

Environmental Health Services
Environmental Protection
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250
Alameda, CA 94502-6577

RECEIVED

2:56 pm, Apr 11, 2012

Alameda County
Environmental Health

SUBJECT: Perjury Statement

To Whom It May Concern:

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached reports for the site at 3442 Adeline Street, Oakland, CA is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Signed: Steffi Zimmerman Dated 3/20/12



AEI Consultants

Environmental & Engineering Services

September 30, 2011

**FIRST SEMI ANNUAL
GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT
Second Quarter 2011**

Property Identification:

3442 Adeline Street
Oakland, California

AEI Project No. 281939
ACEH Site: RO 02936

Prepared for:

Ms. Steffi Zimmerman
3289 Lomas Verdes Place
Lafayette, CA 94545

Prepared by:

AEI Consultants
2500 Camino Diablo
Walnut Creek, CA 94597
(925) 746-6000

San Francisco HQ

Atlanta

Chicago

Costa Mesa

Dallas

Denver

Los Angeles

Miami

New York

Phoenix

Portland

San Jose

National Presence

Regional Focus

Local Solutions

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND	1
3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS	3
4.0 GEOLOGY AND HYDROLOGY	4
5.0 SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER SAMPLING ACTIVITIES	5
6.0 ANALYTICAL RESULTS.....	6
7.0 SUMMARY	7
8.0 REPORT LIMITATIONS AND SIGNATURES.....	8

FIGURES

<i>FIGURE 1</i>	<i>SITE LOCATION MAP</i>
<i>FIGURE 2</i>	<i>SITE VICINITY MAP</i>
<i>FIGURE 3</i>	<i>SITE PLAN</i>
<i>FIGURE 4</i>	<i>GROUNDWATER ELEVATION DATA (5/5/2011)</i>
<i>FIGURE 5</i>	<i>GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL DATA (5/5/2011)</i>
<i>FIGURE 6</i>	<i>TPH-G IN MONITORING WELLS (5/5/2011)</i>
<i>FIGURE 7</i>	<i>TPH-G AND BENZENE CONCENTRATIONS IN MW-4 VS. DTW</i>

TABLES

<i>TABLE 1</i>	<i>WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAILS</i>
<i>TABLE 2</i>	<i>GROUNDWATER ELEVATION DATA</i>
<i>TABLE 3</i>	<i>GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL DATA</i>

APPENDICES

<i>APPENDIX A</i>	<i>MONITORING WELL FIELD SAMPLING FORMS</i>
<i>APPENDIX B</i>	<i>ANALYTICAL REPORTS AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY DOCUMENTS</i>



1.0 INTRODUCTION

AEI Consultants (AEI) has prepared this report on behalf of Ms. Steffi Zimmerman, the owner of the property located at 3442 Adeline Street in the City of Oakland, Alameda County, California. AEI has been retained by Ms. Zimmerman to provide environmental engineering and consulting services relating to the release of gasoline from a former underground storage tank (UST) on the property.

Previous site investigations have identified a release of gasoline from the former UST. This report summarizes the results of the Second Quarter 2011 Semi Annual Groundwater Monitoring event.

2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

The subject site (hereinafter referred to as the "site" or "property") is located on the northeast corner of 35th Street and Chestnut Street in a mixed commercial, industrial and residential area of Oakland. The Main entrance to the property is on 3442 Adeline Street. A second entrance is located at 3433 Chestnut Street. The on-site building covers approximately 65% of the property and is currently being used as a warehouse facility. Refer to Figure 2 –Site Vicinity Map for an aerial photo of the property and Figure 3, Site Plan.

2.1 Tank Closure

A single-wall 3,750 gallon UST was removed from the site on February 22, 2000. Soil and groundwater samples were collected from the tank excavation pit and analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPH-g), as diesel (TPH-d), and BTEX (benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene, and total xylenes). Analyses of the soil sidewall samples reported TPH-g, TPH-d and BTEX at concentrations up to 920 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg), 850 mg/kg, 0.3 mg/kg, 0.37 mg/kg, 0.73 mg/kg, and 0.22 mg/kg, respectively. TPH-g, TPH-d, and BTEX were reported in the excavation groundwater sample at concentrations of 7,400 micrograms per liter ($\mu\text{g/L}$), 34,000 $\mu\text{g/L}$, and 3,300 $\mu\text{g/L}$, 930 $\mu\text{g/L}$, 400 $\mu\text{g/L}$, and 6,200 $\mu\text{g/L}$, respectively.

Following receipt of the tank removal report, the City of Oakland Fire Department requested (May 15, 2006) requested additional soil and groundwater samples to further characterize the site. The location of the former UST and sample locations are presented in Figure 3.

2.2 2005 AEI Investigation

On June 23, 2006 Clearwater Group (Clearwater) advanced four (4) soil borings (S1 - S4) on the subject site. The location of soil borings are shown in Figure 3.

Analyses of the soil samples reported TPH-g, TPH-d and BTEX at concentrations up to 1,200 mg/kg, 250 mg/kg, 1.3 mg/kg, 0.52 mg/kg, 18 mg/kg, and 100 mg/kg, respectively. Analysis of groundwater samples reported TPH-g, and BTEX at concentrations up to 120,000 µg/L, 7,000 µg/L, 260 µg/L, 3,500 µg/L, and 3,300 µg/L, respectively. TPH-d was reported as non-detectable at reporting limits ranging from 1,500 µg/L to 40,000 µg/L.

In October and December of 2007 and May of 2008, AEI advanced thirty-one soil borings (SB-1 through SB-31) to depths up to 16 feet bgs and three (3) soil vapor samples (VB-1 through VB-3). Soil boring and vapor sample locations are shown on Figure 3.

The maximum concentrations of TPH-g, TPH-d, and BTEX reported in soil analyses were 1,200 mg/kg, 450 mg/kg, 6.9 mg/kg, 2.5 mg/kg, 24 mg/kg and 110 mg/kg, respectively. MTBE was reported in only one sample, SB-11-15.5, at a concentration of 0.14 mg/kg. The maximum concentrations of TPH-g, TPH-d and BTEX reported in groundwater were 83,000 µg/L, 12,000 µg/L, 10,000 µg/L, 640 µg/L, 2,700 µg/L and 7,900 µg/L, respectively. No MTBE was reported in groundwater samples from any of the soil borings. The maximum concentrations of TPH-g, TPH-d and BTEX reported in soil vapor samples were 3,100 µg/m³, 130 µg/m³, 42 µg/m³, 16 µg/m³, and 49 µg/L, respectively. No MTBE was reported in soil vapor samples.

The results of these and previous soil, soil vapor, and groundwater analyses can be found in *Site Investigation Report*, dated February 14, 2008 and *Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation Report*, dated July 31, 2009.

During March and April of 2009, AEI excavated impacted soil from down gradient of the former UST and inside the building. The excavation measured 35 feet by 75 feet by approximately 12 feet deep. The base of the excavation was backfilled with a layer of permeable rock to allow normal groundwater movement. Five (5) 4-inch diameter casings were installed in the permeable bridge to allow dewatering of the excavation. These casings, BF-1 through BF-5, were left in place. The excavation and backfill activities are summarized in the *Interim Source Removal Report*, dated August 31, 2009.

On April 1 - 2, 2009 and May 12 - 13, 2009, AEI advanced eight soil borings (MW-1 through MW-7 and IW-1) at the property and converted seven (7) of the borings (MW-1 through MW-7) into groundwater monitoring wells and one boring (IW-1) into an injection/sparge well. The monitoring wells were installed at a depth of 17 feet bgs, the sparge well was installed at a depth of 15 feet bgs. The locations of the wells are shown on Figure 3. The details of the well installation are summarized in the *Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation Report*, dated July 31, 2009.

TPH-g was reported in soil samples collected from the monitoring wells at concentrations ranging from ND<1.0 mg/kg to 1,100 mg/kg (MW-4-1). TPH-d was reported at concentrations ranging from ND<1.0 mg/kg to 99 mg/kg (MW-4-12). Inspection of 8015 chromatographs indicates that the hydrocarbon present in the soil is weathered gasoline and that the diesel

range hydrocarbon concentrations reported represent the heavy portion of gasoline component compounds.

