September 22, 1992

131.01.003

510 4352

Alameda County Environmental Health Services Hazardous Materials Division 80 Swan Way Room 200 Oakland, California 94621

Attn: Ms. Susan Hugo

GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT AUGUST 1992 SAMPLING EVENT EMERY BAY PLAZA 1650 65TH STREET, EMERYVILLE, CALIFORNIA

Dear Ms. Hugo:

This letter presents data collected by PES Environmental, Inc. (PES) during the August 27, 1992 quarterly groundwater monitoring conducted at Emery Bay Plaza, located at 1650 65th Street in Emeryville (the Site, Plate 1). PES has been retained by Emery Bay Plaza to conduct groundwater monitoring at the site. PES also provides operation, maintenance and monitoring of a groundwater extraction and treatment system at the site.

The purpose of the groundwater monitoring program at this site is to: 1) evaluate the presence of hydrocarbons in groundwater; 2) provide data to assess the performance and effectiveness of the groundwater remedial program; and 3) monitor seasonal water level variations at the site. The monitoring is performed in accordance with California Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) guidelines and the approved remedial action plan for this site.

BACKGROUND

Six monitoring wells and one extraction well were installed at the site (Plate 2) following removal of an on-site underground storage tank (UST) in July 1987 and several offsite USTs in September and October 1989. Groundwater has been monitored since November, 1989. An activated carbon groundwater treatment system was installed and its operation was begun in December, 1990. Discharges of treated groundwater are to the sanitary sewer under the authority of East Bay Municipal Utility District wastewater discharge permit (Permit No. 502-45131). The present sampling is the twelfth consecutive quarterly sampling event since groundwater monitoring was initiated, and the fourth to be conducted by PES.

Ms. Susan Hugo September 22, 1992 Page 2

GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS

Water-level Measurement Procedures

Prior to sampling, the static groundwater level in each of the six monitoring wells was measured to a precision of 0.01 feet using an electronic water-level indicator. Prior to each measurement, the portion of the water-level indicator that was submerged in the well was cleaned with a mild detergent solution and rinsed with de-ionized water.

Results

Water-level data were converted to water-level elevations referenced to mean sea level (MSL). A groundwater elevation map constructed from the data is presented on Plate 3. An historical summary of groundwater elevations for wells at the site is presented in Table 1.

Water levels decreased approximately 0.4 feet in all wells since May 1992. Based on measured water levels on August 27, 1992, groundwater flow direction at the site was calculated to be toward the southwest, with an approximate gradient of less than 0.01 foot per foot, consistent with historical groundwater flow direction and gradient.

GROUNDWATER SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL TESTING

Sampling Protocol

Groundwater samples were collected on August 27, 1992 by Blaine Tech Services, Inc. (Blaine Tech) from Monitoring Wells MW-2, MW-3, MW-4, MW-5, MW-6, and MW-7. Prior to sampling, the groundwater was visually inspected to assess the presence of floating product. A minimum of three well volumes were evacuated prior to sampling using a teflon bladder pump. During pumping the discharge water was measured for pH, temperature, electrical conductivity and turbidity. Groundwater samples were collected with a clean teflon bailer and decanted into clean 40-milliliter glass vials with teflon lined caps.

Samples were taken from Extraction Well EW-1 on June 29 and July 21, 1992. Samples were collected from a sample tap located on piping upstream of the treatment system. Water was purged from the sample tap for approximately one minute prior to collection of the sample. Three 40-milliliter glass vials with teflon-lined caps were filled directly from the sample tap.

Samples were immediately labeled to designate sample number, time and date collected, analysis requested, and stored in a chilled, thermally insulated cooler for transport to the analytical laboratory for later chemical analysis. The information collected during the

Ms. Susan Hugo September 22, 1992 Page 3

groundwater sampling and the chain of custody records are presented in a groundwater sampling report prepared by Blaine Tech, which is provided in Appendix A.

Analytical Program

Groundwater samples from all wells were sent to Coast-to-Coast Analytical Services, Inc. (Coast-to-Coast) in Benicia, California, a State-Certified laboratory. Samples were analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons quantified as gasoline (TPH gas) and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX) by EPA Test Method 5030/8260 and Cal DHS Draft TPH (Modified).

Analytical Results

Detectable levels of TPH gas and BTEX were found in all wells except MW-6. Consistent with historical monitoring data, Well MW-2, located within the backfill of the soil excavation at the former on-site UST, exhibited the highest levels of dissolved hydrocarbons (TPH and BTEX). However, levels of all analytes in MW-2 except ethylbenzene and toluene were lower than in the May sampling. This is the fourth consecutive decrease since January 1992. Well EW-1, the extraction well, showed decreased levels of all analytes on July 21, 1992 compared to the May 1992 sampling event.

Analytical results for all wells, including historical monitoring results for the previous sampling events and relevant federal and state standards, are presented in Table 2. Laboratory reports and chain of custody records are provided in Appendix B. The distribution of hydrocarbons in groundwater at the site on August 27, 1992 is presented on Plate 4.

SUMMARY

Groundwater elevations decreased approximately 0.4 feet since the May 1992 sampling event. The groundwater flow direction continues to be toward the southwest. MW-2, the well nearest the former tank location, continues to show decreased levels of TPH gas and BTEX. EW-1 shows decreased concentrations of hydrocarbons, which may reflect the positive effect of operating the extraction and treatment system during the quarter.

Ms. Susan Hugo September 22, 1992 Page 4

PES appreciates the opportunity to be of technical assistance on this project. If you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to call Andrew Briefer or Robert Creps.

Yours very truly,

PES ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.

Jane H. Gill

Staff Geologist

Andrew A. Briefer, P. E.

Senior Engineer

Hellem F Fingel for Robert S. Creps, P. E.

Associate Engineer

Attachments:

Table 1 Summary of Groundwater Elevations Through August 1992

Table 2 Summary of Analytical Results for Groundwater Samples Through

August 1992

Plate 1 Site Location Map

Plate 2 Well Location Map

Plate 3 Groundwater Elevation Contours on August 27, 1992

Plate 4 Dissolved Hydrocarbons in Groundwater on August 27, 1992

Appendix A Groundwater Sampling Reports

Appendix B Analytical Laboratory Reports

cc: Mr. Thomas Gram - Emery Bay Plaza

Ms. Lynn Tolin - Emery Bay Plaza

Mr. Matt Dulka - Hansen, Bridgett, Marcus, Vlahos & Rudy

Table 1. Summary of Groundwater Elevations Through August 1992
Emery Bay Plaza
1650 65th Street, Emeryville, California

Weil Number	Date	Measured by	Top of Casing Elevation (feet MSL)	Depth to Water 27-Aug-92 (feet)	Groundwater Elevations 27-Aug-92 (feet MSL)
MW-2	21-Feb-90	ES	15.75	11.72	4.03
	25-May-90	ES	15.75	11.83	3.92
	29-Aug-90	ES	15.75	11.72	4.03
	29-Nov-90	ES	15.75	11.99	3.76
	1-Mar-91	ES	15.79	12.87	2.92
	28-May-91	ES	15.79	12.21	3.58
	1 <i>-</i> Aug-91	ES	15.79	NA	NA
	27-Jan-92	PES	15.79	11.78	4.01
	28-Feb-92	PES	15.79	11.70	4.09
	28-May-92	PES	15.79	11.83	3.96
	27-Aug-92	PES	15.79	12.28	3.51
MW-3	21-Feb-90	ES	12.45	9.18	3.27
	25-May-90	ES	12.45	9.25	3.20
	29-Aug-90	ES	12.45	9.50	2.95
	29-Nov-90	ES	12.45	9.80	2.65
	1-Mar-91	ES	12.43	9.51	2.92
	28-May-91	ES	12.43	9.03	3.40
	1-Aug-91	ES	12.43	NA	NA
	27-Jan-92	PES	12.43	9.44	2.99
	28-Feb-92	PES	12.43	8.80	3.63
	28-May-92	PES	12.43	8.80	3.63
	27-Aug-92	PES	12.43	9.18	3.25
MW-4	21-Feb-90	ES	12.24	8.63	3.61
*****	25-May-90	ES	12.24	8.58	3.66
	29-Aug-90	ES	12.24	8.50	3.74
	29-Nov-90	ES	12.24	8.74	3.50
	1-Mar-91	ES	12.24	8.65	3.59
	28-May-91	ES	12.24	8.57	3.67
	1-Aug-91	ES	12.24	NA	NA
	27-Jan-92	PES	12.24	8.62	3.62
	28-Feb-92	PES	12.24	8.52	3.72
	28-May-92	PES	12.24	8.35	3.89
	27-Aug-92	PES	12.24	9.00	3.24

