SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL

1301 K STREET N.W.
SUITE 600, EAST TOWER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

(202) 408-6400
FACSIMILE
(202) 408-6399

CHICAGO
LOS ANGELES
NEW YORK
SAN FRANCISCO
ST LOUIS

John S. Hahn
(202) 408-6430

October 17, 1995

3rd QTR '95

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Ms. Juliet Shin
Hazardous Materials Specialist
Alameda County Department of
Environmental Health
80 Swan Way, Room 200
Oakland, California 94621

Re: STID 3856; 1055 Eastshore Highway, Albany, CA

Dear Ms. Shin:

On behalf of Amfac Distribution Corporation, I am submitting Allwest's Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report.

Sincerely yours,

John S. Hahn

cc: John Frank (w/enclosure)
Marc Cunningham (w/o enclosure)
John T. Lynch (w/enclosure)
Randall T. Smith (w/enclosure)

8035135

SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL

1301 K STREET N.W.
SUITE 600, EAST TOWER
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

CHICAGO
LOS ANGELES
NEW YORK
SAN FRANCISCO
ST. LOUIS

(202) 408-6400
FACSIMILE
(202) 408-6399

John S. Hahn
(202) 408-6430

October 17, 1995

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Ms. Juliet Shin
Hazardous Materials Specialist
Alameda County Department of
Environmental Health
80 Swan Way, Room 200
Oakland, California 94621

Re: STID 3856; 1055 Eastshore Highway, Albany, CA

Dear Ms. Shin:

On behalf of Amfac Distribution Corporation, I am submitting Allwest's Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report.

Sincerely yours,

John S. Hahn

cc: John Frank (w/enclosure)
Marc Cunningham (w/o enclosure)
John T. Lynch (w/enclosure)
Randall T. Smith (w/enclosure)

8035135

SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL

1301 K STREET N.W.
SUITE 600, EAST TOWER
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

CHICAGO
LOS ANGELES
NEW YORK
SAN FRANCISCO
ST. LOUIS

(202) 408-6400
FACSIMILE
(202) 408-6399

John S. Hahn
(202) 408-6430

February 5, 1996

also 3rd Qtr '95

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Ms. Juliet Shin
Hazardous Materials Specialist
Alameda County Department of
Environmental Health
80 Swan Way, Room 200
Oakland, California 94621

Re: **STID 3856; 1055 Eastshore Highway, Albany, CA**

Dear Ms. Shin:

We are reviewing your February 1, 1996 correspondence concerning additional work at 1055 Eastshore Highway.

Your letter indicates that you have not been receiving quarterly groundwater monitoring reports for the site. Allwest has been conducting, and is continuing to conduct, quarterly groundwater sampling. Enclosed are additional copies of Allwest's Quarterly Monitoring Report for the third quarter of 1995 and my October 17, 1995 transmittal letter to the County. Allwest is completing the 1995 fourth quarter report and we should be able to forward it to you shortly.

Please call me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

John S. Hahn

Enclosures

cc: John A. Frank (w/o encl.)

8043582

AllWest

AllWest Environmental, Inc.

Specialists in Environmental Due Diligence and Remedial Services

One Sutter Street, Suite 600
San Francisco, Ca 94104
Tel 415.391.2510
Fax 415.391.2008

QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

Third Quarter (July - September) 1995

1055 Eastshore Highway

Albany, California

ALLWEST PROJECT 94117.28

October 9, 1995

PREPARED BY:

Keith Craig
Project Manager

REVIEWED BY:



Long Ching, PE
Senior Engineer

TABLE OF CONTENTS

AllWest

QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT
Third Quarter 1995
1055 Eastshore Highway
Albany, California

I. INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of the quarterly groundwater monitoring event performed by *AllWest Environmental* at 1055 Eastshore Highway, Albany, California on September 7, 1995. This groundwater monitoring was conducted in accordance with the Alameda County approved workplan and for the third quarter (July through September) of 1995.

