DAVID J. KEARS, Agency Director



**ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES** 

1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502-6577 (510) 567-6700 (510) 337-9335 (FAX)

May 15, 1997

Ms. Janis D. Magdich Freeman, Brown. Sperry & D'Aiuto 1818 Grand Canal Boulevard Stockton CA 95207

Ref: Former Hardchrome, 750 107th Avenue, Oakland, CA

Dear Ms. Magdich:

I am in receipt of the workplan, dated March 17, 1997 prepared by Emcon for the above referenced site.

According to information submitted to this Department, the site usage included a moving company, a manufacturing facility for urethane injection molding products and a hardchrome plating facility.

In September 1991 five borings, Sb-1 to Sb-5 were installed and 1 soil sample and 4 groundwater samples were collected. The samples were analyzed for CAM 13 metals and cyanide. The laboratory analysis of the soil sample did not indicate the presence of metals in concentrations above the hazardous waste levels (TTLC). However chromium was found in the groundwater samples in levels above the Maximum Contaminat Levels (MCL). Also, cyanide was detected in the groundwater samples in concentrations up to 103 ppb.

In September 1992, three groundwater monitoring wells, MW-1 to MW-3 were installed on site. The soil samples collected from these borings indicated the presence of beryllium in concentrations above the TTLC and selenium in concentrations greater than 10 times the Soluble Threshold Limit Concentrations (STLC). No volatile organic compounds (VOC's) were found in the soil samples. In the groundwater samples collected from the monitoring wells, chromium, arsenic and selenium were detected in concentrations above the maximum contaminant levels. No VOC's were detected in the groundwater samples except for tetrachloroethane (TCA) which was detected in all three wells, including the upgradient well, MW-1.

This workplan is acceptable with the following modifications:

The proposal includes collecting soil samples from the borings to be installed in the sump area. In order to adequately characterize the groundwater contamination from the potential source area (sump), it is imperative that a groundwater sample be collected from the boring proposed at the center of the sump area.

- The proposal includes analyzing groundwater samples for chromium and solvents. However, based on the results of previous soil and groundwater samples, this Department requires that the groundwater and soil samples also be analyzed for beryllium, selenium, and aresenic. Also, the groundwater sample to be collected from the center of the sump area needs to be analyzed for CAM 17 metals, cyanide and VOC's.
- The previous reports submitted to this Department mentions the presence of a water well that was used in the chrome plating process. Please provide information as to the status of this water well, and if you plan to abandon it in the near future.

Also, please remit \$1500.00 to establish a deposit-refund account. This deposit is authorized by Alameda County ordinance code section 3-141.6 to cover expenses incurred by county personnel for their oversight duties. Records are maintained for the time County employees commit to a project and the deposit will be debited at the rate of \$94.00 per hour for any time dedicated to your project. Any money remaining in your account at the end of the project will be refunded. Please submit a check payable to "Treasurer, County of Alameda" with the words "Site Mitigation" written on the check for proper credit. Also, please make sure to include the complete address of the site for which the deposit-refund account is being established.

The workplan should be implemented within 45 days from the date of this letter and any extension should be requested in writing. This Department should be notified prior to initiating actual field work. If you have any questions, you may reach me at (510) 567-6764.

Sincerely,

Madhulla Logan

Hazardous Material Specialist

Madhilla Jogan

C: Harold Duke, EMCON, 1433 North Market Boulevard, Sacramento, CA 95834 Sum Arigala, Regional Water Quality Control Board, Oakland, CA

## Alameda County Department of Environmental Health Hazardous Materials Division

80 Swan Way, Rm. 200, Oakland, CA 94621 Ph: 510-271-4320

## **BILLING FOR SERVICES**

SHD# 1364

			F1 <u>J0 '</u>
Site Name	Hard Chema	Phone	
Site Address (If no address, description of area)	730 107 th ST -	Oakland	603 Zp
Prior Business Nam	e	Prior Owner's Name	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Service Requestor	Lead Beacols	ny Name Phor	
Billing Address	Paid in full	-lo Wilson Sonsini et al Two Palo Alto Square Palo alto, CA 94306	Zip
Catego  Site Se  File Sec	ry of Service	Hoursx \$	
File Sed	#0 #1 #1 Other	Copiesx \$/Copy \$	
REMARKS:	Copy of fell	TOTAL CHARGE: \$_	<del></del> §
will receive an invo	ice in accordance with Article 11 of Cl	napter 6, Title 3 of the Ordinance Code	e of Alameda C
Service Requestor	ANDREW DALE	hidren halende	1 16 93
HazMat Specialist	Share P. OUVA	signature Date LL	116/93
for Svcs 4/92 mk	Cohire White Billing	Yellow - Office Pink - Svc. Requestor	

JEL No.

