

Detterman, Mark, Env. Health

From: PDKing0000@aol.com
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 3:00 PM
To: Detterman, Mark, Env. Health
Cc: Roe, Dilan, Env. Health
Subject: Re: RO0002499/ 3884 Depot Rd., Hayward AAA Truck Parts Company

Hi Mark,

I've been in communication with the property owner today. David sent an e-mail that said he was not aware of the fees ("I've never seen a letter with outstanding fees"). I'll send him a copy of the letter, ask him to send money to the County, and let him know what level of effort appears necessary to verify that the site has been adequately characterized.

Apparantly he leased about 2.5 acres of the land, the lease was ended, the 2.5 acres was sold, and a cogen plant was built on top of the 2.5 acres, and 2 of the wells were on the leased land where the cogen plant is now... I asked for a map showing what portion of the land was the leased portion and he said that he would send me the map around the end of the day. I think that will be a good place to start. I'll keep you posted!

Paul

In a message dated 12/5/2013 13:56:49 Pacific Standard Time, Mark.Detterman@acgov.org writes:

Paul,

From your summary, that seems to be reasonable approach. I've not checked for appropriate sampling intervals, spacial distribution of the bore / well locations relative to the release(s), or if sufficient shallow sampling was conducted throughout the portion of the property affected or used for (?) auto parts (it's reported to be 4 acres; one question I will need to assess is if a representative sampling effort made?) At this point I need to limit my time on the site due to the lack of funds.

Mark Detterman

Senior Hazardous Materials Specialist, PG, CEG

Alameda County Environmental Health

1131 Harbor Bay Parkway

Alameda, CA 94502

Direct: 510.567.6876

Fax: 510.337.9335

Email: mark.detterman@acgov.org

PDF copies of case files can be downloaded at:

From: PDKing0000@aol.com [mailto:PDKing0000@aol.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 7:43 AM
To: Detterman, Mark, Env. Health
Cc: Roe, Dilan, Env. Health
Subject: Re: RO0002499/ 3884 Depot Rd., Hayward AAA Truck Parts Company

Hi Mark,

Steve at P&D is out of the office in sunny Florida until next Tuesday.

Kevin the RP is motivated to get closure (I suspect that he may want to sell the property, but I don't know).

I looked at GeoTracker and the box for the site is green (SCP), not red (fuel).

I quickly looked through the data for the 2002 Site Characterization report and see some surficial petroleum, lead, and a little chromium. There appears to be localized elevated oil concentrations at the ground surface at several locations. The 3 groundwater grab samples show one location is almost all ND, with the other two having mostly oil-range compounds. EPA 8260 results were all ND for all of the soil samples except one, where low petroleum-related VOC concentrations and some MEK were detected. Similarly, 8260 groundwater results were ND except for one water sample where low petroleum VOC concentrations were present, no benzene, the nastiest thing being 110 ug/L naphthalene and 120 ug/L ethylbenzene.

I took a quick look through the 2003 well installation report and the water sample results look very benign, with some TPH and no HVOCs detected and only low concentrations of petroleum-related 8260 compounds detected in one soil sample at 7.5 ft bgs, and for the water results some TPH-d (max 76 ug/L) and MTBE in two water samples (71 and 1.3 ug/L).

Steve said that he found a letter where the RP owes about \$4,000 for agency review fees.

This one seems like it could be a slam dunk. Get the agency fees paid. Resolve if chromium is a concern and the lead and oil extent in shallow soil. Check the wells to see if anything has changed. Evaluate if the site is eligible for low-risk case closure, and if not, determine what abatement is needed to allow low-risk case closure to occur.

Please let me know when you might be able to let me know your thoughts. Thank you!

Paul

Paul H. King
Professional Geologist

P&D Environmental, Inc.
55 Santa Clara Avenue, Suite 240
Oakland, CA 94610

(510) 658-6916 telephone
(510) 834-0152 facsimile
(510) 387-6834 cellular
Paul.King@pdenviro.com

In a message dated 12/4/2013 14:37:20 Pacific Standard Time, Mark.Detterman@acgov.org writes:

Hi Steve,

From what I can determine, that is where the site still sites. Looking pretty quick, the petroleum portion perhaps could be managed (?) under the LTCP, but (and I've not looked) the other contaminants, including waste oil related, may not be closable under the policy? I'll definitely look for a good analysis of the site to see how it might fit. I would guess the spotty nature of shallow car parts contamination, and the representativeness of existing samples (especially in the intervening years?) could be an issue? These are just initial impressions that you folks might be able to expand upon with a bit of sleuthing.

Mark Detterman

Senior Hazardous Materials Specialist, PG, CEG

Alameda County Environmental Health

1131 Harbor Bay Parkway

Alameda, CA 94502

Direct: 510.567.6876

Fax: 510.337.9335

Email: mark.detterman@acgov.org

PDF copies of case files can be downloaded at:

<http://www.acgov.org/aceh/lop/ust.htm>

From: steven.carmack@pdenviro.com [<mailto:steven.carmack@pdenviro.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 1:55 PM
To: Detterman, Mark, Env. Health
Cc: Paul King
Subject: RO0002499/3884 Depot Rd., HaywardAAA Truck Parts Company

Hi Mark,

We received a call from a David from AAA Truck Parts Company in Hayward, and he asked us to find out what is involved in getting their case closed. A quick scan shows that based on the data from ENGEO's Sub-surface Investigation Report dated January 4, 2002 and ENGEO's Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation Report dated July 15, 2003, and the fact that there is no history of any USTs at the site, it appears a case may be made for low threat case closure.

Looking at the most recent correspondence is a letter dated December 21, 2009 requesting oversight funds of \$4,000, it appears as though that was the last document sent by ACEH.

Additionally David told Paul that the original site was approximately 4 acres with 3 groundwater monitoring wells, and at some point the owner leased a portion of approximately 1.5 to 2.5 acres of the property that have 2 of the 3 monitoring wells on it, and have since sold this portion to a different owner complicating getting access to these two wells if they still exist.

So, we wanted to check and see if that is where things stood; that a check of that amount must be paid to further discuss the case? Please let us know your thoughts.

Best regards,

Steve Carmack
Project Scientist

P&D Environmental, Inc.
55 Santa Clara Avenue, Suite 240
Oakland, CA 94610

(510) 658-6916 telephone
(510) 834-0152 facsimile
steven.carmack@pdenviro.com