Table 1. Summary of Groundwater Elevations Through August 1992
Emery Bay Plaza
1650 65th Street, Emeryville, California

Weil Number	Date	Measured by	Top of Casing Elevation (feet MSL)	Depth to Water 27-Aug-92 (feet)	Groundwate Elevations 27-Aug-92 (feet MSL)
MW-5	21-Feb-90	ES	12.81	6.91	5.90
	25-May-90	ES	12.81	7.58	5.23
	29-Aug-90	ES	12.81	7.75	5.06
	29-Nov-90	ES	12.81	8.17	4.64
	1-Mar-91	ES	12.82	8.11	4.71
	28-May-91	ES	12.82	7.39	5.43
	1-Aug-91	ES	12.82	NA	NA
	27-Jan-92	PES	12.82	7.90	4.92
	28-Feb-92	PES	12.82	7.73	5.09
	28-May-92	PES	12.82	7.18	5.64
	27-Aug-92	PES	12.82	7.54	5.28
MW-6	1-Mar-91	ES	12.03	8.59	3.44
	28-May-91	ES	12.03	8.35	3.68
	1-Aug-91	ES	12.03	NA	NA
	27-Jan-92	PES	12.03	8.32	3.71
	28-Feb-92	PES	12.03	8.08	3.95
	28-May-92	PES	12.03	8.04	3.99
	27-Aug-92	PES	12.03	8.48	3.55
MW-7	1-Mar-91	ES	12.9	7.51	5.39
	28-May-91	ES	12.9	7.07	5.83
	1-Aug-91	ES	12.9	NA	NA
	27-Jan-92	PES	12.9	7.28	5.62
	28-Feb-92	PES	12.9	7.04	5.86
	28-May-92	PES	12.9	6.81	6.09
	27-Aug-92	PES	12.9	7.12	5.78

NOTES:

Ft MSL = feet above Mean Sea Level

ES = Engineering-Science, Inc. PES = PES Environmental, Inc.

NA = Information not available at this date.

Table 2. Summary of Analytical Results for Groundwater Samples Through August 1992
Emery Bay Plaza
1650 65th Street, Emeryville, California

Well	Sample	Sampled	TPH as	TPH as	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- Benzene	Total Xylenes	Purgeable Halocarbons	Lead
Number	Date	by	Gasolin e	Diesel	0.001	544 61		MCL = 1.75	1101000100110	MCL = 0.00
					MCL = 0.001	DAL = 0.1	MCL = 0.68	MCL = 1.75		WICE - 0.00
MW-2	Nov-89	ES	100	NA	8.4	7.4	2.4	13	0.015 *	0.05
	Feb-90	ES	54	NA	7.8	5.6	1.6	8.4	0.032 *	0.021
	May-90	ES	40	NA	7.8	7.5	1.6	7.6	0.076 *	0.025
	Aug-90	ES	49	4.6	9	8	ND	8.9	0.040 *	0.0059
	Nov-90	ES	73	3.5	6.9	5.9	1.4	7.4	NA	NA
	Mar-91	ES	72	1.8	· 5.5	6.6	1	7.7	NA	NA
	May-91	ES	31	ND	8.4	4.7	1.7	6.3	NA	NA
	Aug-91	ES	47	ND	7.6	1.6	7.3	7.8	NA	NA
	Jan-92	PES	77	NA	10	8.7	2	7.6	NA	NA
	28-Feb-92	PES	70	NA	9.1	6.4	0.53	7.4	NA	NA
	28-May-92	PES	54.	NA	8,0	4.8	2.4	6.2	NA	NA
	27-Aug-92	PES	47	NA	2.7	2.9	3.4	9.2	NA	NA
MW-3	Nov-89	ES	0.13	NA	0.0022	ND	ND	0.003	ND	ND
	Feb-90	ES	ND	NA	0,0025	ND	ND	ND	NA	0.011
	May-90	ES	ND	ND	0.002	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
	Aug-90	ES	ND	0.8	0.0044	0.0029	ND	0.0054	NA	NA
	Nov-90	ES	0.9	0.8	0.0034	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
	Mar-91	ES	ND	ND	0.025	0.025	0.0053	0.32	NA	NA
	May-91	ES	ND	ND	0.0026	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
	Aug-91	ES	ND	ND	0.0019	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
	Jan-92	PES	0.092	NA	0.0024	<0.0003	0.0006	< 0.0003	NA	NA
	28-Feb-92	PES	0.160***	NA	0.0028	<0.0003	0.0007	0.0005	NA	NA
	28-May-92	PES	< 0.05	NA	0.0025	<0,0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	NA	NA
	27-Aug-92	PES	0.37	NA	0.004	< 0.001	< 0.0005	<0.0005	NA	NA
MW-4	Nov-89	ES	0.2	NA	0.0023	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

Table 2. Summary of Analytical Results for Groundwater Samples Through August 1992
Emery Bay Plaza
1650 65th Street, Emeryville, California

Well Number	Sample Date	Sampled by	TPH as Gasoline	TPH as Diesel	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- Benzene	Total Xylenes	Purgeable Halocarbons	Lead
					MCL = 0.001	DAL = 0.1	MCL = 0.68	MCL = 1.75		MCL = 0.00
	Feb-90	ĒS	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	0.006
	Maγ-90	ES	ND	ND	0.001	ND .	ND	ND	ND	NA
	Aug-90	ES	ND	0,8	0.0089	0.0071	ND	0.0094	NA	NA
	Nov-90	ES	ND	0.7	0.0027	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
	Mar-91	ES	NA	ND	0.003	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
	May-91	ES	NA	ND	0.0024	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
	Aug-91	ES	NA	ND	0.0015	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
	Jan-92	PES	<0.05	NA	0.0022	0.0004	<0.0003	0.0007	NA	NA
	28-Feb-92	PES	<0.05	NA	0.0016	<0.0003	< 0.0003	0.0003	NA	NA
	28-May-92	PES	<0.05	NA	0.0015	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	NA	NA
	27-Aug-92	PES	0.08	NA	0.003	< 0.001	< 0.0005	0.0005	NA	NA
MW-5	Nov-89	ES	ND	NA	0.074	ND	ND	0.0042	ND	ND
	Feb-90	ES	ND	NA	0.2	ND	ND	ND	NA	0.012
	May-90	ES	ND	ND	0.11	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
	Aug-90	ES	ND	0.7	0.066	0.0022	ND	0.0038	NA	NA
	Nov-90	ES	0.6	0.9	0.069	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
	Mar-91	ES	ND	1.1	0.066	0.0023	ND	ND	NA	NA
	May-91	ES	ND	ND	0.11	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
	Aug-91	ES	ND	ND	0.078	0.0021	ND	ND	NA	NA
	Jan-92	PES	0.19	NA	0.09	0.0005	< 0.0003	0.0006	NA	NA
	28-Feb-92	PES	0.23***	NA	0.11	0.0009	< 0.0003	0.0005	NA	NA
	28-Maγ-92	PES	0.13	:NA	0.10	<0.0005	< 0.0005	<0,0005	NA	NA
	27-Aug-92	PES	0.52	NA	0.083	< 0.002	< 0.0005	<0.0005	NA	NA
MW-6	May-90	ES	NA	ND	ND	NĐ	ND	ND	ND	ND**
	Aug-90	ES	NA	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	ND**

