HEALTH CARE SERVICES

AGENCY DAVID J. KEARS, Agency Director



RAFAT A SHAHID, Assistant Agency Director

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH Hazardous Materials Division 80 Swan Way, Rm 200 Oakland, CA 94621 (510) 271-4320

REMEDIAL ACTION COMPLETION CERTIFICATION

StID 4474 - 365 Hawthorne Ave, Oakland 94609

August 29, 1994

Mr. Frank Clemens Merritt Hospital, Cardio-Pulmonary 350 Hawthorne Ave Oakland, CA 94609

Dear Clemens:

This letter confirms the completion of site investigation and remedial action for the 400 gallon home heating fuel tank removed from the above site on June 12, 1989.

Based upon the available information and with the provision that the information provided to this agency was accurate and representative of site conditions, no further action related to the underground tank release is required.

This notice is issued pursuant to a regulation contained in Title 23, Division 3, Chapter 16, Section 2721(e) of the California Code of Regulations. Please contact Ms. Eva Chu at (510) 567-6700 if you have any questions regarding this matter.

Very truly yours,

PL(ASL

Rafat A. Shahid

Assistant Agency Director

cc: Edgar B. Howell, Chief, Hazardous Materials Division

Kevin Graves, RWQCB

Mike Harper, SWRCB (with attachment)

files (merritt.2)

AUG 2 2 1994

QUALITY CUINING BUARD HAZMAASE CLOSURE SUMMARY

Leaking Underground Fuel Storage Tank Program $54\,\,\text{MG}\,26\,\,\text{PM}\,3;\,50$

I. AGENCY INFORMATION

Date: August 18, 1994

Agency name: Alameda County-HazMat Address: 1131 Harbor Bay Pkwy City/State/Zip: Alameda, CA 94502 Phone: (510) 567-6700 Responsible staff person: Eva Chu Title: Hazardous Materials Spec.

II. CASE INFORMATION

Site facility name: Merritt Hospital, Cardio Pulmonary Site facility address: 365 Hawthorne Ave, Oakland 94609

RB LUSTIS Case No: N/A Local Case No./LOP Case No.: 4474
URF filing date: 6/20/89 SWEEPS No: N/A

Responsible Parties:

Addresses:

Phone Numbers:

Merritt Hosp, Cardio Pulmonary 350 Hawthorne Ave (510) 420-6072 Attn Frank Clemens, Admin. Oakland, CA 94609

Tank <u>Size in</u> Contents: <u>Closed in-place</u> No: gal.: or removed?:

1 400 Home heating oil Removed 6/12/89

III. RELEASE AND SITE CHARACTERIZATION INFORMATION

Cause and type of release: Unknown, possible overfilling

Site characterization complete? YES

Date approved by oversight agency: August 12, 1994

Monitoring Wells installed? No Number:

Proper screened interval? NA

Highest GW depth below ground surface:

Lowest depth:

Flow direction:

Most sensitive current use: Unknown

Are drinking water wells affected? No Aquifer name: Is surface water affected? No Nearest affected SW name: Off-site beneficial use impacts (addresses/locations): None

Report(s) on file? YES Where is report(s) filed? Alameda County 1131 Harbor Bay Pkwy . -. Alameda, CA 94502

Treatment and Disposal of Affected Material:

<u>Material</u>	Amount (include units)	Action (Treatment or Disposal w/destination)	<u>Date</u>
Tank Piping	1 UST	Disposed by Erickson	6/18/89
~ ~	240 gal rinsate 90 cy	Bayside Oil, Santa Cruz Chem Waste, Kettleman City	6/13/89 10/12/89

Maximum Documented Contaminant Concentrations - - Before and After Cleanup Contaminant Soil (ppm) Water (ppb) Before After <u>Before After</u> TPH (Gas) TPH (Diesel) 4,600 2,000 Benzene NA NA Toluene NA NA Ethylbenzene NA NA Xvlenes NA NA Oil & Grease Heavy metals Other TPH-kerosene 1,900 NA

Comments (Depth of Remediation, etc.):

The pit was overexcavated to 24' depth, removing most of the contaminated soil. A small pocket of residual contamination remains at 24-26' depth.

IV. CLOSURE

Does completed corrective action protect existing beneficial uses per the Regional Board Basin Plan? YES

Does completed corrective action protect potential beneficial uses per the Regional Board Basin Plan? YES

Does corrective action protect public health for current land use? YES

Site management requirements: None

Should corrective action be reviewed if land use changes? YES

Monitoring wells Decommissioned: NA

Number Decommissioned: NA Number Retained:

List enforcement actions taken: None

List enforcement actions rescinded: None

V. LOCAL AGENCY REPRESENTATIVE DATA

Name: Eva Chu

Title: Haz Mat Specialist

Signature: Wach

Date: 8/18/94

Reviewed by

Name: Barney Chan

Title: Haz Mat Specialist

Signature: Earney Cha

Date: 8/18/94

Name: Tom Peacock

Title: Supervising HMS

Signature: Von Law

Date: 2-18-94

VI. RWQCB NOTIFICATION

Date Submitted to RB: 8/19/94

RB Response: Aproved

RWQCB Staff Name Kevin Graves

Title: AWRCE

Signature: XXX

Date: 8/24/94

VII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS, DATA, ETC.

On June 12, 1989 a 400 gallon home heating fuel UST was removed. A soil sample collected "showed high levels of contamination at the bottom of the excavation." Laboratory analytical results were not provided.

Three soil borings were advanced through and around the former tank pit to delineate the vertical and lateral extent of soil contamination due to the unauthorized fuel release at the site. The northwest boring exhibited 2,900, 4,600, and 4,200 ppm TPH-D at 11, 16, and 21' depth, respectively. Soil contamination was not detected at 26' depth.

In October 1989 the pit was overexcavated to a depth of 24', removing approximately 90 cy of contaminated soil. Confirmatory soil samples collected at 24.5' depth exhibited 2,000 ppm TPH-D. The pit has been backfilled with clean fill material.

The former heating fuel tank serviced a private residential home. The house was relocated when Merritt Hospital purchased the property to construct a medical office building. The site is located on top of a moderately steep hill. Groundwater is believed to be in excess of 50' depth. Currently, remediation policy pertaining to home heating fuel tanks is to remove to the extent possible any obviously contaminated soil. Residual contaminated soil at 24-26' depth does not pose a significant risk to human health. It does not appear this contamination has migrated beyond 26' depth, as soil samples collected from 26', 31', and 35' depths did not detect levels of TPH-D or kerosene. Potential impact to groundwater appears to be minimal. A groundwater monitoring well is not warranted.

(merritt.1)