### Khatri, Paresh, Env. Health

From: James Gribi [JGribi@gribiassociates.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 22, 2009 2:14 PM

To: Khatri, Paresh, Env. Health

Cc: Francis Rush; Drogos, Donna, Env. Health; Mary Rose Cassa

**Subject:** Fmr Coast Sausage RO0002562

Attachments: Rush Response LTR 9-21-2009 FINAL.pdf

Paresh,

Per my phone message to you earlier, attached please find a brief letter asking for re-evaluation and closure of the Former Coast Sausage site with unrestricted (residential) land use. Please give me a call if you have questions or need additional info.

Thx Jim



James E. Gribi, PG
Senior Geologist / Principal
Gribi Associates
1090 Adams Street, Suite K, Benicia, CA 94510
phone 707.748.7743 fax 707.748.7763 cell 707.631.1505



September 22, 2009

Alameda County Department of Environmental Health 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Alameda, CA 94502

Attention: Paresh Khatri

Subject: Response to September 17, 2009 Letter from ACEH

Former Coast Sausage UST Site 1173 28th Street, Oakland, California

ACDEH Fuel Leak Case: RO0002562; Global ID: T0600194544

#### Ladies and Gentlemen:

On behalf of Rush Property Group and Mr. Francis Rush, we request that regulatory closure for this site be granted with **unrestricted** land use and a deed restriction, in keeping with the oft-stated Coast Loft live/work development plan for the site (see attached Building Plans and Planning Commission approval letter). We also wish to provide the following clarifications relative to the September 17, 2009 ACEH letter:

- From the outset of this project, a multi-tenant residential development plan, Coast Lofts, has been in place, and the goal of all closure-related activities has been to allow for this multi-tenant live/work residential development. Not only have all of our reports and workplans referred to this planned development, but we understand that a copy of the Coast Lofts building plans were transmitted to ACEH at the outset of this project. Also, the initial workplan, dated April 11, 2006, referred to the planned development in the introductory paragraph and included the following project approach: "In order to move this site towards regulatory closure as expeditiously as possible, we recommend a project approach that includes not only soil and groundwater sampling to address specific ACDEH requirements, but also soil gas sampling to assess potential environmental risk associated with the planned Coast Lofts live/work development. If soil gas sampling results indicate no significant risk relative to the planned live/work development, then it may be easier to obtain regulatory site closure, regardless of the soil and groundwater results" (page 5).
- The Coast Lofts development project was approved by the Planning Commission on May 4, 2005, and the subject property zoning was subsequently changed by Mr. Rush to allow for both commercial and residential land use. Thus, the closure request should be evaluated for both residential and commercial exposure scenarios.

Alameda County Department of **Environmental Health** September 22, 2009 Page 2

Note that, during the initial investigation in July 2006, soil gas sampling was conducted in areas where planned buildings were to be constructed (see attached figure from the 2006 SWI report), and two soil gas sampling probes, SG-1 and SG-2, were sited in "the former Compressor Room, adjacent to Treadwell & Rollo boring B-2, to assess possible impacts from HVOC groundwater impacts" (Report of Soil and Water Investigation, Gribi Associates, October 21, 2006). Results from these, as well as all other soil gas samples, showed no significant VOC detections.

In summary, it was always our understanding and, we thought, ACEH's understanding, that regulatory closure was being pursued for the planned and approved Coast Lofts live/work development. All reports and workplans have referred to the live/work development plans, and Mr. Rush transmitted a copy of the development plans to ACEH in the past. Over the more than three years that we have been working on this project (up to and including the October 31, 2008 ACEH letter indicating that closure would be granted), neither we nor Mr. Rush were ever informed that the current course of action would not results in a closure which would allow for the planned Coast Lofts live/work development. A commercial use only closure is of little use to Mr. Rush. For this reason, and given the clearly-demonstrated lack of significant risks associated with residual contaminants on this site, we ask that ACEH reconsider its determination to grant commercial-only closure for this site.

Finally, we ask that ACEH be more direct in communicating with site owners and consultants, with the ultimate goal of trying to move these sites along quicker and more efficiently. I have found over the many years and hundreds of projects that phone and/or email communications prior to issuing directive letters can often save much time and effort on both sides, as well as help to foster understanding and "buy-in" from clients, regulators, and consultants.

We appreciate this opportunity to provide this letter for your review. Please contact us if there are questions or if additional information is required.

Very truly yours,

James E. Gribi **Professional Geologist** 

California No. 5843

Francis Rush, Rush Property Group c Donna Drogos, ACEH Mary Rose Cassa, SFBRWQCB



© 2003 MapQuest.com, Inc.; © 2003 Navigation Technologies

## PROJECT DATA

SITE AREA

FAR

inside property line 54,960 sf

DENSITY
live/work condos (UA.1, UA.2) 28
live/work townhouses (UB.1, UB.2, UB.3) 34

FLOOR AREAS
total footprint
residential floor area
garage floor area
stotal floor area
garage floor area
stotal floor ar

1.79

SETBACKS
magnolia st 0
28th st 0
adeline st 0

PARKING 62 (21 compact)

