ALAMEDA COUNTY HEALTH CARE SERVICES AGENCY



DAVID J. KEARS, Agency Director

RAFAT A. SHAHID, ASST. AGENCY DIRECTOR

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH Alameda County Environmental Protection Division

1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Room 250

Alameda CA 94502-6577

July 5, 1995

STID 1023

REMEDIAL ACTION COMPLETION CERTIFICATION

Mr. Dan Kirk Shell Oil Company P.O. Box 4023 Concord, CA 94524

SHELL STATION, 2175 MARINA BOULEVARD, SAN LEANDRO RE:

Dear Mr. Kirk:

This letter confirms the completion of site investigation and remedial action for the five (5) underground storage tanks formerly located at the above-described location, a still-active service station. Enclosed is the Case Closure Summary for the referenced site for your records.

Based upon the available information, including current land use, and with the provision that the information provided to this agency was accurate and representative of site conditions, no further action related to the underground storage tank release is required.

This notice is issued pursuant to a regulation contained in Title 23, California Code of Regulations, Division 3, Chapter 16, Section 2721(e). If a change in land use is proposed, the owner must promptly notify this agency.

Please contact Scott Seery at (510) 567-6783 if you have any questions regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

Rafat A. Shahid

Director of Environmental Services

Jun Makishima, Acting Chief, Env. Protection Division cc:

Kevin Graves, RWQCB Mike Harper, SWRCB

Mike Bakaldin, San Leandro Fire Department

Files 1505

CC458

CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER

JUN 2 1 1995

CASE CLOSURE SUMMARY QUALITY CONTROL BOARD Leaking Underground Fuel Storage Tank Program

Jun

AGENCY INFORMATION

1.1.7

Date: 05/31/95

Agency name: Alameda County-EPD Address: 1131 Harbor Bay Pkwy #250

City/State/Zip: Alameda, CA 94502 (510) 567-6700 Phone:

Responsible staff person: Scott Seery Title: Sr. Haz. Materials Spec.

CASE INFORMATION II.

Site facility name: Shell Service Station

Site facility address: 2175 Marina Blvd., San Leandro, CA 94577

Local Case No./LOP Case No.: 1023 RB LUSTIS Case No: N/A

URF filing date: 5/19/89 SWEEPS No: N/A

10/3/89

Responsible Parties: Addresses: Phone Numbers:

Shell Oil Company P.O. Box 2099

Houston, TX 77252

<u>Tank</u>	<u>Size in</u>	Contents:	<u>Closed in-place</u>	<u>Date:</u>
No:	gal.:		or removed?:	
1	10,000	gasoline	removed	9/27/89
2	8,000	ıı .	11	11
3	11	U	II .	II
4	550	waste oil	11	11
5	500?	11 11	11	2/6/90

RELEASE AND SITE CHARACTERIZATION INFORMATION

<u>fuel UST:</u> ULRs (2) indicate pipe leak / spills Cause and type of release: old W.O. UST: spillage (?)

Site characterization complete?

Date approved by oversight agency:

Monitoring Wells installed? YES Number: 3

Proper screened interval? YES

Highest GW depth below ground surface: 10.92' Lowest depth: 13.23'

Flow direction: predominantly SOUTH

Most sensitive current use: active retail gas station

Are drinking water wells affected? NO Aquifer name: San Leandro Cone

Page 2 of 6

Leaking Underground Fuel Storage Tank Program

Is surface water affected? NO Nearest affected SW name: NA Off-site beneficial use impacts (addresses/locations): NONE

Report(s) on file? YES Where is report filed? Alameda County
1131 Harbor Bay Pkwy
Alameda CA 94502

Treatment and Disposal of Affected Material:

<u>Material</u>	Amount	Action (Treatment	<u>Date</u>
	(include units)	of Disposal w/destination)	
Tank 2 x	8000; 1 x 10,000;	<u>disposal</u> - Crosby & Overton	9/27/89
2	x 550 gals	Long Beach, CA	
Piping	UNK		
Free Product	NA		
Soil	2466 yds³	<u>disposal</u> - WCCLF	12/13 and
	_	Richmond, CA	12/27/89
Groundwater	NA		
Barrels	AN		

III. RELEASE AND SITE CHARACTERIZATION INFORMATION (Continued)
Maximum Documented Contaminant Concentrations - - Before and After Cleanup

