWRCB GeoTracker and ACEH FTP Site Database Upload Requirements

cc: Cheryl Dizon (QIC 8021), Zone 7 Water Agency (*Sent via e-mail to: cdizon@zone7water.com*)
Faye Blackman, Eden Housing (*Sent via electronic mail to fblackman@edenhousing.org*)
Marshall Torre, Kingsmill Group (*Sent via electronic mail to Marshalltorre@yahoo.com*)
Avery Patton, AMEC (*Sent via electronic mail to avery.patton@amec.com*)
Susan Gallardo, AMEC (*Sent via electronic mail to susan.gallardo@amec.com*)
Jeff Adams, ENGEO (*Sent via electronic mail to jadams@engeo.com*)
Donna Drogos, ACEH (*Sent via electronic mail to donna.drogos@acgov.org*)
Dilan Roe, ACEH (*Sent via electronic mail to dilan.roe@acgov.org*)
Electronic File, GeoTracker

Attachment 1
SWRCB GeoTracker and ACEH FTP Site Database Upload Requirements
Crown Chevrolet Cadillac Isuzu
7544 Dublin Boulevard and 6707 Golden Gate Drive, Dublin, CA 94568
Fuel Leak Case No. RO0003014 and GeoTracker Global ID T00000001616

ACEH FTP File Name	GeoTracker Existing Name	New GeoTracker File Name	GeoTracker ESI File/Data Type	Document/Data Name	Authorized RP/Author	Document Date
LNDOWNR_F_2012-04-12.pdf				List of Landowners Form	Terri Costello	4/12/2012
	LNDOWNR_REQ_L_2012-04-12	LNDOWNR_F_2012-04-12_GEO_REPORT	GEO_REPORT		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
REM_R_2011-12-21.pdf				Remediation Report	AMEC, Geomatrix	12/21/2011
	REM_R_2011-12-21_GEO_REPORT	REM_R_2011-12-21_GEO_REPORT	GEO_REPORT		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	REM_R_2011-12-21_EDF_1_SOILGW	REM_R_2011-12-21_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	REM_R_2011-12-21_EDF_2_GW	REM_R_2011-12-21_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	REM_R_2011-12-21_EDF_3_GW	REM_R_2011-12-21_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	REM_R_2011-12-21_EDF_4_SOILGW	REM_R_2011-12-21_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	REM_R_2011-12-21_EDF_5_SOIL	REM_R_2011-12-21_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	REM_R_2011-12-21_EDF_6_SOIL	REM_R_2011-12-21_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	REM_R_2011-12-21_EDF_7_SOIL	REM_R_2011-12-21_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	REM_R_2011-12-21_EDF_8B_SOIL	REM_R_2011-12-21_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	UPLOAD	REM_R_2011-12-21_GEO_MAP	GEO_MAP			
SWI_R_2011-09-27.pdf				Soil, Groundwater, and Soil Vapor Investigation Report	AMEC, Geomatrix	9/27/2011
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_REPORT_PART_1	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_REPORT	GEO_REPORT		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_REPORT_PART_2	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_REPORT	GEO_REPORT		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_EDF_1_SOIL	SWI_R_2011-09-27_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_EDF_2_SOILVAPOR	SWI_R_2011-09-27_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_EDF_3_SOILVAPOR	SWI_R_2011-09-27_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_EDF_4_SOILVAPOR	SWI_R_2011-09-27_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_EDF_5_GW	SWI_R_2011-09-27_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_EDF_6_GW	SWI_R_2011-09-27_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_EDF_7_GW	SWI_R_2011-09-27_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_EDF_11_SOIL	SWI_R_2011-09-27_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_EDF_8_GW	SWI_R_2011-09-27_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_EDF_9_GW	SWI_R_2011-09-27_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_EDF_12_SOIL	SWI_R_2011-09-27_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_EDF_14_SOIL	SWI_R_2011-09-27_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_EDF_15_SOIL	SWI_R_2011-09-27_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_EDF_10_GW	SWI_R_2011-09-27_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	GEO_MAP	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_MAP	GEO_MAP		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	GEO_MAP	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_MAP	GEO_MAP		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE_SB-31 (SB-31)	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE_SB-28 (SB-28)	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE_SB-27 (SB-27)	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE_SB-26 (SB-26)	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE_SB-25 (SB-25)	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE_SB-24 (SB-24)	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE_SB-23 (SB-23)	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE_SB-22 (SB-22)	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE_SB-21 (SB-21)	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE_SB-20 (SB-20)	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE_SB-19 (SB-19)	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE_SB-18 (SB-18)	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE_SB-17 (SB-17)	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE_SB-13 (SB-13)	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE_SB-16 (SB-16)	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE_SB-14 (SB-14)	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE_SB-15 (SB-15)	SWI_R_2011-09-27_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	

