Wickham, Jerry, Env. Health

From: Wickham, Jerry, Env. Health

Sent: Wednesday, January 22, 2014 9:57 AM

To: 'rmarty@advgeoenv.com'

Cc: 'Bill Little'; bob@blueskycleanersca.com; 'Daniel Villanueva'

Subject: RE: SLIC Case RO0002913 Metro Valley Cleaners, 224 Rickenbacker Circle, Livermore, CA

94550

Attachments: DIR L 2013-08-29.pdf

Mr. Marty:

The next step on this case is described in our August 29, 2013 directive letter, which is attached. A Work Plan for soil vapor sampling, which was due on October 30, 2013, is the outstanding items for this case. There are no other options or items currently under consideration.

Regards,
Jerry Wickham
Alameda County Environmental Health
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway
Alameda, CA 94502-6577
phone: 510-567-6791
jerry.wickham@acgov.org

Online case files are available for review at the following website: http://www.acgov.org/aceh/index.htm.

<u>Confidentiality Notice:</u> This email and any attachments thereto may contain private, confidential, and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, copying, or distribution of this email (or any attachments thereto) by other than the County of Alameda or the intended recipient is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately and permanently delete the original and any copies of this email and any attachments thereto.

From: Robert E. Marty [mailto:rmarty@advgeoenv.com]

Sent: Wednesday, January 22, 2014 9:16 AM

To: Wickham, Jerry, Env. Health

Cc: 'Bill Little'; bob@blueskycleanersca.com; 'Daniel Villanueva'

Subject: SLIC Case RO0002913 Metro Valley Cleaners, 224 Rickenbacker Circle, Livermore, CA 94550

Mr. Wickham:

I have been contacted by Mr. Bob Strong to look into the status of the referenced case (Metro Valley Cleaners). There are several items that we understand are outstanding, including:

- Placement of deed restriction on the property. Mr. Strong would like some additional information on the "deed restriction" proposed by ACEHS. Since the standard "NFA" letters are never unconditional, and are generally based upon closure for the particular site use, what additional restrictions will the deed restriction place on the property? It can still be used for commercial/light industrial purposes, we presume? Is this typical for ACEHS to include deed restrictions in addition to the standard NFA letter?
- It is our understanding that the ACEHS has indicated that for a NON-deed restriction closure to occur, additional vapor sampling should be performed. Post-remediation sampling was performed at the site; although we are not adverse to additional sampling, what is the ACEHD justification for the requirement?

- Are both options still "on the table" -- e.g., closure with deed restrictions (no additional sampling) or closure w/o deed restrictions, with additional sampling (assuming sampling results are below appropriate guideline levels).
- It may be best to discuss the options in a face to face meeting to get all parties on the same page.

Let me know your thoughts on the above items; we look forward to your response.

Sincerely,

Robert E. Marty
President
Advanced GeoEnvironmental, Inc.

Stockton • Los Angeles • San Francisco Bay Area • Monterey • Spokane • Seattle • Portland •

Reno • Dallas

Phone: 800-511-9300 Fax: 888-445-8786 rmarty@advgeoenv.com www.advgeoenv.com

This email/fax message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution of this email/fax is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by email/fax and destroy all paper and electronic copies of the original message.