MTBE was reported above reporting limits in samples MW-6-19 and MW-6-25 at 0.12 mg/kg and 0.029 mg/kg, respectively. Benzene was reported at a maximum concentration of 0.81 mg/kg (MW-2-12). Toluene was reported at a maximum concentration of 2.9 mg/kg (MW-4-12). Ethylbenzene was reported at a maximum concentration of 6.7 mg/kg (IW-1-10.5). Xylenes were reported at a maximum concentration of 3.5 mg/kg (IW-1-10.5).

TPH-g and TPH-d were reported in initial monitoring well groundwater samples at maximum concentrations of 14,000 µg/L (MW-5) and 3,700 µg/L (MW-7), respectively. Inspection of 8015 chromatographs indicated that the hydrocarbons present in the soil is gasoline. The diesel range hydrocarbon concentrations reported represent the heavy portion of gasoline component compounds.

BTEX was reported at maximum concentrations of 3,000 µg/L (MW-5), 37 µg/L (MW-7), 340 µg/L (MW-5), and 920 µg/L (MW-3), respectively. MTBE was reported as non-detectable at a laboratory reporting limit of 5.0 µg/L in MW-1 and as non-detectable at elevated reporting limits in the other monitoring wells.

On May 5, 2011, TPH-g and MBTEX were reported in backfill well casing BF-5 as non detectable at standard reporting limits and in BF-1 at concentrations of ND<50 µg/L, 0.65 µg/L, ND<0.5 µg/L, ND<0.5 µg/L, and ND<0.5 µg/L, respectively.

3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS

3.1 Soil

Gasoline contamination has been identified in the shallow soil at significant concentrations (>83 mg/kg) between the depths 7.5 feet and 12 feet bgs except in the area of well MW-6. Maximum concentrations of TPH-g, and benzene reported in the tank removal samples were 920 mg/kg and 0.3 mg/kg, respectively. Maximum concentrations of TPH-g and benzene reported in soil boring samples were 1,200 mg/kg and 6.9 mg/kg, respectively in boring S3. The distribution of hydrocarbons in the soil is variable and appears related to variations in lithology and permeability.

3.2 Groundwater

The primary contaminant reported in soil and groundwater analyses is gasoline range hydrocarbons with related BTEX. Diesel range hydrocarbons are reported in the groundwater but examination of chart patterns show the diesel range hydrocarbons to be weathered gasoline. Despite the weathered nature of the gasoline, benzene concentrations remain high.

As discussed in the *Well Installation Report*, examination of 8015 chromatograph charts for groundwater samples from soil borings SB-16, SB-18 and SB-19 show the presence of a hydrocarbon centered in the overlap area of the diesel and motor oil ranges. These borings are located on the up gradient edge of the plume in Chestnut Street and are up gradient of the former UST location. These heavier than gasoline range hydrocarbons suggest a separate release has occurred up gradient of the site, possibly of heavy heating oil composition.

Maximum concentrations of TPH-g and BTEX reported in groundwater samples from soil borings were 120,000 µg/L (S-4), 10,000 µg/L (SB-11) 930 µg/L (SB-11), 3,500 µg/L (S-4), and 7,900 µg/L (SB-11), respectively. Contaminant concentrations reported in groundwater samples from monitoring wells were significantly lower than earlier concentrations reported from soil borings. The higher concentrations in soil borings water samples are believed to have resulted from hydrocarbons adsorbed to sediment in the muddy grab water samples. Maximum TPH-g and BTEX reported in monitoring wells were in samples from MW-2 on August 27, 2009 at concentrations of 26,000 µg/L, 3,600 µg/L, 70 µg/L, 1,500 µg/L, and 3,000 µg/L, respectively. No MTBE has been reported in monitoring well groundwater samples.

On August 27, 2009 the average of hydrocarbon concentrations (MW-1, MW-2, MW-4 through MW7, and BF-1) was 12,300 µg/L. On October 21, 2010, the average of hydrocarbon concentrations from the same wells was 1,416 µg/L. Attached graph Figure 7 (TPH-g and Benzene Concentrations in MW-4 Vs DTW) shows the inverse relationship between depth to water (DTW) and hydrocarbon concentration, as depth to groundwater decreases, TPH-d and benzene concentrations increase.

The calculated direction of groundwater flow is to the west, however the orientation of the hydrocarbon plume and hydrocarbon distribution in the groundwater indicates that the actual groundwater flow is somewhat sinuous and appears to follow permeability channels (sands and gravels).

Historically depth to groundwater has ranged from 2.25 feet bgs (MW-5, 27.14 ft amsl, 5/5/2011) to 11.84 feet bgs (MW-6, 17.50 ft amsl, 8/27/09).

4.0 GEOLOGY AND HYDROLOGY

The site lies on the distal end of the Temescal Creek Alluvial Fan at approximately 45 feet above mean seal level (amsl). The Temescal Alluvial Fan is a low relief broad fan sloping westerly and southwesterly from the mouth of the Temescal Creek. The Holocene age alluvial fan deposits are mapped as Qhaf (Helley 1997). The sediments are described as typically, brown to tan gravelly sand or sandy gravel, which generally grades upward into sandy or silty clay.

The sediments in the upper four (4) to five (5) feet underlying the site are black silty clay – clayey silt containing variable amounts of scattered gravel. These sediments are considered to be bay margin sediments.

The shallow fine grained surface layer is underlain by alluvial deposits of intercalated, lenticular bodies of silt, clay, sand, and gravel. The sediments are typically highly variable mixtures of the four primary lithologies. Permeability (transmissivity) of the coarse grained sediments is typically low due to the presence of interstitial clay; however scattered clean sands and gravels are present with good permeability. These permeable bodies appear to act as preferential channels for groundwater flow across the site and are the likely cause of the slightly sinuous, asymmetric appearance of the hydrocarbon plume in the soil and groundwater.

5.0 SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER SAMPLING ACTIVITIES

The 2nd quarter 2011 semi annual groundwater monitoring event was performed on May 5, 2011. The well caps were removed from each well (MW-1, MW-2, MW-4 through MW-7, and IW-1) and the wells were allowed to equilibrate with the atmosphere for a minimum of 30 minutes. Depth to water was measured to the nearest one hundredth of a foot with an electronic depth to water meter. The depth to water measurements from this and previous quarterly monitoring events are summarized on Table 3.

Wells MW-1 through MW-7 were purged with a peristaltic pump with the sampling tubing at a depth opposite of the permeable sand/gravel in each well. Groundwater parameters of temperature, pH, specific conductivity, dissolved oxygen (DO), and oxidation-reduction potential (ORP) were measured during purging. A visual evaluation of turbidity was made and noted. Groundwater measurements recorded in the field are reported on the field sampling forms included in Appendix A.

Groundwater samples were collected from backfill casings BF-1 and BF-5 using a peristaltic pump after purging approximately 3.5 liters of water.

When groundwater parameters of the purged water stabilized, water samples were collected using the peristaltic pump. Samples for TPH-g and MBTEX were collected in hydrochloric acid (HCl) preserved 40-milliliter (ml) volatile organic analysis vials (VOAs). All samples were labeled with at minimum, project number, sample number, time, date, and sampler's name.

The samples were then entered on an appropriate chain-of-custody form and placed on water ice in an ice chest on water ice pending same day transportation under chain of custody protocols to McCampbell Analytical, Inc. of Pittsburg, California (Department of Health Services Certification # 1644).

Groundwater samples from the wells were analyzed for TPH-g, MTBE, benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene, and total xylenes (MBTEX), by SW8021B/8015Bm.

5.1 Field Results

May 5, 2011, groundwater elevations in the monitoring wells ranged from 27.14 (MW-5) to 23.75 (MW-6) feet above mean sea level (amsl). These elevations are an average of 2.32 feet lower than at the time of the previous quarterly monitoring event. In the area west of the backfilled excavation, the groundwater hydraulic gradient is 0.01 ft/ft to the west.