The scope of AllWest's services included sampling of four groundwater monitoring wells (MW-1 through MW-4), measuring groundwater levels in all of the wells, forwarding the collected groundwater samples to a state certified laboratory for chemical analyses, and preparing a written report to present the results of the groundwater sampling.

II. SITE HISTORY

The subject property lies in the western-most area of Albany, Alameda County, California in an industrial area (See Figures 1 and 2). The subject property is located on the east side of Eastshore Highway, approximately 200 feet south of the Albany off-ramp from Highway I-80. San Francisco Bay is located approximately 2,000 feet west of the subject property.

A former underground storage tank (UST) containing gasoline was removed by *Resna Industries* on September 2, 1992. The former UST was located south of the building in the bus parking area (See figure 3).

A preliminary site assessment (PSA) was conducted by AllWest in July 1994. The PSA consisted of the advancement of seven boreholes, the installation of three groundwater monitoring wells (MW-1 through MW-3), and the submittal soil and groundwater samples to an analytical laboratory for analyses. The PSA indicated that gasoline and its volatile constituents were present in soil and groundwater at the site.

In June 1995, AllWest installed a fourth groundwater monitoring well (MW-4) in accordance with a workplan approved by Alameda County Department of Environmental Health (ACDEH). One round of groundwater monitoring, for the second quarter of 1995, was conducted on all four wells in late June 1995 and the results were reported in early August 1995.

III. GROUNDWATER SAMPLING ACTIVITIES

Field activities for this third quarter 1995 groundwater monitoring event included the sampling and measuring of groundwater elevations of all four monitoring wells (MW-1 through MW-4). Sampling of the groundwater monitoring wells was conducted by *AllWest* personnel on September 7, 1995.

AllWest's groundwater sampling protocols, presented in Appendix A of this report, were followed. At least three well casing volumes were purged prior to sampling. After purging, three 40-milliliter samples were collected from each of the four monitoring wells. No product sheen was noted. A Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) sample, in the form of a duplicate sample (MW-2D), was collected. Copies of the groundwater sampling field logs are presented in Appendix B.

The groundwater levels in wells MW-1 through MW-4 measured during this and previous monitoring events are presented in Table 1, Accumulative Groundwater Level Measurements, in the TABLES section of this report. Groundwater flow direction during this monitoring event was calculated to be towards the east with an average gradient of 0.002 ft/ft.

IV. LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

The collected groundwater samples were forwarded to a State of California certified analytical laboratory, *Advanced Materials Engineering Research, Inc.* (AMER), of Sunnyvale, California and analyzed for the presence of total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPH-g) and for Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylene (BTEX).

The laboratory results indicated detectable concentrations of TPH-g and BTEX in the groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-2. No detectable concentrations of TPH-g or BTEX were found in samples from wells MW-1, MW-3, and MW-4. The TPH-g and BTEX concentrations for MW-2 were reported as 2,760 ppb, 100 ppb, 1.9 ppb, 92 ppb, and 210 ppb, respectively.

An accumulative summary of analytical results for wells MW-1 through MW-4 to date are presented on Table 2 in the TABLES section of this report. A copy of the laboratory test reports and Chain-of-Custody documents are included as Appendix C.

V. CONCLUSIONS

As indicated by the laboratory test results, TPH-g and BTEX were detected in the groundwater sample from monitoring well MW-2. The concentrations are within the historic range of previous sample results. No detectable concentrations of TPH-g or BTEX were found in the other three wells. In accordance with the workplan, the next quarterly groundwater monitoring event is scheduled for December 1995.

VI. REPORT LIMITATIONS

The work described in this report has been performed accordance with generally accepted engineering principles an practices. The conclusions contained herein are presented based on environmental conditions of the site and laboratory test results of the groundwater samples. It must be recognized that changes can occur in groundwater conditions due to seasonal variations, or other reasons. Furthermore, the distribution of chemical concentrations in the groundwater can vary both temporally and spatially. The chemical analyses results are valid as of the date and at the sampling location only. *AllWest* cannot be held accountable for the accuracy of the test data from an independent laboratory, nor for any analyte quantities falling below the recognized standard detection limits for the method utilized by the independent laboratory.

