5500 Shellmound Street, Emeryville, CA 94608-2411

Fax: 510-547-5043 Phone: 510-450-6000

November 17, 1994

Jennifer Eberle
Alameda County Department
of Environmental Health
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway
Alameda, CA 94502-6577

Re: Shell Service Station WIC #204-5510-0204 350 Grand Avenue Oakland, California WA Job #81-0701-104

Dear Ms. Eberle:

This letter describes recently completed and anticipated activities at the Shell service station referenced above (Figure 1). This status report satisfies the quarterly reporting requirements prescribed by California Administrative Code Title 23 Waters, Chapter 3, Subchapter 16, Article 5, Section 2652.d. Included below are descriptions and results of activities performed in the fourth quarter 1994 and proposed work for the first quarter 1995.

Fourth Quarter 1994 Activities:

- Blaine Tech Services, Inc. (BTS) of San Jose, California measured ground water depths and collected ground water samples from the site wells. BTS' report describing these activities and the analytic report for the ground water samples are included as Attachment A.
- Weiss Associates (WA) calculated ground water elevations and compiled the analytic data (Tables 1 and 2) and prepared a ground water elevation contour map (Figure 2).
- Shell installed overfill containment on the four existing underground storage tanks.

Jennifer Eberle November 17, 1994



Anticipated First Quarter 1995 Activities:

- WA will submit a report presenting the results of the first quarter 1995 ground water sampling and ground water depth measurements. The report will include tabulated chemical analytic results, ground water elevations and a ground water elevation contour map.
- WA will install at least one offsite ground water monitoring well.

Conclusions and Recommendations:

In October, 1994, ground water flowed southwesterly beneath the site, which is consistent with the third quarter 1994 ground water flow direction. Hydrocarbon concentrations remained within historical ranges.

Quarterly monitoring will continue at this site.

Please call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Weiss Associates

J. Michael Asport

Staff Scientist I

James W. Carmody, C.E.G.

Senior Project Hydrogeologist

JMA/JWC:jma

J:\SHELL\0701\QM\701QMNO4.WP

Attachments: A - BTS Ground Water Monitoring Report

Dan Kirk, Shell Oil Company, P.O. Box 4023, Concord, California 94524
 John Jang, Regional Water Quality Control Board - San Francisco Bay Region, 2101
 Webster Street, Suite 500, Oakland, California 94612






Figure 1. Site Location Map - Shell Service Station WIC #204-5510-0204, 350 Grand Avenue, Oakland, California






Figure 2. Monitoring Well Location and Ground Water Elevation Contour Map - October 6, 1994 - Shell Service Station WIC #204-5510-0204, 350 Grand Avenue, Oakland, California

Table 1. Ground Water Elevations - Shell Service Station WIC #204-5510-0204, 350 Grand Avenue, Oakland, California

Well ID	Date	Top-of-Casing Elevation	Depth to Water (ft)	Ground Water Elevation (ft above msl)
S-1	01/23/91	20.84	9.73	11.11
- 1	04/25/91	20.01	7.37	13.47
	07/19/91		8.92	11.92
	10/09/91		9.62	11.22
	01/23/92		8.94	11.90
	04/27/92	(a	7.06	13.78
	07/10/92		8.31	12.53
	10/06/92		9.55	11.29
	01/06/93		9.86	10.98
	04/26/93		6.30	14.54
	07/20/93		8.78	12.06
	10/18/93		9.20	11.64
	01/07/94		9.53	11.31
	04/11/94		8.50	12.34
	07/14/94		8.45	12.39
	07/19/94		9.07	11.77
	10/06/94		11.68	9.16
	20100171		11100	7120
S-2	01/23/91	21.24	10.55	10.69
	04/25/91		8.24	13.00
	07/19/91		9.55	11.69
	10/09/91		10.26	10.98
	01/23/92		9.51	11.73
	04/27/92	6	7.83	13.41
	07/10/92		8.57	12.67
	10/06/92		9.49	11.75
	01/06/93		8.56	12.68
	04/26/93		6.84	14.40
	07/20/93		8.52	12.72
	10/18/93		9.36	11.88
	01/07/94		8.37	12.87
	04/11/94		6.96	14.28
	07/14/94		7.49	13.75
	07/19/94		8.02	13.22
	10/06/94		11.00	10.24
S-3	01/23/91	22.70	14 67	8.03
7-7	04/25/91	22.70	14.67	9.74
			12.96	
	07/19/91		12.45	10.25
	10/09/91		12.98	9.72