Current and historical groundwater elevation data are summarized in Table 2. The groundwater elevation contours and the groundwater flow direction are presented in Figure 4. Groundwater Monitoring Well Field Sampling Forms are presented Appendix A.

6.0 ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Backfill Casings

On May 5, 2011, the TPH-g concentration in backfill casing BF-1 was reported as nondetectable at a reporting limit of 50 µg/L. BTEX concentrations were reported at concentrations of 0.65 µg/L, <0.5 µg/L, <0.5 µg/L, and <0.5 µg/L, respectively. MTBE in BF-1 was reported as nondetectable at reporting limits of 5.0 µg/L.

TPH-g, BTEX and MTXE concentrations in backfill casing BF-5 were reported as nondetectable at standard method reporting limits.

Monitoring Wells

Changes in TPH-g and benzene concentrations are summarized below. Toluene, ethylbenzene and total xylenes concentrations are not detailed below but typically vary in a similar fashion to benzene concentrations.

The TPH-g, BTEX and MTBE concentrations in monitoring well MW-1 continued to be reported as nondetectable at standard method reporting limits.

The TPH-g concentrations in monitoring well MW-2 increased significantly from 1,900 µg/L on October 21, 2010 to 27,000 µg/L on May 5, 2011. Benzene concentrations in MW-2 increased significantly from 140 µg/L on October 21, 2010 to 2,300 µg/L on May 5, 2011.

Well MW-3 was inaccessible and could not be located. It appears that the well may have been covered by concrete during floor leveling.

The TPH-g concentrations in monitoring well MW-4 increased significantly from 1,900 µg/L on October 21, 2010 to 5,900 µg/L on May 5, 2011. Benzene concentrations in MW-4 increased from 120 µg/L on October 21, 2010 to 560 µg/L on May 5, 2011.

The TPH-g concentrations in monitoring well MW-5 increased from ND <50 µg/L on October 21, 2010 to 790 µg/L on May 5, 2011. Benzene concentrations in MW-5 increased from 1.3 µg/L on October 21, 2010 to 140 µg/L on May 5, 2011.

The TPH-g concentration in monitoring well MW-6 increased from 380 µg/L on October 21, 2010 to 7,000 µg/L on May 5, 2011. Benzene concentrations in MW-6 increased from 35 µg/L on March 12, 2010 to 80 µg/L on May 5, 2011.

The TPH-g concentration in monitoring well MW-7 increased slightly from 7,900 µg/L on October 21, 2010 to 9,300 µg/L on May 5, 2011. Benzene concentrations in MW-6 decreased from 1,100 µg/L on March 12, 2010 to 690 µg/L on May 5, 2011.

The TPH-g, BTEX, MTBE concentrations in monitoring well IW-1 remained below standard method reporting limits of 50 µg/L on May 5, 2011.

A summary of groundwater analytical data is presented in Table 3 and Figure 5. TPH-g contaminant isopleths are presented in Figure 6. Laboratory results and chain of custody documents are included in Appendix B.

7.0 SUMMARY

TPH-g concentrations in the monitoring wells ranged from 27,000 µg/L (MW-2) to ND<50 µg/L (MW-1, IW-1). Benzene concentrations in the monitoring wells ranged from 2,300 µg/L (MW-2) to ND<50 µg/L (MW-1, IW-1).

TPH-g is not reported in the excavation backfill casings despite higher concentration in the up gradient monitoring well MW-7. This appears to be due to the higher oxygen levels in the permeable fill in the base of the backfill which results in higher rates of biodegradation of dissolved phase hydrocarbons. The excavation appears to have to a large extent cut off the down gradient migration of groundwater plume from the original source area around the former gasoline UST. TPH-g and Benzene concentrations in groundwater indicated the similar pattern to the groundwater level fluctuation (figure 7).

The next semi-annual groundwater monitoring event is tentatively scheduled for November 2011.

8.0 REPORT LIMITATIONS AND SIGNATURES

This report presents a summary of work completed by AEI, including observations and descriptions of site conditions. Where appropriate, it includes analytical results for samples taken during the course of the work. The number and location of samples are chosen to provide requested information, but it cannot be assumed that they are entirely representative of all areas not sampled. All conclusions and recommendations are based on these analyses and observations. Conclusions beyond those stated and reported herein should not be inferred from this document.


These services were performed in accordance with generally accepted practices in the geologic, environmental engineering and construction fields that existed at the time and location of the work

Please contact Robert F. Flory at (925) 746-6000 extension 122, if you have any questions regarding the findings and recommendations included in this report.

Sincerely,
AEI Consultants


Stephen Lao
Project Engineer


Robert F. Flory, PG
Senior Geologist




Distribution List:

Ms. Steffi Zimmerman
3289 Lomas Verdes Place
Lafayette, CA 94545

Ms. Barbara Jakub
Environmental Health Services
Environmental Protection
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250
Alameda, CA 94502-6577

GeoTracker (electronic copy)

FIGURES





TN \nearrow MN
15°


0 5 1 MILE
0 1000 FEET 0 500 1000 METERS
Map created with TOPO!® ©2002 National Geographic (www.nationalgeographic.com/topo)

<p>AEI CONSULTANTS 2500 Camino Diablo, Suite 200, Walnut Creek, CA 94597</p>	
<p>Site Location Map</p>	
<p>3442 Adeline Street Oakland, CA 94608</p>	<p>FIGURE 1 Job No: 281939</p>




-  Property Boundary
-  Former UST Area

Approximate Scale:
1 inch = 55 feet




AEI CONSULTANTS 2500 Camino Diablo, Suite 200, Walnut Creek, CA 94597	
Site Vicinity Map	
3442 Adeline Street Oakland, CA 94608	FIGURE 2 Job No: 281939



ADELINE STREET

CHESTNUT STREET



LEGEND

- Soil Boring - 2006
- ⊙ Soil Boring - 2007
- ⊕ Monitoring Well
- - - Former UST
- Soil vapor Sample Point
- ▭ Source Removal Excavation


DRAFTED BY RFF

AEI CONSULTANTS
2500 CAMINO DIABLO, WALNUT CREEK

SITE PLAN

3442 ADELINE STREET
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

FIGURE 3
PROJECT NO. 281939



LEGEND

- Soil Boring - 2006
- ⊙ Soil Boring - 2007
- ⊕ Monitoring Well
- ⊕ Abandoned Well
- ⊖ Former UST
- ▭ Source Removal Excavation
- Groundwater Elevation Contour Lines
- (24.51) - Groundwater Elevation
- (22.31*) - Elevation not used for contouring


DRAFTED BY RFF

AEI CONSULTANTS
2500 CAMINO DIABLO, WALNUT CREEK

Groundwater Elevations (5/5/2011)



3442 ADELINE STREET
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

FIGURE 4
PROJECT NO. 281939



ADELINE STREET

CHESTNUT STREET



LEGEND

- Monitoring Well
- Abandoned Well
- Former UST
- Source Removal Excavation

G - Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline (µg/L)
 B - Benzene (µg/L)

Monitoring Well Data


DRAFTED BY RFF

AEI CONSULTANTS
 2500 CAMINO DIABLO, WALNUT CREEK

Groundwater Analytical Data (5/5/2011)



3442 ADELINE STREET
 OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

FIGURE 5
 PROJECT NO. 281939



ADELINE STREET

CHESTNUT STREET



1"=30'



LEGEND

- Monitoring Well
- Former UST
- Source Removal Excavation
- TPH-g concentration isopleth
- 890 TPH-g Concentration (µg/L) 10/21/2010

DRAFTED BY RFF


AEI CONSULTANTS
2500 CAMINO DIABLO, WALNUT CREEK

TPH-g in Monitoring Wells (5/5/2011)

3442 ADELINE STREET
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

FIGURE 6
PROJECT NO. 281939

FIGURE 7 TPH-g and Benzene Concentrations in MW-4 Vs. DTW



TABLES

Table 1: Monitoring Well Construction Details
3442 Adeline Street St. Oakland, CA 94608