Attachment 1
SWRCB GeoTracker and ACEH FTP Site Database Upload Requirements
Crown Chevrolet Cadillac Isuzu
7544 Dublin Boulevard and 6707 Golden Gate Drive, Dublin, CA 94568
Fuel Leak Case No. R0003014 and GeoTracker Global ID T00000001616

ACEH FTP File Name	GeoTracker Existing Name	New GeoTracker File Name	GeoTracker ESI File/Data Type	Document/Data Name	Authorized RP/Author	Document Date
WP_ADEND_R_2011-05-26.pdf				Workplan, Revised - Soil, Groundwater, and Soil Vapor Investigation	AMEC, Geomatrix	5/26/2011
	WP_ADEND_R_SWI_2011-05-26_GEO_REPORT	WP_ADEND_R_2011-05-26_GEO_REPORT	GEO_REPORT		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
WP_ADEND_R_2011-05-26_2.pdf				Workplan, Revised - Sump Remediation	AMEC, Geomatrix	5/26/2011
	WP_ADEND_R_SUMP_2011-05-26_GEO_REPORT	WP_ADEND_R_2011-05-26_2_GEO_REPORT	GEO_REPORT		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
WP_R_2011-04-18.pdf				Workplan - Sump Remediation	AMEC, Geomatrix	4/18/2011
	WP_R_SUMP_2011-04-18_GEO_REPORT	WP_R_2011-04-18_GEO_REPORT	GEO_REPORT		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
WP_R_2011-04-12.pdf				Workplan - Soil, Groundwater, and Soil Vapor Investigation	AMEC, Geomatrix	4/12/2011
	WP_R_SWI_2011-04-12_GEO_REPORT	WP_R_2011-04-12_GEO_REPORT	GEO_REPORT		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04.pdf				Soil and Groundwater Investigation - Revised	AMEC, Geomatrix	4/4/2011
	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_GEO_REPORT	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_GEO_REPORT	GEO_REPORT		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_GEO_BORE_SB-12 (SB-12)	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_GEO_BORE_SB-11 (SB-11)	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_GEO_BORE_SB-10 (SB-10)	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_GEO_BORE_SB-09 (SB-09)	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_GEO_BORE_SB-08 (SB-08)	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_GEO_BORE_SB-06 (SB-06)	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_GEO_BORE_SB-07 (SB-07)	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_GEO_BORE_SB-04 (SB-04)	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_GEO_BORE_SB-03 (SB-03)	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_GEO_BORE_SB-02 (SB-02)	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_GEO_BORE_SB-01 (SB-01)	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_EDF_1_GW	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_EDF_2_GW	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_EDF_3_SOILGW	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_EDF_4_GW	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_EDF_5_SOIL	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_EDF_6_GW	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_EDF_8_SOILGW	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_EDF_7_SOIL	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_EDF_9_SOILGW	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	UPLOAD	SWI_ADEND_R_2011-04-04_GEO_MAP	GEO_MAP			
SWI_R_2011-03-16.pdf				Additional Phase II Environmental Site Assessment	Ninyo & Moore	3/16/2011
	UPLOAD	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_REPORT	GEO_REPORT			
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_EDF_1_SOILGW	SWI_R_2011-03-16_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_EDF_2_SOILGW	SWI_R_2011-03-16_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_EDF_3_SOILGW	SWI_R_2011-03-16_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_EDF_4_SOILGW	SWI_R_2011-03-16_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_EDF_5_SOIL	SWI_R_2011-03-16_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_EDF_6_SOILGW	SWI_R_2011-03-16_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-10 (NM-B-10)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-11 (NM-B-11)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-12 (NM-B-12)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	

Attachment 1
SWRCB GeoTracker and ACEH FTP Site Database Upload Requirements
Crown Chevrolet Cadillac Isuzu
7544 Dublin Boulevard and 6707 Golden Gate Drive, Dublin, CA 94568
Fuel Leak Case No. R0003014 and GeoTracker Global ID T00000001616

