ALAMEDA COUNTY

HEALTH CARE SERVICES

AGENCY



0-28-01

RO613

DAVID J. KEARS, Agency Director

September 27, 2001

STID 2083 / PR0501243

Mr. Robert Aldenhuysen RMC Pacific Materials P.O. Box 5252 Pleasanton, CA 94566 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502-6577 (510) 567-6700 FAX (510) 337-9335

FINAL NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Re: Inspection of RMC Pacific Materials, 1544 Stanley Boulevard, Pleasanton

Dear Mr. Aldenhuysen:

As you are aware, a regulatory compliance inspection was performed at the subject facility on July 10, 2001. The purpose of the inspection was to determine compliance with conditions of the facility underground storage tank (UST) operating permit, as well as provisions of Title 23, California Code of Regulations (CCR) and California Health and Safety Code (HSC) Chapter 6.7.

In follow-up to this inspection, a <u>Notice of Violation</u> was issued by this office dated July 12, 2001. This Notice requested that specific steps be followed to bring your facility into compliance, and requested that you submit a *Corrective Action Plan* (CAP) that addressed each of the items articulated in the Notice. The Notice requested the following items:

- Complete and submit the attached application for the installation of a spill bucket on the gasoline UST vapor recovery riser. Include component cut-sheets.
- Remit a check, payable to Alameda County, for the sum of \$748 to cover tank upgrade project permits and oversight
- <u>Program</u> the Veeder-Root TLS-250i to produce a leak alarm history report, and <u>submit</u> a copy of this report.
- Correct the operation, maintenance, and administrative problems identified during the 7/10/00 inspection and as articulated in this report
- <u>Maintain</u> written records of all alarm conditions and their resolution on-site. Maintain records
 of all maintenance performed on the tank and monitoring systems on-site. Maintain
 certification of financial responsibility on-site. Maintain a copy of the *Monitoring Procedure*/ Release Response Plan on-site. Each of these is to be located in area accessible during all
 business hours and annual inspections.

Mr. Aldenhuysen

Re: 1544 Stanley Blvd., Pleasanton

September 27, 2001

Page 2 of 2

This office is in receipt of a letter dated August 14, 2001 from your tank contractor, Reinholdt Engineering Construction. Attached to this letter was a copy of the Veeder-Root alarm history report that we were unable to collect at the time of the inspection. This satisfies one of the listed items that is to be addressed in the requested CAP. However, we have not received a CAP from RMC that addresses any of the items noted, above, including plans for the installation of a vapor overspill bucket on the gasoline UST.

Consequently, you continue to operate your USTs in violation of your permit.

Please be advised that your permit to operate will be revoked on October 12, 2001 unless the outstanding compliance issues have been adequately addressed to the satisfaction of this office.

Permit revocation will require that your USTs be immediately voided of all product, electrical connections severed, and all dispensers secured.

Please be further advised that operation of the USTs after October 12, 2001 absent receipt of a *Return to Compliance Notice* issued from this office will constitute a violation of provisions of HSC Chapter 6.7, and 23CCR, resulting in the referral of your case to the Alameda County District Attorney's Office for civil prosecution.

Please contact me at (510) 567-6783 should you have any questions about the content of this letter.

Sincerely,

cc:

Scott O. Seery, CHMM

Hazardous Materials Specialist

Susan Torrence, Alameda County District Attorney's Office

Robert Weston, ACDEH

ALAMEDA COUNTY

HEALTH CARE SERVICES

AGENCY



DAVID J. KEARS, Agency Director

RO613

June 4, 1998

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502-6577 (510) 567-6700 (510) 337-9335 (FAX)

Dennis Toshida Central Concrete 610 McKendrie Street San Jose, CA 95110

Subject: Underground storage tank (UST) closure activities at Central Concrete, 1544 Stanley Boulevard, Pleasanton CA 94566

Dear Mr. Toshida:

This office is in receipt of the February 23, 1998 report prepared by McCulley Frick & Gilman Inc., detailing the activities surrounding the removal of one 10,000 gallon single wall steel tank and piping containing diesel. The UST was used to fuel vehicles associated with the business on the site. The UST was removed on December 19, 1997.

The report has been reviewed and it is the opinion of this office that the tank was closed in compliance with Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations. Based upon the available information and with the provision that the information provided to this Agency was accurate and representative of site conditions, no further action is required.

Please contact me at (510)567-6781 should you have any questions regarding this matter.