KBC110: 95117-28.Q02

TABLES

TABLE 1

ACCUMULATIVE GROUNDWATER ELEVATION MEASUREMENTS

Well Number	Well Casing Elevation	Date of Measurement	Depth to Groundwater	Groundwater Elevation	Average Gradient
MW-1	+ 6.62'	06-28-94 06-29-94 07-20-94 06-09-95 06-27-95 09-07-95	6.06' 6.04' 6.08' 4.85' 4.79' 5.90'	+ 0.56' + 0.58' + 0.54' + 1.77' + 1.90' + 0.72'	0.009 SSE 0.004 WNW 0.003 S 0.002 SW 0.003 S 0.002 E
MW-2	+ 6.92'	06-28-94 06-29-94 07-20-94 06-09-95 06-27-95 09-07-95	6.26' 6.34' 6.33' 5.13' 4.99' 6.23'	+ 0.66' + 0.58' + 0.59' + 1.79' + 1.93' + 0.69'	0.009 SSE 0.004 WNW 0.003 S 0.002 SW 0.003 S 0.002 E
MW-3	+ 7.02'	06-28-94 06-29-94 07-20-94 06-09-95 06-27-95 09-07-95	6.30' 6.29' 6.36' 5.16' 5.03' 6.42'	+ 0.72' + 0.73' + 0.66' + 1.86' + 1.99' + 0.60'	0.009 SSE 0.004 WNW 0.003 S 0.002 SW 0.003 S 0.002 E
MW-4	+ 6.46'	06-27-95 09-07-95	4.60' 5.79'	+ 1.86' + 0.67'	0.003 S 0.002 E

Note: Elevation based on a temporary bench mark of + 12.00' at the north pole of main gate.
Monitoring well MW-4 installed in June 1995.

TABLE 2

ACCUMULATIVE GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Well Number	Sampling Date	Gasoline	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl-Benzene	Xylenes
MW-1	06-23-94	ND	ND	0.6	2.5	9
	06-29-95	ND	0.8	ND	1.3	3.2
	09-07-95	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-2	06-23-94	330	130	11	20	10
	06-29-95	3,800	260	9.8	190	310
	09-07-95	2,700	100	1.9	92	210
MW-3	06-23-94	52	ND	ND	4	13
	06-29-95	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	09-07-95	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-4	06-29-95	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	09-07-95	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

Notes: All values are in parts per billion (ppb)
ND = Not-detected at or above the laboratory limit of detection.
Detection limit for TPH-g is 50 ppb, for BTEX is 0.5 ppb

FIGURES



AllWest Environmental, Inc.	September 1995	Site Regional Map	Project 95117.28	Figure 1
	1055 East Shore Highway, Albany, California			Source *AllWest*

AllWest

AllWest Environmental, Inc.

September 1995	Site Vicinity Map	Project 95117.28	Figure 2
1055 East Shore Highway, Albany, California			Source *Calif. DOT*



AllWest
AllWest Environmental, Inc.

September 1995	**Generalized Site Plan**	Project No. 95117.28	Figure 3
1055 East Shore Highway, Albany, California			Source *AllWest*



AllWest Environmental, Inc.	September 1995	**Groundwater Surface Contour Map**	**Project No. 95117.28**	**Figure 4**
	1055 East Shore Highway, Albany, California			**Source** *AllWest*

APPENDIX A

Appendix A

GROUNDWATER SAMPLING PROCEDURES

Upon arriving at the groundwater monitoring well site, each monitoring well vault and well casing are first examined for damage which could render the well inoperable. Any water collected during the recent rains were purged from the well vault to avoid contamination from rain water. The upper end-cap was then removed and an organic vapor meter (OVM) was used to detect hydrocarbon vapor that might exist inside the well casing. The reading of the OVM was then recorded onto the groundwater sampling field log. After an appreciable time for groundwater levels to equilibrate, electric water level sounder was lowered into the well casing to measure the depth to water to the nearest 0.01 feet. A clear polyethylene bailer was then lowered into the well casing and partially submerged. Upon retrieval of the clear bailer, the surface of the water column retained in the bailer was carefully examined for floating product or product sheen.

