

0619 Guarantee Fork Lift Company, 699-4th Street, Piedmont, CA 94611
Robert Rosen 834-2490

3/1/96 New case from JE. RP is recalcitrant, and very angry. Draft NOV letter, maybe that will get a response.

4/1/96 Message from Bob Rosen at 834-2494. Review file and call Mr. Rosen. Left message. Confirmatory soil samples obtained after overexcavation activities detected maximum concentrations of TPHg-5500 ppm, benzene-41 ppm, toluene-280 ppm, ethyl benzene-105 ppm and xylenes-550 ppm. North wall has trace soil contamination and East wall is ND. South and West walls along with pit bottom are heavily impacted with petroleum hydrocarbons. Left message for JE concerning two of her sites in close proximity to 699 4th St. Sites are 3697-P.E. O'Hare and 4244-AC Health Headquarters. Asked her for confirmed GW gradients (if they have MWs) for these two sites.

4/2/96 Review JE's files on sites 3697 and 4244. STID 4224 has a groundwater gradient which is consistently west at a flat gradient. STID 3697 has a groundwater gradient which is consistently west-northwest. Call to Bob Rosen. Left message with receptionist. Site visit to determine best location for MW. Well can be located within 10 feet of the former pit in the area along west side of building. This location will be least disruptive for the site's operation.

4/3/96 Long conversation with Bob Rosen. He was arguing that he complied with the proper removal of the tank, and that he had checked the tank 9 or 11 months prior, and it passed the leak test. Why should he be responsible to do additional work? I informed him of the UST Fund, and he replied he had gone that route, but that they would only loan him \$'s after he contributes the first \$10K. He said he was not interested in doing any additional work, and what was I going to do, throw him in jail, put a lien on his property, etc. He also said that what if I put in a MW, and it comes up dirty? What additional work will he be required to do? When does this end? Why should he do something that bureaucracy wants, when it provides no benefit to him. He stated that his property values have not been depressed, and that mechanism concerning cleaning up your property to increase property value doesn't apply to his site, therefore, no financial incentive for cleaning up his site. Finally, he stated that he would at least listen to my requirement if I could put in writing, and what were the circumstances to this action (in his words-if it came up dirty). I told him that I would send him a letter detailing what it was I specifically wanted, and what additional work (which he said he would not do---that this was a one time deal!) would be required after he installed this well. Draft letter requesting one additional MW.

4/17/96 Calls from/to Bob Rosen. He askd if it was possible to just do a boring (or I stated a hydropunch) in order to obtain a groundwater sample. I told him that that would be acceptable, but that if the water sample was contaminated, that a well would be required anyway. He will contact a consultant and get back with me in a week.