Well ID	Date Installed	Top of Casing Elevation (ft amsl)	Well Box Rim Elevation (ft amsl)	Depth to Water 5/5/11 (ft)	Well Depth (ft)	Casing Material	Casing Diameter (in)	Slotted Casing (ft)	Slot Size (in)	Sand Interval (ft)	Sand Size	Bentonite Interval (ft)	Grout Interval (ft)
MW-1	04/01/09	31.12	32.13	5.88	17	PVC	4	7-17	0.020	6-17	# 2/12	4-6	0.75 - 5
MW-2	04/01/09	31.19	31.43	6.68	17	PVC	4	7-17	0.020	6-17	# 2/12	4-6	0.75 - 5
MW-3	04/01/09	32.07	32.39	----	17	PVC	4	7-17	0.020	6-17	# 2/12	4-6	0.75 - 5
MW-4	04/02/09	31.68	31.98	6.60	17	PVC	2	7-17	0.020	6-17	# 2/12	4-6	0.75 - 5
MW-5	05/12/09	30.39	30.82	3.25	17	PVC	2	7-17	0.020	6-17	# 2/12	4-6	0.75 - 5
MW-6	04/02/09	29.34	29.96	5.59	17	PVC	2	7-17	0.020	6-17	# 2/12	4-6	0.75 - 5
MW-7	05/13/09	31.04	31.45	5.98	17	PVC	2	7-17	0.020	6-17	# 2/12	4-6	0.75 - 5
IW-1	05/12/09	31.66	31.90	6.73	15	PVC/ stainless	2	13-15	40 mesh	12-15	# 2/12	11-12	0.75-12

Notes:

ft amsl = feet above mean sea level

ft btc = feet below top of casing

Table 2: Groundwater Elevation Data
3442 Adeline Street St. Oakland, CA 94608

Well ID (Screen Interval)	Date Collected	Top of Casing Elevation (ft amsl)	Depth to Water (ft)	Groundwater Elevation (ft amsl)	Elevation Change (ft)
MW-1 (7-17)	6/10/2009	31.12	7.01	24.11	----
	8/27/2009	31.12	6.96	24.16	0.05
	12/15/2009	31.12	5.96	25.16	1.00
	3/12/2010	31.12	5.06	26.06	0.90
	10/21/2010	31.12	7.00	24.12	-1.94
	5/5/2011	31.12	5.88	25.24	1.12
MW-2 (7-17)	6/10/2009	31.19	9.50	21.69	----
	8/27/2009	31.19	10.50	20.69	-1.00
	12/15/2009	31.19	8.68	22.51	1.82
	3/12/2010	31.19	5.09	26.10	3.59
	10/21/2010	31.19	7.51	23.68	-2.42
	5/5/2011	31.19	6.68	24.51	0.83
MW-3 (7-17)	6/10/2009	32.07	8.44	23.63	----
	8/27/2009	32.07	8.59	23.48	-0.15
	12/15/2009	32.07	7.66	24.41	0.93
	3/12/2010	Well inaccessible	----	----	----
	10/21/2010	Well inaccessible	----	----	----
	5/5/2011	Well inaccessible	----	----	----
MW-4 (7-17)	6/10/2009	31.68	9.45	22.23	----
	8/27/2009	31.68	10.29	21.39	-0.84
	12/15/2009	31.68	8.19	23.49	2.10
	3/12/2010	31.68	5.45	26.23	2.74
	10/21/2010	31.68	9.93	21.75	-4.48
	5/5/2011	31.68	6.60	25.08	3.33
MW-5 (7-17)	6/10/2009	30.39	9.13	21.26	----
	8/27/2009	30.39	9.54	20.85	-0.41
	12/15/2009	30.39	8.33	22.06	1.21
	3/12/2010	Well inaccessible	----	----	----
	10/21/2010	30.39	6.85	23.54	1.48
	5/5/2011	30.39	3.25	27.14	3.60
MW-6 (7-17)	6/10/2009	29.34	9.98	19.36	----
	8/27/2009	29.34	11.84	17.50	-1.86
	12/15/2009	29.34	8.33	21.01	3.51
	3/12/2010	29.34	4.66	24.68	3.67
	10/21/2010	29.34	10.00	19.34	-5.34
	5/5/2011	29.34	5.59	23.75	4.41

Table 2: Groundwater Elevation Data
3442 Adeline Street St. Oakland, CA 94608

MW-7 (7-17)	6/10/2009	31.04	6.53	24.51	----
	8/27/2009	31.04	6.19	24.85	0.34
	12/15/2009	31.04	5.71	25.33	0.48
	3/12/2010	31.04	5.34	25.70	0.37
	10/21/2010	31.04	6.59	24.45	-1.25
	5/5/2011	31.04	5.98	25.06	0.61
IW-1 (13-15)	6/10/2009	31.66	7.65	24.01	----
	8/27/2009	31.66	7.70	23.96	-0.05
	12/15/2009	31.66	10.99	20.67	-3.29
	3/12/2010	31.66	6.00	25.66	4.99
	10/21/2010	31.66	9.35	22.31	-3.35
	5/5/2011	31.66	6.73	24.93	2.62

Event	Date	Average Water Table Elevation (ft amsl)	Change from Previous Episode (ft)	Flow Direction (gradient) (ft/ft)
1	6/10/2009	22.40	----	West (0.0186)
2	8/27/2009	21.85	-0.55	West (0.0186)
3	12/15/2009	23.42	1.58	West (0.0181)
4	3/12/2010	25.75	2.33	West (0.004)
5	10/21/2010	22.81	-2.94	North Northwest (0.041)
6	5/5/2011	25.13	2.32	West (0.01)

**Table 3: Groundwater Analytical Data
3442 Adeline Street St. Oakland, CA 94608**

Sample ID	Date	Depth to Water (ft)	TPH-d	TPH-g	MTBE	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl benzene	Xylenes		
			Method 8015C			Method 8021B					
			(µg/L)								
ESL - current or potential DW			100	100	5.0	1.0	40	30	20		
ESL - not potential DW			210	210	1,800	46	130	43	100		
MW-1	04/17/09	7.01	97	220	<5.0	10	<0.5	3.0	5.4		
	08/27/09	6.96	----	7,000	<180	610	10	320	220		
	09/17/09	----	----	92	<15	0.91	0.70	<0.5	<0.5		
	12/15/09	5.96	----	2500	<50	170	6.4	66	120		
	03/12/10	5.06	----	500	<5.0	4.0	1.1	0.6	0.7		
	10/21/10	7.00	----	<50	<5.0	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		
	05/05/11	5.88	----	<50	<5.0	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		
MW-2	04/17/09	9.50	2,200	7,000	<100	850	19	93	470		
	08/27/09	10.50	----	26,000	<1,200	3,600	<25	1,200	3,000		
	12/15/09	8.68	----	25,000	<250	2,900	70	1,500	2,400		
	03/12/10	5.69	----	7,300	<350	590	7.0	6.4	680		
	10/21/10	7.51	----	1,900	<15	140	1.4	28	140		
	05/05/11	6.68	----	27,000	<180	2,300	13	1,700	2,600		
MW-3	04/17/09	8.44	2,200	10,000	<110	930	5.6	270	920		
	08/27/09	8.59	----	17,000	<250	3800	38	730	710		
	09/17/09	----	----	260	<15	1.8	1.0	<0.5	2.1		
	10/14/09	----	----	1,800	<30	220	13	37	130		
	12/15/09	7.66	----	4,900	<50	890	13	160	130		
	03/12/10	Well inaccessible									
	10/21/10	Well inaccessible									
MW-4	04/17/09	9.45	1,200	4,700	<30	140	2.0	28	18		
	08/27/09	10.29	----	4,300	<25	75	11	8.6	3.4		
	12/15/09	8.19	----	3,000	<15	64	11	5.6	3.3		
	03/12/10	5.45	----	6,100	<35	1200	14	170	6.2		
	10/21/10	9.93	----	1,900	<15	120	4.7	5.7	1.8		
	05/05/11	6.60	----	5,900	<25	560	2.6	41	17		
MW-5	05/22/09	9.13	2,800	14,000	<100	3,000	12	340	420		
	08/27/09	9.54	----	25,000	<400	3,300	36	110	160		
	12/15/09	8.33	----	8,200	<250	1,200	6.9	300	610		
	03/12/10	Well inaccessible									
	10/21/10	6.85	----	<50	<5.0	1.3	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		
	05/05/11	3.25	----	790	<20	140	1.0	29	30		
MW-6	04/17/09	9.98	1,000	5,600	<300	210	3.0	180	160		
	08/27/09	11.84	----	2,200	<120	98	7.9	20	1.1		
	12/15/09	8.59	----	4,700	<250	370	6.9	260	300		
	03/12/10	4.66	----	9,300	<90	210	12	250	110		
	10/21/10	10.00	----	380	<5.0	35	1.2	4.6	3.8		

