

SAMPLING PLAN

RMC Lonestar 6527 Calaveras Road Sunol, California

2140 WEST WINTON AVENUE HAYWARD, CALIFORNIA 94545

(415) 352-4800

September 27, 1990

RMC Lonestar P.O. Box 5252 Pleasanton, CA 94566

Re:

SAMPLING PLAN 6527 Calaveras Road

Sunol, California

Attn:

Mr. Harry W. Reppert

Dear Mr. Reppert:

This Sampling Plan has been prepared by GeoStrategies Inc. (GSI) for the above referenced location (Plate 1). This plan proposes sample collection locations within the diesel spill excavation on the RMC Lonestar (RMC) facility adjacent to the diesel tank building (Plate 2).

BACKGROUND

On August 21, 1990, approximately 2,700 gallons of diesel fuel were spilled near the diesel tank building. The spilled fuel flowed off a concrete pad adjacent to the fuel tank building, and ponded on soils in two areas: one pond to the east and one southeast of the diesel tank building.

Immediately upon discovery, RMC personnel applied roadbase material the spill in an attempt to the area of to absorb the diesel. GeoStrategies Inc. characterize diesel (GSI) was retained to the cleanup and spill and began emergency response characterization August 22, 1990. Preliminary excavation on continued until August 29, 1990.

RMC Lonestar September 27, 1990 Page 2

Excavation activities were directed by a GSI geologist. extent of the excavation is shown on Plate 2. A cross-sectional representation of the excavation is shown on Plate 3. Soils were removed from the excavation and screened based on suspected diesel saturation, soil odor and discoloration. Observed and potentially of the spill were excavated contaminated soils in the area relocated to an inactive area on the facility and placed on Visquine Stockpiled soils were also covered with plastic following RMC notified the Bay Area daily completion of excavation activities. Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) of the excavation activities and the existence of the stockpile under Regulation 8, Rule 40.

September 7, 1990, preliminary soil samples (RMCX-1 through RMCX-6) were collected from six localities within the excavation. These locations were suspected clean areas in proximity to stained areas of suspected diesel contamination. Soil samples were collected from the excavation wall below the area of staining and from the bottom of the excavation. Each soil sample was analyzed for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons calculated as Diesel (TPH-Diesel) according to Chemical analyses were performed by EPA Method 8015 (Modified). Environmental Inc. (NET), State-certified Testing, a analytical laboratory in Santa Rosa.

TPH-Diesel of six samples. was detected in each the concentrations ranging from 790 to 17,000 parts per million (ppm). Soil chemical data These data have been compiled in Table 1. indicate that additional excavation is in the areas necessary known or suspected staining.

On September 14, 1990, GSI installed two ground-water monitoring wells (Wells RMC-2 and RMC-3). A third well (RMC-4) was installed September 25, 1990. Soil samples were collected for chemical analyses during the installation of these wells. Chemical analytical results for these samples are not available at this time.

RMC Lonestar September 27, 1990 Page 3

TECHNICAL RATIONALE

Concentrations of TPH-Diesel detected in the six areas of suspected staining indicate that additional excavation in these areas necessary. Soils will be resampled in the six areas following additional excavation. Soil samples will also be collected areas of the excavation not visibly stained to document the removal of diesel from soils within the extent of the current excavation limits.

Stained soils beneath the tank building and adjacent concrete pad (observed stained thicknesses are less than one foot below these structures) do not need to be excavated at this time. The presence of the physical structures and the low permeability nature of the underlying soils should minimize and compacted surface infiltration and percolation through the contaminated soil and reduce the potential for vertical migration of diesel in the soils beyond Remediation of diesel in soils below the tank its current extent. building and concrete pad will be addressed at a future date, when the buildings are removed.

SCOPE OF WORK

To complete excavation activities and provide appropriate chemical analytical documentation to support the removal of diesel contaminated soils, the following tasks are proposed:

TASK 1: (Unsampled Areas) Screen soils from unsampled areas the excavation which lack obvious evidence of contamination (odor, discoloration, etc.). Screening the soils will be accomplished by one of the following portable methods: thin-layer chromotography, chromatography mobile chemical analytical ОΓ Soil screening results will laboratory. be used to provide a gross indication of diesel concentrations the soils, and as indicators for further excavation, Final soil samples will be collected necessary. analyzed for TPH-Diesel in previously unsampled areas according to EPA (Modified) by 8015 Method State-certified analytical laboratory.

RMC Lonestar September 27, 1990 Page 4

- TASK 2: (Resample Areas) Previously sampled areas around samples RMCX-1 and RMCX-3 through RMCX-6 will be excavated and screened further, then resampled. Resampled areas will be analyzed for TPH-Diesel according to EPA Method 8015 (Modified).
- TASK 3: (Background Samples) Two soil samples will be collected from outside the delineated spill area and analyzed for TPH-Diesel (EPA Method 8015-Modified) as background samples.
- TASK 4: A letter report presenting the results of the soil sampling will be presented to the Alameda County Health Agency (ACHA) three weeks after receipt of all analytical results from the NET laboratory.

collected hand-driven will be using a Final soil samples sampling device fitted with a clean brass sample tube. Upon removal from the sampling device, the sample tube will be immediately covered on both ends with aluminum foil and sealed with plastic end caps. The soil samples will be labeled, entered on a Chain-of-Custody form, placed in a cooler with blue ice, and transported to National (NET), a State-certified Testing, Inc. Environmental environmental laboratory in Santa Rosa, California. Proposed sampling locations, including resampling locations, are presented on Plate 2.

SCHEDULE

The proposed soil sampling plan will be initiated following approval by the ACHA.

RMC Lonestar September 27, 1990 Page 5

If you have any questions, please call.

GeoStrategies Inc. by,

Stephen J. Carter

Geologist

Jeffrey L. Peterson

Senior Hydrogeologist R.E.A. 1021

Christopher M. Palmer C.E.G. 1262, R.E.A. 285

№ 1262 CERTIFIED **ENGINEERING** GEOLOGIST

SJC/JLP/kjj

Plate 1.

Vicinity Map Site Plan

Plate 2.

Plate 3.

Cross Sections

TABLE 1

SOIL ANALYSIS DATA

SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE DATE	ANALYSIS Date	TPH-D (PPM)	DEPTH (2) (FT)
	=========			BEESSAFESES
RMCX-1	07-Sep-90	12-Sep-90	3,000	11
RMCX-2	07-Sep-90	12-Sep-90	790	8
	-,p		.,,	+
RMCX+3	07-000	12-6-m-00	/ 500	70
KMCX-3	07-Sep-90	12-Sep-90	4,500	30
RMCX-4	07-Sep-90	12-Sep-90	8,100	27
RMCX-5	07-Sep-90	12-Sep-90	3,500	24
			•	
RMCX-6	07-Sep-90	12-Sep-90	17,000	15

TPH-D = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Diesel
PPM = Parts Per Million

Note: 1. for chemical parameter detection limits, refer to I.T. Laboratory reports

2. Depths are relative to the original road surface