Table 2. Summary of Analytical Results for Groundwater Samples Through August 1992
Emery Bay Plaza
1650 65th Street, Emeryville, California

Well Number	Sample Date	Sampled by	TPH as	TPH as	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- Benzene	Total Xylenes	Purgeable Halocarbons	Lead
Momber	Date				MCL = 0.001	DAL = 0.1	MCL = 0.68	MCL = 1.75		MCL = 0.005
	Nov-90	ES	1.2	1.4	0.0012	ND	ND	ND	0.0012	NA
	Mar-91	ES	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
		ES	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
	May-91	ES	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
	Aug-91	PES	<0.05	NA	<0.0003	<0.0003	< 0.0003	< 0.0003	NA	NA
	Jan-92 28-F e b-92	PES	< 0.05	NA	< 0.0003	<0.0003	< 0.0003	< 0.0003	NA	NA
	28-F 8 0-92 28-May-92	PES	<0.05	NA	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	<0.0005	NA	NA
	28-May-92 27-Aug-92	PES	<0.05****	NA	<0.0005	< 0.001	<0.0005	< 0.0005	NA	NA
		Ee	NA	0.6	0.24	ND	ND	ND	0.24	ND**
MW-7	May-90	ES	ND	ND	0.081	0.0018	ND	ND	0.0844	ND**
	Aug-90	ES	ND	0.8	0.054	ND	ND	ND	0.054	NA
	Nov-90	ES	ND	ND	0.1	0,0036	ND	ND	NA	NA
	Mar-91	ES	ND	ND	0.12	0.0027	ND	ND	NA	NA
	May-91	ES	ND	ND	0.074	0.0033	ND	ND	NA	NA
	Aug-91	ES		NA NA	0.025	0.0005	<0.0003	0.0008	NA	NA
	Jan-92	PES	0.27	NA NA	0.033	0.0007	<0.0003	0.0007	NA	NA
	1-Feb-92	PES	0.1***	NA NA	0.033	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	NA	NA
	28-May-92 27-Aug-92	PES PES	0.15 0.44	NA NA	0.021	0.000	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	NA	NA

Table 2. Summary of Analytical Results for Groundwater Samples Through August 1992
Emery Bay Plaza
1650 65th Street, Emeryville, California

Well	Sample Date	Sampled by	TPH as Gasoline	TPH as	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- Benzene	Total Xylenes	Purgeable Halocarbons	Lead
Number	Date		Gastine		MCL = 0.001	DAL = 0.1	MCL = 0.68	MCL = 1.75		MCL = 0.005
				110	7.5	4.5	1	6,3	0.068	ND**
EW-1	Мау-90	ES	20	ND 3.5	7.5 6	4.2	ND	4.6	0.016 *	ND**
	Aug-90	ES	NA 47	3.5 3.1	6	3.4	1	4.7	NA	NA
	Nov-90	ES	47		11	7.9	2.2	10	NA	NA
	17-Dec-90	ES	NA NA	NA NA	3.7	2.5	ND	2.3	NA	NA
	19-Dec-90	ES	NA	NA NA	3.2	2.2	ND	1.7	NA	NA
	21-Dec-90	ES	NA NA	NA NA	2.9	2.1	0.16	1.5	NA	NA
	27-Dec-90	ES	NA NA	NA NA	3.2	2.8	ND	ND	NA	NA
	4-Jan-91	ES	NA NA	NA NA	3	2.4	0.2	1.8	NA	NA
	11-Jan-91	ES	NA NA	NA NA	0.47	0.23	0.011	0.39	NA	NA
	6-Feb-91	ES	NA	NA NA	1.2	0.28	ND.	0.36	NA	NA
	13-Feb-91	ES	NA			0.085	0.006	0.17	NA	NA
	15-Mar-91	ES	NA	NA NA	0.13	0.95	0.22	1.4	NA	NA
	3-Jul-91	ES	NA	NA	1.3	0.33	0.013	0.27	NA	NA
	1-Aug-91	ES	NA	NA	0.22	0.16	0.013	0.19	NA	NA
	16-Aug-91	ES	NA	NA	0.17		0.04	0.22	NA.	NA
	13-Nov-91	ES	NA . –	NA	3.1	0.27 0.15	0.007	0.26	NA.	NA
	29-Jan-92	PES	2.7	NA	0.57		0.53	2.6	NA	NA
	3-Mar-92	PES	25	NA	3.6	2.6		2.6	NA NA	NA.
	28-May-92	PES	16	NA	3.3	3.2	0.75	1.4	NA NA	NA.
	29-Jun-92	PES	7	NA	2.2	3.1	0.27		NA NA	NA.
	21-Jul-92	PES	1.6	NA	0.22	0.017	< 0.0005	0.1		NS
	27-Aug-92	PES	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	No

Table 2. Summary of Analytical Results for Groundwater Samples Through August 1992
Emery Bay Plaza
1650 65th Street, Emeryville, California

Well	Sample	Sampled	TPH as	TPH as	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl-	Total	Purgeable	Lead
Number	Date	by	Gasoline	Diesel			Benzene	Xylenes	Halocarbons	
1441112		•			MCL = 0.001	DAL = 0.1	MCL = 0.68	MCL = 1.75	<u>,</u>	MCL = 0.005

NOTES:

* = 1,2-Dichlorethane concentration (only 1,2-Dichloroethane detected).

** = Organic Lead

*** = TPH quantified as gasoline but chromatogram pattern was not typical of gasoline.

ES = Engineering-Science, Inc.

PES = PES Environmental, Inc.

NA = Not analyzed

ND = Not detected above method detection limit.


NS = Not sampled.

<0.0005 = Not detected above indicated method detection limit.

MCL = California Maximum Contaminant level, current as of January 1991.

DAL = Department of Health Services Action Levels, current as of January 1991.

TPH = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons




PES Environmental, Inc. Engineering & Environmental Services **Site Location Map** 1650 65th Street Emeryville, California

REVISED DATE

REVIEWED BY

DATE 9/92




PES Environmental, Inc. Engineering & Environmental Services Well Location Map 1650 65th Street Emeryville, California

JOB NUMBER REVIEWED BY 131.01.003 43

REVISED DATE

REVISED DATE






PES Environmental, Inc.
Engineering & Environmental Services

Groundwater Elevation Contours on August 27, 1992 1650 65th Street Emeryville, California -

DATE REVISED DATE

JOB NUMBER 131.01.003 REVIEWED BY

DATE 9/92 REVISED DATE





Engineering & Environmental Services


1650 65th Street Emeryville, California

REVIEWED BY

DATE **9/92**

REVISED DATE

REVISED DATE



BLAINE TECH SERVICES INC.

985 TIMOTHY DRIVE SAN JOSE. CA 95133 (408) 995-5535 FAX (408) 293-8773

September 8, 1992

PES Environmental, Inc. 1682 Novato Blvd, Suite 100 Novato, CA 94947

Attn: Andrew Briefer

SITE: 1650 65th Street Emeryville, California

PES PROJECT NO.: 131.01.002

SAMPLING EVENT: Evacuate and sample six wells

DATE: August 27, 1992

GROUNDWATER SAMPLING REPORT 920827-A-2

Blaine Tech Services, Inc. performs specialized environmental sampling and documentation as an independent third party. In order to avoid compromising the objectivity necessary for the proper and disinterested performance of this work, Blaine Tech Services, Inc. does not participate in the interpretation of analytical results or become involved with the marketing or installation of remedial systems.

