

Jakub, Barbara, Env. Health

From: Jakub, Barbara, Env. Health
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 2:07 PM
To: 'Cem Atabek'
Subject: RE: 925 Stanford Ave (Case No. RO00002983)

Near the runoff area would be fine if it is relatively close. Otherwise just go through the asphalt. Just explain why you chose that location in your report.

From: Cem Atabek [mailto:catabek@ninyoandmoore.com]
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 1:57 PM
To: Jakub, Barbara, Env. Health
Subject: RE: 925 Stanford Ave (Case No. RO00002983)

Hi Barbara, would you rather see a sample beneath the asphalt near the center of the former AST, or on the downhill edge of the asphalt where a leak would likely have runoff?

-Cem

Cem R. Atabek
Senior Staff Engineer
Ninyo & Moore
Geotechnical & Environmental Sciences Consultants
1956 Webster Street, Suite 400
Oakland, California 94612
(510) 633-5640 (x5202)
(510) 633-5646 (Fax)
catabek@ninyoandmoore.com

Experience · Quality · Commitment

-----Original Message-----

From: Jakub, Barbara, Env. Health [mailto:barbara.jakub@acgov.org]
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 1:07 PM
To: Cem Atabek
Subject: RE: 925 Stanford Ave (Case No. RO00002983)

Yes.

From: Cem Atabek [mailto:catabek@ninyoandmoore.com]
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 11:17 AM
To: Jakub, Barbara, Env. Health
Cc: Sue Rosenberg
Subject: RE: 925 Stanford Ave (Case No. RO00002983)

Hi Barbara, while marking boring locations today at the 925 Stanford Avenue site in Oakland, I observed that the area where the former AST was located is paved with asphalt. I am wondering if shallow soil sampling in this area would still be necessary based on this observation. I also did not observe any significant staining in this area.
Thanks

-Cem

Cem R. Atabek
Senior Staff Engineer
Ninyo & Moore
Geotechnical & Environmental Sciences Consultants
1956 Webster Street, Suite 400
Oakland, California 94612
(510) 633-5640 (x5202)
(510) 633-5646 (Fax)
catabek@ninyoandmoore.com

Experience · Quality · Commitment

-----Original Message-----

From: Jakub, Barbara, Env. Health [mailto:barbara.jakub@acgov.org]
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2010 1:45 PM
To: Cem Atabek
Cc: Sue Rosenberg
Subject: RE: 925 Stanford Ave (Case No. RO00002983)

ACEH approves you to use either of those sampling methods. Please contact me 3 working days in advance of fieldwork.

Regards,
Barb Jakub

From: Cem Atabek [mailto:catabek@ninyoandmoore.com]
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2010 1:27 PM
To: Jakub, Barbara, Env. Health
Cc: Sue Rosenberg
Subject: RE: 925 Stanford Ave (Case No. RO00002983)

Hi Barbara, after further thought on the soil sampling methodology, we may prefer to use the sampling method described in technical comment #1 of the Work Plan Approval Letter of cutting and capping the acetate sleeves. We believe we can accurately log the borings and target potential zones of impacts for sampling through visual inspection of the undisturbed soil core in the acetate sleeve, and it will save significant time, effort and cost of using encore type samplers.

-Cem

Cem R. Atabek
Senior Staff Engineer
Ninyo & Moore
Geotechnical & Environmental Sciences Consultants
1956 Webster Street, Suite 400
Oakland, California 94612
(510) 633-5640 (x5202)
(510) 633-5646 (Fax)
catabek@ninyoandmoore.com

Experience · Quality · Commitment

-----Original Message-----

From: Jakub, Barbara, Env. Health [mailto:barbara.jakub@acgov.org]
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2010 1:07 PM
To: Cem Atabek
Cc: Sue Rosenberg
Subject: RE: 925 Stanford Ave (Case No. RO00002983)

Dear Ms. Rosenberg and Mr. Atabek,

ACEH approves your recommendations for boring B-1 sampling and the AST sample. In addition, we discussed that you will use Encore sampling Method 5035 to collect the soil samples.

Regards,
Barbara Jakub, P.G.
Alameda County Environmental Health
(510) 639-1287 (direct)
(510) 337-9335 (fax)
barbara.jakub@acgov.org

Online case files are available at the website below
<http://www.acgov.org/aceh/lop/resources.htm>

From: Cem Atabek [mailto:catabek@ninyoandmoore.com]
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2010 12:13 PM
To: Jakub, Barbara, Env. Health
Cc: Sue Rosenberg
Subject: 925 Stanford Ave (Case No. RO00002983)

Hi Barbara, as we discussed earlier on the phone, we will modify the scope of soil and groundwater sampling activities in accordance with the technical comments received in the Work Plan Approval letter dated April 16, 2010, however we would like to make the following additional modifications to the scope:

- A groundwater sample will be collected from boring B-1 to evaluate potential impacts from the off-site UST located adjacent and up gradient from the site, however soil samples will not be collected from the boring due to its distance from the potential source areas.

-Soil samples have not been collected in the area of the former AST, therefore one boring (which will be labeled B-8) will be advanced in the area of the former AST and soil samples will be collected at the soil surface and at 2.0-2.5 feet. If physical signs of impacts are observed the boring will be advanced further for the collection and analysis of deeper samples to evaluate the vertical extent of impacts. Samples will be analyzed by the same methods approved for the areas of the former USTs. If no impacts are observed, the deeper sample will be placed on hold pending the analytical results of the surface sample.

Please let me know if you have any questions or comments regarding these additional modifications to the scope of sampling activities. Thanks

-Cem

Cem R. Atabek
Senior Staff Engineer
Ninyo & Moore
Geotechnical & Environmental Sciences Consultants
1956 Webster Street, Suite 400
Oakland, California 94612
(510) 633-5640 (x5202)
(510) 633-5646 (Fax)
catabek@ninyoandmoore.com

Experience · Quality · Commitment