

From: Levi, Ariu, Env. Health
Sent: Wednesday, February 25, 2004 3:03 PM
To: 'bg@rb2.swrcb.ca.gov'
Cc: 'rkitay@aquascienceengineers.com'; Chan, Barney, Env. Health
Subject: 699 4th st. Oakland

The RP for this LOP case is uncomfortable with the county's decision to require a deed restriction as a condition of closure. In response, the RP is considering the formal process of petitioning the SWRCB so that a different agency can consider the strengths of his case and his request for unrestricted closure. Given the amount of time and staff resources used by the state and county to act on a petition, I thought it might be more efficient and just as effective to present the case in a meeting between your office, the RP, and my office with the intent of getting your informal opinion on whether closure with or without a deed restriction is sensible. Is there a time in mid April we can meet? We can provide you with an abstract of the case file and copies of the consultant's reports where closure is recommended.