This report deals with the groundwater well sampling performed by our firm in response to your request. Data collected in the course of our work at the site is presented in the TABLE OF WELL MONITORING DATA. This data was collected during our inspection, well evacuation, and sample collection. Measurements include the total depth of the well and depth to water. Water surfaces were further inspected for the presence of immiscibles. A series of electrical conductivity, pH, and temperature readings were obtained during well evacuation and at the time of sample collection. Recharge performance can be evaluated by comparing the anticipated three, four, or five case volume evacuation gallonage with the volume which could actually be purged.

TABLE OF WELL MONITORING DATA

Well I.D.	MW-2			MW-3			MW-4			MW-5		
Date Sampled	08/27/92			08/27/	92		08/27/92			08/27/	92	
•												
Well Diameter (in.)	2			4			4			4		
Total Well Depth (ft.)	26.66			18.45			15.94			18.0		
Depth To Water (ft.)	12.29			9.18			9.0			7.54		
										Mann		
Free Product (in.)	NONE			NONE			. NONE			NONE		
Reason If Not Sampled												
l Case Volume (gal.)	2.34			6,02			4.51			6.80		
Did Well Dewater?	NO			NO			NO			NO		
	7.5			18.5			14.0			20.5		
Gallons Actually Evacuated	7.3											
Purging Device	MIDDLEBU	RG		MIDDLE	BURG		MIDDLEBU	RG		WIDDLE	BURG	
Sampling Device	BAILER			BAILER			BAILER			BAILER	•	
Time	16:27	16;31	16:38	13:25	13:42	13:57	12:30	12:48	13:00		14:39	
Temperature (Fahrenheit)	68.0	67.2	66.2	69.5	68.4	68.2	71.8	72.0	72.2	68.8	71.8	71.4
рH	7.6	7.8	7.8	8.8	8.6	8.4	7.5	8.0	8.0	8.6	8.4	8.3
Conductivity (micromhos/cm)	>10,000	9000	9000	5000	5600	5400	>10,000	>10,000	>10,000	4500	3500	3400
Nephelometric Turbidity Units	>200	115.0	81.2	38.5	18.4	18.5	29.7	12.84	19.3	55.4	15.40	14.9
BTS Chain of Custody	920827-A	-2		920827	-A-2		920827-A	-2		920827	-A-2	
	MW-2	-		MW-3			MW-4			MW-5		
BTS Sample I.D.	COAST TO	COAST			TO COAS	T .	COAST TO	COAST		COAST TO COAST		
DHS HMTL Laboratory	TPH (GAS				AS), B		TPH (GAS			TPH (C	SAS), BT	EX
Analysis	TEU JONS	,,, DIEV		**** (**	,							

TABLE OF WELL MONITORING DATA

Well I.D.	MW-6			MW-7		
Date Sampled	08/27/92			08/27/	92	
Well Diameter (in.)	4			4		
Total Well Depth (ft.)	18.78			18.80		
Depth To Water (ft.)	8.48			7,12		
Johan 10 Water (1207)						
Free Product (In.)	NONE			NONE		
Reason If Not Sampled						
1 Case Volume (gal.)	6.69			7.59		
Did Well Dewater?	NO			NO		
Gallons Actually Evacuated	20.5			23.0		
Purging Device	MIDDLEBU	IDC		MIDDLE	Sense	
Sampling Device	BAILER	, KO		BAILER		
Time	11:46	12:09	12:20	15:34	15:46	16:00
Temperature (Fahrenheit)	67.8	67.8	67.0	68.6	67.6	66.0
рH	6.9	7.2	7.2	8.4	8.3	8.4
Conductivity (micromhos/cm)	>10,000	>10,000	>10,000	1500	1600	1500
Nephelometric Turbidity Units	15.6	34.2	11.2	47.8	37.9	29.3
BTS Chain of Custody	920827-4	1-2		920827	-A-2	
BTS Sample I.D.	MW-6			MW-7		
DHS HMTL Laboratory	COAST TO	COAST		COAST	TO COAS	T
Analysis	TPH (GAS), BTEX		TPH (C	SAS), B1	EX
•						

EQUIPMENT

Selection of Sampling Equipment

The determination of what apparatus is to be used on particular wells may be made by the property owner, but is usually made by the professional consultant directing the performance of the monitoring on the property owner's behalf. When no specific requirement is made, our personnel will select equipment that will accomplish the work in the most efficient manner. Our personnel are equipped with a variety of sampling devices that include USGS/Middleburg pumps, down hole electric submersible pumps, air lift pumps, suction pumps, and bailers made of both Teflon and stainless steel.

USGS/Middleburg pumps and bailers were selected for the collection of samples at this site.

USGS/Middleburg Positive Displacement Sampling Pumps: USGS/Middleburg positive displacement sampling pumps are EPA approved pumps appropriate for use in wells down to two inches in diameter and depths up to several hundred feet. The pump contains a flexible Teflon bladder which is alternately allowed to fill with well water and then collapsed. Actuation of the pump is accomplished with compressed air supplied by a single hose to one side of the Teflon membrane. Water on the other side of the membrane is squeezed out of the pump and up a Teflon conductor pipe to the surface. Evacuation and sampling are accomplished as a continuum. The rate of water removal is relatively slow and loss of volatiles almost non-existent. There is only positive pressure on the water being sampled and there is no impeller cavitation or suction. The pumps can be placed at any location within the well, can draw water from the very bottom of the well case, and are virtually immune to the erosive effects of silt or lack of water which destroy other types of pumps.

Disadvantages associated with Middleburg pumps include their high cost, low flow rate, temperamental operation, and cleaning requirements which are both elaborate and time consuming.

Bailers: A bailer, in its simplest form, is a hollow tube which has been fitted with a check valve at the lower end. The device can be lowered into a well by means of a cord. When the bailer enters the water, the check valve opens and liquid flows into the interior of the bailer. The bottom check valve prevents water from escaping when the bailer is drawn up out of the well.

Two types of bailers are used in groundwater wells at sites where fuel hydrocarbons are of concern. The first type of bailer is made of a clear material such as acrylic plastic and is used to obtain a sample of the surface and the near surface liquids in order to detect the presence of visible or measurable fuel hydrocarbon floating on the surface. The second type of bailer is made of Teflon or stainless steel and is used as an evacuation and/or sampling device.

Bailers are inexpensive and relatively easy to clean. Because they are manually operated, variations in operator technique may have a greater influence than would be found with more automated sampling equipment. Also where fuel hydrocarbons are involved, the bailer may include near surface contaminants that are not representative of water deeper in the well.

STANDARD PRACTICES

Evacuation

Groundwater well sampling protocols call for the evacuation of a sufficient volume of water from the well to insure that the sample is collected from water than has been newly drawn into the well from the surrounding geologic formation. The protocol used on these wells called for a volumetric removal of three case volumes with stabilization of standard water parameters. There are situations where up to ten case volumes of evacuation may be removed, especially when attempting to stabilize turbidity in undeveloped wells. Different professional consultants may specify different levels of evacuation prior to sampling or may request that specific parameters be used to determine when to collect the sample. Our personnel use several standard instruments to record the changes in parameters as the well is evacuated. These instruments are used regardless of whether or not a specific volumetric standard has been called for. As a result, the consultant will always be provided with a record of the pH, EC, and temperature changes that occurred during the evacuation process. Additional information obtained with different types of instruments (such as dissolved oxygen and turbidity meters) can also be collected if requested in advance.

