

Phone Log #4439

- 6/25/97 Spoke w/ Shawn Menger of ENGEO (938-1600), Re: 5/19/97 letter/report asked him to submit a site map w/sample locations and field notes of observations of borings SB-1A & SB-2A. He said borings were only adv. to 5' bgs because they were only trying to confirm previous results.
- 7/97 Calls to Andy Kellyard re: crack factor from 7/10 RA revision.
- 7/21/97 Call back from Andy Kellyard. Confirmed crack factor of 0.005 was used in RA calculation to get 5.8×10^{-2} mg/gw/risk of 10^{-5} for SSTL. Thinks it's a logarithmic function so that is why there is only a small adjustment.

Phone Log

9/13/96

Returned Bob Kuerning's call re: case closure review process + Chain of Command re: UST invest. w/ AlCo. Gave him info on this.

~~AlCo~~ He asked if AlCo would consider oking SP soil on-site if it was spread + capped. I told him 1st I would prefer getting results of the re-analysis of the samples - AlCo lab is currently locating samples - then, if approp., he could submit a proposal to Caplie eliminate off (w) soil on-site in writing to this office. I indicated based on proposed land use situation this proposal ~~could~~ may be an acceptable alternative to off-site disp. to a landfill.

He questioned whether Ravi ever requested TOG analysis. I read him the minutes ~~of~~ of the meeting re: this issue. (RA requested TPH including O&G by EPA method 418.1.

9/20/96

Notified B. Kuerning RWQCB w/ review closure summary today. If approved, would submit letter re: well destruc + fax to zone? per his request.

9/20/96

Faxed well destruc. letter to Roy Inman per B. Kuerning's request.

10/10/96

Notified Bob Kuerning had not rec'd Well Destruction Report yet.

Phone Log

9/12/96

Called Scott Ferriman to let him know that we requested the lab to re-run samples, & after speaking w/Barney, will try to request EPA 8015 for TPH no. He agreed & also indicated that the sample location map was in the mail.

9/12/96

Spoke w/Bob Kuerning. Told him since detection limit was too high on TOC analyses that we have requested the lab to ~~run~~ re-run samples for TOC. Told him he could refer to Title 22 Re: waste oil defined as a by-product & that there was no number given in reg. ~~Added~~ Explained to him rationale for requiring off-site disp. He asked if soil was spread & capped on the site, would this be acceptable. I told him this proposal would most likely be acceptable if the dermal exposure was eliminated. Asked that he submit that request in writing. ~~Off~~ He asked for a copy of Title 22. Told him I would call him as soon as I rec'd results of TOC re-run.

9/12/96

Bob Kuerning left a msg Re: well destruction req's. Returned call & left msg that permits should be obtained from Zone 1. Advised him to wait on this until we received final RCODS sign-off.

Notes to file

9/11/96

After discussing TOG results w/Barney, spoke w/Newton who said he could arrange to ~~of~~ have sample(s) run again but soil was @ State lab in Berkeley & he would need to check on the status.

Spoke w/Dom re: laws/regulations re: stockpile soil contaminated w/waste oil. He indicated Title 22 has provisions for regulating/~~reg~~ defining hazardous waste including waste oil. Therefore, soil cont. w/waste oil must be disposed of under these reg. No numbers given - must use regulatory discretion.

Spoke again w/Barney who indicated samples could be re-analyzed using EPA 8015 for TPH-mo to confirm results. Detection limit should be < 100 ppm.

9/12/96

Discussed lab results for TOG w/Dom Peck. He left a msg w/Barney that ~~was~~ TOG ~~was~~ analyses for 3 samples should be re-done.