After initial measurements were completed and recorded, each monitoring well was purged by an electrical submersible pump or decontaminated teflon bailer. A minimum of 3 well volumes of groundwater was purged. Groundwater quality parameters (temperature, pH, and conductivity) were monitored with a combination meter after each well volume was removed. Purging was considered complete when purging indicators were stabilized (consecutive readings within 10% of each other) or the purged water was relatively free of sediments. All purged water was temporarily stored on-site in labeled 55-gallon drums pending test results to determine the proper disposal method. If no contamination was found then the purge water was disposed of as nonhazardous.

Groundwater sampling was conducted after the water level in the well recovered to at least 80% of the initial level that was recorded before purging. The groundwater sample was collected using a disposable bailer, which was discarded after the sampling event. Upon retrieval of the disposable bailer, the retained water was carefully transferred to appropriate glass container(s) (three 40-ml VOAs) furnished by the analytical laboratory. A bottom emptying device was placed on the bailer to minimize the loss of volatile organics during transfer. All sample containers were fitted with teflon lined septum/cap and filled such that no headspace was present. After the water sample was properly transferred to the appropriate containers, the containers were labeled and immediately placed on ice in an insulated cooler to preserve the chemical characteristics of the sample.

To prevent cross contamination, all groundwater sampling equipment that came into contact with the groundwater was thoroughly cleaned by washing in Alconox (a non-phosphate detergent) solution and double rinsed with distilled water prior to each well sampling event. Groundwater samples were stored and transported in an insulated cooler filled with crushed ice. The analytical laboratory collected the samples from the site or from the *AllWest* office. The samples were delivered to the analytical laboratory by a special courier of the laboratory. All samples were transported under strict Chain-of-Custody document protocol from the time of sample collection to the time of arrival at the laboratory.

APPENDIX B

AllWest

Groundwater Monitoring Well Sampling Field Log

Project No.: 95117.28 Project Name: X Monitor

Well No.: MW-1 Well Location: X Well

Well Depth: 23.90 (ft.) Casing Diameter: 2" (in.)

Depth to Water: 5.90 (ft.) Date: 9-7-95 Time: _____

Water Column in Well: 18.00 (ft.) Well Volume: 2.88 (gal.)
Well casing volumes removed 2.66
Odor? No Free Product? No Thickness: No

Purging Method: Hand Pump ___ Submersible Pump X ___ Bailer ___ Other ___

Time	pH	Conduc. (μS)	Temp. (°F)	Water Level	Volume Removed	Remark
1436	5.12	2470	78.4		0.5	
1438	5.08	1480	73.9		2.5	
1440	4.86	1590	70.9		4.5	
1442	4.84	1590	70.0		5.5	Dewatered
1455	5.48	1670	70.5		7.5	Dewatered

Purging Start Time: 1435 Purging Stop Time: 1455

Total Volume Purged: 7.5 (gal.) Well Dewater? yes

Water Level Prior to Sampling: 6.10 (ft.) Time: 1500

Sampling Method: Teflon Bailer ___ Disposable Bailer X ___ Sampling Pump ___

Sample Collected: _____ Sample No.: _____

Remark: 2 sets of this well - duplicate sample collected MW-1 & MW-1D

Sampler: Keith B. Craig Date/Time: 9-7-95 1500

KC\95117-28.mw1

AllWest

Groundwater Monitoring Well Sampling Field Log

Project No.: 95117.28 Project Name: X Monitor

Well No.: MW-2 Well Location: MW-2

Well Depth: 19.60 (ft.) Casing Diameter: 2" (in.)