#### THOMAS MELVIN SWIHART

ATTORNEY AT LAW 1029 HEINZ AVE. BERKELEY, CA 94710 (610) 843-2750

September 23, 1993

DEBRA S. SUMMERS
Attorney at Law
WILSON, SONSINI, GOODRICH & ROSATI
Two Palo Alto Square
Palo Alto, California 94306

Re: <u>Hard Chrome Engineering Inc.</u>
Alleged Pass Due Rents on Commercial Property
Your Letter dated, August 18, 1993

Dear Ms. Summers,

This correspondence is prepared on behalf of my client, HARD CHROME ENGINEERING Inc., in response to your letter of August 18, 1993, which was mailed to MR. RONALD TEFFS. Your letter contained certain allegations to the effect that HARD CHROME has failed to pay rents to Cheryl McLemore, Trustee of the Dee M. McLemore Trust ("Trust"), the owner of the subject property as well as some very serious allegations charging HARD CHROME ENGINEERING Inc. with responsibility for environmental contamination of the property in question.

Your letter accurately describes the fact that a BSK & Associates study, dated, September 29, 1992, has identified that the commercial property rented by HARD CHROME ENGINEERING INC. from your client appears to identify the presence of certain environmental contaminants in soil samples collected at the site, including; arsenic, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, lead, nickel, and selenium. The "BSK Report" also indicates the presence of Chromium VI, arsenic, tetrachlorethane and selenium in groundwater samples near a containment pit on the property.

Your letter does, however, erroneously and quite untruthfully, go on to imply that HARD CHROME ENGINEERING Inc. is somehow responsible for the presence of the contamination chemicals at the site. In fact, you should be informed that during the past few years HARD CHROME ENGINEERING Inc. has been the subject of a number of local, state and federal, agency inspections which have each produced favorable reports clearly indicating that HARD CHROME ENGINEERING Inc. is not, and has not been, releasing into the environment contaminants in excess of legally permissible limits. Moreover, HARD CHROME ENGINEERING Inc. has, during its occupancy of the commercial property, used virtually none of the chemical agents, identified in the "BSK Report" other than two of the ones identified and those are used with great care (by HARD CHROME) to

ensure that my client cannot be held responsible for any contamination to the air, ground, or water table at the site or in areas immediately surrounding the property. Again, EPA Reports, among others, can and would show that HARD CHROME ENGINEERING Inc. is not responsible for any site contamination identified by the "BSK Report". In fact, the "BSK Report" contains evidence that the chemicals found therein are consistent with contamination which occurred to your client's commercial property and a great deal of other property in the surrounding area, long before HARD CHROME ENGINEERING INC. ever located it's business on the property in question. In point of fact, HARD CHROME ENGINEERING Inc. does employ the use of some very serious chemicals (in it's business operation) which are heavily regulated environmental contaminants, and the "BSK Report" would stand to incontrovertibly prove that CHROME ENGINEERING Inc. is not responsible for contamination the "BSK Report" notes. This is so because the "BSK Report\* itself shows that none of those chemical contaminants being used by HARD CHROME were found in September of 1992. Thus, if HARD CHROME ENGINEERING Inc. were responsible for contamination of the site those chemicals it does use, (some of which are considered far more harmful to the environment) would have been found.

To be brutally honest, HARD CHROME ENGINEERING Inc. has been unfairly charged, on a number of occasions, with reportedly contaminating the environment in the area during the past few years. Each time such a charge has been made, and an investigation followed, HARD CHROME ENGINEERING Inc. has been shown to lack any culpability or responsibility. Your client would be well advised to refrain from making similar false representations about HARD CHROME ENGINEERING Inc. when the truth is quite to the contrary.

