

Jakub, Barbara, Env. Health

From: Jakub, Barbara, Env. Health
Sent: Tuesday, August 26, 2008 3:31 PM
To: 'Paula M. Sime'
Subject: RE: RO#448, 1725 Park Street, Alameda - Concurrent

Paula,

Go ahead and send in the report without the data from the adjacent site. Just make a note stating what you said below that you have not been able to contact the other consultant.

Regards,
Barb Jakub

From: Paula M. Sime [mailto:psime@ERI-US.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 26, 2008 1:51 PM
To: Jakub, Barbara, Env. Health
Subject: RO#448, 1725 Park Street, Alameda - Concurrent

Hi Barb,

ERI has been trying to obtain the second quarter 2008 concurrent sampling data for RO#448 (1725 Park Street, Alameda) from the former Shell station at 1701 Park Street, since July. The site was sampled back in May. We contacted them via email on 7/29, 8/5, and again on 8/18. We were told on 8/5 that the data would be forthcoming, but still have not received it. Would you like us to go ahead and submit the report without the concurrent data? I don't know what the hold up is because we've received no response from the consultant for the other site. If you'd rather we wait and keep trying, we can do that too. The report doesn't have an agency due date, but in terms of our own internal deadlines, it is overdue.

Thanks,
Paula



Paula Sime
Sr. Project Manager
Environmental Resolutions, Inc.
601 North McDowell Blvd.
Petaluma, CA 94954
psime@eri-us.com
www.eri-us.com
707-766-2026-Office
707-338-8012-Cell
707-789-0414-Fax

Privileged And Confidential Communication: This electronic transmission, and any documents attached hereto, (a) are protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act (18 USC §§ 2510-2521), (b) may contain confidential and/or privileged information, and (c) are for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) named above. If you have received this electronic message in error, please notify the sender and delete the electronic message. Any disclosure, copying, distribution, action taken, or use of the contents of the information received in error is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.