ACEH FTP File Name	GeoTracker Existing Name	New GeoTracker File Name	GeoTracker ESI File/Data Type	Document/Data Name	Authorized RP/Author	Document Date
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-13 (NM-B-13)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-14 (NM-B-14)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-15 (NM-B-15)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-16 (NM-B-16)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-17 (NM-B-17)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-18 (NM-B-18)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-19 (NM-B-19)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-20 (NM-B-20)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-21 (NM-B-21)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-22 (NM-B-22)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-23 (NM-B-23)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-23B (NM-B-23B)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-23B2 (NM-B-23-B2)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-23C (NM-B-23C)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-23D (NM-B-23D)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-23E (NM-B-23E)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-24 (NM-B-24)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-25 (NM-B-25)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-26 (NM-B-26)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-27 (NM-B-27)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-28 (NM-B-28)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-29 (NM-B-29)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-30 (NM-B-30)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-31 (NM-B-31)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-32 (NM-B-32)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-32A (NM-B-32A)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-33 (NM-B-33)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-34 (NM-B-34)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-35 (NM-B-35)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-36 (NM-B-36)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-36A (NM-B-36A)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-7 (NM-B-7)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-8 (NM-B-8)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-09-16_GEO_BORE_NM-B-9 (NM-B-9)	SWI_R_2011-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
SWI_R_2011-01-07.pdf				Limited Phase II Environmental Site Assessment	Ninyo & Moore	1/7/2011
	UPLOAD	SWI_R_2011-01-07_GEO_REPORT	GEO_REPORT			
	SWI_R_2011-01-07_EDF_1_SOIL	SWI_R_2011-01-07_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-01-07_EDF_2_GW	SWI_R_2011-01-07_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-01-07_GEO_BORE_NM-B-1 (NM-B-1)	SWI_R_2011-01-07_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-01-07_GEO_BORE_NM-B-2 (NM-B-2)	SWI_R_2011-01-07_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-01-07_GEO_BORE_NM-B-3 (NM-B-3)	SWI_R_2011-01-07_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-01-07_GEO_BORE_NM-B-4 (NM-B-4)	SWI_R_2011-01-07_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-01-07_GEO_BORE_NM-B-5 (NM-B-5)	SWI_R_2011-01-07_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	SWI_R_2011-01-07_GEO_BORE_NM-B-6 (NM-B-6)	SWI_R_2011-01-07_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	UPLOAD	SWI_R_2011-01-07_GEO_MAP	GEO_MAP			
SWI_R_2010-11-16.pdf				Soil and Groundwater Investigation	Basics	11/16/2010
	SWI_R_2010-11-16_GEO_REPORT	SWI_R_2010-11-16_GEO_REPORT	GEO_REPORT		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	UPLOAD	SWI_R_2010-11-16_EDF	EDF			
	UPLOAD	SWI_R_2010-11-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE			
	UPLOAD	SWI_R_2010-11-16_GEO_MAP	GEO_MAP			

Attachment 1
SWRCB GeoTracker and ACEH FTP Site Database Upload Requirements
Crown Chevrolet Cadillac Isuzu
7544 Dublin Boulevard and 6707 Golden Gate Drive, Dublin, CA 94568
Fuel Leak Case No. R0003014 and GeoTracker Global ID T0000001616

ACEH FTP File Name	GeoTracker Existing Name	New GeoTracker File Name	GeoTracker ESI File/Data Type	Document/Data Name	Authorized RP/Author	Document Date
SWI_WP_R_2010-06-15.pdf				Workplan - Soil and Groundwater Investigation	Basics	6/15/2010
	SWI_WP_R_2010-06-15_GEO_REPORT	SWI_WP_R_2010-06-15_GEO_REPORT	GEO_REPORT		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
PSA_R_2009-03-16.pdf				Groundwater Sampling Results	Basics	3/16/2009
	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_REPORT	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_REPORT	GEO_REPORT		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	PSA_R_2009-03-16_EDF_1_SOIL	PSA_R_2009-03-16_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	PSA_R_2009-03-16_EDF_2_WATER	PSA_R_2009-03-16_EDF	EDF		AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_BORE_B10 (B10)	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_BORE	AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_BORE_B9 (B9)	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_BORE	AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_BORE_B8 (B8)	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_BORE	AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_BORE_B7 (B7)	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_BORE	AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_BORE_B6 (B6)	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_BORE	AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_BORE_B5 (B5)	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_BORE	AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_BORE_B4 (B4)	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_BORE	AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_BORE_B3 (B3)	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_BORE	AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_BORE_B2 (B2)	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_BORE	AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_BORE_B1 (B1)	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_BORE	GEO_BORE	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_BORE	AMEC (AUTH_RP)	
	UPLOAD	PSA_R_2009-03-16_GEO_MAP	GEO_MAP			