Given the fact that the "BSK Report" does reveal that there are certain contaminants on the commercial property which HARD CHROME ENGINEERING Inc. did not know were there before it leased the property from your client, and which your client never revealed to have been present to HARD CHROME ENGINEERING Inc. before it leased the property, my client believes that it has been induced to lease the property (and use it for its business) without full disclosure of the fact that HARD CHROME ENGINEERING Inc. might be falsely charged with contaminating the area when it did not, and has not, In effect, HARD CHROME ENGINEERING Inc. has not made rental payments to cover any of the time period after the September 1992 "BSK Report" because HARD CHROME ENGINEERING Inc. believes that the landlord, (your client), did not maintain the property site free of contaminants before my client leased the property nor has your client made any effort to clean up the property during my clients tenancy. In effect, the property is untenable as a matter of law for the purpose of HARD CHROME ENGINEERING Inc.'s use and enjoyment of the property for the purpose it intended at the time my client entered into the existing lease. My client has, as a result, had to incur unforeseen and unanticipated expense to operate at the site and ensure that it is not held responsible for the alleged contamination. Indeed, if my client were to leave the premises it is more likely than not that certain state or federal

agencies might seize the property and/or require your client to clean up the contamination.

These facts all come down to one essential problem faced by our two clients. Is HARD CHROME ENGINEERING Inc. going to perform obligations as a lessee under the existing lease agreement and continue to be blamed for contamination (with the related costs) which my client is not responsible for, or are our clients going to enter a new lease agreement with some sort of understanding that HARD CHROME ENGINEERING Inc. is not going to be blamed, or held financially accountable, for the contamination that it has not caused at the site anyway.

If your client wants to work this out with HARD CHROME ENGINEERING Inc., and if you or your client have a proposal, please feel free to contact me to discuss this matter and I will communicate your position to MR. TEFFS. My client is not opposed to working out a fair resolution of the problem, with all things considered, but HARD CHROME is not going to allow itself to be the fall guy.

If you have the glestions, comments, or thoughts in this regard

THOMAS MELVIN ANTHARP

ATTORNEY FOR HARD CHROME ENGINEERING INC.

TMS/cam bcc:

cc: Mr. Teffs
Hard Chrome Engineering Inc.

Brian:

My suggestion is that you scholat a meeting with Ulr. Teggs of Hard Chrome, and their consultant and attorney. All the fact week to be sorted out before I you decide on the part move.

Loz

HARD CHROME ENGINEERING 750 - 107th. Ave.

Oakland, CA. 94603

7-15-93 <u>დვაუ</u>ემ

Larry Seto Alameda County Health Care Services Agency Hazardous Materials Division 80 Swan Way, Rm. 200 Dakland, CA 94621

Re: Your letter 6-14-93

Mr. Seto:

I came into receipt of your letter upon returning from a business trip on 7-6-93. I am concerned that the tone of your letter implies a direct relationship between the business activities of my company and the heavy metal contamination found on the property during recent studies. It should be noted that during our twenty plus years at this location, only two of the eight contaminants listed were ever used by my company. In addition, several components of our plating bath are conspicuous by their absence. According to my sources, the contamination found at this site is typical of what is found from northern San Leandro to High St. along the strip from highway 580 to the bay. I think it would be quite a reach to establish a link between the chemicals found by BSK and the business activities of Hard Chrome Engineering.

The concentrated chromic acid found in the containment pit during your inspection has been removed from the floor and returned to the plating bath. No waste, per se, was created or disposed of. Better efforts will be made to return any significant amounts of plating solution, which find there way into the containment pit, on a more regular basis.

I will be available to discuss these, or other matters, when I return the first week in August.

Regards

R.V. Teffs

Certify Mailer # 367 603 862

June 14, 1993

LATE CARE SERVIC

SANGEL TRUNEY

High and the fill william and my Lactic Light and the Tipe down Admiration ALEASTE History course American Credit in Ro Swan Way, Rm. 200

Careand, CA 94621

(510) 271-4320

Mr. Ron Teffs Hard Chrome Engineering 750 - 107th Avenue Oakland, CA 94603

RE: 750 - 107th Avenue, Oakland, CA

Dear Mr. Teffs:

On May 20, 1993, Mr. Ron Owcarz and I performed an inspection at the above facility. During our inspection, we noticed sludge and waste chromic acid in the containment pit of your chromic acid tank. This waste material must be removed from this pit, characterized, and disposed of immediately. If your waste is determined to be hazardous, it must be disposed of in accordance to the Hazardous Waste Control Law.

