

From: Wickham, Jerry, Env. Health  
Sent: Thursday, September 18, 2008 4:33 PM  
To: 'Charlie Almestad'  
Cc: James\_Soutter@equityoffice.com; markfurse@furselaw.com;  
christopher.fisher@xlgroupp.com  
Subject: RE: Pilot test work plan, Independent Road site, RO00002900

The proposed schedule for report submittal is acceptable.

Regards,

Jerry Wickham  
Alameda County Environmental Health  
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway  
Alameda, CA 94502  
510-567-6791  
jerry.wickham@acgov.org

-----Original Message-----

From: Charlie Almestad [mailto:calmestad@kleinfelder.com]  
Sent: Wednesday, September 17, 2008 5:26 PM  
To: Wickham, Jerry, Env. Health  
Cc: James\_Soutter@equityoffice.com; markfurse@furselaw.com;  
christopher.fisher@xlgroupp.com  
Subject: Pilot test work plan, Independent Road site, RO00002900

Hi Jerry,

This message was prepared to follow-up on our phone conversation earlier today concerning your conditional approval letter for the ISCO pilot test work at the 700 Independent Road property. In our conversation I noted that we are in general agreement on your conditions of approval and will carry them out and report the results in our pilot test report.

Related to comment no. 5 (concrete slab entry point monitoring) you indicated that you were not asking for us to drill into the slab or crack slabs, just make PID measurements along the lengths of cracks and other at entry points through the slab in the vicinity of the ISCO injections. Our concern is the non compound-specific nature of the PID readings (the results represent gross VOCs) and the fact that manufacturing operations are occurring in the building, and therefore many chemicals of interest may be present in the building air from the manufacturing process. In attempt to assess the

potential of off gassing through concrete slab cracks Kleinfelder will measure background concentrations in the air prior to making any wall or penetration crack measurements.

Concerning the due date for the pilot test report, I noted on the phone that the proposed due date in our workplan (which you used in your letter) assumed we would have approval in August and given the lost time we will need additional time to carry out the work. Accordingly, I proposed a new due date of February 28, 2009 to submit the report. You indicated that the revised due date was acceptable.

Please confirm the revised due date for the pilot test report.

Thanks,

Charlie Almestad

Warning: Information provided via electronic media is not guaranteed against defects including translation and transmission errors.

If the reader is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this information in error, please notify the sender immediately.