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 TITLE SHEET
A1.0 EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS
A1.1 VIEW FROM CORNER (28TH + ADELINE)

A1.2 VIEW FROM 28TH STREET
A1.3 AERIAL VIEW AT CORNER (28TH + MAGNOLIA)

A1.3 AERIAL VIEW AT CORNER (28TH + MAGNOLIA A1.4 VIEW FROM ADELINE STREET

A1.4 VIEW FROM ADELINE STREET
A1.5 EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS
A2.0 SITE PLAN

A2.2 LEVEL 2 PLAN
A2.3 LEVEL 3 PLAN
A2.4 LEVEL 4 PLAN
A2.5 ROOF PLAN
A3.1 ELEVATIONS
A4.1 SECTION 1
UA.1 UNIT A.1

LEVEL 1 PLAN

A2.1

UA.2 UNIT A.2
UB.1 UNIT B.1 - GARAGE
UB.1 UNIT B.1 - STOREFRONT
UB.2 UNIT B.2 - GARAGE

UB.2 UNIT B.2 - STOREFRONT UB.3 UNIT B.3 - GARAGE UB.3 UNIT B.3 - STOREFRONT

3.3 UNIT B.3 - STOREFRONT

#### **CONSULTANTS**

ARCHITECT:
David Baker & Partners Architects

461 Second Street Loft c127

San Francisco, CA 94107 415.896.6700 TEL 415.896.6103 FAX attn: David Baker

DEVELOPER: Francis Rush 2200 Adeline Street Suite 350 Oakland, CA 94607 510.763.7165 TEL 510.763.8844 FAX title sheet Coast Lofts

**~** 

david baker + partners

barchitect.com

161 second street loft 127

an francisco california 94107

A15 sec 670n f 415 sec 6403



0  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

<

20310 1" = 60'-0" 12.03.2004 mh

david baker + partners dbarchitect.com 461 second street loft 127 san francisco california 94107 v.415.896.6700 f.415.896.6103




120'

PROJECT NORTH


TRUE NORTH













View from 28th street Coast Lofts

project number scale date



Aerial View at Corner (28th + Magnolia) Coast Lofts






Natural Anodized Aluminum Frame Windows (typ.)

Painted Stucco









28TH STREET

4

ADELINE STREET









Site Plan Coast Lofts










Level 4 Plan Coast Lofts

A2.4








david baker + partners dbarchitect.com 461 second street loft 127 san francisco california 94107 v.415.896.6700 f.415.896.6103


Section 1 Coast Lofts


A4.1



dual use space

live - 290 sq ft (30%) work - 550 sq ft (58%) dual - 110 sq ft (12%) total - 950 sq ft





1 Unit A.1 - lower level
1/8" = 1'-0"


2 Unit A.1 - upper level 1/8" = 1'-0"

BALCONY

8' - 7 3/4"

70 SF

11' - 11"



Section Through UA.1

1/8" = 1'-0"



unit A.1

620 sf

work

550 SF

35' - 0"

D]

david baker + partners dbarchitect.com 461 second street loft 127 san francisco california 94107 v.415.896.6700 f.415.896.6103

Unit A.1 Coast Lofts

# CITY OF OAKLAND



250 FRANK H. OGAWA PLAZA, SUITE 2114 · OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612-2031

Community and Economic Development Agency Planning & Zoning Services Division May 5, 2005

(510) 238-3911 FAX (510) 238-4730 TDD (510) 839-6451

RECEIVED
MAY 1 0 2005

Francis Rush 2200 Adeline Street, #350 Oakland, CA 94607

RE: CASE FILE NO. CMDV04-557, 2700 Magnolia Street (005-0446-001-01, 005-0446-001-02, & 005-0446-008-01)

Dear Mr. Rush,

Your application as noted above was approved at the City Planning Commission meeting on: May 4, 2005.

Commission action is indicated below.

(X) Granted with required conditions. - (Vote: +7, -0)

An Appeal to the City Council of this decision may be submitted within ten (10) calendar days after the date of this letter, and by 4:00 p.m. An appeal shall be on a form provided by the Planning and Zoning Division of the Community and Economic Development Agency, and submitted to the same at 250 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Suite 2114, to the attention of **Peterson Z. Vollmann, Planner III**. The appeal shall state specifically wherein it is claimed there was error or abuse of discretion by the Planning Commission or wherein their decision is not supported by substantial evidence and must include payment of \$621.95 in accordance with the City of Oakland Master Fee Schedule. The appeal itself must raise each and every issue that is contested, along with all the arguments and evidence in the record which supports the basis of the appeal; failure to do so may preclude you from raising such issues during your appeal and/or in court. If you challenge a Commission decision in court, you may be limited to issues raised at the hearing or in correspondence delivered to the Zoning Division, Community and Economic Development Agency, at, or prior to, the Appeal hearing. Any party seeking to challenge in court those decisions that are final and not administratively appealable to the City Council must do so within ninety (90) days of the date of the announcement of the Commission's final decision.

If you have any questions please contact the case planner Peterson Z. Vollmann at (510) 238-6167 or by email at pvollman@oaklandnet.com.

Very truly yours.

GARY PATTON,

Deputy Director of City Planning/Zoning