Effluent Materials

The evacuation of purge water creates a volume of effluent water which, in most cases, must be contained. Blaine Tech Services, Inc. will place this water in appropriate containers of the client's choice or bring new DOT 17 E drums to the site which are appropriate for the containment of the effluent materials. The determination of how to properly dispose of the effluent water must usually await the results of laboratory analyses of the sample collected from the groundwater well.

Observations and Measurements

Included in the scope of work are routine measurements and investigative procedures which are intended to determine if the wells are suitable for evacuation and sampling. These include measurement (from the top of the well case) of the total depth of the well; the depth to water, and the thickness of any free product zone (FPZ) encountered. The presence of a significant free product zone may interfere with efforts to collect a water sample that accurately reflects the condition of groundwater lying below the FPZ. This interference is caused by adhesion of petroleum to any device being lowered through the FPZ and the likelihood that minute globules of petroleum may break free of the sampling device and be included in the sample. Accordingly, evaluation of analytical results from

wells containing any amount of free petroleum should take into account the possibility that positive results have been skewed higher by such an inclusion. The decision to sample or not sample such wells is left to the discretion of our field personnel at the site and the consultant who establishes sampling guidelines based on the need for current information on groundwater conditions at the site.

Sampling Methodology

Samples were obtained by standardized sampling procedures that follow an evacuation and sample collection protocol. The sampling methodology conforms with State and Regional Water Quality Control Board standards and specifically adheres to EPA requirements for apparatus, sample containers and sample handling as specified in publication SW 846 and the T.E.G.D. which is published separately.

Sample Containers

Sample material is collected in specially prepared containers appropriate to the type of analyses intended. Our firm uses new sample containers of the type specified by either EPA or the RWQCB. Often times analytical laboratories wish to supply the sample containers because checks performed on these bottles are often part of a comprehensive laboratory QC program. In cases where the laboratory does not supply sample containers our personnel collect water samples in new containers that are appropriate to the type of analytical procedure that the sample is to receive. For example, 40 ml volatile organic analysis vials (VOAs) are used when analysis for gasoline and similar light volatile compounds is intended. These containers are prepared according to EPA SW 846 and will usually contain a small amount of preservative when the analysis is for TPH as gasoline or EPA 602. Vials intended for EPA 601 analysis and EPA 624 GCMS procedures are not preserved. The closure of volatile organic analysis water sample containers is accomplished with an open headed (syringe accessible) plastic screw cap brought down on top of a Teflon faced septum which is used to seal the sample without headspace.

Water samples intended for semivolatile and nonvolatile analysis such as total oil and grease (TOG) and diesel (TPH HBF) are collected and transported in properly prepared new glass liter bottles. Dark amber glass is used in the manufacture of these bottles to reduce any adverse effect on the sample by sunlight. Antimicrobial preservative may be added to the sample liquid if a prolonged holding time is expected prior to analysis. Closure is accomplished with a heavy plastic screw cap.

Groundwater well samples intended for metals analysis are transported in new plastic bottles and preserved with nitric acid. Our personnel can field filter the sample liquid prior to placing it in the sample container if instructed to perform this procedure.

Sample Handling Procedures

Water samples are collected in any of several appropriate devices such as bailers, Coliwasas, Middleburg sampling pumps etc. which are described in detail only as warranted by their employment at a given site. Sample liquid is decanted into new sample containers in a manner which reduces the loss of volatile constituents and follows the applicable EPA procedures for handling volatile organic and semi-volatile compounds.

Groundwater samples that are to receive metals analyses can be filtered prior to being placed in the plastic sample bottles that contain the nitric acid preservative. The filtration process employs new glass containers which are discarded and laboratory quality disposable filtering containers which are also discarded. A frequently used filtering procedure employs a vacuum pump to draw sample material through a 0.45 micron filter. The 0.45 micron pore size is standard, but the amount of filter available varies with the type of package selected. Filters are selected on the basis of the relative turbidity of the water sample. Samples which are relatively clean can be efficiently filtered with relatively inexpensive filters while very turbid water will require a very large filter with a high tolerance for sediments. One of several such filters our firm uses are the Nalgene Type A filters in which an upper and lower receptacle chamber are affixed to the filter. Sample material is poured into the upper chamber and a vacuum pump attached to the lower chamber. Simple actuation of the vacuum pump induces the flow of water through the filter and into the lower chamber. The sample is then decanted into the laboratory container and the filter assembly discarded. Cartridge type flow-through filters are more expensive but can be fitted directly to the discharge line of most sampling pumps (USGS/Middleburg pumps) and electric submersible pumps.

Following collection, samples are promptly placed in an ice chest containing prefrozen blocks of an inert ice substitute such as Blue Ice or Super Ice. The samples are maintained in either an ice chest or a refrigerator until delivered into the custody of the laboratory.

Sample Designations

All sample containers are identified with both a sampling event number and a discrete sample identification number. Please note that the sampling event number is the number that appears on our chain of custody. It is roughly equivalent to a job number, but applies only to work done on a particular day of the year rather than spanning several days as jobs and projects often do.

Chain of Custody

Samples are continuously maintained in an appropriate cooled container while in our custody and until delivered to the laboratory under our standard chain of custody. If the samples are taken charge of by a different party (such as another person from our office, a courier, etc.) prior to being delivered to the laboratory, appropriate release and acceptance records are made on the chain of custody (time, date, and signature of the person releasing the samples followed by the time, date and signature of the person accepting custody of the samples).

Hazardous Materials Testing Laboratory

The samples obtained at this site were transported in cooled ice chest to the office of Blaine Tech Services, Inc. to be stored in a refrigerator overnight. The following day, the samples were released into the custody of a courier for delivery to Coast to Coast Analytical Services.

Personnel

All Blaine Tech Services, Inc. personnel receive 29 CFR 1910.120(e)(2) training as soon after being hired as is practical. In addition, many of our personnel have additional certifications that include specialized training in level B supplied air apparatus and the supervision of employees working on hazardous materials sites. Employees are not sent to a site unless we are confident they can adhere to any site safety provisions in force at the site and unless we know that they can follow the written provisions of an SSP and the verbal directions of an SSO.

In general, employees sent to a site to perform groundwater well sampling will assume an OSHA level D (wet) environment exists unless otherwise informed. The use of gloves and double glove protocols protects both our employees and the integrity of the samples being collected. Additional protective gear and procedures for higher OSHA levels of protection are available.

Decontamination

All apparatus is brought to the site in clean and serviceable condition. The equipment is decontaminated after each use and before leaving the site. Decontamination procedures include complete disassembly of the device to a point where a jet of steam cleaner water can be directed onto all the internal surfaces. Blaine Tech Services, Inc. frequently modifies apparatus to allow complete disassembly and proper cleaning.

Please call if we can be of any further assistance.

Richard C. Blaine

RCB/jmb

attachments: chain of custody

BLAINE	1370 TULLY ROAD., SUITE	505	Ö	ONDUCT	ANALYSI	S TO DET	ECT	LAB COAS	T 70 "	LOAST	DHS#
ECH SERVICES	D/11/000_j							ALL ANALYSES MUST SET BY CALIFORNIA (MEET SPECIF	ICATIONS AN	D DETECTION LIMITS CB REGION
1AIN OF CUSTODY 920827	AZ		XX W					LIA OTHER		~	
IENT PES	5th STREET	NTAINER	& Bi				ļ	SPECIAL INSTRUCTION	ONS		
TE 1650 6 EMELY VI	UE CA.	COMPOSITE ALL CONTAINERS	945					foul	inl		
AMPLE I.D.	MATRIX CONTAINER	S COMPC	HAL					ADD'L INFORMATION	STATUS	CONDITION	LAB SAMPLE #
mwz	W		1								·
mw3			14		\vdash			<u> </u>			
<u>nw+</u>				_						- 	
nw5		-	 							 	
MW6		_	1	_	+	_					
<u>mw7</u>											
					1 1		<u> </u>				
			-		-	-	-				
	TIME SAMPLING PERFORMED BY	Test 1	with	12	_		<u> </u>	RESULTS NEEDED NO LATER THAN	Roi	Tine	<u> </u>
RELEASED BY	1645	AVATE:		TIME - /3	70	RECEIVI	ED BY	FRIVE		DATE 8 27.9	1 ITIME 2 1820
REJEASEY BY		DATE OF		TIME	. 7.	RECEIV	£0.8√ }	S XXXIII	?	DATE	TIME 10.4
RELEASED BY		DATE	<u>-</u>	TIME		RECEIV	ED BY	The state of the s		DATE	TIME
SHIPPED VIA		DATE S	ENT	TIME SI	NŤ	COOLER	#				

.