I am in receipt of an environmental characterization report dated September 29, 1992, that was prepard by BSK & Associates. This report identified the presence of arsenic, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, lead, nickel and selenium in soil samples collected during the installation of three groundwater monitoring wells. In addition, based on analyses of groundwater samples collected from the three monitoring wells and one on-site water well, chromium VI (Cr+6), arsenic and selenium were detected in MW-2 over the Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCL) established for drinking water. Also, based on analyses of groundwater samples collected, volatile halocarbon (tetrachloroethane) was detected in each of the three monitoring wells sampled. Samples collected from two of the groundwater monitoring wells had tetrachloroethane detected at level at or above the Maximum Contaminant Levels.

In addition, I have reviewed a proposal dated March 17, 1993, prepared by BSK & Associates to futher investigate the release at the above site. Their proposal is acceptable. Please contact Ms. Cheryl McLemore at (702) 324-3565 if you have any questions concerning the work performed by her environmental consultant, or if you would like to use her consultant's proposal in your investigation.

Mr. Ron Teffs June 14, 1993 Page 2 of 2

Please submit a plan of correction within 30 days of the receipt of this letter. Your plan must include, but shall not be limited to:

- Characterization of waste in containment pit of your chromic acid tank
- Name of your hazardous waste hauler
- Name of disposal site
- 4. Method(s) that will be used to determine the lateral and vertical extent of contamination
- 5. An estimated timeframe when all investigation and clean-up work will be completed.

If you have any questions, please call me at (510) 271-4320.

Singerely,

Larry Seto

Sr. Hazardous Materials Specialist

cc: Gil Jensen, Alameda County District Attorney's Office Rich Hiett, RWQCB Paul Giardina, DTSC Vivian Clausing, Esq., Wilson, Sonsini, Goodrich & Rosati Ed Howell, Chief, Hazardous Materials Division Ron Owcarz, Hazardous Materials Division File

SENDER: Complete items 1 and/or 2 for additional services. also wish to receive the · Complete items 3, and 4a & b. Print your name and address on the reverse of this form so that we can return this card to you. following services (for an extra Attach this form to the front of the mailpiece, or on the back if space Addressee's Address does not permit. Write "Return Receipt Requested" on the malipiece below the article number.
 The Return Receipt will show to whom the article was delivered and the date. your RETURN ADDRESS completed on the 2. Restricted Delivery Consult postmaster for fee. 3. Article Addressed to: 4a. Article Number 521<u>-</u> 4b. Service Type Registered ☐ Insured ☑ Certified □ cop Express Mail ☐ Return Receipt for <u>Merchandise</u> 7. Date of Delivery 5. Signature (Addressee) 8. Addresse's Address (Only if requested and fee is paid)

### P 367 603 862

### RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED

	(See Reverse)	AIL
989-234-555	Sent to Street and No.	: C.C.
⊹U.S.G.P.O. 1989-234-555		x 44603
Ż	Postage  Certified Fee	\$
	Special Delivery Fee	
	Restricted Delivery Fee	
чo	Return Receipt showing to whom and Date Delivered	
e 198	Return Receipt showing to whom. Date, and Address of Delivery	
J. Jun	TOTAL Postage and Fees	S
PS Form 3800, June 1985	Postmark or Date	
PS Fo	6/14/93	

Cheryl Plato McLemore 147 East Liberty Street Reno, Nevada 89501 3/30/93

Telephone (702) 324-3565 Fax (702) 324-2059

Larry Sets -

Inclosed is a check for \$4951° as per you regnet dated 3/23/93.

Please mehe a note of my mailing caddress in order to expedite

Juture Communication.

Shark you

Cheryl Mc Semone

.

CHERYL PLATO MC LEMORE OPERATING ACCOUNT OPERATING ACCOUNT OPERATING ACCOUNT	1653
RENO, NV 89501	94-1/1212
$\frac{3}{3}$	19 <u>93</u>
PAY TO THE alameda County Health Care Services	\$ 95100
There had fifty one cad in	DOLLARS
First of Neveda, N.A. O. Box 11007 Interstate 8ank Associated	
Bank Serving Nevadans For 90 Years	
FOR DO 10 1 Gre. Meryl Illo / William	rae
#OO1653# #121200019#1888001/9752# /	

Larry
This came in the mail. Please tell
Leslie the address i code.