⁻⁻ Table 1 continues on next page --



Table 1. Ground Water Elevations - Shell Service Station WIC #204-5510-0204, 350 Grand Avenue, Oakland, California (continued)

Well		Top-of-Casing	Depth to Water	Ground Water Elevation
ID	Date	Elevation	(ft)	(ft above msl)
	01/23/92		13.06	9.64
	04/27/92		7.25	15.45
	07/10/92		8.46	14.24
	10/06/92		11.77	10.93
	01/06/93		12.53	10.17
	04/26/93		4.28	18.42
	07/20/93		5.70	17.00
	10/18/93		10.30	12.40
	01/07/94		12.40	10.30
	04/11/94		10.94	11.76
	07/14/94		7.90	14.80
	07/19/94		8.12	14.58
	10/06/94		12.15	10.55

Sample		Depth to Water	TPH-D	TPH-G	B	E per billion (μg/L)÷	τ.	X
10	Date	(ft)	Ç		parts	ber bittion (#g/L)		
/ELLS								
5-1	01/23/91	9.73	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	04/25/91	7.37	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	07/19/91	8.92	<50	<50	6.8	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	10/09/91	9.62	260°	120	10	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	01/23/92	8.94	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	04/27/92	7.06	70 ^b	<50	1.2	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	07/10/92	8.31	930	<50	13	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	10/06/92	9.55	110	62	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.9
	01/06/93	9.86	81	85	1.1	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	04/26/93	6.30	53°	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	04/26/93 ^{dup}	6.30	53°	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	07/20/93	8.78	140	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	10/18/93	9.20	210	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	01/07/94	9.53	<50	<50	1.4	0.55	1.5	2.8
	01/07/94 ^{dup}	9.53	53	<50	1.2	<0.5	1.5	2.
	04/11/94	8,50	320	<50	2.8	<0.5	<0.5	<0.
	04/11/94 ^{dup}	8.50	220	<50	2.6	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	07/19/94	9.07	110	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	10/06/94	11_68	370	110	1.4	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
s-2	01/23/91	10.55	1,200	2,500	550	33	15	42
_	04/25/91	8.24	20,000b	32,000	2,900	1,400	480	2,300
	07/19/91	9.55	30,000 ^b	21,000	4,700	1,200	430	2,400
	10/09/91	10.26	32,000 b	29,000	6,300	1,700	510	2,400
	01/23/92	9.51	36,000 ^b	31,000	5,800	2,000	480	2,700
	04/27/92	7.83	12,000 ^b	21,000°	4,800	1,600	320	1,400
	07/10/92	8.57	3,700*	31,000	7,500	3,400	940	3,500
	10/06/92	9.49	4,500°	57,000	9,300	4,000	1,200	4,900
	01/06/93	8.56	5,600	55,000	5,600	3,000	360	3,000
	04/26/93	6.84	9,400°	32,000	10,000	4,400	500	3,600
	07/20/93	8.52	8,400°	25,000	5,800	2,700	300	1,400
	07/20/93 ^{dup}	8.52	8,900°	25,000	5,900	2,800	310	1,400
				23,000	3,700	2,100	200	1,600
	10/18/93	9.36	18,000°				210	1,600
	10/18/93 ^{dup}	9.36	14,000°	28,000	3,700	2,100		
	01/07/94	8.37	22,000	120,000	6,900	3,100	400	2,600
	04/11/94	6.96	17,000°	34,000	4,800	1,900	170	880
	07/19/94	8.02		23,000	4,300	1,100	210	1,000
	07/19/94 ^{dup}	8.02		29,000	4,700	1,200	270	1,200
	10/06/94	11.00		61,000	4,600	1,900	290	1,900
	10/06/94 ^{dup}	11.00		52,000	5,200	2,100	270	1,900
s-3	01/23/91	14.67		<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.