.



San Luis Obispo, CA • Goleta, CA • Benicia, CA • Camarillo, CA Newport Beach, CA • Valparaiso, IN • Westbrook, ME

Benicia Division 6006 Egret Court, Benicia, California 94510

(707) 747-2757 FAX (707)747-2765

CLIENT: Andrew Briefer

PES Environmental Inc

1682 Novato Boulevard, Suite 100

Novato, CA 94947

Lab Number: BD-0535-1

Project : 131.01.002 1650 65th

Street, Emeryville, CA COC#92082

: 08/31/92 Analyzed

Analyzed by: AZ

Method : EPA 8260

REPORT OF ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Page 1 of 1

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	SAMPLED BY	SAMPLED DATE RECEIVED			
Mvi2	Aqueous	Jeff Cortes	O	3/27/92	08/28/92	
CONSTITUENT	· * · ·	(CAS RN)	*PQL µg/L	RESULI µg/L	NOTE	
BTEX + TPH (Gasoline) Benzene			0.5	2700.	1,2	
Toluene Ethylbenzene			1. 0.5	2900. 3400.		
Xylenes (total) Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Gasoline	2)		0.5 50.	9200. 47000.		

Benicia Division Lab Certifications: CAELAP #1719; L.A.Co.CSD#10185 *RESULTS listed as 'ND' were not detected at or above the listed PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit)

(1) EXTRACTED by EPA 5030 (purge-and-trap)

(2) ANALYZED by CAL DHS DRAFT TPH (modified) and EPA 8260 (GC/MS)

09/03/92 INCOS 50-387 MH/trk/htc EDH31I1

Respectfully submitted,

COAST-TO-COAST ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

Mary Elavered



CLIENT: Andrew Briefer

PES Environmental Inc

Novato, CA 94947

1682 Novato Boulevard, Suite 100

Air, Water & Hazardous Waste Sampling, Analysis & Consultation Certified Hazardous Waste, Chemistry, Bacteriology & Bioassay Laboratories

San Luis Obispo, CA • Goleta, CA • Benicia, CA • Camarillo, CA Newport Beach, CA • Valparaiso, IN • Westbrook, ME

Benicia Division 6006 Egret Court, Benicia, California 94510

(707) 747-2757 FAX (707)747-2765

Lab Number: BD-0535-2

Project

: 131.01.002 1650 65th

Street, Emeryville, CA COC#92082

: 08/31/92 Analyzed

Analyzed by: AZ

: EPA 8260 Method

REPORT OF ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Page 1 of 1

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	SAMPLED BY	SAMPLED DATE RECEIVED			
миз	Aqueous	Jeff Cortes	08	3/27/92	08/28/92	
CONSTITUENT		(CAS RN)	*PQL µg/L	RESUL' μg/L	r note	
BTEX + TPH (Gasoline)					1,2	
Benzene			0.5	4.0		
Toluene			1.	ИD		
Ethylbenzene			0.5	ND		
Xylenes (total)			0.5	ND		
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Gasoline	·)		50.	370.		

Benicia Division Lab Certifications: CAELAP #1719; L.A.Co.CSD#10185 *RESULTS listed as 'ND' were not detected at or above the listed PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit)

(1) EXTRACTED by EPA 5030 (purge-and-trap)

(2) ANALYZED by CAL DHS DRAFT TPH (modified) and EPA 8260 (GC/MS)

09/03/92 INCOS 50-387 MH/trk/htc BDH31I1

Respectfully submitted,

COAST-TC-COAST ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

Mary Havlicely Ph.D.

President



CLIENT: Andrew Briefer

PES Environmental Inc

Novato, CA 94947

1682 Novato Boulevard, Suite 100

Air, Water & Hazardous Waste Sampling, Analysis & Consultation Certified Hazardous Waste, Chemistry, Bacteriology & Bioassay Laboratories

San Luis Obispo, CA • Goleta, CA • Benicia, CA • Camarillo, CA Newport Beach, CA • Valparaiso, IN • Westbrook, ME

Benicia Division 6006 Egret Court, Benicia, California 94510

(707) 747-2757 FAX (707)747-2765

Lab Number : BD-0535-3

Project

: 131.01.002 1650 65th

Street, Emeryville, CA COC#92082

Analyzed

: 08/31/92

Analyzed by: AZ

Method

: EPA 8260

REPORT OF ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Page 1 of 1

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	SAMPLED BY	SAMP	LED DATE	RECEIVED
MW4	Aqueous	Jeff Cortes	08	3/27/92	08/28/92
CONSTITUENT		(CAS RN)	*PQL µg/L	RESULI µg/L	NOTE
BTEX + TPH (Gasoline) Benzene Toluene			0.5	3.0 ND	1,2
Ethylbenzene Xylenes (total) Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Gasolina	e)		0.5 0.5 50.	ND 0.5 80.	

Benicia Division Lab Certifications: CAELAP #1719; L.A.Co.CSD#10185 *RESULTS listed as 'ND' were not detected at or above the listed PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit)

(1) EXTRACTED by EPA 5030 (purge-and-trap)

(2) ANALYZED by CAL DHS DRAFT TPH (modified) and EPA 8260 (GC/MS)

09/03/92 INCOS 50-387 MH/trk/htc BDH31I1

Respectfully submitted,

COAST-TO-COAST ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

May Hauleak



San Luis Obispo, CA • Goleta, CA • Benicia, CA • Camarillo, CA Newport Beach, CA • Valparaiso, IN • Westbrook, ME

Benicia Division 6006 Egret Court, Benicia, California 94510 (707) 747-2757 FAX (707)747-2765

CLIENT: Andrew Briefer

PES Environmental Inc

1682 Novato Boulevard, Suite 100

Novato, CA 94947

Lab Number: BD-0535-4

Project : 131.01.002 1650 65th

Street, Emeryville, CA COC#92082

Analyzed : 09/01/92

Analyzed by: AZ

Method: EPA 8260

REPORT OF ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Page 1 of 1

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	SAMPLED BY	SAMI	PLED DATE	RECEIVED
MW5	Aqueous	Jeff Cortes	O	3/27/92	08/28/92
CONSTITUENT		(CAS RN)	*PQL µg/L	RESULI µg/L	
BTEX + TPH (Gasoline) Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes (total)			0.5 1. 0.5 0.5	83. 2. ND	1,2
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Gasoline	:)		50.	520.	

Benicia Division Lab Certifications: CAELAP #1719; L.A.Co.CSD#10185
*RESULTS listed as 'ND' were not detected at or above the listed PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit)

(1) EXTRACTED by EPA 5030 (purge-and-trap)

(2) ANALYZED by CAL DHS DRAFT TPH (modified) and EPA 8260 (GC/MS)

09/03/92 INCOS 50-387 MH/trk/htc EDI0111 Respectfully submitted,

COAST-TO-COAST ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

ay Harlick

Mary Havlice, Ph.D.