Depth to Water: 6.23 (ft.) Date: 9-7-95 Time: 1215

Water Column in Well: 13.37 (ft.) Well Volume: 2.14 (gal.)
Well casing volumes removed 4.67
Odor? No Free Product? No Thickness:

Purging Method: Hand Pump ___ Submersible Pump X Bailer ___ Other ___

Time	pH	Conduc. (μS)	Temp. (°F)	Water Level	Volume Removed	Remark
1351	5.34	2190	82.1		0.5	Moderate turbidity
1353	5.15	2210	74.6		2.5	Moderate turbidity
1355	5.07	2200	73.5		4.0	Slight turbidity
1356	5.09	2180	71.7		6.0	Slight turbidity
1358	5.11	2190	70.1		8.0	Slight turbidity
1400	5.10	2210	69.2		10.0	Slight turbidity

Purging Start Time: 1350 Purging Stop Time: 1401

Total Volume Purged: 10.0 (gal.) Well Dewater? no

Water Level Prior to Sampling: 7.02 (ft.) Time: 1415

Sampling Method: Teflon Bailer ___ Disposable Bailer X Sampling Pump ___

Sample Collected: 3 - 40 ml VOAs Sample No.: MW-2

Remark:

Sampler: Keith B. Craig Date/Time: 9-7-95 1415

AllWest

Groundwater Monitoring Well Sampling Field Log

Project No.: 95117.28 Project Name: X Monitor

Well No.: MW-3 Well Location: _____

Well Depth: 19.71 (ft.) Casing Diameter: 2" (in.)

Depth to Water: 6.42 (ft.) Date: 9-7-95 Time: 1220

Water Column in Well: 13.29 (ft.) Well Volume: 2.12 (gal.)
Well casing volumes removed 4.01
Odor? No Free Product? No Thickness: No

Purging Method: Hand Pump ___ Submersible Pump X Bailer ___ Other ___

Time	pH	Conduc. (μS)	Temp. (°F)	Water Level	Volume Removed	Remark
1315	6.90	1630	74.2		1.0	Moderate turbidity
1317	6.66	1600	77.5		3.0	Moderate turbidity
1319	6.37	1870	69.3		5.0	Clear
1320	6.28	1875	68.9		6.0	Dewatered
1325	6.11	1841	67.9		7.5	Clear
1328	5.97	1853	67.6		7.5	Clear

Purging Start Time: 1314 Purging Stop Time: 1330

Total Volume Purged: 8.5 (gal.) Well Dewater? yes

Water Level Prior to Sampling: 7.21 (ft.) Time: 1335

Sampling Method: Teflon Bailer ___ Disposable Bailer X Sampling Pump ___

Sample Collected: 3 - 40 ml VOAs w/HCL Sample No.: MW-3

Remark: _____

Sampler: Keith B. Craig Date/Time: 9-7-95 1335

AllWest

Groundwater Monitoring Well Sampling Field Log

Project No.: 95117.28 Project Name: X Monitor

Well No.: MW-4 Well Location: MW-4

Well Depth: 24.32 (ft.) Casing Diameter: 2" (in.)

Depth to Water: 5.79 (ft.) Date: 9-7-95 Time: 1220

Water Column in Well: 18.53 (ft.) Well Volume: 2.96 (gal.)
Well casing volumes removed 3.38
Odor? No Free Product? No Thickness: No

Purging Method: Hand Pump ___ Submersible Pump X Bailer ___ Other ___

Time	pH	Conduc. (μS)	Temp. (°F)	Water Level	Volume Removed	Remark
1231	7.80	2110	77.5		0.2	Moderate turbidity
1233	7.20	1920	73.3		3.0	Moderate turbidity
1235	6.51	1880	71.7		5.0	Slight turbidity
1238	6.22	1900	69.8		7.0	Clear
1240	6.02	1970	68.7		8.5	Clear
1241	5.85	1980	68.1		10.0	Clear