^{**} Table 2 continues on next page --

		Depth to	TPH-D	T₽H~G	В	É	Ţ	х
ample		Water	<		parts	per billion (#g/L).		>
D	Date	(ft)			<u> </u>			
	04/25/91	12.96		<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	07/19/91	12.45		<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	10/09/91	12.98		<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	01/23/92	13.06		<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	04/27/92	7.25	100	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	07/10/92	8.46	68	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	10/06/92	11.77	<10	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	01/06/93	12,53	<10	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	04/26/93	4.28	69	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	07/20/93	5.70	120	<50	<0.5	<0.5	0.6	<0.5
	10/18/93	10.30	160	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	01/07/941	12.40	58	160	59	4.9	26	. 22
	04/11/94	10.94	<50	<50	<0.52	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	07/19/94	8.12	110°	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	10/06/94	12.15	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
P-1	01/27/93		14,000	22,000	2,500	1,400	130	140
P-2	01/27/93			<50	<0.5	<0.5	4.4	<0.5
P-3	01/27/93			<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
rip Blank	01/23/91			<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
ip brain	04/25/91							
	07/19/91			<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	10/09/91	•						
	01/23/92		<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	04/26/93		<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	07/20/93			<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	10/18/93		<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	01/07/94		<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	04/11/94		<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	07/19/94		<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	10/06/94			<50	<0.5	<0,5	<0.5	<0.5
TSC MCLs				NE	1	680	100°	1,750

Table 2. Analytic Results for Ground Water, Former Shell Service Station, WIC #204-5510-0303, 5755 Broadway, Oakland, California (continued)

Abbreviations:

TPH-G = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline by Modified EPA Method 8015

TPH-D = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel by Modified EPA Method 8015

B = Benzene by EPA Method 8020

E = Ethylbenzene by EPA Method 8020

T = Toluene by EPA Method 8020

X = Xylenes by EPA Method 8020

--- = Not analyzed

DTSC MCLs = California Department of Toxic Substances Control maximum contaminant levels for drinking water

NE = Not established

<n = Not detected at detection limits of n ppb

dup = Duplicate sample


HP = Hydropunch ground water sample

Notes:

- a = compounds detected and calculated as diesel are not characteristic of the standard diesel chromatographic pattern
- b = Compounds detected and calculated as diesel appear to be the less volatile constituents of gasoline
- c = Concentration reported as diesel primarily due to the presence of a heavier petroleum product, possibly motor oil
- d = Compounds detected and calculated as gasoline are not characteristic of the standard gasoline chromatographic pattern
- e = Concentration reported as diesel is primarily due to the presence of lighter petroleum product, possibly gasoline
- f = TPH-G/BETX concentrations anomalous with historical data. Lab verified concentrations.
- g = DTSC recommended action level for drinking water; MCL not established

ATTACHMENT A

GROUND WATER MONITORING REPORT AND ANALYTIC REPORT



BLAINE TECH SERVICES INC.

985 TIMOTHY DRIVE SAN JOSE, CA 95133 (408) 995-5535 FAX (408) 293-8776

October 25, 1994

Shell Oil Company P.O. Box 4023 Concord, CA 94524

Attn: Daniel T. Kirk

SITE: Shell WIC #204-5510-0204 350 Grand Avenue Oakland, California

QUARTER: 4th quarter of 1994

QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER SAMPLING REPORT 941006-F-1

This report contains data collected during routine inspection, gauging and sampling of groundwater monitoring wells performed by Blaine Tech Services, Inc. in response to the request of the consultant who is overseeing work at this site on behalf of our mutual client, Shell Oil Company. Data collected in the course of our field work is presented in a TABLE OF WELL GAUGING DATA. The field information was collected during our preliminary gauging and inspection of the wells, the subsequent evacuation of each well prior to sampling and at the time of sampling.

Measurements taken include the total depth of the well and the depth to water. The surface of water was further inspected for the presence of immiscibles which may be present as a thin film (a sheen on the surface of the water) or as a measurable free product zone (FPZ). At intervals during the evacuation phase, the purge water was monitored with instruments that measure electrical conductivity (EC), potential hydrogen (pH), temperature (degrees Fahrenheit), and turbidity (NTU). In the interest of simplicity, fundamental information is tabulated here, while the bulk of the information is turned over directly to the consultant who is making professional interpretations and evaluations of the conditions at the site.

STANDARD PROCEDURES

Evacuation

Groundwater wells are thoroughly purged before sampling to insure that the sample is collected from water that has been newly drawn into the well from the surrounding geologic formation. The selection of equipment to evacuate each well is based on the physical characteristics of the well and what is known about the performance of the formation in which the well has been installed. There are several suitable devices which can be used for evacuation. The most commonly employed devices are air or gas actuated pumps, electric submersible pumps, and hand or mechanically actuated bailers. Our personnel frequently employ USGS/Middleburg positive displacement pumps or similar air actuated pumps which do not agitate the water standing in the well.

Normal evacuation removes three case volumes of water from the well. More than three case volumes of water are removed in cases where more evacuation is needed to achieve stabilization of water parameters and when requested by the local implementing agency. Less water may be removed in cases where the well dewaters and does not recharge to 80% of its original volume within two hours and any additional time our personnel have reason to remain at the site. In such cases, our personnel return to the site within twenty four hours and collect sample material from the water which has recharged into the well case.