Contaminant	Soil (ppm)		Wa	ter	(ppb)
	Before	<u> After</u>	<u>Bef</u>	ore	<u> After</u>
TPH (Gas)	$14,000^{1}$	77	660	0	410
TPH (Diesel)	ND	NA	NA		ΝÄ
TPH-mo	150^{2}	140^{2}	11		11
Benzene	250	0.25	ND		ND
Toluene	1100	0.28	17		2.7
Xylene	2400	0.62	11		3.5
Ethylbenzene	200	0.21	61		0.6
Oil & Grease	54²	440^{2}	NA		NA
Metals (Pb)	29^{3}	5.6	11		11
Other (HVOC)	ND	ND	Tf		ND
(SVOC, total)	ND^2	6.9^{2}	11		71
(PCB)	11 2	NA	TI .		NA

- Note: 1) "Before" soil results are from pre-closure assessment (soil borings) performed during 3/89. "After" soil results, unless indicated otherwise, are associated with 9/89 UST closures.
 - 2) Soil results are for initial and final samples associated with abandoned waste oil UST excavation.
 - 3) Only those metal analyses results which appear above likely background or otherwise noteworthy concentrations are presented herein.

Page 3 of 6

Leaking Underground Fuel Storage Tank Program

Comments (Depth of Remediation, etc.):

No over-excavation was associated with the fuel UST replacement project. Only the abandoned waste oil UST closure had any associated soil overexcavation, details of which are described under Section VII, Additional Comments, Data, Etc.

IV. CLOSURE

Does completed corrective action protect existing beneficial uses per the Regional Board Basin Plan? Undetermined

Does completed corrective action protect potential beneficial uses per the Regional Board Basin Plan? Undetermined

Does corrective action protect public health for current land use? Site management requirements: NA

Should corrective action be reviewed if land use changes? YES

Monitoring wells Decommisioned:

Number Retained: 3 (pending case closure) Number Decommisioned: NONE

List enforcement actions taken: NONE

List enforcement actions rescinded: NONE

LOCAL AGENCY REPRESENTATIVE DATA v.

Title: Sr. Haz Mat Specialist Scott Seex Name: Date: 6-/2-95 Signature:

Reviewed by Name: Thomas

Title: Supervising HMS Date: 6-19-95 Signature!

Name: Jennifer, Eberle Title: Haz Mat Specialist Date: 6-15-95 Signature:

RWOCE NOTIFICATION VI.

Date Submitted to RB: 6-19-95 RB Response: Title: San. Engineering Asso. RWQCB Staff Name: Kevin Chapes

Page 4 of 6

Leaking Underground Fuel Storage Tank Program

VII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS, DATA, ETC.

In preparation for UST closure and replacement, Shell's contractor advanced 4 soil borings around the (then) current USTs: three (3) borings around the fuel UST complex (borings S-B, S-C, and S-D), and one (1) adjacent to the waste oil UST (S-A) (Plates 1 and 5). A shallow "boring" was advanced into the regular UST sand backfill and a sample (S-G-1) collected adjacent to the tank's fill riser. An additional soil boring (S-E) was advanced in the area of the site where the new USTs were to be installed. GW was encountered at ~10' BG. Boring logs indicate "oil sheen" / "strong chemical odor" noted on the drill string and (ground) water encountered in boring S-D at ~10' depth, south of the fuel UST complex.

Soil samples submitted for analyses from soil <u>borings</u> were those collected from the apparent capillary zone @ 10 - 11' depth. All soil sample results were unremarkable for TPH-G and BTEX constituents; most were "ND," and those which were detected (borings S-B, S-C) were <u>just</u> above detection limits. Soil sampled from boring S-A, emplaced adjacent to waste oil UST, was additionally analyzed for HVOC, TPH-D, and TOG. None were detected.

However, the <u>backfill</u> sample (S-G-1) revealed 14,000 ppm TPH-G and 250 ppm benzene, among other elevated levels of the remaining aromatics. As a result of these initial results, an ULR was issued.

The four USTs were removed during 9/89 under SLFD oversight. USTs and soil are reported to have appeared "normal" by the SLFD inspector(s). Sidewall (3) and bottom (6) samples were collected from the fuel UST pit (Table 1, Plate 2). Two (2) soil samples were collected from below the waste oil UST. (Note: these two samples appear to have been collected one below the other - no explanation has been offered.) All laboratory results associated with the fuel USTs samples, although some low "hits" were identified, were nonetheless unremarkable. Waste oil UST sample results were even less remarkable, although analyses for SVOC and HVOC were not performed (Table 2, Plate 2).

"Strong" HC odor was noted by the SLFD inspector associated with the product piping trenches near one of the dispenser islands. Another ULR was issued by SLFD as a result of these observations. However, the perceived "hot" areas did not appear as such based on the unremarkable soil sample results (Table 1, Plate 3).

