# ALAMEDA COUNTY HEALTH CARE SERVICES

RVICES
AGENCY
ancy Director

DAVID J. KEARS, Agency Director

ms, Juliet shi

337-9335 FAX

March 30, 1995 STID 28 RAFAT A. SHAHID, Assistant Agency Director

ALAMEDA COUNTY CC4580 DEPT. OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIV. 1131 HARBOR BAY PKWY., #250 ALAMEDA CA 94502-6577

### REMEDIAL ACTION COMPLETION CERTIFICATION

Ms. Chae Sun Lee 6821 Foothill Blvd. Oakland, CA 94605

Re: Better Home Realty site, located at 6821 Foothill Blvd., Oakland, California, 94605

Dear Ms. Chae Sun Lee:

This letter confirms the completion of site investigation and remedial action for the 500-gallon waste oil underground storage tank at the above described location.

Based upon the available information and with the provision that the information provided to this agency was accurate and representative of site conditions, no further action related to the underground tank release is required.

This notice is issued pursuant to a regulation contained in Title 23, Division 3, Chapter 16, Section 2721(e) of the California Code of Regulations.

Please contact Juliet Shin at (510) 567-6700 if you have any questions regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

Rafat A. Shahid, Director

c: Ariu Levi, Acting Chief, Hazardous Materials Division - files Kevin Graves, RWQCB Mike Harper, SWRCB Juliet Shin, ACDEH

LOF/Completion

ENARLO PROMUNT

Date: 3/8/95

## CASE GUOSURE SUMMARY Leaking Underground Fuel Storage Tank Program

#### I. AGENCY INFORMATION

4:

Agency name: Alameda County-HazMat Address: 1131 Harbor Bay Pkwy

City/State/Zip: Alameda Phone: (510) 567-6700

Responsible staff person: Juliet Shin Title: Senior HMS

#### II. CASE INFORMATION

Site facility name: Better Home Realty

Site facility address: 6821 Foothill Blvd., Oakland, CA 94605

RB LUSTIS Case No: N/A Local Case No./LOP Case No.: 28

URF filing date: 1/13/95 SWEEPS No: N/A

#### Responsible Parties: Addresses: Phone Numbers:

Ms. Chae Sun Lee 6821 Foothill Blvd. (510)638-6664 Oakland, CA 94605.

TankSize in<br/>No:Contents:Closed in-place<br/>or removed?:Date:1500waste oilremoved1/10/90

#### III. RELEASE AND SITE CHARACTERIZATION INFORMATION

Cause and type of release: Unknown

Site characterization complete? YES

Date approved by oversight agency: 12/19/1994

Monitoring Wells installed? No Number: NA

Proper screened interval? NA

Highest GW depth below ground surface: Ground water appears to be below 25 feet below ground surface.

Flow direction: NA

Most sensitive current use: 'Commercial

Are drinking water wells affected? NO Aquifer name: Unknown

Is surface water affected? NO Nearest affected SW name: None

Page 1 of 4

#### Leaking Underground Fuel Storage Tank Program

Off-site beneficial use impacts (addresses/locations): None

Report(s) on file? YES Where is report(s) filed? Alameda County

1131 Harbor Bay Pkwy Alameda, CA 94502

Treatment and Disposal of Affected Material:

<u>Material</u>	<del></del>	ount ude units)	Action (Treatment of Disposal w/destination)	<u>Date</u>
Tank	500	gallons	According to the UST closure plan, it appears that the tank was hauled to H & H Ship Service, 220 China Basin, S.F.	1/10/90
Excavated	Soil	Unknown	According to information Dec. 14, 1992, it appears stockpiled soils were talected Class III landfill.	s that the

III. RELEASE AND SITE CHARACTERIZATION INFORMATION (Continued)
Maximum Documented Contaminant Concentrations - - Before and After Cleanup

Contaminant	Soil (ppm) Before After	Water (ppm) Before After
TPH (Gas)	0.78	NA
TPH (Diesel)	ND	NA
Benzene	ND	NA
Toluene	ND /	NA
Xylene	ND	NA
Ethylbenzene	ND	NA
Oil & Grease	95	NA
	430 (in stkpld soil)	

#### IV. CLOSURE

Does completed corrective action protect existing beneficial uses per the Regional Board Basin Plan? Undetermined

Does completed corrective action protect potential beneficial uses per the Regional Board Basin Plan? Undetermined

#### Leaking Underground Fuel Storage Tank Program

Does corrective action protect public health for current land use?

Site management requirements: NA

Should corrective action be reviewed if land use changes? YES

Monitoring wells Decommisioned:

Number Decommisioned: NA

Number Retained: NA

List enforcement actions taken: None

List enforcement actions rescinded:

LOCAL AGENCY REPRESENTATIVE DATA V.

Name: Juliet Shin

Signature: Julie Slein

Title: Senior HMS
Date: 3/13/95

Reviewed by

Name: Eva Chu

Signature:

Title: Hazardous Materials Specialist

Date: 3/14/95

Name: Amy Leech

Signature:

Title: Hazardous Materials Specialist

VI.

RWQCB NOTIFICATION

Date Submitted to RB:

RWQCB Staff Name: Kevin Graves

RB Response: A face Title: San. Engineering Asso.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS, DATA, ETC. VII.

It appears that on January 10, 1990, one 500-gallon waste oil tank was removed from the site. Although detailed information is not available regarding this tank closure, it appears, from my conversations with former consultants and contractors, that two soil samples, Samples "A" and "B", were collected from beneath each end of the tank. Analysis of these soil samples identified 0.78 ppm TPHg and 95 ppm Oil & Grease. One soil sample, apparently collected from the stockpiled soil, was analyzed for TPHd, Oil & Grease, and 8240. Analysis of this sample identified 430 ppm Oil & Grease. According to a February 8, 1990 letter from Environmental Construction Co., it appears that this soil was hauled off to a Class III landfill.

Three exploratory borings (EB-1, 2, and 3) were advanced at the site. of these borings were placed adjacent to the former tank pit. According to Globe Soil Engineers' January 19, 1990 report, and my conversation with Mr. Zachary Aldine, Globe Soil Engineers, on December 4, 1992, it appears that ground water was not identified in borings EB-1, EB-2, and EB-3, which

#### Page 3 of 4

#### Leaking Underground Fuel Storage Tank Program

were drilled down to 25 feet below ground surface, where the auger met with refusal at the fractured sedimentary bedrock. These borings were apparently used for sieve analysis and to determine depth-to-bedrock and water depth. During drilling, no staining or odor was observed in soil. No soil samples were collected from the borings.

Based on the low levels of Oil & Grease identified beneath the tank and the fact that Oil & Grease does not migrate very readily, it appears that there is a low potential for future impact to ground water. Additionally, according to the boring investigations, it appears that ground water exists below 25 below ground surface. Lastly, the Non Detect concentrations for TPHd and 8240 constituents in the stockpiled soil sample results, and the relatively low levels of Oil & Grease in this sample, appear to confirm that the observed release was limited in extent and in concentration.

Page 4 of 4