Decontamination

All apparatus is brought to the site in clean and serviceable condition. The equipment is decontaminated after each use and before leaving the site. Effluent water from purging and on-site equipment cleaning is collected and transported to Shell's Martinez Manufacturing Complex in Martinez, California.

Free Product Skimmer

The column headed, VOLUME OF IMMISCIBLES REMOVED (ml) is included in the TABLE OF WELL GAUGING DATA to cover situations where a free product skimming device must be removed from the well prior to gauging. Skimmers are installed in wells with a free product zone on the surface of the water. The skimmer is a free product recovery-device which often prevents normal well gauging and free product zone measurements. The 2.0" and 3.0" PetroTraps fall into the category of devices that obstruct normal gauging In cases where the consultant elects to have our personnel pull the skimmers out of the well and gauge the well, our personnel perform the additional task of draining the accumulated free product out of the PetroTrap before putting it back in the well. This

recovered free product is measured and logged in the VOLUME OF IMMISCIBLES REMOVED column. Gauging at such site is performed in accordance with specific directions from the professional consulting firm overseeing work at the site on Shell's behalf.

Sample Containers

Sample material is collected in specially prepared containers which are provided by the laboratory that performs the analyses.

Sampling

Sample material is collected in stainless steel bailer type devices normally fitted with both a top and a bottom check valve. Water is promptly decanted into new sample containers in a manner which reduces the loss of volatile constituents and follows the applicable EPA standard for handling volatile organic and semi-volatile compounds.

Following collection, samples are promptly placed in an ice chest containing pre-frozen blocks of an inert ice substitute such as Blue Ice or Super Ice. The samples are maintained in either an ice chest or a refrigerator until delivered into the custody of the laboratory.

Sample Designations

All sample containers are identified with a site designation and a discrete sample identification number specific to that particular groundwater well. Additional standard notations (e.g. time, date, sampler) are also made on the label.

Chain of Custody

Samples are continuously maintained in an appropriate cooled container while in our custody and until delivered to the laboratory under a standard Shell Oil Company chain of custody. If the samples are taken charge of by a different party (such as another person from our office, a courier, etc.) prior to being delivered to the laboratory, appropriate release and acceptance records are made on the chain of custody (time, date, and signature of the person releasing the samples followed by the time, date and signature of the person accepting custody of the samples).

Hazardous Materials Testing Laboratory

The samples obtained at this site were delivered to National Environmental Testing, Inc. in Santa Rosa, California. NET is a California Department of Health Services certified Hazardous Materials Testing Laboratory and is listed as DOHS HMTL #178.

Objective Information Collection

Blaine Tech Services, Inc. performs specialized environmental sampling and documentation as an independent third party. In order to avoid compromising the objectivity necessary for the proper and disinterested performance of this work, Blaine Tech Services, Inc. performs no consulting and does not become involved in the marketing or installation of remedial systems of any kind. Blaine Tech Services, Inc. is concerned only with the generation of objective information, not with the use of that information to support evaluations and recommendations concerning the environmental condition of the site. Even the straightforward interpretation of objective analytical data is better performed by interested regulatory agencies and those engineers and geologists who are engaged in the work of providing professional opinions about the site and proposals to perform additional investigation or design remedial systems.

Reportage

Submission of this report and the attached laboratory report to interested regulatory agencies is handled by the consultant in charge of the project. Any professional evaluations or recommendations will be made by the consultant under separate cover.

Please call if we can be of any further assistance.

James alle fue fue

RCB/lp

Attachments: table of well gauging data

chain of custody

certified analytical report

cc: Weiss Associates 5500 Shellmound Street Emeryville, CA 94608-2411 ATTN: Michael Asport

TABLE OF WELL GAUGING DATA

WELL I.D.	DATA COLLECTION DATE	MEASUREMENT REFERENCED TO	QUALITATIVE OBSERVATIONS (sheen)	DEPTH TO FIRST IMMISCIBLES LIQUID (FPZ) (feet)	THICKNESS OF IMMISCIBLES LIQUID ZONE (feet)	VOLUME OF IMMISCIBLES REMOVED (ml)	DEPTH TO WATER (feet)	DEPTH TO WELL BOTTOM (feet)
\$-1 \$-2 °	10/6/94 10/6/94	TOB TOB	 SHEEN/ODOR	NONE -		<u>-</u>	11.68 11.00	17.68 15.02
\$-3	10/6/94	TOB		NONE		-	12.15	15.04

^{*} Sample DUP was a duplicate sample taken from well S-2.