**Table 3: Groundwater Analytical Data
3442 Adeline Street St. Oakland, CA 94608**

Sample ID	Date	Depth to Water (ft)	TPH-d	TPH-g	MTBE	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl benzene	Xylenes		
			Method 8015C			Method 8021B					
			(µg/L)								
ESL - current or potential DW			100	100	5.0	1.0	40	30	20		
ESL - not potential DW			210	210	1,800	46	130	43	100		
	05/05/11	5.59	----	7,000	<75	80	2.9	120	28		
MW-7	04/17/09	6.53	3,700	12,000	<120	1,000	37	100	36		
	08/27/09	6.19	----	12,000	<100	550	30	130	33		
	12/15/09	5.71	----	9,600	<100	620	26	140	20		
	03/12/10	5.34	----	10,000	<25	850	33	87	28		
	10/21/10	6.59	----	7,900	<180	1,100	22	44	21		
	05/05/11	5.98	----	9,300	<200	690	23	42	21		
IW-1	05/22/09	7.65	680	1,200	<15	58	2.7	2.3	18		
	08/27/09	7.70	----	160	<5.0	4.1	0.5	0.8	1.6		
	09/17/09	----	----	300	<5.0	8.0	1.5	1.4	0.85		
	12/15/09	10.99	----	220	<5.0	5.4	1.4	0.65	0.7		
	03/12/10	6.00	----	<50	<5.0	1.9	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		
	10/21/10	1/9/00	----	<50	<5.0	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		
	05/05/11	6.73	----	<50	<5.0	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		
BF-1 post H ₂ O ₂ pre-aeration post aeration	03/27/09	----	----	19,000	<250	890	27	460	1,200		
	06/17/09	----	----	6,700	<150	840	19	170	150		
	08/10/09	----	----	11,000	<120	710	14	440	290		
	08/27/09	----	----	9,600	<90	590	14	350	220		
	09/13/09	----	----	<50	<5.0	1.2	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		
	10/14/09	----	----	2,400	<10	83	1.9	5.0	120		
	12/11/09	6.70	----	200	<5.0	12	<0.5	2.2	9.6		
	03/12/10	5.61	----	<50	<0.5	2.9	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		
	10/21/10	7.95	----	560	<5.0	68	1.5	6.7	25		
	05/05/11	6.25	----	<50	<5.0	0.65	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		
	BF-3	10/14/09	----	----	<50	<5.0	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
BF-5	08/27/09	----	----	170	<25	32	0.55	4.2	220		
	10/14/09	----	----	<50	<5.0	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		
	12/11/09	7.25	----	130	<5.0	40	<0.5	0.91	<0.5		
	03/12/10	6.09	----	<50	<5.0	4.3	<0.5	0.91	<0.5		
	10/21/10	8.62	----	80	<5.0	8.8	<0.5	1.4	4.5		
	05/05/11	6.75	----	<50	<5.0	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		

Notes:

µg/L = micrograms per liter

ESL = Environmental Screening Level

TPH-g = total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline

TPH-d = total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel

MTBE = methyl tert-butyl ether

Table 3: Groundwater Analytical Data
3442 Adeline Street St. Oakland, CA 94608

Sample ID	Date	Depth to Water (ft)	TPH-d	TPH-g	MTBE	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl benzene	Xylenes	
			<i>Method 8015C</i>			<i>Method 8021B</i>				
			(µg/L)							
ESL - current or potential DW			100	100	5.0	1.0	40	30	20	
ESL - not potential DW			210	210	1,800	46	130	43	100	

680 = Current concentration above ESL

680 = most recent sample

APPENDIX A

**Groundwater Monitoring Well
Field Sampling Forms**

AEI CONSULTANTS
GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL FIELD SAMPLING FORM

Monitoring Well Number: MW-1

Project Name:	Zimmerman	Date of Sampling:	5/5/2011
Job Number:	281939	Name of Sampler:	J. Sigg
Project Address:	3442 Adeline St. Oakland Cal		

MONITORING WELL DATA

Well Casing Diameter (2"/4"/6")	4"		
Wellhead Condition	OK		▼
Elevation of Top of Casing (feet above msl)	31.12		
Depth of Well	17.00		
Depth to Water (from top of casing)	5.88		
Water Elevation (feet above msl)	24.12		
Well Volumes Purged	Micropurged		
Actual Volume Purged (liters)	3.5		
Appearance of Purge Water	Clear		
Free Product Present?	No	Thickness (ft):	----

GROUNDWATER SAMPLES

Number of Samples/Container Size				3 VOA			
Time	Vol Removed (Liters)	Temperature (deg C)	pH	Conductivity (µS/cm)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (meV)	Comments
1250	1	17.40	7.92	943	11.04	-202.3	Clear
	2	17.21	7.90	901	6.24	-212.8	
	3	17.08	7.90	855	4.07	-250.4	
1300	4	17.01	7.89	837	3.20	-262.1	Clear

COMMENTS (i.e., sample odor, well recharge time & percent, etc.)

Bottom of drop tube at 11.5 feet bgs. Purge rate <0.5 liters per minute.

AEI CONSULTANTS
GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL FIELD SAMPLING FORM

Monitoring Well Number: MW-2

Project Name:	Zimmerman	Date of Sampling:	5/5/2011
Job Number:	281939	Name of Sampler:	J. Sigg
Project Address:	3442 Adeline St. Oakland Cal		

MONITORING WELL DATA

Well Casing Diameter (2"/4"/6")	4"		
Wellhead Condition	OK <input type="button" value="v"/>		
Elevation of Top of Casing (feet above msl)	31.19		
Depth of Well	17.00		
Depth to Water (from top of casing)	6.68		
Water Elevation (feet above msl)	23.68		
Well Volumes Purged	Micropurged		
Actual Volume Purged (liters)	3.5		
Appearance of Purge Water	Clear		
Free Product Present?	No	Thickness (ft):	----

GROUNDWATER SAMPLES

Number of Samples/Container Size				3 VOA			
Time	Vol Removed (Liters)	Temperature (deg C)	pH	Conductivity (µS/cm)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (meV)	Comments
1210	#1	16.83	7.36	694	1.39	-315.9	Clear
	2	16.60	7.33	620	0.98	-330.3	"
	3	16.58	7.30	618	0.84	-343.4	"
1220	4	16.55	7.29	615	0.43	-358.3	"

COMMENTS (i.e., sample odor, well recharge time & percent, etc.)

Bottom of drop tube at 11.0 feet bgs. Purge rate <0.5 liters per minute.