Purging Start Time: 1230 Purging Stop Time: 1243

Total Volume Purged: 10.0 (gal.) Well Dewater? no

Water Level Prior to Sampling: _____ (ft.) Time: 1300

Sampling Method: Teflon Bailer ___ Disposable Bailer X Sampling Pump ___

Sample Collected: 3 - 40 ml VOAs w/HCL Sample No.: MW-4

Remark: _____

_____

_____

Sampler: Keith B. Craig Date/Time: 9-7-95 1300

APPENDIX C

AMER

Advanced Materials Engineering Research, Inc.

SEP 18 1995

September 14, 1995

Mr. Keith Craig
All West Environmental, Inc.
1 Sutter Street, #600
San Francisco, CA 94104

Regarding: **Analytical Results**
Client Reference: X Monitor, #95117.28
AMER ID: E1345

Dear Mr. Keith Craig:

Enclosed are the lab result(s) for the sample(s) submitted to AMER for the project above. The sample(s) will be disposed of by the laboratory after 30 days from the time they were received.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of assistance to you. If you have any questions or comments, please feel free to contact me at (408) 738-3033.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Ball
Client Services/Chemist

Attachments

250 Santa Ana Court, Sunnyvale, CA 94086 · Tel. (408) 738-3033 · Fax. (408) 738-3035

AMER
Advanced Materials Engineering Research, Inc.

ANALYSIS REPORT
(ELAP Certificate No. 1909)
EPA METHOD 8015M

CLIENT:
AllWest Environmental, Inc.
One Sutter Street, Suite 600
San Francisco, CA 94104
MATRIX: WATER
PROJECT MANAGER: Keith Craig
PROJECT: X Monitor, #95117.28

DATE SAMPLED: 09-07-95
DATE RECEIVED: 09-08-95
DATE REPORTED: 09-14-95
AMER ID: E1345

Client I.D.	AMER I.D.	8015M/ TPH-GASOLINE	DF
MW-2	E5090806	**2700**	1
MW-2D	E5090807	**3100**	1
MW-1	E5090808	ND	1
MW-3	E5090809	ND	1
MW-4	E5090810	ND	1
Units		ug/L	
Method Detection Limit		50ug/L	

ND Not Detected. All analytes recorded as ND were found to be at or below the detection limit. Sample Detection Limit is equal to the Method Detection Limit X the Dilution Factor.

Approved by

Harry Kawayoshi

Harry Kawayoshi, Laboratory Manager/QA Officer

250 Santa Ana Court, Sunnyvale, CA 94086 • Tel. (408) 738-3033 • Fax. (408) 738-3035

AMER
Advanced Materials Engineering Research, Inc.

ANALYSIS REPORT
(ELAP Certificate No. 1909)
EPA METHOD 602/8020

CLIENT:
AllWest Environmental, Inc.
One Sutter Street, Suite 600
San Francisco, CA 94104
MATRIX: WATER
PROJECT MANAGER: Keith Craig
PROJECT: X Monitor, #95117.28

DATE SAMPLED: 09-07-95
DATE RECEIVED: 09-08-95
DATE REPORTED: 09-14-95
AMER ID: E1345

Client I.D.	AMER I.D.	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl Benzene	Total Xylene	DF
MW-2	E5090806	**100**	**1.9**	**92**	**210**	1
MW-2D	E5090807	**120**	**2.1**	**100**	**240**	1
MW-1	E5090808	ND	ND	ND	ND	1
MW-3	E5090809	ND	ND	ND	ND	1
MW-4	E5090810	ND	ND	ND	ND	1
Units		ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	
Method Detection Limits		0.5ug/L	0.5ug/L	0.5ug/L	0.5ug/L	

ND Not Detected. All analytes recorded as ND were found to be at or below the detection limit.
Sample Detection Limit is equal to the Method Detection Limit X the Dilution Factor.