Phone Log

- 9/10/96 Call from Bob Huening. Asked him if sampler was preparing a letter/report w/ plot plan of sample locations & results. Mr. Huening said he would look into this w/ Aqua Science. RP indicated he has a prospective leeper for the site. Told RP that TOC for spB was a 2,000 ppm - based on this result soil would need to be disposed of off site. RP asked for a copy of regulations re: requirements to dispose of this soil - wanted to know what levels are considered unacceptable. Told him I would get a letter to him ~~regarding~~ re: disposal req's.
- 9/11/96 msg from Bob Huening re: his review of SB 1764 Advisory Committee Leeper re: LUST sites. Questioned regulation on TPH. Asked again for regulations. ~~Attended meeting~~
- 9/11/96 Spoke w/ Scott Teriman w/ Aqua Science re: stockpile soil sampling. He pointed out that TOC detection limit was a 1,000 ppm. Told him I would talk w/ Dave & get back to him. He said he would get a letter w/ map out re: sampling

Phone Log (5269 Crow Canyon Rd)

6/4/96 Bob Kuenning left a msg - he est. stockpiled soil at the site to be ~250 cy.

8/7/96 Called Bob Kuenning to let him know that we are still working on RA w/ his site & should be finished by tomorrow & will call him then. I told him we would respond in writing sometime this week (he asked for letter to be faxed) & I would call him tomorrow to let him know the status.

8/8/96 Discussed RA w/ m. Logan. See notes. She completed an area-weighted average ~~of~~ of 5 foot samples across the site & reported a risk @ $\approx 10^{-5}$. She recommends closure.

9/9/96 Spoke w/ Mr. Kuenning & told him I would complete a closure summary for the site, & once it is approved by this office, then I would send him a letter stating it was w/ RWQCB. ~~also~~ We also need stockpile soil results - he said it should be approx 2 more weeks.

Phone Log (5269 Crow Canyon Rd)

3/11/96

Spoke w/ K. Graves re: site & closure review. He indicated possibly more inquest. would be req'd &/or Tier 2 review before closure could be considered. We agreed a meeting w/ Ravi would be approp. Considering GW does not appear to be an issue of ^{future} land use is questionable. Set up a meeting w/ KG + RA on 3/20 PM, 3/21 or 3/22.

3/11/96

Spoke w/ Bob Kuenning re: land use. He said per County this property is zoned as ~~RD~~ "Planned Development" Neighborhood/Commercial or RV Parking Lot - Residential use was questionable.

He mentioned he had no knowledge of historical use when ~~the assessors~~ business on the lot was a Shell Station.

Told him I would research assessor's records & would write him (RP's) a letter after meeting w/ RWQCB.

3/27/96

Called Bob Kuenning to discuss ^{meeting} today w/ ~~the~~ RWQCB. Told him a letter would follow.

5/1/96

Arranged meeting for 5/29 @ 10AM @ RWQCB w/ Kevin & Ravi. 5th floor 286-1255

Phone Log (5269 Crow Canyon Rd.)

01/30/96

Bob Kuenning called to inquire about site. He said he is waiting on closure so they can pursue selling property. I told him his case was on the stack to review for case closure, but we had not reviewed the case at this point. I told him since he is waiting on this for a property transaction, I would give it a priority & promised the following:

- 1) Send all RPs + him a letter after I finished an initial review to determine if case closure was feasible.
- 2) Verify assessor's records who RP's are.
- 3) Bob Kuenning 568-7869 work / 447-3524 home
373 Scott St., Livermore CA 94550

2/6/96

Spoke w/ Aqua Sciences who said most recent QMR ~~was~~ was 6/94.

2/7/96

Spoke to Bob Kuenning regarding case at some length. He is one of the several (?) prop. owners. ~~Old addresses~~ He indicated pit/pile soil is still on site; did not have analytical data for MW & PAB; current QMR is 6/94; will check on anticipated land use.

I told him based on soil results we will have to complete some form of risk analysis for site. I told him I would send out a letter ~~including~~ 9

Copy of the
Interim Guidelines.

former Parkers Sluic
Crown Cap Rd
Castro Valley

4-6-94

MEMO to file:

Dave Allen (Aqua Science) called to update me on project. He said the pit water samples were analyzed and found to have no detectable target compounds. His desire was to discharge to the storm sewer. I told him that this would likely be fine, but to verify such with the RWQCB, which he agreed to do.