CLIENT: Andrew Briefer

PES Environmental Inc

Novato, CA 94947

1682 Novato Boulevard, Suite 100

Air, Water & Hazardous Waste Sampling, Analysis & Consultation Certified Hazardous Waste, Chemistry, Bacteriology & Bioassay Laboratories

San Luis Obispo, CA • Goleta, CA • Benicia, CA • Camarillo, CA Newport Beach, CA • Valparaiso, IN • Westbrook, ME

Benicia Division 6006 Egret Court, Benicia, California 94510

(707) 747-2757 FAX (707)747-2765

Lab Number : BD-0535-5

Project

: 131.01.002 1650 65th

Street, Emeryville, CA COC#92082

: 09/01/92 Analyzed

Analyzed by: AZ

: EPA 8260 Method

REPORT OF ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Page 1 of 1

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	SAMPLED BY		LED DATE	RECEIVED
ммб	Aqueous	Jeff Cortes		/27/92	08/28/92
CONSTITUENT		(CAS RN)	*PQL µg/L	resul: µg/L	r note
BTEX + TPH (Gasoline) Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes (total) Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Gasolin	ne)		0.5 1. 0.5 0.5	ND ND ND ND	1,2,3

Benicia Division Lab Certifications: CAELAP #1719; L.A.Co.CSD#10185 *RESULTS listed as 'ND' were not detected at or above the listed PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit)

- (1) EXTRACTED by EPA 5030 (purge-and-trap)
- (2) ANALYZED by CAL DHS DRAFT TPH (modified) and EPA 8260 (GC/MS)
- (3) Small amount of Diesel 2 was detected in the sample

09/08/92 INCOS 50-387 MH/trk/htc BDI0111

Respectfully submitted,

COAST-TO-COAST ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

Mary Havlicet Ph.D.



CLIENT: Andrew Briefer

PES Environmental Inc

Novato, CA 94947

Air, Water & Hazardous Waste Sampling, Analysis & Consultation Certified Hazardous Waste, Chemistry, Bacteriology & Bioassay Laboratories

San Luis Obispo, CA • Goleta, CA • Benicia, CA • Camarillo, CA Newport Beach, CA • Valparaiso, IN • Westbrook, ME

Benicia Division

1682 Novato Boulevard, Suite 100

6006 Egret Court, Benicia, California 94510

(707) 747-2757 FAX (707)747-2765

Lab Number: BD-0535-6

Project

: 131.01.002 1650 65th

Street, Emeryville, CA COC#92082

Analyzed : 08/31/92

Analyzed by: AZ

Method : EPA 8260

REPORT OF ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Page 1 of 1

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	SAMPLED BY	SAMI	PLED DATE	RECEIVED
MW7	Aqueous	Jeff Cortes	O	8/27/92	08/28/92
CONSTITUENT		(CAS RN)	*PQL µg/L	RESULI µg/L	r note
BTEX + TPH (Gasoline)					1,2
Benzene			0.5	11.	
Toluene			1.	1.	
Ethylbenzene			0.5	ND	
Xylenes (total)			0.5	ND	
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Gasoline	: }		50.	440.	

Henicia Division Lab Certifications: CAELAP #1719; L.A.Co.CSD#10185
*RESULTS listed as 'ND' were not detected at or above the listed PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit)

(1) EXTRACTED by EPA 5030 (purge-and-trap)

(2) ANALYZED by CAL DHS DRAFT TPH (modified) and EPA 8260 (GC/MS)

09/03/92 INCOS 50-387 MH/trk/htc BDH3111 Respectfully submitted,

COAST-TO-COAST ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

Mary Havlicek Ph.D.



San Luis Obispo, CA • Goleta, CA • Benicia, CA • Camarillo, CA Newport Beach, CA • Valparaiso, IN • Westbrook, ME

Benicia Division 6006 Egret Court, Benicia, California 94510

(707) 747-2757 FAX (707)747-2765

QC Batch ID: BDI0111

CLIENT: Coast-to-Coast Analytical Services, Inc.

: 09/01/92 Analyzed

Analyzed by: AZ

: EPA 8260 Method

METHOD BLANK

REPORT OF ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Page 1 of 1

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	SAMPLED BY	SA	MPLED DATE RE	CEIVED
METHOD BLANK	Aqueous				
CONSTITUENT		(CAS RN)	*PQL µg/L	result µg/l	NOTE
BTEX + TPH (Gasoline)					1,2
Benzene			0.5	ND	
Toluene			1.	ND	
Ethylbenzene			0.5	ND	
Xylenes (total)			0.5	ND	
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Gasoline)		50.	ND	

Benicia Division Lab Certifications: CAELAP #1719; L.A.Co.CSD#10185 *RESULTS listed as 'ND' were not detected at or above the listed PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit)

- (1) EXTRACTED by EPA 5030 (purge-and-trap)
- (2) ANALYZED by CAL DHS DRAFT TPH (modified) and EPA 8260 (GC/MS)

09/04/92 INCOS 50-387 MH/trk/htc BD0535~5

Respectfully submitted,

Mary Havlices, Ph.D.

President COAST-TO-COAST ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.



San Luis Obispo, CA • Goleta, CA • Benicia, CA • Camarillo, CA Newport Beach, CA • Valparaiso, IN • Westbrook, ME

Benicia Division 6006 Egret Court, Benicia, California 94510

(707) 747-2757 FAX (707)747-2765

QC Batch ID: BDI01I1

CLIENT: Coast-to-Coast Analytical Services, Inc.

: 09/01/92 Analyzed

Analyzed by: AZ

Method : EPA 8260

QC SPIKE

REPORT OF ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Page 1 of 1

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	SAMPLED BY	7	SAMPLED DA	TE RECE	IVED
QC SPIKE	Aqueous					
CONSTITUENT		*PQL μg/L	SPIKE AMOUNT	result µg/l	%REC	NOTE
BTEX + TPH (Gasoline)						1,2
Benzene		0.5	150.	170.	113.	
Toluene		1.	780.	810.	104.	
Ethylbenzene		0.5	150.	160.	107.	
Xylenes (total)		0.5	810.	930.	115.	
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Gasolin	e)	50.	7100.	7 9 00.	111.	

Benicia Division Lab Certifications: CAELAP #1719; L.A.Co.CSD#10185 *RESULTS listed as 'ND' were not detected at or above the listed PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit)

(1) EXTRACTED by EPA 5030 (purge-and-trap)

(2) ANALYZED by CAL DHS DRAFT TPH (modified) and EPA 8260 (GC/MS)

09/04/92 INCOS 50-387 MH/trk/htc BD0535-5

Respectfully submitted,

COAST-TO-COAST ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

Mary Haulicet Ph.D.

COAST - TO -Coast NALYTICAL SERVICES

Air, Water & Hazardous Waste Sampling, Analysis & Consultation Certified Hazardous Waste, Chemistry, Bacteriology & Bioassay Laboratories

San Luis Obispo, CA • Goleta, CA • Benicia, CA • Camarillo, CA Newport Beach, CA • Valparaiso, IN • Westbrook, ME

Benicia Division 6006 Egret Court, Benicia, California 94510

(707) 747-2757 FAX (707)747-2765

QC Batch ID: BDI01I1

CLIENT: Coast-to-Coast Analytical Services, Inc.