AEI CONSULTANTS
GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL FIELD SAMPLING FORM

Monitoring Well Number: MW-4

Project Name:	Zimmerman	Date of Sampling:	5/5/2011
Job Number:	281939	Name of Sampler:	J. Sigg
Project Address:	3442 Adeline St. Oakland Cal		

MONITORING WELL DATA

Well Casing Diameter (2"/4"/6")	2"		
Wellhead Condition	OK		
Elevation of Top of Casing (feet above msl)	31.68		
Depth of Well	17.00		
Depth to Water (from top of casing)	6.60		
Water Elevation (feet above msl)	21.75		
Well Volumes Purged	Micropurged		
Actual Volume Purged (liters)	3.5		
Appearance of Purge Water	Clear		
Free Product Present?	No	Thickness (ft):	----

GROUNDWATER SAMPLES

Number of Samples/Container Size				3 VOA			
Time	Vol Removed (Liters)	Temperature (deg C)	pH	Conductivity (µS/cm)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (meV)	Comments
1230	1	17.41	7.13	617	7.63	-232.2	Clear
	2	17.35	7.15	680	1.53	-287.5	"
	3	17.10	7.18	695	0.72	-330.5	"
1240	4	17.02	7.09	701	0.52	-345.9	"

COMMENTS (i.e., sample odor, well recharge time & percent, etc.)

Bottom of drop tube at 11.0 feet bgs. Purge rate <0.5 liters per minute.

AEI CONSULTANTS
GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL FIELD SAMPLING FORM

Monitoring Well Number: MW-5

Project Name:	Zimmerman	Date of Sampling:	5/5/2011
Job Number:	281939	Name of Sampler:	J. Sigg
Project Address:	3442 Adeline St. Oakland Cal		

MONITORING WELL DATA

Well Casing Diameter (2"/4"/6")	2"		
Wellhead Condition	OK ▼		
Elevation of Top of Casing (feet above msl)	30.39		
Depth of Well	17.00		
Depth to Water (from top of casing)	3.85		
Water Elevation (feet above msl)	23.54		
Well Volumes Purged	Micropurged		
Actual Volume Purged (liters)	6.5		
Appearance of Purge Water	Clear		
Free Product Present?	No	Thickness (ft):	----

GROUNDWATER SAMPLES

Number of Samples/Container Size				3 VOA			
Time	Vol Removed (Liters)	Temperature (deg C)	pH	Conductivity (µS/cm)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (meV)	Comments
1145	1	15.20	7.48	761	1.20	-285.5	Clear
	2	15.02	7.46	648	0.64	-317.9	" "
	3	14.93	7.84	502	0.65	-320.7	" "
	4	15.01	7.80	4.93	0.60	-330.3	" "
1155	1						

COMMENTS (i.e., sample odor, well recharge time & percent, etc.)

Bottom of drop tube at 10.0 feet bgs. Purge rate <0.5 liters per minute.

AEI CONSULTANTS
GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL FIELD SAMPLING FORM

Monitoring Well Number: MW-6

Project Name:	Zimmerman	Date of Sampling:	5/5/2011
Job Number:	281939	Name of Sampler:	J. Sigg
Project Address:	3442 Adeline St. Oakland Cal		

MONITORING WELL DATA

Well Casing Diameter (2"/4"/6")	2"		
Wellhead Condition	OK ▼		
Elevation of Top of Casing (feet above msl)	29.34		
Depth of Well	17.00		
Depth to Water (from top of casing)	5.059		
Water Elevation (feet above msl)	19.34		
Well Volumes Purged	Micropurged		
Actual Volume Purged (liters)	3.0		
Appearance of Purge Water	Clear		
Free Product Present?	No	Thickness (ft):	----

GROUNDWATER SAMPLES

Number of Samples/Container Size				3 VOA			
Time	Vol Removed (Liters)	Temperature (deg C)	pH	Conductivity (µS/cm)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (meV)	Comments
1130	1	16.20	7.04	716	2.27	-239.3	Clear
	2	16.13	6.95	715	2.19	-244.8	"
1140	3	16.10	6.94	710	2.10	-256.4	"

COMMENTS (i.e., sample odor, well recharge time & percent, etc.)

Clear with slight hydrocarbon odor.
Bottom of drop tube at 13.0 feet bgs. Purge rate <0.5 liters per minute.

AEI CONSULTANTS
GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL FIELD SAMPLING FORM

Monitoring Well Number: MW-7

Project Name:	Zimmerman	Date of Sampling:	5/5/2011
Job Number:	281939	Name of Sampler:	J. Sigg
Project Address:	3442 Adeline St. Oakland Cal		

MONITORING WELL DATA

Well Casing Diameter (2"/4"/6")	2"		
Wellhead Condition	OK		
Elevation of Top of Casing (feet above msl)	31.04		
Depth of Well	17.00		
Depth to Water (from top of casing)	5.98		
Water Elevation (feet above msl)	24.45		
Well Volumes Purged	Micropurged		
Actual Volume Purged (liters)	4.0		
Appearance of Purge Water	Clear		
Free Product Present?	No	Thickness (ft):	---

GROUNDWATER SAMPLES

Number of Samples/Container Size				3 VOA			
Time	Vol Removed (Liters)	Temperature (deg C)	pH	Conductivity (µS/cm)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (meV)	Comments
1410	1	19.54	6.91	877	3.28	-209.3	Clear
	2	18.46	6.62	860	1.73	-217.1	ll
	3	18.29	6.53	857	1.51	-220.7	ll
1420	4	18.20	6.48	856	1.36	-225.7	ll

COMMENTS (i.e., sample odor, well recharge time & percent, etc.)

Clear with strong hydrocarbon odors.
Bottom of drop tube at 12.0 feet bgs. Purge rate <0.5 liters per minute.

AEI CONSULTANTS
GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL FIELD SAMPLING FORM

Monitoring Well Number: BF-1

Project Name:	Zimmerman	Date of Sampling:	5/5/2011
Job Number:	281939	Name of Sampler:	J. Sigg
Project Address:	3442 Adeline St. Oakland Cal		

MONITORING WELL DATA

Well Casing Diameter (2"/4"/6")	4"		
Wellhead Condition	OK ▼		
Elevation of Top of Casing (feet above msl)	Unsurveyed		
Depth of Well	12.00		
Depth to Water (from top of casing)	7.95 6.25		
Water Elevation (feet above msl)			
Well Volumes Purged	Micropurged		
Actual Volume Purged (liters)	3.5		
Appearance of Purge Water			
Free Product Present?	No	Thickness (ft):	----

GROUNDWATER SAMPLES

Number of Samples/Container Size				3 VOA			
Time	Vol Removed (Liters)	Temperature (deg C)	pH	Conductivity (µS/cm)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (meV)	Comments
1310	1	17.83	7.84	987	1.39	-318.7	Clear
	2	17.66	7.80	907	.98	-321.8	11
	3	17.59	7.80	885	.55	-330.5	11
1320	4	17.57	7.79	868	0.43	-335.7	11

COMMENTS (i.e., sample odor, well recharge time & percent, etc.)

Clear with no hydrocarbon odor.
Bottom of drop tube at 10.0 feet bgs. Purge rate <0.5 liters per minute.

AEI CONSULTANTS
GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL FIELD SAMPLING FORM

Monitoring Well Number: BF-5

Project Name:	Zimmerman	Date of Sampling:	5/5/2011
Job Number:	281939	Name of Sampler:	J. Sigg
Project Address:	3442 Adeline St. Oakland Cal		

MONITORING WELL DATA

Well Casing Diameter (2"4"/6")	4"		
Wellhead Condition	OK <input type="checkbox"/>		
Elevation of Top of Casing (feet above msl)	Unsurveyed		
Depth of Well	12.00		
Depth to Water (from top of casing)	6.09 6.75		
Water Elevation (feet above msl)			
Well Volumes Purged	Micropurged		
Actual Volume Purged (liters)	4.0		
Appearance of Purge Water	Clear		
Free Product Present?	No	Thickness (ft):	----

GROUNDWATER SAMPLES

Number of Samples/Container Size		3 VOA					
Time	Vol Removed (Liters)	Temperature (deg C)	pH	Conductivity (µS/cm)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (meV)	Comments
1330	1	18.15	7.83	985	2.56	-255.8	Clear
	2	18.07	7.80	963	1.97	-289.7	
	3	18.00	7.75	950	1.35	-303.5	
1340	4	17.96	7.72	948	1.20	-313.3	clear

COMMENTS (i.e., sample odor, well recharge time & percent, etc.)