Samples collected from stockpiled soil, although exhibiting marked attenuation from levels first found, still had upwards to several hundred ppm oil and grease. I asked that this soil not be used to backfill the pit. Mr. Allen informed me that they planned to place other fill stockpiled on-site, reported to be "clean" and brought from another location, into the pit. The "dirty" ~~so~~ soil they plan to bio remediate on-site. A work plan for this task will be submitted shortly.

SAS

(former) Parker's Shell
5243 Crow Canyon Rd
Castro Valley

PHONE MEMO:

5/27/93

I spoke w/ Mel Gerten today. He said the property will go through foreclosure 6/15/93. At that time he will give the "go ahead" to Aqua Science to fill in the data gaps and attempt to receive data from the lab which did the last analyses. Tim Allen (ASE) will be leading that effort

SOS

9-16-91 Report

① Fuel product odors noted between 3-15' BG in borings/wells B-10, -11, MW-4, -5. MW-4 @ 10' BG showed 20 ppm TPH-D, with notation that it was a heavier HC (O:1?)

* ② chlorinated compounds found in ~~GW~~ from MW-3 (5-22-91)

| | |
|----------|---|
| 1.1 ug/l | chloroform |
| 2.1 " | bromodichloromethane (dichlorobromomethane) |
| 6.1 " | dibromochloromethane (chlorodibromomethane) |
| 2.8 " | bromoform (tribromomethane) |

③ Two/three plane joint patterns in highly indurated ss, siltstone, claystone beds

④ ∴ Needs at least 1 more sampling event

7-26-93

I spoke with Dave Allen of Aqua Science today and relayed this info to him. He is to contact Mel Gerten and ~~discuss~~ discuss these issues with him.

EDS

6293 Crown Crn Rd
Castro Valley

PHONE MEMO: Greg Gouvea, AquaScience

6-5-91

- sample analyses are questionable by Mr. Gouvea because of his observations in the field

- is going to run a select few at another lab to try and confirm these initial results

- although G Gouvea was confident some were in 100+ ppm range, all other were likely ND

12 soil samples taken

All ~~40~~ 17.4 ppm - suspect results

Carter Analytical
Campbell

- small seep of GW into one wall tank pit

- unknown chemical, 1200 ppb dichloro ^{methane} ~~something~~;
turned up - lab

- diesel also found (owners claimed no diesel at site)

- decided to run diesel on (above) sample because it smelled like diesel to him in field

MEMO to file:

5-1-91

I spoke with Greg Grouva today regarding the past work performed at this site, and work planned in the next round of assessment. I noted in my review of the first report (dated July 23, 1990) that soil samples did not appear to have been analyzed from MW-2 and MW-3. Mr. Grouva indicated that, although gasoline odors seemed strong in the unconsolidated material and in the shallower ss and siltstone bedrock, no samples were collected from MW-3. He didn't recall any overwhelming odors in the upgradient well, MW-2, though samples were collected from here either. He said that he may have already reached the limit on \$\$ available for analyses by this time. He regrets that samples were not collected, but said that he anticipated at that time that additional work would proceed and so was not as concerned then that soil samples weren't analyzed.

With this in mind, I will require at least one additional boring, over and above that proposed in the April 1 ASE proposal, south of MW-3.

SAS

5293 Crow Canyon Rd.
C.V.

memo to file:

3-27-91

Greg Gouvea returned my call of yesterday. He said he also just received from Frank Ramos a check for \$6,600 dollars for the additional work. ~~I~~ requested that a short work plan be submitted; he agreed.

I also asked if any sampling had occurred since the initial work in 1990 (Apr.-May). He said that sampling had just occurred in Feb. The results of which will be submitted in a report. I indicated that no other reports had been received by the Dept. since the July 23, 1990 report of initial activities. He thought maybe some sampling had taken place in Oct. '90, but he wasn't certain.

I summarized the requirements outlined in the Oct 4, 1990 letter from this office (ie, monthly GW measurements, sampling frequency, etc). He wasn't sure he received a copy of this letter, although his name appears on the list of cc's. I FAXed a copy to him.

