## Detterman, Mark, Env. Health

From: Detterman, Mark, Env. Health
Sent: Tuesday, July 24, 2012 9:55 AM
To: 'Ken Anton, Anton Geological'

Subject: RE: 2101 Williams Street, San Leandro: question and status

## Hi Ken,

The 160 mg/kg soil sample is in the July 11, 1991 report (but it's labeled SWI\_WP\_ADDEND\_R\_1991-07-11, as it must have a work plan addendum) for Ink Room confirmation soil sample IR-1; I have a note that I checked the lab report to verify that the units were correct in the table (Table 2-4). And yes the county web site has it. In our conversation I believe we discussed the upgradient sites and especially the next upgradient site and the recent work by Paul King next door (access those reports through the Geotracker map function). It would probably be useful to review those reports as well.

Best,

Mark Detterman
Senior Hazardous Materials Specialist, PG, CEG
Alameda County Environmental Health
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway
Alameda, CA 94502
Direct: 510.567.6876

Fax: 510.337.9335

Email: mark.detterman@acgov.org

PDF copies of case files can be downloaded at:

http://www.acgov.org/aceh/lop/ust.htm

From: Ken Anton, Anton Geological [mailto:ken@antongeological.com]

**Sent:** Monday, July 23, 2012 9:41 AM **To:** Detterman, Mark, Env. Health

Subject: 2101 Williams Street, San Leandro: question and status

Hi Mark,

We spoke several weeks ago about the 2101 Williams Street site in San Leandro. Carey Andre of Jones Development has since authorized me to start addressing various concerns of the ACEH letter of January 27, 2012. The previous literature is extensive, but I am slowly getting up-to-speed. I have the following question for you:

Among other things, Ms. Andre has authorized me to perform limited soil and groundwater sampling in the area of the former ink room excavation in order to determine if that 160 mg/kg PCE detected in soils at a depth of 13 feet was from a release on the property (from soils above). I have proposed three Geoprobe borings in that general former ink room area, but I wanted to confirm the location of that 160 mg/kg sample with you. I was not able to find the original report/laboratory data that shows the location of that sample (and I would like to get one of the borings as close to it as possible). Is it on the ACEH website? Once I feel comfortable with suggesting the boring locations, I will send you a Work Plan for approval – but I thought I'd ask about that 160 mg/kg sample location now.

Meantime, I will be re-developing and then purging/sampling the monitor wells very shortly. I have already spent a significant amount of time at the site looking for the wells, and I was able to identify 12 of the original 14 wells (four more than I was expecting to find). The only wells I did not identify include MW-2 (which according to documentation

was formally destroyed under permit in 1992), and TW-1 (which was inside the building – in an area presently undergoing significant tenant renovation work). I have not yet given up on TW-1 and will include it in the sampling plan if I find it.

I look forward to working with you on this project.

Ken Anton



Western U.S. Geological and Environmental Services P. O. Box 370, Elk, California 95432-0370 Telephone/Fax (unified): (707) 877-3278 Internet: www.antongeological.com

Electronic Privacy Notice. This e-mail, and any attachments, contains information that is, or may be, covered by electronic communications privacy laws, and is also confidential and proprietary in nature. If you are not the intended recipient, please be advised that you are legally prohibited from retaining, using, copying, distributing, or otherwise disclosing this information in any manner. Instead, please reply to the sender that you have received this communication in error, and then immediately delete it. Thank you in advance for your cooperation.