: 09/01/92 Analyzed

Analyzed by: AZ

: EPA 8260 Method

QC SPIKE

REPORT OF ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Page 1 of 1

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	SA	MPLED BY	SAMP	LED DA	TE RECE	IVED
QC SPIKE DUPLICATE	Ydneona						
CONSTITUENT		*PQL μg/L	SPIKE AMOUNT	RESULT µg/L	*REC	*DIFF	NOTE
BTEX + TPH (Gasoline)							1,2
Benzene		0.5	150.	140.	93.	19.	
Toluene		1.	780.	820.	105.	1.2	
Ethylbenzene		0.5	150.	150.	100.	6.5	
Xylenes (total)		0.5	810.	760.	94.	20.	
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Gasoline	≩)	50.	7100.	7700.	108.	2.6	

Benicia Division Lab Certifications: CAELAP #1719; L.A.Co.CSD#10185 *RESULTS listed as 'ND' were not detected at or above the listed PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit)

(1) EXTRACTED by EPA 5030 (purge-and-trap)

(2) ANALYZED by CAL DHS DRAFT TPH (modified) and EPA 8260 (GC/MS)

09/04/92 INCOS 50-387 MH/trk/htc BD0535-5

Respectfully submitted, COAST-TO-COAST ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

Mary Havlicely Ph.D.
President



San Luis Obispo, CA • Goleta, CA • Benicia, CA • Camarillo, CA Newport Beach, CA • Valparaiso, IN • Westbrook, ME

Benicia Division 6006 Egret Court, Benicia, California 94510 (707) 747-2757 FAX (707) 747-2765

QC Batch ID: BDH31I1

CLIENT: Coast-to-Coast Analytical Services, Inc.

Analyzed : 08/31/92

Analyzed by: AZ

Method: EPA 8260

METHOD BLANK

REPORT OF ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Page 1 of 1

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	SAMPLED BY	SA	(PLED DATE RE	CEIVED
METHOD BLANK	ydneone				
CONSTITUENT		(CAS RN)	*PQL μg/L	result µg/l	NOTE
BTEX + TPH (Gasoline)					1,2
Benzene			0.5	ND	
Toluene			1.	ND	
Ethylbenzene			0.5	ND	
Xylenes (total)			0.5	ND	
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Gasoli	ne)		50.	ND	

Benicia Division Lab Certifications: CAELAP #1719; L.A.Co.CSD#10185
*RESULTS listed as 'ND' were not detected at or above the listed PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit)

- (1) EXTRACTED by EPA 5030 (purga-and-trap)
- (2) ANALYZED by CAL DHS DRAFT TPH (modified) and EPA 8260 (GC/MS)

09/03/92 INCOS 50-387 MH/trk/htc BD0535-3 Respectfully submitted,

Mary Harledto

Mary Havlicek Ph.D.



San Luis Obispo, CA • Goleta, CA • Benicia, CA • Camarillo, CA Newport Beach, CA • Valparaiso, IN • Westbrook, ME

Benicia Division 6006 Egret Court, Benicia, California 94510 (707) 747-2757 FAX (707)747-2765

QC Batch ID: BDH31I1

CLIENT: Coast-to-Coast Analytical Services, Inc.

Analyzed : 08/31/92

Analyzed by: AZ

Method: EPA 8260

QC SPIKE

REPORT OF ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Page 1 of 1

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	SAMPLED B	<i></i>	SAMPLED DA	TE RECE	IVED
QC SPIKE	Aqueous					
CONSTITUENT		*PQL μg/L	SPIKE AMOUNT	result µg/l	%REC	NOTE
BTEX + TPH (Gasoline)			<u> </u>			1,2
Benzene		0.5	150.	150.	100.	
Toluene		1.	460.	470.	102.	
Ethylbenzene		0.5	150.	150.	100.	
Xylenes (total)	ŧ	0.5	740.	760.	103.	
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Gasoline	∌)	50.	7100.	7800.	110.	

Benicia Division Lab Certifications: CAELAP #1719; L.A.Co.CSD#10185
*RESULTS listed as 'ND' were not detected at or above the listed PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit)

(1) EXTRACTED by EPA 5030 (purge-and-trap)

(2) ANALYZED by CAL DHS DRAFT TPH (modified) and EPA 8260 (GC/MS)

09/03/92 INCOS 50-387 MH/trk/htc BD0535-3 Respectfully submitted,

COAST-TO-COAST ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

Mary Havlicek Ph.D.



San Luis Obispo, CA • Goleta, CA • Benicia, CA • Camarillo, CA Newport Beach, CA • Valparaiso, IN • Westbrook, ME

Benicia Division 6006 Egret Court, Benicia, California 94510 (707) 747-2757 FAX (707)747-2765

QC Batch ID: BDH31I1

CLIENT: Coast-to-Coast Analytical Services, Inc.

Analyzed : 08/31/92

Analyzed by: AZ

Method: EPA 8260

QC SPIKE

REPORT OF ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Page 1 of 1

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	SA	MPLED BY	SAMP	LED DAT	TE RECE	IVED
QC SPIKE DUPLICATE	Aqueous		•				
CONSTITUENT		*PQL µg/L	SPIKE AMOUNT	Result µg/l	*REC	%DIFF	NOTE
BTEX + TPH (Gasoline)							1,2
Benzene		0.5	150.	140.	93.	6.9	
Toluene		1.	460.	430.	93.	8.9	
Ethylbenzene		0.5	150.	140.	93.	6.9	
Xylenes (total)		0.5	740.	730.	99.	4.	
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Gasolin	na)	50.	7100.	7100.	100.	9.4	

Benicia Division Lab Certifications: CAELAP #1719; L.A.Co.CSD#10185
*RESULTS listed as 'ND' were not detected at or above the listed PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit)

(1) EXTRACTED by EPA 5030 (purge-and-trap)

(2) ANALYZED by CAL DHS DRAFT TPH (modified) and EPA 8260 (GC/MS)

09/03/92 INCOS 50-387 MH/trk/htc BD0535-3 Respectfully submitted,

COAST-TO-COAST ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

May Davleces

3LAINE	1370 TULLY ROAD., SUITE 505			CONDU	CT ANAI	YSIS TO DE	TECT	ILAB CAS	TTO	COA57	ب DHS #
ECH SERVICES								ALL ANALYSES MUST SET BY CALIFORNIA		FICATIONS AN	D DETECTION LIN
ECH SERVICES	, INC. (100) 111 1111							EPA	Brio Airo	⊠ [′] RWQ	CB REGION $\underline{2}$
CHAIN OF CUSTODY 920827	17							LIA			
	H	ည္	1					OTHER	2	300535	
CLIENT //ES	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	L L	is 1	ļ				SPECIAL INSTRUCTION	ONS		
SITE 1650 C	5Th STREET	Z X	A.								
150 22811	5Th STREET	l S	N								
EAMER TUI	CLE (M.	COMPOSITE ALL CONTAINERS	CX						f ~		
	Livery CONTANTEDO	LISO	, ,					foul	inl		
	MATRIX CONTAINERS 등입	OMP.	11								
i	= H2O	n n	1				1	ADD'L INFORMATION	STATUS	CONDITION	LAB SAMPLE #
SAMPLE I.D.	″i≯ TOTAL	Ü			-	 					
mwz		_		/-		+	 - 				
mw3							 				<u> </u>
mw4							<u> </u>				
mu5											
			/								
MN6	++-	 	7				+ + -		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
mut_		<u> </u>	<u> </u>				 -			-	
					ļ						
	CAMPIND -	<u></u>	<u> </u>					RESULTS NEEDED			
SAMPLING DATE TO COMPLETED \$ 7792	IME SAMPLING PERFORMED BY	16.	Will					RESULTS NEEDED NO LATER THAN	Koul	ul	
RELEASED BY	DAT	Ē		TIME		RECEIV	ED BY	GK (D66)		DATE アスプラ	(871)
My Gentre		<i>4 / '</i>	72		170	▼ RECEIV	سر جممه	X1202	<u> </u>	DATE	TIME
RELEASED BY	87	\$9	2	TIME O	\$	PRECEIV	Reca	(a) 3 1/4	/	8-01	1/
RELEASED BY	DAT	Œ.		TIME		RECEIV				DATE	TIME
	<u> </u>			, _		7		_ 			
SHIPPED VIA	DAT	ESE	NT	TIME	SENT	COOLER	#				
								<u> </u>			