CHELL OIL	CONADAN	V				<u> </u>								309 F		
SHELL OIL RETAIL ENVIROR			WEST			CH.	Air io\$	ial N	r C lo:_	77	// U	ا ۱۲	4F(CORD	Page / of	/
i	d Avenue, Oakl	and			Analysis Required LAB: LAB:				1127							
WIC#: 204-5510	-0204								•					CHECK OHE (I) TOX OHLY	CI/OI ,TURH AROU	HD TIME
Sholl Enginoor; Dan Kirk Consulioni Namo & Address; Blaine Tech Services, I 985 Timothy Drive San Consulioni Conlact; Jim Keller	nc. Jose, CA 95	6 No.: (510) 6168 675-6172 633 6 No.: (408) 6535 6 293-8773	Gas)		(EPA 8240)		8015 & BTEX 8020				JUTA WAL HIM	Hd		Sile investigation Soli Classify/Disposal Water Classify/Disposal Soli/Air Renn, or Sys. O & M	### 24 hours [(Lab ou
Commonts:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Kod.	0/602)	ics (E)	ਰ	трн во				¥°¢.	ų Š	Y/N	Olher	24/48 hm. 14	
Printed Name: Tom May Sample ID Time Sample S	iludge Soll Wate	ı Air No, ai	TPH (EPA 8015 Mod. Gas) TPH (EPA 8015 Mod. Diecel)	BTEX (EPA 8020/602)	Volatile Organics	Test for Disposal	Combination IPH			Asbestos	Confainer Size	Preparation Used	Composite Y	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPI CONDITI COMME	ON/
5-1 1227 9/44	X	5		γ			X				X	X				
512 1240	· X	3					7				X	γ				
5-3 1213	· X	5	(X				1	λ				
Dui - /	' X	35			nce	1	X			\	1	χ				
TB LA3 V	K	2			ecu	- 1	X	JP!	<i>0</i> 11		X	X		(10/1/04)	hinte)	
												·		zeal	š intad At	
Relinquished By (Althonus):	Printed Name:		nme:	10/7/4 1100	72	7/ (${}^{\circ}$	un	$\sim o$				(1-26-11-12-		11:00
Relinquished By (signature): Relinquished By (signature):	Printed Name:	CE. Y MUSI PROVIDE	Dote: Time: Dote: Itme:	10/1 11:00	Ruc	o lymed	uōr) دام	dinie.): 	ia N		P	ılviə	d Name: Anny Lope: d Name:	Date: (i Time: c Date: Time:	<u>o is l'94</u> ¶:30



Santa Rosa Division 435 Tesconi Circle Santa Rosa, CA 95401

Tel: (707) 526-7200 Fax: (707) 526-9623

Jim Keller Blaine Tech Services 985 Timothy Dr. San Jose, CA 95133 Date: 10/19/1994

NET Client Acct. No: 1821 NET Pacific Job No: 94.04744

Received: 10/08/1994

Client Reference Information

SHELL, 350 Grand Ave., Oakland, 941006F1

Sample analysis in support of the project referenced above has been completed and results are presented on following pages. Results apply only to the samples analyzed. Reproduction of this report is permitted only in its entirety. Please refer to the enclosed "Key to Abbreviations" for definition of terms. Should you have questions regarding procedures or results, please feel welcome to contact Client Services.

Approved by:

Judy Ridley

Project Coordinator

Jim Hoch

Operations Manager

Enclosure(s)





Client Acct: 1821

Date: 10/19/1994

ELAP Cert: 1386 Page: 2

Ref: SHELL, 350 Grand Ave., Oakland, 941006F1

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: S-1

Date Taken: 10/06/1994 Time Taken: 12:27

NET Sample No: 219246

			Reportin	g		Date	Date
Parameter	Results F	lags	Limit	Units	Method	Extracted	Analyzed
TPH (Gas/BTXE, Liquid)							
METHOD 5030/M8015							10/13/1994
DILUTION FACTOR*	1						10/13/1994
as Gasoline	110		50	ug/L	5030		10/13/1994
Carbon Range:	C5-C12						10/13/1994
METHOD 8020 (GC, Liquid)							10/13/1994
Benzene	1.4		0.5	ug/L	8020		10/13/1994
Toluene	ND		0.5	ug/L	8020		10/13/1994
Ethylbenzene	ND		0.5	ug/L	8020		10/13/1994
Xylenes (Total)	ND		0.5	ug/L	8020		10/13/1994
SURROGATE RESULTS							10/13/1994
Bromofluorobenzene (SURR)	100			% Rec.	5030		10/13/1994
METHOD M8015 (EXT., Liquid)				•		10/11/1994	
DILUTION FACTOR*	1			•			10/14/1994
as Diesel	370		50	ug/L	3510		10/14/1994
Carbon Range:	CB-C20						10/14/1994