Approved by

Harry Kawayoshi

Harry Kawayoshi, Laboratory Manager/QA Officer

250 Santa Ana Court, Sunnyvale, CA 94086 • Tel. (408) 738-3033 • Fax. (408) 738-3035

EPA METHOD TEST QA/QC TABLE

AMER WORKORDER: E1345

AMER I.D.:	E1345-MSP	Analytical Method:	EPA M. 8015/8020 (602)
Project:	#95117.28	Analysis date:	09-08-95
Ext/Prep. Method:	EPA 5030	Analyst:	DL
Date:	09-08-95	Matrix:	Water
Analyst:	DL	Unit:	ug/L

Analyte	Sample Result	Spike Level	Matrix Spike Result	MS Recovery %	Matrix Spike Dul. Result	MSD Recovery %	Average Recovery %R	LCL %R	UCL %R	RPD %	UCL %RPD
Benzene	0.00	40.00	39.51	99	40.96	102	101	76	127	4	11
Toluene	0.00	40.00	39.54	99	40.11	100	100	76	125	1	13
Chlorobenzene	0.00	40.00	40.10	100	38.50	96	98	75	130	4	13
TPH-Gasoline	0.00	1000.00	975.08	98	971.98	97	97	70	130	0	30

Notes:
Sample Result-Concentration of Sample which is to used for Sample Spike & Sample Spike Duplicate
Spike Level- Level of Concentration Added to the Sample
MSP Result- Matrix Spike Result
MSP %R- Matrix Spike Percent Recovery
MSPD Result- Matrix Spike Duplicate Result
MSPD %R- Matrix Spike Dublicate Percent Recovery
AVG. %R - Average Recovery for MSP & MSPD % Recovery
LCL- Lower Criteria Level
UCL- Upper Criteria Level
RPD- Relative Percent Difference

CHAIN OF CUSTODY

AllWest Environmental, Inc.

Specialists in Environmental Due Diligence and Remedial Services

One Sutter Street, Suite 600
San Francisco, Ca 94104
Tel 415.391.2510
Fax 415.391.2008

CLIENT JOB NUMBER: 95117.28

DESTINATION LABORATORY: AMER Sunnyvale

Job Name: Xmonitor

Location: 1055 Eastshore Drive Albany

Contact: Keith Craig

PRESERVATIVES

ANALYSIS REQUESTED: 8015 (w) TPH-gasoline; 8020 BTEX only

FIELD CONDITIONS:

COMPOSITE:

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:

TURN AROUND TIME: 24 HOURS | 48 HOURS | 1 WEEK | 2 WEEKS

NOTE / FIELD READINGS

DATE	TIME	SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION	METHOD	MATRIX	CONTAINER NO.	CONTAINER TYPE	PRESERVATIVES	8015 (w) TPH-gasoline	8020 BTEX only	24 HOURS	48 HOURS	1 WEEK	2 WEEKS	NOTE / FIELD READINGS
9-7-95		MW-1		water	3	40ml VOA	HCL	X	X			X		
	~~#~~	MW-2D			3									Archive
	1415	MW-#1			3			X	X			X		
	1335	MW-3			3			X	X			X		
↓	1300	MW-4		↓	3	↓	↓	X	X			X		—

SUSPECTED CONSTITUENTS

SAMPLE RETENTION TIME

PRESERVATIVES: (1) HCL (2) HNO_3 (3) = COLD (4)

RELINQUISHED BY (SIGN)	PRINT NAME / COMPANY	DATE	TIME	REC'D BY (SIGN)	PRINT NAME / COMPANY
	Keith Craig AllWest	9-8-95			Glenn Simms

REC'D AT LAB BY:

DATE / TIME:

CONDITIONS / COMMENTS:

SHIPPED VIA: ☒ FED X ☐ UPS ☐ OTHER _____ AIR BILL #