

Aug. 5, 1995

Returned call to Rebecca Beaman (733-5388). Spoke to her mother(?) and left a msg. that the 8/1/96 letter was sent to her to inform her of status of case & re: their monitoring well (NW-1) county's request for the destruction of

If she would like more information about the particulars of the case, please call me back.

6-2-94

4:00 pm

TO: Mr. Hartlein
From: Scott SEERY, ACDEH

I received your phone message today,
and attempted to call you ~ 3:50 pm.

Your FAX machine has taken over again !

I'll be here until 5:00 sharp, and
will not return until Monday, June 6.

Please call me @ 50/271-4530.

6-1-94

11:15

TO: Henry Hertlein

From: Scott SEERY, Alameda County

I've attempted to contact you by phone this morning, but it appears that your phone is attached to your facsimile machine.

I should be in the office this afternoon.

510/271-4530

~~Oct~~ 10, 1996

Spoke w/ Mr. Hertlein today re: status of well destruction. He said they have rec'd 3 bids now & plan to go ahead ASAP. He anticipates we will receive the report w/in 2-3 weeks.

Call back "11 if no word.

Hertlein Electric
Tract 5100
19051 Lk. Chabot Rd.

Memo to file:

Nov. 27, 1990

I spoke with Jon Horn today regarding the Oct. 25, 1990 supplemental from Fred Devine.

We spoke about the "actual" numbers designated for each well. Apparently the sampling contractor, NET, gave the wells one set of #'s, JCH another. I wondered if there had been some confusion with transposing of the data as a result of the conflicting well designations.

I asked that he double check the data.

We both agreed that ~~that~~ the gradient info is not what we had expected: we both figured that ground water flow would have continued toward the former creek bed (since covered and culverted); the data suggests that, instead of flowing as noted above towards the south (parallel to Lk Chabot Rd.), it now is flowing towards the east (towards Lk. Chabot Rd.).

I also told Mr. Horn that I am willing to compromise on monthly sampling (for 1st yr), but not on monthly H₂O level measurements for the 1st year.

Mr. Horn will get back to me later this week

Hertlein Electric
19051 Lk. Chabot Rd.

Memo to file :

2-5-80

A call from John C. Horn Assoc., Inc. raised some concern for this company to perform the environmental assessment work at this site. The engineer calling had questions regarding certain points raised in the Jan. 31, 1980 correspondence from this office. It became evident that this person had no real clue as to how one proceeds with well development, sampling, and surveying at well points to an established benchmark.

I "suggested" vigorously that they employ yet another party to handle (at least) the initial aspects of this investigation as it applies to sampling, well developing and other site assessment protocol.

SAS

18501 LK Chabot Rd
Castro Valley

Memo to file:

6/29/90

I spoke with John Hoen of John C. Hoen & Assoc re: The PSA report for the referenced site. He said the report will be ready next week.

sos

8-3

I spoke w/ Fred Devine (457-0220) re: the submittal of the well installation report and subsequent reports which document sampling activities at the noted site. I expressed concern that reports have yet been received by this office. Apparently, wells were installed in Feb. 1990, and sampled again recently. He said that he would contact Jon Hoen immediately.

sos

IMPORTANT MESSAGE

FOR SOS
DATE 10/25/89 TIME 8:49 A.M.
M CAROL
OF FRED DEVINE
PHONE 457-0220

AREA CODE	NUMBER	EXTENSION
		X

MESSAGE 10-25

Called back. Left message
for Fred to call in AM
tomorrow. SOS

SIGNED mnc

LITHO IN U.S.A.

TOPS FORM 3002S

IMPORTANT MESSAGE

FOR SOS
DATE 6-7-89 TIME 11:55 A.M.
M Pat Conner
OF John Horn Assn
PHONE 258-9027

AREA CODE	NUMBER	EXTENSION

MESSAGE 19051 Lake Chabot

SIGNED
LITHO IN U.S.A.

TOPS FORM 3002S

Hertlein Electric
19051 Lake Chabot Rd.
C.V.

Memo to file:

8-10-89

I spoke with Fred Devine regarding his site assessment proposal submitted under transmittal dated 7-31-89. I explained that this was unacceptable and that before my approval could be given, a complete site assessment proposal adhering closely to RWQCB guidelines must be submitted. He said that he will urge Jon C. Holm Assoc. to work on the submittal in an expeditious manner.

SOS

I also reminded him that a check for \$333 is also required before the plan will be reviewed.

10-24-89

I called the office of Fred C. Devine Asso. regarding site cleanup. I left a message indicating that bldg. permits will be revoked unless something is submitted for review soon!

SOS