Client Acct: 1821 NET Job No: 94.04744 Date: 10/19/1994

ELAP Cert: 1386 . Page: 3

Ref: SHELL, 350 Grand Ave., Oakland, 941006F1

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: S-3

Date Taken: 10/06/1994 Time Taken: 12:40 NET Sample No: 219247

		Reportin	g		Date	Date
Parameter	Results Flags	Limit	Units	Method	Extracted	Analyzed
TPH (Gas/BTXE, Liquid)						
METHOD 5030/M8015						10/13/1994
DILUTION FACTOR*	1					10/13/1994
as Gasoline	ND	50	ug/L	5030		10/13/1994
Carbon Range:						10/13/1994
METHOD 8020 (GC, Liquid)						10/13/1994
Benzene	ND	0.5	ug/L	8020		10/13/1994
Toluene	ND	0.5	ug/L	8020		10/13/1994
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.5	ug/L	8020		10/13/1994
Xylenes (Total)	ND	0.5	ug/L	8020		10/13/1994
SURROGATE RESULTS						10/13/1994
Bromofluorobenzene (SURR)	95		% Rec.	5030		10/13/1994
METHOD M8015 (EXT., Liquid)					10/11/1994	
DILUTION FACTOR*	1					10/14/1994
as Diesel	ND	50	ug/L	3510		10/14/1994
Carbon Range:						10/14/1994



Client Acct: 1821 NET Job No: 94.04744 Date: 10/19/1994

ELAP Cert: 1386 . Page: 4

Ref: SHELL, 350 Grand Ave., Oakland, 941006F1

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: DUP

Date Taken: 10/06/1994

Time Taken:

NET Sample No: 219248

		Reportin	g		Date	Date
Parameter	Results Flags	Limit	Units	Method	Extracted	Analyzed
TPH (Gas/BTXE, Liquid)						
METHOD 5030/M8015						10/13/1994
DILUTION FACTOR*	100					10/13/1994
as Gasoline	52,000	5,000	ug/L	5030		10/13/1994
Carbon Range:	C5-C14					10/13/1994
METHOD 8020 (GC, Liquid)						10/13/1994
Benzene	5,200	50	ug/L	8020		10/13/1994
Toluene	270	50	ug/L	8020		10/13/1994
Ethylbenzene	2,100	50	ug/L	8020		10/13/1994
Xylenes (Total)	1,900	50	ug/L	8020		10/13/1994
SURROGATE RESULTS						10/13/1994
Bromofluorobenzene (SURR)	109		% Rec.	5030		10/13/1994



Client Acct: 1821

NET Job No: 94.04744

Date: 10/19/1994

ELAP Cert: 1386

Page: 5

Ref: SHELL, 350 Grand Ave., Oakland, 941006F1

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: S-2

Date Taken: 10/06/1994 Time Taken: 12:40 NET Sample No: 219249

		Reporting	g		Date	Date
Parameter	Results Flags	Limit	Units	Method	Extracted	Analyzed
TPH (Gas/BTXE, Liquid)						
METHOD 5030/M8015						10/14/1994
DILUTION FACTOR*	100					10/14/1994
as Gasoline	61,000	5,000	ug/L	5030		10/14/1994
Carbon Range:	C5-C14					10/14/1994
METHOD 8020 (GC, Liquid)						10/14/1994
Benzene	4,600	50	ug/L	8020		10/14/1994
Toluene	290	50	ug/L	8020		10/14/1994
Ethylbenzene	1,900	50	ug/L	8020		10/14/1994
Xylenes (Total)	1,900	50	ug/L	8020		10/14/1994
SURROGATE RESULTS						10/14/1994
Bromofluorobenzene (SURR)	106		% Rec.	5030		10/14/1994



Client Acct: 1821 NET Job No: 94.04744 Date: 10/19/1994

ELAP Cert: 1386 Page: 6

Ref: SHELL, 350 Grand Ave., Oakland, 941006F1

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: TB

Date Taken: 10/06/1994

Time Taken:

NET Sample No: 219250

			Reportin	g		Date	Date
Parameter	Results F	lags	Limit	Units	Method	Extracted	Analyzed
TPH (Gas/BTXE, Liquid)							
METHOD 5030/M8015							10/13/1994
DILUTION FACTOR*	1						10/13/1994
as Gasoline	ND		50	ug/L	5030		10/13/1994
Carbon Range:						_	10/13/1994
METHOD 8020 (GC, Liquid)					•		10/13/1994
Benzene	ND		0.5	ug/L	8020		10/13/1994
Toluene	ND		0.5	ug/L	8020		10/13/1994
Ethylbenzene	ND		0.5	ug/L	8020	•	10/13/1994
Xylenes (Total)	ND		0.5	ug/L	8020		10/13/1994
SURROGATE RESULTS							10/13/1994
Bromofluorobenzene (SURR)	99			% Rec.	5030		10/13/1994



Client Name:

Blaine Tech Service

Client Acct:

94.04744

Date: 10/19/1994

P Cert: 1386

. Page - 7

Ref: SHELL, 350 Grand Ave., Oakland, 941006F1

CONTINUING CALIBRATION VERIFICATION STANDARD REPORT

		CCV	CCV			
	CCV	Standard	Standard			
	Standard	Amount	Amount		Date	Analyst
<u>Parameter</u>	% Recovery	Found	Expected	Units	Analyzed	<u> Initials</u>
TPH (Gas/BTXE, Liquid)						
as Gasoline	113.0	1.13	1.00	mg/L	10/13/1994	lss
Benzene	110.4	5.52	5.00	ug/L	10/13/1994	lss
Toluene	92.2	4.61	5.00	ug/L	10/13/1994	lss
Ethylbenzene	93.2	4.66	5.00	ug/L	10/13/1994	lss
Xylenes (Total)	91.1	13.67	15.0	ug/L	10/13/1994	lss
Bromofluorobenzene (SURR)	91.0	91	100	% Rec.	10/13/1994	lss
TPH (Gas/BTXE, Liquid)						
as Gasoline	99.0	0.99	1.00	mg/L	10/14/1994	lss ·
Benzene	105.6	5.28	5.00	ug/L	10/14/1994	lss
Toluene	97.6	4.88	5.00	ug/L	10/14/1994	lss
Ethylbenzene	91.6	4.58	5.00	ug/L	10/14/1994	lss
Xylenes (Total)	91.3	13.7	15.0	ug/L	10/14/1994	lss
Bromofluorobenzene (SURR)	98.0	98	100	% Rec.	10/14/1994	lss
METHOD M8015 (EXT., Liquid)	•				•	
as Diesel	95.7	957	1000	mg/L	10/14/1994	tts



Date: 10/19/1994

Ref: SHELL, 350 Grand Ave., Oakland, 941006F1

METHOD BLANK REPORT

Method Blank

	Amount	Reporting		Date	Analyst	
Parameter	Found	Limit	Units	Analyzed	Initials	
TPH (Gas/BTXE, Liquid)						
as Gasoline	ND	0.05	mg/L	10/13/1994	lss	
Benzene	ND	0.5	ug/L	10/13/1994	lss	
Toluene	ND	0.5	ug/L	10/13/1994	lss	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.5	ug/L	10/13/1994	lss	
Xylenes (Total)	ND	0.5	ug/L	10/13/1994	lss	
Bromofluorobenzene (SURR)	86		% Rec.	10/13/1994	lss	
TPH (Gas/BTXE, Liquid)						
as Gasoline	ND	0.05	mg/L	10/14/1994	lss	
Benzene	ND	0.5	ug/L	10/14/1994	lss	
Toluene	ND	0.5	ug/L	10/14/1994	lss	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.5	ug/L	10/14/1994	lss	
Xylenes (Total)	ND	0.5	ug/L	10/14/1994	lss	
Bromofluorobenzene (SURR)	103		% Rec.	10/14/1994	lss	
METHOD M8015 (EXT., Liquid)						
as Diesel	ND	0.05	mg/L	10/14/1994	tts	



Client Name:

Blaine Tech Service

Client Acct: 182

1821

Date: 10/1! ELAP Cert: 1386

: 10/19/1994

Page: 9

Ref: SHELL, 350 Grand Ave., Oakland, 941006F1

MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

Parameter	Matrix Spike % Rec.	Matrix Spike Dup % Rec.	RPD	Spike Amount	Sample Conc.	Matrix Spike Conc.	Matrix Spike Dup. Conc.	Units	Date Analyzed	Analyst Initials
TPH (Gas/BTXE, Liquid)									,	
as Gasoline	111.0	110.0	0.9	1.00	ND	1.11	1.10	mg/L	10/13/1994	lss
Benzene	94.8	93.1	1.8	28.9	ND	27.4	26.9	ug/L	10/13/1994	lss
Toluene	103.4	102.1	1.3	84.7	ND	87.6	86.5	ug/L	10/13/1994	lss
TPH (Gas/BTXE,Liquid)										
as Gasoline	113.0	107.0	5.5	1.00	ND	1.13	1.07	mg/L	10/14/1994	lss
Benzene	114.0	116.0	2.0	26.3	ND	30.0	30.6	ug/L	10/14/1994	lss
Toluene	107.0	106.0	0.6	83.1	ND	88.6	88.1	ug/L	10/14/1994	lss
METHOD M8015 (EXT., Liquid)										
as Diesel	107.5	96.0	11.2	2.00	ND	2.15	1.92	mg/L	10/14/1994	tts



ELAP Cert: 1386

Date: 10/19/1994

Ref: SHELL, 350 Grand Ave., Oakland, 941006F1

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE REPORT

		LCS	LCS			
	LCS	Amount	Amount		Date	Analyst
Parameter	% Recovery RPD	Found	Expected	Units	Analyzed	Initials
METHOD M8015 (EXT., Liquid)						
as Diesel	95.5	0.955	1.00	mg/L	10/14/1994	tts



KEY TO ABBREVIATIONS and METHOD REFERENCES

: Less than; When appearing in results column indicates analyte not detected at the value following. This datum supercedes the listed Reporting Limit.

: Reporting Limits are a function of the dilution factor for any given sample. Actual reporting limits and results have been multiplied by the listed dilution factor. Do not multiply the reporting limits or reported values by the dilution factor.

dw : Result expressed as dry weight.

mean : Average; sum of measurements divided by number of measurements.

mg/Kg (ppm) : Concentration in units of milligrams of analyte per kilogram of

sample, wet-weight basis (parts per million).

mg/L : Concentration in units of milligrams of analyte per liter of sample.

mL/L/hr : Milliliters per liter per hour.

MPN/100 mL : Most probable number of bacteria per one hundred milliliters of sample.

N/A : Not applicable.

NA : Not analyzed.

ND : Not detected; the analyte concentration is less than the applicable

listed reporting limit.

NTU : Nephelometric turbidity units.

RPD : Relative percent difference, 100 [Value 1 - Value 2]/mean value.

SNA : Standard not available.

ug/Kg (ppb) : Concentration in units of micrograms of analyte per kilogram of sample,

wet-weight basis (parts per billion).

ug/L : Concentration in units of micrograms of analyte per liter of sample.

umhos/cm : Micromhos per centimeter.

Method References

<u>Methods 100 through 493</u>: see "Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water & Wastes", U.S. EPA, 600/4-79-020, Rev. 1983.

Methods 601 through 625: see "Guidelines Establishing Test Procedures for the Analysis of Pollutants" U.S. EPA, 40 CFR, Part 136, Rev. 1988.

Methods 1000 through 9999: see "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste", U.S. EPA SW-846, 3rd edition, 1986., Rev. 1, December 1987.

SM: see "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water & Wastewater, 17th Edition, APHA, 1989.

Revised September, 1993 abb.93

COOLER RECEIPT FORM

Project: Shalf 350 Grand Ave, Cooler received on: 10/8/94 an	Bulland L	.og No: <u>3045</u>	<u>~</u>
cooler received on: 10/8/94 an			pe
	(signatu	ire)	
Were custody papers present?		YĒS	ИО
Were custody papers properly fil	led out?	YES) ио
Were the custody papers signed?.		YES	ИО
Was sufficient ice used?		YES	NO S'SOC
Did all bottles arrive in good o	condition (unbroken)?	YES	ИО
Did bottle labels match COC?		····· YES	NO
Were proper bottles used for ana	lysis indicated?	YES) NO
Correct preservatives used?		ÝES	NO
VOA vials checked for headspace Note which woas (if any)	bubbles?had bubbles:*	YES	NO
Sample descriptor:	Number of vials:		
			
		_	
		二 🛧 Die	d not receive
			abouts for diesel
		_ ട്രപ	mple DUP. AL
			10/8/
*All VOAs with headspace bubbles used for analysis			
List here all other jobs receive	od in the came cooler	· ·	÷ .
bist here all other jobs receive	ed in the same cooter	•	
Client Job #	NET log #		
	¥		
			

(coolerrec)