RAFAT A. SHAHID, Assistant Agency Director

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH Hazardous Materials Division 80 Swan Way, Rm. 200 Oakland, CA 94621 (510) 271-4320

March 23, 1993

Ms. Cheryl Plato McLemore, Trustee
Dee M. McLemore Trust
145 Riverhaven Place 147 Fest Charle Street
Reno, Nevada 89509

Line NV 89501

RE: Hard Chrome Engineering, 750-107th Avenue, Oakland, CA

Dear Ms. McLemore:

Yesterday our office received your Preliminary Environmental Characterization Report dated September 29, 1992 that was prepared by BSK & Associates. Our office charges \$75.00 per hour for our time. Please submit a deposit/refund check for the amount of \$951.00 made payable to the County of Alameda. After this check is received, I will review your report.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (510) 271-4320.

Larry Seto

uSr $_{\ell}$  Hazardous Materials Specialist

cc: Francis Greguras, BSK & Associates Ed howell, Chief, Hazardous Materials



181 Quarry Lane Building 300 Pleasanton, CA 94566 (510) 462-4000 (510) 462-6283 FAX

March 19, 1993

BSK Project P92124.3

Mr. Ron Owcarz, Hazardous Materials Specialist Alameda County Department of Environmental Health 80 Swan Way, Room #200 Oakland, CA 94621

Subject:

Property Leased by Hard Chrome Engineering, Inc.

750 - 107th Avenue

R.P. Confact:

Oakland, California

Dear Mr. Owcarz:

On behalf of the Dee M. McLemore Trust, BSK & Associates, Inc. (BSK) is submitting a copy of our Preliminary Environmental Characterization Report and a copy of our letter to the California Regional Water Quality Control Board - San Francisco Bay (RWQCB) Region dated March 17, 1993.

On March 18, 1993, Ms. Debra S. Summers, Environmental Attorney for the Trust, and I met with Mr. Richard Hiett of the RWQCB to present this data.

Should you have questions regarding these documents, please contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

BSK & Associates

Francis R. Greguras, R.C.E.

Project Manager

FRG:slc (MISCVP92124-6.MI9)

**Enclosures:** 

cc w/o Enclosures:

Ms. Cheryl Plato McLemore, Trustee

Mr. Todd Russell, Trustee

Ms. Debra S. Summers

Mr. Richard Hiett, RWQCB



80 Swan Way, #200 Oakland, CA 94621 (415) 271-4320

### **Hazardous Materials Division Inspection Form**

	Site	ID#	Site Name						Today's	Date	//_
	Site	Address							EPA	ID#	•
	City				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Zip	94	Ph	one		
_	Hazardo 	nt. Stored > 50011 ous Waste genera	ted per month?	Y N	Inspec	I. Business F III. Undergro	/Waste Gi Plans, Acui und Tanks	te Hazarde S	ous Mate	rials	
=	GENER										
I.A		. Waste ID . EPA ID > 90 days . Label dates . Biennial	* 66471 66472 66508 66508 66493	Commen	is:						
Manifest		. Records . Correct Copy sent Exception Copies Rec'd	66492 66484 66492 66484 66492								
MIC.	12.	Treatment On-site Disp. (H.S.&C.) Ex Haz. Waste	66371 26189.5 66570								
Prevention	15. 16. 17	. Communications Alsle Space . Local Authority . Maintenance . Training	67121 67124 67126 67120 67105				<u> </u>				
gency	20. 21	Prepared Name List Copies Emg. Coord, Ting.	67140 67141 67141 67144			· · ·					
Conjainers, Tanks	24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30.	Condition Compatibility Maintenance Inspection Butter Zone Tank inspection Containment Safe Storage Freeboard	67241 67242 67243 67244 67246 67245 67245 67245 67261								
l.B	33	ORTER (Title 22)  . Applic./Insurance  . Comp. Cert./CHP insp.  4. Containers	66428 66448 66465								
Manifest	30 30 30	5. Vehicles 5. EPA ID #s 7. Correct 3. HW Delivery 9. Records	66465 66531 66541 66543 66544								
Canlin		Name/ Covers 1. Recyclables	66545 66800								
ev 6	-										ſ
		Contact:				<u>.</u>					
		itle:				-	ector:				
	S	Signature: .	~~~~~~~~			Signo	ature:				



