

## Khatri, Paresh, Env. Health

---

**From:** Mary Rose Cassa [MCassa@waterboards.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 14, 2009 12:31 PM  
**To:** Khatri, Paresh, Env. Health  
**Cc:** Drogos, Donna, Env. Health  
**Subject:** Re: Rush Property, 1549 32nd Street, Oakland

Thanks for sending this meeting review, Paresh. While it's a little difficult for me to remember details of a meeting two months ago, I think it covers the important conclusions.

With respect to Mr. Rush's concerns about obtaining offsite access, I offered him agency (County and Water Board) assistance to facilitate communication with the property owners; I also mentioned that he could hire a consultant who specializes in public participation and later sent him one or two names with contact information.

Mary Rose

>>> "Khatri, Paresh, Env. Health" <[paresh.khatri@accgov.org](mailto:paresh.khatri@accgov.org)> 5/13/2009 5:44 PM >>>

Hello Mary Rose,

I am sending you this e-mail to summarize our site meeting at the Rush Property located at 1549 32nd Street in Oakland on Thursday, March 5, 2009 between you, Ms. Donna Drogos, Mr. Francis Rush, and me. Alameda County requested technical assistance on the case in response to a request from the Responsible Party, Mr. Rush.

In a correspondence dated January 16, 2009, ACEH had requested a soil and groundwater investigation work plan to delineate off-site soil impact and the submittal of a draft Fact Sheet informing off-site adjacent property owners of the possible residual soil contamination on their properties, who to date, have not allowed site access to Mr. Rush.

Donna Drogos, Mary Rose Cassa, and I reached a consensus that the extent of hydrocarbon impact to the east is undefined, and that the potentially impacted property owners must be notified by means of a fact sheet in light of the most recent analytical data that confirm likely off-site impact.

We walked the property and surrounding neighborhood to determine if off-site sampling on adjacent potentially impacted properties was feasible. Based on our site walk, off-site sampling on adjacent properties is feasible provided that adjacent property owners allow site access to Mr. Rush.

Mr. Rush agreed to prepare a fact sheet, but was concerned what the ramifications would be if the off-site property owners refused site access. We explained that an effort must be made to notify the property owners of the recent findings as well as requesting access to delineate hydrocarbon contamination on their properties.

Based on our site meeting, no changes to our January 16, 2009 directive letter appear necessary as a result of your technical review and site visit. Therefore, Mr. Rush must move forward in accordance with the January 16, 2009 directive letter.

Thank you for your technical assistance on this case.

Sincerely,

Paresh C. Khatri  
Hazardous Materials Specialist  
Alameda County Environmental Health  
Local Oversight Program

1131 Harbor Bay Parkway  
Alameda, CA 94502-6577

Phone: (510) 777-2478  
Fax: (510) 337-9335

E-mail: [Paresh.Khatri@acgov.org](mailto:Paresh.Khatri@acgov.org)

<http://www.acgov.org/aceh/lop/lop.htm>

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and protected information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.