Sincerely

Robert Weston

Sr. Hazardous Materials Specialist

c: Mee Ling Tung, Director, ACDEH Tom Peacock, ACDEH Jack Bail, Pearson Equipment and Maintenance Co. Michael Tietze, McCulley Frick & Gilman Inc.

ALAMEDA COUNTY **HEALTH CARE SERVICES**

AGENCY

DAVID J. KEARS, Agency Director



V RO# 2603 RO# 2690

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502-6577 (510) 567-6700 FAX (510) 337-9335

StID 2083

December 31, 1997

Mr. Bradd Statley RMC Lonestar P.O. Box 5252 Pleasanton, CA 94655

Subject: Additional Funds for Oversite at 1544 Stanley Blvd, Pleasanton, CA

Dear Mr. Statley:

As you know, this office is overseeing site remediation due to petroleum hydrocarbons at the above referenced site. However, the initial deposits of \$933.00 have been depleted. Enclosed is an account of all charges made to date. A check made payable to "Alameda County, Treasurer" in the amount of \$2,000.00 should be submitted to reinstate your account and fund this Office's oversight tasks on your project. Any and all monies remaining in your account after the completion of the project will be refunded to you.

In September 1996 I had approved of your sampling workplan for the ~2,500 cubic yards of petroleum contaminated soils at the Eliot Aggregate Plant. To date I have not received a report of for the work performed. Please submit the required report so the disposition of the soil can be determined.

Please contact me at (510) 567-6762 with any comments on this letter.

Sincerely,

eva chu

Hazardous Materials Specialist

rmceliot.2

R02603

R0613

ALAMEDA COUNTY HEALTH CARE SERVICES AGENCY

DAVID J. KEARS, Agency Director

RAFAT A. SHAHID, ASST. AGENCY DIRECTOR

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

Alameda County CC4580 Dept. of Environmental Health Environmental Protection Division 1131 Harbor Bay Pkwy., #250 Alameda CA 94502-6577

StID 2083

July 5, 1995

Mr. Brad Statley Lone Star Aggregates P.O. Box 5252 Pleasanton, CA 94566

RE: 1544 Stanley Blvd, Pleasanton

Dear Mr. Statley:

A quick review of the case file for the above referenced site reveals that the last report this office is in receipt of is dated April 3, 1991, prepared by Levine-Fricke. This report documents the installation of two monitoring wells, MW-1 and MW-1A, and sampling of soil in the excavation. Recommendations in the report included further soil sampling after additional excavation at the west side of the pit, and quarterly sampling of well MW-1A.

It appears additional excavation have removed most of the impacted soil. Well MW-1A has been sampled twice, Oct 1990 and April 1992, without detecting contaminants sought. A workplan, dated February 28, 1991, for the remediation of approximately 7,000 cubic yards of impacted stockpiled soil is acceptable. If this work has been completed, the soil can be sampled to determine it's disposition. A report documenting this work should be submitted to this office for review.

Our records show your account is in a negative balance of \$121.55. Please remit \$600.00 so I can continue to work this case. Any monies not used will be refunded upon case closure.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (510) 567-6762.

eva chu

Wa

Hazardous Materials Specialist

cc: files

rmceliot.1

1/R0 2690 (SUC) R0 2603 (LOP) R0613 (LOP)

RAFAT A. SHAHID, Assistant Agency Director

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH Hazardous Materials Division 80 Swan Way, Rm. 200 Oakland, CA 94621 (510) 271-4320

October 16, 1992

Mr. Bradd Statley Environmental Engineer RMC Lonestar 6601 Koll Center Parkway Pleasanton, CA 94566

Re: FIVE YEAR UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK OPERATING PERMIT AT 1544 STANLEY BLVD., PLEASANTON, CA 94566.

Dear Bradd:

Enclosed is your five year permit to operate a total of two underground petroleum storage tanks (UST's) at the above referenced facility. These UST's are double-walled fiberglass tanks with trench-lined double-walled fiberglass suction-piping. To operate under a valid permit, RMC Lonestar is required to comply with conditions in Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations (CCR).

Consult the revised Title 23, CCR for additional requirements. To obtain a copy of the regulations, you may contact the State Water Resources Control Board at (916) 657-0917.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions at (510) 271-4320.