Clear, no odor.
Bottom of drop tube at 11.0 feet bgs. Purge rate <0.5 liters per minute.

AEI CONSULTANTS
GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL FIELD SAMPLING FORM

Monitoring Well Number: IW-1

Project Name:	Zimmerman	Date of Sampling:	5/5/2011
Job Number:	281939	Name of Sampler:	J. Sigg
Project Address:	3442 Adeline St. Oakland Cal		

MONITORING WELL DATA

Well Casing Diameter (2"/4"/6")	2"		
Wellhead Condition	OK		
Elevation of Top of Casing (feet above msl)	31.66		
Depth of Well	15.00		
Depth to Water (from top of casing)	6.35		
Water Elevation (feet above msl)	22.31		
Well Volumes Purged	Micropurged		
Actual Volume Purged (liters)			
Appearance of Purge Water			
Free Product Present?	No	Thickness (ft):	----

GROUNDWATER SAMPLES

Number of Samples/Container Size				3 VOA			
Time	Vol Removed (Liters)	Temperature (deg C)	pH	Conductivity (µS/cm)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (meV)	Comments
1350	1	18.86	6.35	1118	1.02	-157.1	Clear
	2	18.47	6.28	1110	0.82	-164.6	"
	3	18.37	6.28	1109	0.86	-170.6	"
1400	4	18.35	6.28	1108	0.80	-172.8	"

COMMENTS (i.e., sample odor, well recharge time & percent, etc.)

Clear with no odors.
Bottom of drop tube at 13.0 feet bgs. Purge rate <0.5 liters per minute.
Screened interval - 13-15 feet bgs

AEI CONSULTANTS
GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL FIELD SAMPLING FORM

Monitoring Well Number: MW-3

Project Name:	Zimmerman	Date of Sampling:	5/5/2011
Job Number:	281939	Name of Sampler:	J. Sigg
Project Address:	3442 Adeline St. Oakland Cal		

MONITORING WELL DATA			
Well Casing Diameter (2"/4"/6")	4"		
Wellhead Condition	OK	▼	
Elevation of Top of Casing (feet above msl)	32.07		
Depth of Well	17.00		
Depth to Water (from top of casing)			
Water Elevation (feet above msl)	32.07		
Well Volumes Purged	Micropurged		
Actual Volume Purged (liters)			
Appearance of Purge Water			
Free Product Present?	No	Thickness (ft):	---

GROUNDWATER SAMPLES							
Number of Samples/Container Size				3 VOA			
Time	Vol Removed (Liters)	Temperature (deg C)	pH	Conductivity (µS/cm)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (meV)	Comments

COMMENTS (i.e., sample odor, well recharge time & percent, etc.)

Well inaccessible - covered by carpet, concrete?, not locatable.

AEI CONSULTANTS
GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL FIELD SAMPLING FORM

Monitoring Well Number: BF-3

Project Name:	Zimmerman	Date of Sampling:	5/5/2011
Job Number:	281939	Name of Sampler:	J. Sigg
Project Address:	3442 Adeline St. Oakland Cal		

MONITORING WELL DATA

Well Casing Diameter (2"/4"/6")	4"		
Wellhead Condition	OK		
Elevation of Top of Casing (feet above msl)	Unsurveyed		
Depth of Well	12.00		
Depth to Water (from top of casing)			
Water Elevation (feet above msl)			
Well Volumes Purged	Micropurged		
Actual Volume Purged (liters)	2.0		
Appearance of Purge Water			
Free Product Present?	No	Thickness (ft):	----

GROUNDWATER SAMPLES

Number of Samples/Container Size				3 VOA			
Time	Vol Removed (Liters)	Temperature (deg C)	pH	Conductivity (μS/cm)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (meV)	Comments

COMMENTS (i.e., sample odor, well recharge time & percent, etc.)

No sampled.
Bottom of drop tube at 10.0 feet bgs. Purge rate <0.5 liters per minute.

APPENDIX B

Laboratory Analytical Reports With Chain of Custody Documentation



McC Campbell Analytical, Inc.

"When Quality Counts"

1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701
Web: www.mcccampbell.com E-mail: main@mcccampbell.com
Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269

AEI Consultants 2500 Camino Diablo, Ste. #200 Walnut Creek, CA 94597	Client Project ID: #281939; Zimmerman	Date Sampled: 05/05/11
		Date Received: 05/05/11
	Client Contact: Robert Flory	Date Reported: 05/12/11
	Client P.O.: #WC082284	Date Completed: 05/12/11

WorkOrder: 1105130

May 12, 2011

Dear Robert:

Enclosed within are:

- 1) The results of the **9** analyzed samples from your project: **#281939; Zimmerman,**
- 2) A QC report for the above samples,
- 3) A copy of the chain of custody, and
- 4) An invoice for analytical services.

All analyses were completed satisfactorily and all QC samples were found to be within our control limits.

If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to give me a call. Thank you for choosing

McC Campbell Analytical Laboratories for your analytical needs.

Best regards,

Angela Rydelius
Laboratory Manager
McC Campbell Analytical, Inc.

1105130

McCAMPBELL ANALYTICAL INC.

1534 Willow Pass Road
Pittsburg, CA 94565

Telephone: (925) 252-9262

Fax: (925) 252-9269

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

TURN AROUND TIME

RUSH 24 HR 48 HR 72 HR 5 DAY

EDF Required? Yes No

Report To: Robert Flory Bill To: same P.O. # WC082284

Company: AEI Consultants

2500 Camino Diablo, Suite 200

Walnut Creek, CA 94597

E-Mail: rflory@aeiconsultants.com

Tele: (925) 746-6000

Fax: (925) 746-6099

Project #: 281939

Project Name: Zimmerman

Project Location: 3442 Adeline Street, Oakland, CA

Sampler Signature: *John Flory*

Analysis Request

Other

Comments

BTEX & TPH as Gas (602/8020 + 8015)/MTBE
TPH as Diesel (8015) with Silica Gel Cleanup
Total Petroleum Oil & Grease (5520 E&F/B&F)
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (418.1)
HVOCs EPA 8260
BTEX ONLY (EPA 602 / 8020)
TPH Multi-Range (G/D/MO) 8015 w/ Silica Gel
EPA 608 / 8080 PCB's ONLY
EPA 624 / 8260
EPA 625 / 8270 - SVOCs
PAH's / PNA's by EPA 625 / 8270 / 8310
CAM-17 Metals 6020
LUFT 5 Metals
Lead (7240/7421/239.2/6010)
RCI

SAMPLE ID	Field Point Name	SAMPLING		# Containers	Type Containers	MATRIX					METHOD PRESERVED								
		Date	Time			Water	Soil	Air	Sludge	Other	Ice	HCl	HNO ₃	Other					
MW-1	MW-1	5/5/11	1300			X					X								
MW-2	MW-2		1220			X					X								
MW-3	MW-3					X					X								
MW-4	MW-4		1240			X					X								
MW-5	MW-5		1155			X					X								
MW-6	MW-6		1140			X					X								
MW-7	MW-7		1420			X					X								
IW-1	IW-1		1400			X					X								
BF-1	BF-1		1320			X					X								
BF-5	BF-5		1340			X					X								

Relinquished By: *John Flory*

Date: 5-5-11

Time: 1440

Received By: *Robert Flory*

Relinquished By:

Date:

Time:

Received By:

Relinquished By:

Date:

Time:

Received By:

ICE 3.0

GOOD CONDITION
HEAD SPACE ABSENT
DECHLORINATED IN LAB

PRESERVATION APPROPRIATE
CONTAINERS
PERSERVED IN LAB

VOAS O&G METALS OTHER

MW-1
MW-2
MW-3
MW-4
MW-5
MW-6
MW-7
IW-1
BF-1
BF-5

McC Campbell Analytical, Inc.



