

3/7/95 Reviewed 12/28/95 QR by Weiss. GW sampled on 10/6/94 flowed W-SW onsite, and then S down Grand Ave. Concs similar to last Q. MW3 had 4300 ppb TPHg and 280 ppb benzene. They want to reduce sampling frequency (p.2), and "will implement" this if they do not hear back from us within 60 days. **They should bring this sort of request to our attention, not bury it in the middle of the QR.** Maybe we should abandon E4, since it may act as a conduit to deeper aquifer. Why did they drill it in the first place? Phoned Weiss and sp w/Mike Maley: GW has been sampled this Q already. Frequency recommendations are fine, except I want them to do MW1 semi-annually. MW3 too; bec it has moderate hits and static state concs. He'll look into why E4 was installed; let's look at possibility of destroying it. It's just a liability.

Drafted ltr to RP

3/23/95 mess fm Mike Maley: has 1st Q 95 data, QR in mail

4/11/95 Reviewed 3/10/95 QR by Weiss. GW sampled on 1/20/95 flowed W-NW onsite, and then W-SW offsite under Grand Ave. Consistent. Up to 4600 ppb TPHg and up to 180 ppb benzene (MW3).

Revised and sent ltr to RP, approving frequency reduction.

4/24/95 Tom Foget phoned: is it ok to abandon well E-4 with my 4/11 letter? Only after they contact Zone 7 for a permit. Gave him phone # for Zone 7. Also asked him to contact me for the well abandonment.