Sincerely,

Jeff Shapiro

Hazardous Materials Specialist

C: Rafat A. Shahid, Assistant Director, Alameda County Environmental Health Department Files DAVID J. KEARS, Agency Director

R02690 (Suc) R02603 (WP) VROGIZ (LOP)

RAFAT A. SHAHID, Assistant Agency Director

April 21, 1992

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH Hazardous Materials Division 80 Swan Way, Rm. 200 Oakland, CA 94621 (510) 271-4320

Mr. Brad Statley, Environmental Engineer RMC Lonestar 1544 Stanley Blvd. Pleasanton, Ca 94566

AGENCY

Re:

FIVE-YEAR PERMIT FOR OPERATION OF TWO UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS (UST'S) AT 1544 STANLEY BLVD. PLEASANTON

According to our records the above mentioned facility has not received a five-year permit to operate UST's. Please complete the following items marked below and return them to me within 30 days. The example plans enclosed, should be used only as guidelines and may not meet your requirements under Title 23.

1. An accurate and complete plot plan.

2. A written spill response plan. (enclosed)

A written tank monitoring plan. (enclosed)
 Results of precision tank test(s) (initial and annual).

5. Results of precision pipeline leak detector tests (initial and annual).

-- 6. Complete UST PERMIT FORM A-one per facility. (enclosed)

7. Complete UST PERMIT FORM B-one per tank. (enclosed)

8. Complete UST PERMIT FORM C-one per tank if information is available. (enclosed)

Title 23 of the California Code of Regulation prohibits the operation of ANY UST without a permit. Please feel free to contact Jeff Shapiro at (510) 271-4320, if you have any questions which may arise in completing the mandatory five-year permit process.

Sincerely,

Ravi Arulanantham

Senior Hazardous Materials Specialist

Gil Jensen, Alameda County District Attorney C: Rafat Shahid, Assistant Agency Director, Alameda County Department of Environmental Health

Department of Environmental Health
Hazardon Materials Division
80 Swan Way, Room 200 R02603
Oakland, CA 94621
VR02690 (suc)
R06(3 (LOP)

Certified Mailer #: P 833 982 198

Agency Director

4%%%K%K%%%X%K%K% ON%%K%K%X%X%X%X%X%X

(415) 271-4320

March 16, 1989

Mr. Louis B. Schipper III RMC Lonestar 1544 Stanley Blvd. P.O. Box 5252 Pleasanton, CA 94566

Re: UNAUTHORIZED RELEASE FROM UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK, 1544 STANLEY BLVD., PLEASANTON, CA 94566

Dear Mr. Schipper:

As Mr. Lowell Miller discussed with you during your telephone conversation of March 14, 1989, I am forwarding to you our requirements concerning the soil investigation on the site. The cleanup action should follow the guidelines specified below as originating from an underground tank leak since leak occurred from an above ground tank which leaked into a belowground vault which subsequently failed. Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations requires such unauthorized release from underground tank to be reported. An unauthorized release report must be filed with this office within 5 days of the date of this letter; in addition, you must initiate further investigation and/or cleanup activities at this site.

First, a preliminary assessment should be conducted to determine the extent of soil and groundwater contamination that has resulted from the leaking tank. The information gathered by this investigation will be used to assess the need for additional actions at the site. The preliminary assessment should be designed to provide all of the information in the format shown at the end of this letter. This format is based on the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB's) guidelines. You should be prepared to install one monitoring well, guidelines. You should be prepared to install one monitoring well, if you can verify the direction of groundwater flow in the immediate vicinity of the site, and three wells or piezometers, if you cannot.

R02603 R02690 (suc) R0613 (WP)

Mr. Louis Schipper III RMC Lonestar RE: 1544 Stanley Blvd. Pleasanton March 16, 1989 Page 2 of 2

Until cleanup is complete, you will need to submit reports to this office and to the RWQCB every three months (or at a more frequent interval, if specified at any time by either agency). These reports should include information pertaining to further investigative results; the methods and costs of cleanup actions implemented to date; and the method and location of disposal of any contaminated material.

Soils contaminated at hazardous waste concentrations should be transported by a licensed hazardous waste hauler and disposed of or treated at a facility approved by the California Department of Health Services. Soils contaminated below the hazardous threshold may be managed as nonhazardous, but are still subject to the RWQCB's waste discharge requirements.

Your work plan should be submitted to this office within 30 days of the date of this letter. A report describing the results of the preliminary site assessment should be submitted within 60 days of the date of this letter. Copies of the proposal and report should also be sent to the RWQCB (attention: Lisa McCann). You may implement remedial actions before approval of the work plan, but final concurrence by this office will depend on the extent to which the work done meets the requirements described in this letter.

