

6000 S CORPORATION

42080 OSGOOD ROAD

FREMONT, CALIFORNIA 94539

(415) 657-7633

Fax: (415) 657-8010

October 9, 1992

Ms. G. F. Duerig Alameda County Water District PO Box 5110 Fremont, CA 94537

RE: Quarterly Monitoring Report - 3rd Quarter 1992

6000 S Corporation 6000 Stevenson Blvd. Fremont, CA 94538

Dear Ms. Duerig:

In accordance with Section 13267(b) of the California Water Code, 6000 S Corporation is hereby reporting on actions taken during the period of July 1, 1992 thru September 30, 1992 regarding environmental issues at the 6000 Stevenson Blvd. site.

As reported in our 1st and 2nd Quarterly Reports, three environmental concerns remained in the site, which included:

- o Foundry Sand
- o Contaminated Soil (California Oil Recyclers)
- o Installation of additional Ground Water Monitoring Wells

Issue One - Foundry Sand

All of the Foundry Sand has been removed from the site by the generator, American Brass & Iron. The material was removed under the authority of the Honorable William Dunbar, Judge of the Superior Court, in Alameda County Superior Court Action No. H151806-5, dated February 28, 1992, and identified as Interlocutory Judgement And Order For Abatement Of Private Nuisance.

Additional soils and sands have been tested and have been submitted directly to the Alameda Co. Health Dept. and Alameda County Water District (attention Ms. Duerig). I am advised by Mr. Robertson, Hazard Specialist for American Brass & Iron, that they are awaiting final acceptance of these tests.

Issue Two - Contaminated Soil

There has been no change in the status of the contaminated soil.

This process has been delayed by the attorneys for both sides. Attorneys for 6000 S Corporation were directed to move this case to Federal Court three months ago. The status is undeterminable at this time; however California Oil, now known as Evergreen Industries, has been directed by the court to expedite discovery in order to settle the matter prior to the scheduled December 14, 1992 trial date. Depositions of four 6000 S Corporation witnesses are scheduled for October 19th, 20th, 23rd and November 2nd. Upon completion of the discovery, settlement discussions will commence.

If no settlement is reached, a court determination should be reached by the end of 1992. At this time, pending the court decision, a remedy for the contaminated soil and installation of necessary monitoring wells will be reviewed.

<u>Issue Three - Installation of Additional Ground Water</u> Monitoring Wells

As reported in the fourth Quarter Report of 1991, after ACWD's review and inspection of the site to locate monitoring wells, 6000 S Corporation solicited bids from three environmental contractors who contacted ACWD for specifications to bid the work. Bids were received from Resna, K.T.W. and E.T.I.C. A contract was let in January 1992, for completion of the work by March 1, 1992. After the contract was let to Resna, they applied for drilling permits, but were refused the permits unless the scope of work was expanded beyond the original scope set forth by ACWD. 6000 S Corporation responded by cancelling the contract for monitoring well installation and putting the matter on hold until the lead agency can provide reasonable specifications. 6000 S Corporation does not anticipate any further activity on Monitoring Well Installation until the contaminated soils issue is resolved by the court.

There have been no changes on Issue Three, however it is anticipated that an acceptable remedy to this issue will result from the court determination put forth in Issue Two.

If there are any questions concerning this report, or if further information is required on any matters reviewed, please contact me at (510) 657-7633.

Sincerely,

Dale W. Sobek President

DWS:s

cc: Ms. L. Vrabel, C.O.F.

Mr. R. Hiett, R.W.Q.C.B. Mr. S. Seery, A.C.D.E.W. Mr. Larry E. Lulofs, Esq.