Concurrently with UST removals, a "temporary" trench (Plate 2) was excavated in an area where the new USTs were to be placed, and a sample collected (depth?). TPH-G was noted in this sample at 860 ppm, as well as detectable E and X. This trench appears to have been expanded to accept the new USTs. Sidewall and a "grab" GW samples were collected from the completed excavation (Plate 4). Only low concentrations of total xylenes were detected in sidewall samples. The GW samples revealed the presence of 190 ppb TPH-G with no BTEX.

Leaking Underground Fuel Storage Tank Program

An abandoned waste oil UST was later discovered 1/18/90 beneath a planter area at the intersection of Doolittle and Marina Blvd. (Plate 6) during work associated with a storm drain trenching project. The storm drain apparently passes through the Shell site. Old site maps (Plate 1) show a previous, pre-1970, configuration of the service station oriented much closer to this intersection, the subject UST likely associated with this earlier operation. Holes were reportedly punched into the UST during trenching just before its discovery, resulting in a small release. It is reported that Crosby & Overton pumped the remaining contents from the tank on 1/18/90, and the tank reportedly removed on 2/6/90 (SLFD present?).

Although the follow-up report indicates only "minor" impact, soil was excavated from the area of this abandoned UST in at least two rounds, expanding the size of the final excavation to an overall depth of ~8 - 12' in depth, and as much as 15' laterally (Table 3, Plate 7). Certain of the confirmatory samples were analyzed for TPH-G and -D, SVOC, HVOC, metals, TOG and PCBs. PCBs and HVOCs were not detected in any samples. Metals appear to be at geogenic concentrations and distribution. Detectable (ppb range) SVOC were identified in a few samples. Only TOG and TPH-mo appear to be left behind in any noteworthy concentrations (≤ 440/140 ppm, respectively, @ 9' BG). GW was reportedly encountered @ ~12' BG.

Three (3) wells were subsequently constructed adjacent to both generations of waste oil USTs and post-1970 fuel UST locations (Plate 6). Soil samples revealed either ND or unremarkable concentrations of target compounds: TPH-G/-D, BTEX, TPH-mo, TOG, metals, SVOC and HVOC (Table 4). Methylene chloride (ppb range) was detected in soil analyzed from each boring, though not in every soil sample from each boring, suggesting a lab-induced error.

<u>Initial</u> GW was encountered in a silty SAND at ~16' BG during drilling, stabilizing several feet higher in the well casings, suggesting confined conditions locally. Only low (ppb) concentrations of TPH-G/-D and TX were detected in water sampled from MW-3, located directly adjacent to the pre-1970 waste oil UST excavation (Table 5). (Note: the PNA bis(2-ethylhexl)phthalate was also detected in each well, but, as a plasticizer, such may be related to the use of disposable bailers, among other possible sources). No other noteworthy target compound concentrations were identified. GW was calculated to flow to the south/SE initially, suggesting wells were appropriately located.

From approximately November 1990 through October 1992 the wells were sampled and monitored quarterly, and then semi-annually through October 1994 (Table 7). During this period only low ppb concentrations of TPH-G and various BTEX constituents were detected periodically in water sampled only from MW-3, located adjacent to the pre-1970 waste oil UST. Benzene was detected only twice during this period, the highest concentration of 0.7 ppb discovered during 11/90. GW flow was consistently calculated towards the south to SSE, with one event (3/94) to the SW, and one (9/93) to the west (Plates 8 - 20).

Page 6 of 6

. . .

Leaking Underground Fuel Storage Tank Program

Beginning with the 9/93 and subsequent sampling events, the lab indicated the presence of discrete peaks in the TPH-G range, which were not indicative of gasoline, in GW sampled from wells MW-1 and -3. As a result, ACDEH requested GW samples to be analyzed for the presence of both HVOC and SVOC to determine if these compounds could account for this apparent anomaly. Subsequent GW samples from wells MW-1 and -3 did not reveal the presence of HVOC or SVOC, even though the lab still indicated the presence of discrete peaks not indicative of gasoline in sample chromatograms. (Note: this site lies near, although not presently identified as being within, mapped VOC plumes associated w/ the central San Leandro HVOC study.) To date, the source of these reported peaks is unknown.

The data clearly document that the apparent release or releases from the fuel and waste oil USTs have not resulted in a marked impact to underlying GW. The apparent release from the former fuel UST system appears to have been significantly limited to the porous backfill. The apparent release from the abandoned waste oil UST appears to have been significantly limited by and bound to the soil matrix, which was subsequently removed.