# General Services Agency

Dariene Smith. Director

4400 MacArthur Boulevard Dakland, California 94619 Telephone (415) 530-9660

DATE:

March 28, 1991

TO:

Agency/Department Heads

FROM:

Darlene A. Smith. Director. General Services Agency Dauleus Smith

SUBJECT: PROCESSING OF ORDERS FOR TEMPORARY EMPLOYEES

The enclosed information on the process for ordering temporary employees is a modified procedure from the instructions you were given in my memo dated January 31, 1991. Please pass the following changes to those persons within your organization who handle the Temporary Services Annual Contract:

- 1. GSA no longer requires a justification letter each time a department orders a temporary employee.
- A department will now need to create only one Purchase Order, each fiscal year, for each department (or budget cost center/project). A sample of the requisition necessary to accomplish this is attached to assist your staff.
- 3. Once the "master" Purchase Order is in place all that needs to be done is to complete a "TEMPORARY EMPLOYMENT REQUEST" and phone the appropriate vendor. The vendor will give the requester a CONFIRMATION NUMBER to enter on the request which is then submitted to GSA/Purchasing.

As I indicated in prior communications, on this subject, effective on March 1. 1991 all temporary employees used by the County must be supplied by one of the two prime contractors (Diversified Personnel Services for clerical and Kelly Services for light industrial). All purchase orders issued prior to that date, for temporary employees have been cancelled and therefore cannot be used to authorize payment. If for any reason your department is still using temporary employees from other than the one of the prime contractors your staff should contact GSA/Purchasing immediately for assistance in converting to the new contract.

If you have questions and/or need additional copies of the enclosed forms please call Mary Jo Friery at 535-6284 (X36284) or Paul Lindquist at 835-6251 (X36251).

Enclosure: Form, Temporary Employment Request

Sample, Requisition for clerical positions

Sample, Requisition for light industrial positions

DAS:PSL:hw/3462P

#### \*\* WORKLOG HISTORY \*\*

4A	*****	Review Plans/Reports	во	0.5	\$37.50
-2174a	******	File Review		0.75	\$56.25
-2174A	*****	Review Plans/Reports	во	0.5	\$37.50
-2174A	******	Meetings, Consultations	во	0.25	\$18.75
-2174a	******	report review/letter		2.75	\$206.25
-2174A	*****	Review Plans/Reports	ВО	2.	\$150.00
-2174a	******	report file review		1.5	\$112.50
-2174A	*****	Review Plans/Reports	ML	1.	\$75.00
					\$1,650.00

Amount Refunded: Balance:

page 2

FACILITY DATE	OPENING INVENT.	DELIVERY	SALES	CLOSING INVENT.	PHYSICAL Invent.	ALLOWED VAR.	ACTUAL VAR.	OVER	ST	TANK Size
05227 07/27/9	2 2,413	1,431	462	3,382	3.183	•	199-		CA	10.000
07/28/9		Ö	452	2,731	2,665		66-		CA	10,000
07/29/9		ŏ	340	2,325	2,413		88		CA	10,000
07/30/9		1,002	518	2,897	2,922		25		CA	10,000
07/31/9		.,002	690	2,232	2,197		35-		CA	10,000
MONTH TOTALS:	109,109	14,034	15,812	107,331	107,050	270	281-	YES		
08/01/9	2 2,197	0	369	1,828	1,828		0		CA	10,000
08/02/9		1,450	397	2,881	3,052		171		CA	10,000
08/03/9		0	508	2,544	2,320		224-		CA	10,000
08/04/9		1,400	419	3,301	3,348		47		ČA	10,000
08/05/9		0	463	2,885	2,793		92-		CA	10,000
08/06/9		1,501	491	3,803	3,803		ō		CA	10,000
08/07/9		0	463	3,340	3,448		108		CA	10,000
08/08/9		ŏ	419	3,029	3,029		0		CA	10,000
08/09/9		1 450	407	4,072	3,868		204-		CA	10,000
08/10/9		1,450 0	395	3,473	3,582		109		CA	10,000
08/11/9		ŏ	336	3,246	3,315		69		CA	10,000
08/12/9		ŏ	441	2,874	2,697		177-		ÇA	10,000
08/13/9		1,402	401	3,698	3,784		86		CA	10,000
08/14/9		0	599	3,185	3,315		130		ÇA	10,000
08/15/9		ő	420	2,895	2,895		.00		CA	10,000
08/16/9		1,452	370	3,977	3.851		126-		CA	10,000
08/17/9		0	424	3,427	3,398		29-		CA	10,000
08/18/9		1,450	366	4,482	4,397		85-		CA	10.000
08/19/9		0	314	4,083	4,123		40		CA	10,000
08/20/9		ŏ	426	3,697	3,716		19		CA	10,000
08/21/9		ŏ	523	3,193	3,068		125-		CA	10,000
08/22/9		1,400	444	4,024	4,024				CA	10,000
08/23/9		0	304	3,720	3,716		4-		CA	10.000
08/24/9		ŏ	320	3,396	3,582		186		CA	10,000
08/25/9		ŏ	401	3,181	3,052		129-		CA	10.000
08/26/9		ŏ	222	2,830	2,922		92		CA	10,000
08/27/9		ŏ	408	2,514	2,429		85-		CA	10,000
08/28/9		1,500	446	3,483	3,582		99		CA	10,000
08/29/9		1,500	304	3,278	3,278		ŏ		CA	10,000
08/30/9		ŏ	341	2,937	2,922		15-		CA	10,000
08/31/9		ő	432	2,490	2,538		48		CA	10,000
MONTH TOTALS:	101,334	13,005	12,573	101,766	101,675	260	91-	NO		,
AA 1A - 1A			070		0.050				<b>C</b> -	10 000
09/01/9		1,001	378	3,161	3,052		109~		CA	10,000
09/02/9		0	335	2,717	2,793		76		CA	10,000
09/03/9		0	382	2,411	2,289		122-		CA	10,000
09/04/9	2 2,289	0	582	1,707	1,693		14-		CA	10,000

Balance:

#### Amount Refunded:

Proj#	Work Date	Activity Description	Inap	Time (hrs)	Amount Charged
-2174A	*****	Write Letters	LS	1.	\$75.00
-2174A	******	Write Letters	LS	0.25	\$18.75
-2174A	*****	Review Plans/Reports	RO	1.	\$75.00
-2174A	******	Review Plans/Reports	RO	1.	\$75.00
-217 <b>4</b> a	****	report review		1.5	\$112.50
-2174a	******	meet w/consultant		2.	\$150.00
-2174A	******	Meetings, Consultations	RO	2.	\$150.00
-2174A	****	Meetings, Consultations	RO	1.	\$75.00
-2174A	*****	Review Plans/Reports	LS	1.	\$75.00
-217 <b>4</b> a	****	Letter		1.5	\$112.50
-2174a	****	call w/consultant		0.5	\$37.50

page 1

r or

Hazardous Materials Deposit Environmental Health Service	ogram of Alameda County
Eft. PI. /	printed 12/15/98 F DEPOSIT REFUND WORK RECORDS pg 10 FIGATE AND CORRECT IN DAILIES Tom Peacock
ProjN Insp ActivDat Homa	Earned Activity & Description / COMMENT
*ERROR CODE: 2	
STID:6852 Site#:-0-	-0- CA
-0- TP 06/26/98 (.	37.6 77-Meetings, Consultations Comment-w/Saul Germanus for ML
*ERROR CODE:3	
STID: 337 Site#: 169	:Colden State Linen Service 958 28th St
169A TP 06/29/98	37.6 77/Meetings, Consultations Comment-w/Dick Herring w/SH
STID:3676 Site#:4065	G:City of Albany Corp. Yard 1259 Brighton Ave Albany CA 94706
4065A TP 07/07/98	30. 77-Reetings, Consultations
Total Charges on	entries for1.1 hours is\$105.20.
DepRef Error Report	Tom Peacock
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
* ERROR CODES: 1 = St[] ========== 2 = St[] 3 = Dep	<pre>- need one air is not found in DepRef Database ws no more money. Investigate: see L.Peters.</pre>
	= = = = <b>= = = =</b> = = = = = = = = = = =
GRAND TOTAL CHARGES FOR Report DRErrors Complete (Rev 6.5	for 98.2 hours is \$9,768.40 from 66 entries. printed 12/15/98

