

Detterman, Mark, Env. Health

From: Roe, Dilan, Env. Health
Sent: Friday, September 15, 2017 8:05 PM
To: Fred.Blickle@ghd.com
Cc: Detterman, Mark, Env. Health; Geoff Sears
Subject: Re: Wareham Parcels 1 and 4 Telephone Conversation Summary ~COR-082825~

Hi Fred:

Thank you for the thorough summary. The notes capture the path to closure as discussed on the phone.

Dilan

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 15, 2017, at 6:14 PM, "Fred.Blickle@ghd.com" <Fred.Blickle@ghd.com> wrote:

Dilan and Mark,

Thank you for the call on Tuesday September 12 regarding the draft Deed Restrictions on Parcels 1 and 4. This email briefly summarizes the outstanding issues and follow up items we agreed to. Please let me know if you have any different understanding.

1. Dilan indicated that landscaped areas lacked definitive information regarding the interface for native (potentially PCB impacted) and imported clean bedding material. Accordingly, one of the following three options will have to be completed in order to execute a deed restriction with ACEH that will be protective of human health and the environment (HHE):
 - a. Collect soil samples in the upper 3', analyze for PCBs to provide confirmation on the depth of PCB-free soil
 - b. Remove all landscape area soil to -3' below grade, put down a demarcation barrier, and replace landscaped material (dispose of or test removed material prior to replacement)
 - c. Require all landscape workers to be HAZWOPER trained and enter in level C PPE
2. For building areas, any utility work conducted below pad level and below the level of imported fill (which has been documented) will need to be done in Level C PPE by HAZWOPER trained crews until such time that monitoring indicates that they can drop back to Level D PPE. Dust control needs to be provided. The Site Management Plan (SMP) needs to be revised to make this more clear.
3. Fred pointed out that the sites are less protective of HHE without a deed restriction and that the review has taken much too long. Dilan indicate that they have been concerned with the appropriate way to handle these types of sites and have been over-loaded with work of late. Dilan did commit to review material in a timely manner and felt like we would be able to complete the DR by the end of the year.
4. Fred to review issues and options with Geoff Sears and get back with Mark and Dilan on desired approach with respect to landscaped areas (1).
5. If Option 1 is preferred, Fred will provide Mark with figures showing proposed locations for sampling at -2' and -3', and then Fred and Mark will meet at the Site to review locations and conditions.
6. Fred to revise SMP and email Word version to Dilan so she can review and provide electronic edits.
7. Dilan will review/revise the DR, and will open a new RO Case #, and close the existing one.

8. The SMP in Geotracker is corrupted. Mark will reject it so it can be removed. Once we agree on the revised SMP, it can be uploaded under the new RO#.
9. Annual Reports will be required for the sites that will document and activities that trigger the use of the SMP.
10. A Five Year Review will be required to document that the cap remains protective.

I'll plan to get you the revised SMP by Wednesday.

Fred

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email, including any attachments, is confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient please notify the sender immediately, and please delete it; you should not copy it or use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. GHD and its affiliates reserve the right to monitor and modify all email communications through their networks.