SOS

MEMO to file:

2-22-91

Dick Flynn called today. He said that the funds to do work should be encumbered by March 1 and work will proceed. I advised him that no work should proceed until a proposal has been submitted and approved. As I had indicated yesterday, no written report or proposal has been submitted since the July 1990 Aqua Science report of initial activities at the site. I told him that I have had no indication that any work (ie, sampling) has been completed since Apr/May 1990. He said he "assumed" this work had occurred, but couldn't confirm this. I requested that he look into it.

SOS

Farmer Parker's Well
5293 Crow Cyn Rd
C.U.

Memo to file:

2-1-91

Several reports/proposal are overdue for submittal as follows:

- o 3rd qtr monitoring report, due Nov 1, 1990
- o 4th qtr " " , due Feb. 1, 1991
- o proposal for additional wells/bores, due Nov 4, '90

I placed a call to Dick Flynn and left a message. Enforcement action may be required here.

2-13-91

I spoke w/Greg Gouvea of AquaScience. He said ~~that~~ a proposal for additional work had been submitted to Mr. Ramos, and that nothing had been done since, nor had he heard from Ramos.

2-21-91

I spoke w/Dick Flynn today. He said the property owner was trying to get \$\$ from former property owners. I advised him of the monitoring requirements which were outlined in the Oct 4, 1990 letter from this Dept., and that ~~with~~ the 3rd and 4th quarter reports are months overdue. I also reminded him that the Nov. 4 proposal for additional work at the site is also overdue. I told him of my conversation 2-13-91 with Greg Gouvea of Aqua ~~Science~~. I advised Mr. Flynn that enforcement action may need to follow.

MEMO to file

11-14-90

I spoke with Dick Flynn, Esq., today regarding the content of his October 25, 1990 correspondence to Mr. Frank Ramos (property owner), under covers to this Dept. dated Nov. 7, 1990.

I clarified some points of contention within the Oct. 25 letter, as follows:

- 1) The release was purported to have occurred "sometime" in the 1970's, and was in the neighborhood of 2,000 gallons, not 20,000 gallons as indicated;
- 2) The release was subsurface [Mr. Flynn thought the release was at the surface, hence his opening statement];
- 3) It's doubtful such a report of a release was broadcast to any regulatory body, but rather was likely a topic of conversation amongst individuals in the local business community in a casual or "matter-of-fact" sense. Historically, such a release was probably not looked upon as such a big deal, both financially or environmentally.

5293 Crow Canyon Rd
Castro Valley

memo to file:

11-1-89

Dick Flynn, representing Mr. Ramos's interests in the subject property, called today. He was discouraged that Aqua Science Engineers had not provided him a proposal for the site cleanup. He requested some more time to entertain other bids from other consultants because he has not been satisfied with both the expected cost of the work and the professionalism experienced to date.

SOS

3495 Castro Valley Blvd (Xtra Oil dba Shell)
3940 " " " (former Texaco, future Speedy Oil)
3896 " " " (former Walts Exxon)
5293 Crow Canyon Road

I spoke with John Kinkland of Aqua Science Engineers, Inc. regarding the status of their role in oversight of the cleanup/investigation of these sites. Mr. Kinkland indicated he will be referring these questions to Greg Gouvea of Aqua Science. I left message for him to call.

SOS

5293 Grand Canyon Rd
Castro Valley

PHONE LOG:

9-28-89

Dick Flynn, representing Frank Ramos's interest in the noted site, indicated that Aqua Science Engineers may likely be retained to perform site assessment work. He will be sending a check for \$831 to cover County costs for plan review. This check will be sent under a cover indicating Ramos's intentions at the site.

SOS

MEMO to file:

8-17-87

Mr. Frank Ramos called following a message left with his office this AM. He indicated that he is in the process of drawing up a lawsuit against Lakeshore Financial regarding Mr. Ramos's perception of Lakeshore Financial's failure to fulfill their obligation, as 50% partner, to satisfy all environmental issues involving the site.

Mr. Ramos will submit a letter shortly describing his position, his desire to assess the site, and request for all correspondence from this office to Lakeshore regarding this site.

205