Jakub, Barbara, Env. Health

From: Jakub, Barbara, Env. Health Sent: Friday, April 29, 2011 2:34 PM

To: 'Cem Atabek'

Cc: Rosenberg.4@comcast.net

Subject: RE: ACEH Correspondence for RO2983

Dear Ms Rosenberg and Mr. Atabek,

Responses to your comments are listed in order.

- 1. I would recommend collecting a deeper sample in case your hypothesis that the constituent detected was asphalt is not correct. You can place that on hold if you would like and run in the event that TPHd is detected.
- 2. That is appropriate.
- 3. You can hand auger to the depth of the sample then collect the sample using a slide hammer. That is standard sampling procedure. We do need high quality samples as we are basing closure decisions on the results.

Regards, Barb Jakub

From: Cem Atabek [mailto:catabek@ninyoandmoore.com]

Sent: Friday, April 29, 2011 11:12 AM **To:** Jakub, Barbara, Env. Health **Cc:** Rosenberg.4@comcast.net

Subject: RE: ACEH Correspondence for RO2983

Hi Barbara, I reviewed your work plan approval for 925 Stanford Avenue and have the following responses to your comments:

- A sample was collected at 2 feet bgs in boring B-8 which contained TPHd at 1.3 mg/kg and was non-detect for TPHmo, therefore we do not feel that collection of additional deeper samples is necessary.
- For the sample analysis, can we initially run only TPHd and TPHmo, and additionally run the fuel finger print and 8270 if we detect elevated concentrations of TPHd and/or TPHmo?
- For the sample procedure, because the contaminants of concern are non-volatile, the use of a slide hammer should not provide a more representative sample than using a hand auger. We would prefer to use the hand auger because it is easier to decontaminate and would likely do a better job of boring through the surface soil material which is hard packed and gravelly.

Please let me know if you concur with any of these responses. Thanks

-Cem

Cem R. Atabek
Project Engineer
Ninyo & Moore
Geotechnical & Environmental Sciences Consultants
1956 Webster Street, Suite 400
Oakland, California 94612
(510) 633-5640 (x5202)
(510) 633-5646 (Fax)
catabek@ninyoandmoore.com

Experience · Quality · Commitment

"Celebrating 25 Years"

-----Original Message-----

From: dehloptoxic, Env. Health [mailto:deh.loptoxic@acgov.org]

Sent: Friday, April 29, 2011 10:49 AM

To: 'Rosenberg.4@comcast.net'

Cc: Cem Atabek; 'LGRIFFIN@OAKLANDNET.COM'; Drogos, Donna, Env. Health; Jakub, Barbara, Env. Health

Subject: ACEH Correspondence for RO2983

Dear Interested Parties,

Attached is Alameda County Environmental Health's (ACEH) correspondence for your case, RO0002983.

Please add our e-mail address to your address book to prevent future e-mails from being filtered as spam.

Sincerely,

ACEH