You will need to submit an additional deposit of \$333 to cover costs that the Division of Hazardous Materials incurs during remediation oversight. Should you have any questions about this letter or about remediation requirements established by the RWQCB, please contact Gil Wistar, Hazardous Materials Specialist, at 271-4320.

Sincerely,

Rafat A. Shahid, Chief

Rafat A. Shahid, Chief Hazardous Materials Division

RAS:GW:mam

cc: Howard Hatayama, DOHS
Lisa McCann, San Francisco Bay RWQCB
Gil Jensen, District Attorney, Alameda County Consumer
and Environmental Protection Division
Files

WORK PLAN FOR INITIAL SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATION

This outline should be followed by professional engineering or geologic consultants in preparing work plans to be submitted to the RWQCB and local agencies. Work plans should be signed by a California-registered engineer or geologist.

This outline should be referred to in context with the "Regional Board Staff Recommendations for Initial Evaluation and Investigation of Underground Tanks" (June 2, 1988).

PROPOSAL FORMAT

I. Introduction

- A. State the scope of work
- B. Provide information on site location, background, and history
 - Describe the type of business and associated activities that take place at the site, including the number and capacity of operating tanks.
 - 2. Describe previous businesses at the site.
 - 3. Provide other tank information:
 - number of underground tanks, their uses, and construction material;
 - filing status and copy of unauthorized release form, if not previously submitted;
 - previous tank testing results and dates, including discussion of inventory reconciliation methods and results for the last three years.
 - Other spill, leak, and accident history at the site, including any previously removed tanks.

II. Site Description

- A. Describe the hydrogeologic setting of the site vicinity
- B. Prepare a vicinity map (including wells located on-site or on adjoining lots, as well as any nearby streams
- C. Prepare a site map

- D. Summarize known soil contamination and results of excavation
 - Provide results in tabular form and indicate location of all soil samples (and water samples, if appropriate). Sample dates, the identity of the sampler, and signed laboratory data sheets need to be included, if not already in possession of the County.
 - 2. Describe any unusual problems encountered.
 - 3. Describe methods for storing and disposing of all contaminated soil.

III. Plan for Determining Extent of Soil Contamination

- A. Describe method for determining the extent of contamination within the excavation
- B. Describe sampling methods and procedures to be used
 - 1. If a soil gas survey is planned, then:
 - identify number of boreholes, locations, sampling depths, etc.;
 - identify subcontractors, if any;
 - identify analytical methods;
 - provide a quality assurance plan for field testing.
 - 2. If soil borings are to be used to determine the extent of soil contamination, then:
 - identify number, location (mapped), and depth of the proposed borings;
 - describe the soil classification system, soil sampling method, and rationale;
 - describe the drilling method for the borings, including decontamination procedures;
 - explain how borings will be abandoned.
- C. Describe how clean and contaminated soil will be differentiated, and describe how excavated soil will be stored and disposed of. If on-site soil aeration is to be used, then describe:

- The volume and rate of aeration/turning;
- 2. The method of containment and cover;
- Wet-weather contingency plans;
- 4. Results of consultation with the Bay Area Air Quality Management District.

Other on-site treatments (such as bioremediation) require permits issued by the RWQCB. Off-site storage or treatment also requires RWQCB permits.

D. Describe security measures planned for the excavated hole and contaminated soil

IV. Plan for Characterizing Groundwater Contamination

Construction and placement of wells should adhere to the requirements of the "Regional Board Staff Recommendations for Initial Evaluation and Investigation of Underground Tanks."

- A. Explain the proposed locations of monitoring wells (including construction diagrams), and prepare a map to scale
- B. Describe the method of monitoring well construction and associated decontamination procedures
 - 1. Expected depth and diameter of monitoring wells.
 - Date of expected drilling.
 - 3. Locations of soil borings and sample collection method.
 - Casing type, diameter, screen interval, and pack and slot sizing technique.
 - 5. Depth and type of seal.
 - Development method and criteria for determining adequate development.
 - 7. Plans for disposal of cuttings and development water.
 - Surveying plans for wells (requirements include surveying to established benchmark to 0.01 foot).

C. Groundwater sampling plans

1. Water level measurement procedure.

- 2. Well purging procedures and disposal protocol.
- 3. Sample collection and analysis procedures.
- 4. Quality assurance plan.
 - 5. Chain-of-custody procedures.
- V. Prepare a Site Safety Plan