Morted

Printed: \*\*\*\*\*\*

## \*\*\*\*\* Alameda County Department of Environmental Health \*\*\*\*\* BILLING's WORKLOG: Total Deposit/Refund History for All Accounts at Site

#### \*\* SITE INFORMATION \*\*

 Site#:
 2174 -- StID:
 1364
 HARDCHROME/ANDERSON

 Date Open:
 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*
 750 --107TH AVENUE

 Date Closed:
 OAKLAND CA 94603

#### \*\* PAYOR INFORMATION \*\*

> Project # -2174A for Payor # 723 D.M. MCLEMORE TRUST A 147 E LIBERTY STREET RENO NV 89501

#### \*\* DEPOSIT HISTORY \*\*

Proj#	Deposit Date	Receipt#	Ame	ount Received	
2174A	*****	795740	\$	\$1,500.00	
2174A	******	805803	\$	\$699.00	
	,				
			\$	\$2,199.00	
-2174A	*****	668974	\$	\$951.00	
			==:		
			\$	\$951.00	

#### \*\* WORKLOG HISTORY \*\*

Proj#	Work Date	Activity Description	Insp	Time	Amount Charged
				(hrs)	
2174a	******	reverse dupl.chg.	adm		-\$188.00
2174A	*****	Review Plans/Reports	ML	2 -,	\$188.00
2174A	******	Review Plans/Reports	ML	2.	\$188.00
2174A	******	Review Plans/Reports	ML	1.	\$94.00
2174A	******	Review Plans/Reports	ML	1.	\$94.00
2174a	******	reverse dupl.chg.	adm		-\$94.00
217 <b>4</b> a	*****	reverse dupl.chg.	ađm		-\$376.00
2174A	******	Review Plans/Reports	ML	4.	\$376.00
2174A	******	Review Plans/Reports	ML	4.	\$376.00
2174a	*****	administrative charge	adm	1.	\$94.00

## ALAMEDA COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS PROGRAM INFORMATION PER SITE ON ALL DEPOSITS FROM ALL PAYORS

as of 10/23/2000

D	Α	Т	A	В	A	S	E	:		D	E	P	R	E	F
~	~	~	~	•	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	••	~

\_\_\_\_\_ SITE INFORMATION from DepRef DB

Hardchrome/ Anderson 750 107th Ave Oakland CA 94603 StID: 1364 Site#: 2174

Site Complete?

\_\_\_\_ ALL PAYORS ON SITE \_\_\_

> Project# 2174A for Payor# 723

D. M. Mc Lemore Trust 147 E. Liberty St. Reno NV 89501

DR:Wk

DEPOSIT INFORMATION

Proj Deposit Insp Collect

Project# Rcpt# DepDate DepAmount Type Complete Init Fees?

2174A

668974 04/07/1993 \$951.00 M 01/01/1990 CL
795740 06/17/1997 \$1,500.00 A AG
805803 05/19/1998 \$699.00 A AG
Total Deposit for Project: \$3,150.00

Total Deps for all Sites : \$3,150.00

Report WkSht

LAST WORK DATE FROM BILLING ON THIS SITE:

#### **MEMORANDUM**

DATE: April 6, 1994

TO: HAZ-MAT STAFF

FROM: JULIETTE D. BLAKE, SCI, TIMEKEEPER

SUBJ: CHANGE IN EMPLOYEE TIME SHEETS

EFFECTIVE PAY PERIOD 94-08 WE WILL BE USING ALACO'S GENERIC EMPLOYEES TIME SHEET TO RECORD OUR TIME FOR PAYROLL.

There is a need to have all EHD staff to conform to the standardized Employee Time Sheet for submitting your time for payroll. Our Personnel Officer and Payroll Technician along with the Auditor's office has agreed upon using the attached form for recording time for our Department.

If you are concerned about the usage of this form I am here to assist you. Any codes you do not know I have and will share the information with you.

cc: E. Howell

- A. Hudson
- A. Levi
- T. Peacock
- D. Yee