1534 Willow Pass Rd
Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701
(925) 252-9262

CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD

WorkOrder: 1105130

ClientCode: AEL

WaterTrax
 WriteOn
 EDF
 Excel
 Fax
 Email
 HardCopy
 ThirdParty
 J-flag

Report to: Robert Flory AEI Consultants 2500 Camino Diablo, Ste. #200 Walnut Creek, CA 94597 (925) 283-6000 FAX (925) 283-6121	Email: rflory@aeiconsultants.com cc: PO: #WC082284 ProjectNo: #281939; Zimmerman	Bill to: Jeanette Brown AEI Consultants 2500 Camino Diablo, Ste. #200 Walnut Creek, CA 94597 jbrown@aeiconsultants.com	Requested TAT: 5 days Date Received: 05/05/2011 Date Printed: 05/06/2011
--	---	---	---

Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	Collection Date	Hold	Requested Tests (See legend below)												
					1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
1105130-001	MW-1	Water	5/5/2011 13:00	<input type="checkbox"/>	A	A											
1105130-002	MW-2	Water	5/5/2011 12:20	<input type="checkbox"/>	A												
1105130-003	MW-4	Water	5/5/2011 12:40	<input type="checkbox"/>	A												
1105130-004	MW-5	Water	5/5/2011 11:55	<input type="checkbox"/>	A												
1105130-005	MW-6	Water	5/5/2011 11:40	<input type="checkbox"/>	A												
1105130-006	MW-7	Water	5/5/2011 14:20	<input type="checkbox"/>	A												
1105130-007	IW-1	Water	5/5/2011 14:00	<input type="checkbox"/>	A												
1105130-008	BF-1	Water	5/5/2011 13:20	<input type="checkbox"/>	A												
1105130-009	BF-5	Water	5/5/2011 13:40	<input type="checkbox"/>	A												

Test Legend:

1	G-MBTX W	2	PREDF REPORT	3		4		5	
6		7		8		9		10	
11		12							

Prepared by: Maria Venegas

Comments:

NOTE: Soil samples are discarded 60 days after results are reported unless other arrangements are made (Water samples are 30 days).
Hazardous samples will be returned to client or disposed of at client expense.



Sample Receipt Checklist

Client Name: **AEI Consultants**

Date and Time Received: **5/5/2011 3:07:40 PM**

Project Name: **#281939; Zimmerman**

Checklist completed and reviewed by: **Maria Venegas**

WorkOrder N°: **1105130** Matrix Water

Carrier: Client Drop-In

Chain of Custody (COC) Information

- Chain of custody present? Yes No
- Chain of custody signed when relinquished and received? Yes No
- Chain of custody agrees with sample labels? Yes No
- Sample IDs noted by Client on COC? Yes No
- Date and Time of collection noted by Client on COC? Yes No
- Sampler's name noted on COC? Yes No

Sample Receipt Information

- Custody seals intact on shipping container/cooler? Yes No NA
- Shipping container/cooler in good condition? Yes No
- Samples in proper containers/bottles? Yes No
- Sample containers intact? Yes No
- Sufficient sample volume for indicated test? Yes No

Sample Preservation and Hold Time (HT) Information

- All samples received within holding time? Yes No
 - Container/Temp Blank temperature Cooler Temp: 3.8°C NA
 - Water - VOA vials have zero headspace / no bubbles? Yes No No VOA vials submitted
 - Sample labels checked for correct preservation? Yes No
 - Metal - pH acceptable upon receipt (pH<2)? Yes No NA
 - Samples Received on Ice? Yes No
- (Ice Type: WET ICE)

* NOTE: If the "No" box is checked, see comments below.

=====

Client contacted:

Date contacted:

Contacted by:

Comments:



McC Campbell Analytical, Inc.

"When Quality Counts"

1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701
Web: www.mcccampbell.com E-mail: main@mcccampbell.com
Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269

AEI Consultants 2500 Camino Diablo, Ste. #200 Walnut Creek, CA 94597	Client Project ID: #281939; Zimmerman	Date Sampled: 05/05/11
		Date Received: 05/05/11
	Client Contact: Robert Flory	Date Extracted: 05/06/11-05/12/11
	Client P.O.: #WC082284	Date Analyzed: 05/06/11-05/12/11

Gasoline Range (C6-C12) Volatile Hydrocarbons as Gasoline with BTEX and MTBE*

Extraction method: SW5030B

Analytical methods: SW8021B/8015Bm

Work Order: 1105130

Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	TPH(g)	MTBE	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	DF	% SS	Comments
001A	MW-1	W	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1	101	
002A	MW-2	W	27,000	ND<180	2300	13	1700	2600	10	99	d1
003A	MW-4	W	5900	ND<25	560	2.6	41	17	5	109	d1
004A	MW-5	W	790	ND<20	140	1.0	29	30	1	88	d1
005A	MW-6	W	7000	ND<75	80	2.9	120	28	5	121	d1
006A	MW-7	W	9300	ND<200	690	23	42	21	10	113	d1
007A	IW-1	W	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1	107	
008A	BF-1	W	ND	ND	0.65	ND	ND	ND	1	105	
009A	BF-5	W	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1	104	

Reporting Limit for DF =1; ND means not detected at or above the reporting limit	W	50	5.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	µg/L
	S	1.0	0.05	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	mg/Kg

* water and vapor samples are reported in ug/L, soil/sludge/solid samples in mg/kg, wipe samples in µg/wipe, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples and all TCLP & SPLP extracts in mg/L.

cluttered chromatogram; sample peak coelutes w/surrogate peak; low surrogate recovery due to matrix interference. %SS = Percent Recovery of Surrogate Standard; DF = Dilution Factor

+The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McC Campbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation:

d1) weakly modified or unmodified gasoline is significant



QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8021B/8015Bm

W.O. Sample Matrix: Water

QC Matrix: Water

BatchID: 58129

WorkOrder 1105130

EPA Method SW8021B/8015Bm		Extraction SW5030B							Spiked Sample ID: 1105113-005B			
Analyte	Sample	Spiked	MS	MSD	MS-MSD	LCS	LCSD	LCS-LCSD	Acceptance Criteria (%)			
	µg/L	µg/L	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	MS / MSD	RPD	LCS/LCSD	RPD
TPH(btex) ^f	ND	60	111	109	1.83	110	109	1.33	70 - 130	20	70 - 130	20
MTBE	ND	10	107	107	0	101	104	3.30	70 - 130	20	70 - 130	20
Benzene	ND	10	103	103	0	98.2	101	2.37	70 - 130	20	70 - 130	20
Toluene	ND	10	105	104	0.507	99.9	102	1.73	70 - 130	20	70 - 130	20
Ethylbenzene	ND	10	108	108	0	104	105	1.30	70 - 130	20	70 - 130	20
Xylenes	ND	30	111	108	2.75	106	108	1.90	70 - 130	20	70 - 130	20
%SS:	102	10	96	95	0.244	94	96	1.53	70 - 130	20	70 - 130	20

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions:
NONE

BATCH 58129 SUMMARY

Lab ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed
1105130-001A	05/05/11 1:00 PM	05/06/11	05/06/11 6:42 PM	1105130-002A	05/05/11 12:20 PM	05/11/11	05/11/11 8:10 PM
1105130-003A	05/05/11 12:40 PM	05/11/11	05/11/11 8:40 PM	1105130-004A	05/05/11 11:55 AM	05/07/11	05/07/11 4:52 AM
1105130-005A	05/05/11 11:40 AM	05/12/11	05/12/11 12:08 AM	1105130-006A	05/05/11 2:20 PM	05/12/11	05/12/11 12:38 AM
1105130-007A	05/05/11 2:00 PM	05/11/11	05/11/11 7:42 PM	1105130-008A	05/05/11 1:20 PM	05/07/11	05/07/11 5:23 AM
1105130-009A	05/05/11 1:40 PM	05/07/11	05/07/11 5:55 AM				

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.

% Recovery = 100 * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).

MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or %RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.

£ TPH(btex) = sum of BTEX areas from the FID.

cluttered chromatogram; sample peak coelutes with surrogate peak.

N/A = not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.

NR = matrix interference and/or analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2x spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content, or inconsistency in sample containers.