

Drogos, Donna, Env. Health

Subject: RO422 - 14994 E 14th SL

Entry Type: Phone call

Start: Fri 6/11/2004 9:30 AM

End: Fri 6/11/2004 9:30 AM

Duration: 0 hours

RO402 - 14994 E 14th SL

6/10/04, 325p, brian campbell, etic, SL, 925-602-4710 x24 to assist

6/11/04, 915a, lft msg to send reports to roseanna's attention until CW assigned, if other ? Leave me a detailed msg & I will try

6/11/04, 930a, 14994 E 14th St, SL, CL req 1998, he needs file review

Drogos, Donna, Env. Health

Subject: RO422
Entry Type: Phone call

Start: Tue 6/8/2004 4:51 PM
End: Tue 6/8/2004 4:51 PM
Duration: 0 hours

RO422

6/4, 831a, brian campbell, ETIC, 14994 E 14th St, San Leandro, 925-602-4710 x24
6/7, 1259p, brian campbell, ETIC, returning my call
6/8, leave VM returning call

Mobil
14994 E. 14th St.
San Leandro

1/2/96

MEMO TO FG:

I spoke today with Deno Milano (Alton) re: a request for both a reduction in sampling frequency and target analytes. I explained that this site is one of ~3 sites in the 150th / E. 14th intersection where plumes appear to be commingling, and that until Amoco finished their off-site sampling effort (Shadwell site) and all RPs and agencies met subsequently to determine an appropriate CAP, sampling frequency reduction appeared premature. I did agree, however, to eliminate the O₂C analyses for GW sampled from the wells along 150th Ave.

SDS

(former) Kubo Mobil
14994 E. 14 St.
San Leandro

6-12-95

RE: OFF-SITE WELL DRILLING (14994 E. 14)

14875 Bancroft

Dan Alberti called 6/1/95 to discuss concerns of his client re: the proposed well location of the off-site well on his clients site; his client is reportedly the owner of the Hof Brau restaurant located here. I returned his call 6/2/95, leaving a message (his call to me was in the form of a "voice mail" message). To date, I have not had a call returned to me.

I visited the site the morning of 6-2-95, meeting with the Alisto geologist. The well was located in the parking lot of the restaurant. Alisto intended to be finished with the well installation and demobilized before the noon lunch hour so as to not interfere with the operation of the business. I presume they were successful with their intent.

SOS

I spoke w/ Mr. Bruner today. I let him know that Alisto had left a message for me the last week of May 1995, and the business owner's attorney (Dan Alberti) called June 1st, re: well placement. ALBERTI, I informed Mr. Bruner, said the well was "8 feet in front of the door" to the business. I informed Mr. Bruner that I had visited the site morning of 6/2

to observe the subject well's location in prep for my call to Mr. Alberti. I told Mr. Bruner that upon my arrival at the site, drilling had ended, and well construction was to begin. Alisto expected to be cleaned-up and off the site before long, well before noon. The well was not located in such a location to be an impediment to business, in my opinion. I explained that it may be that the business owner was concerned that the well would become an obvious structure which may prove an eyesore, or, more likely, that this huge drilling rig would be in operation during business hours, blocking access, and leaving customers with the impression that the site was a toxic waste site.

Mr. Bruner said his 6/9 letter was a little bit of "grandstanding," and that he was relieved to hear my explanations. I gave him Mr. Alberti's phone # (which he said the tenant refused to provide).

SOS

K's Mobil
N & E. 14th
S. Leandro

12/17/93

Memo to f6:

William Shipp (Alisto) called today. He said that he had gotten a little "heat" from Mobil's Steve Pao following Mr. Shipp's and my interaction re: a work plan addendum request. At any rate, Shipp said he went ~~to~~ to the site to explore the location of the well theoretically installed by Kubo. He said he ~~can~~ removed the cover, only to find a 4" PVC cap, apparently glued in place, and which could not be removed. He said he still didn't know whether it was a sewer clean out or well, particularly because the "well" appears to be at a slight slant. He said that, if it were a well, its orientation might have been disturbed during paving or other activity at the site since its construction. This "well" will need to be properly destroyed if not salvagable.

I reminded Mr. Shipp that any approved work plan must address the need to evaluate soil/GW near the fuel piping and dispenser islands, in addition to the UST cluster. I told Shipp that I had not seen any documents suggesting that the piping had been removed, or that such piping and dispensers areas were ever evaluated for the presence of releases. I noted that now is the time to amend the work plan, not later on after I review the current work plan and reject it because these issues weren't addressed.

SOS

Kubo's Mudsite
14994 E. 14
S. Leandro

6/2/93

Memo to file:

I spoke today with Daniel New of Brown & Caldwell Labs in Emeryville, the lab performing analyses on samples collected 10/87 from the PG+E trench along 150th Ave.

I called to clarify the methods used for the soil analyses. The methods are as follows:

	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>METHOD #</u>
①*	"Hydrocarbons by IR"	418-1
②	"Total Fuel HCs"	micro extraction w/ GC analyses

*
① method detects polar + nonpolar HCs (unless requested that polar compounds be removed, e.g., silica gel), gas, diesel, oil & grease, etc., etc., as well as all other compounds with hydrogen and carbon, including halocarbons

② micro extraction (pre LUFT method) technique — 10 gms soil + 10 gm H₂O + 10 gm pentane, shake 2 mins, inject aliquote into GC. Result compared to appropriate standard(s) (gas, diesel, etc.)

Mr. New was requested to access the (now archived) records to determine which standard(s) was (were) used to establish the reported concentrations.

former Kubo Motor
14994 E. 14th Street
S. Leandro

PHONE MEMO:

4-23-93

I spoke with Susan Brown of C+H Development today. I initially requested that she see if any file entries after Apr. 6, 1989 could be found, as this is the last entry date in the ACDEH files.
[This is a letter from ACDEH requesting an update/status report regarding the further assessment of the property.]

She said that C+H sold the property in Feb. '88. " also said that the subsequent analysis of the well occurring 1/31/89 [SCI report dated 2/13/89] was a condition of the sale (?).

She also stated that she was aware of a well along E. 14th Street, which she believes Bart Kubo had installed. She further stated that, when Kubo was asked if he cared to coordinate the sampling of his well with that of C+H's, he reportedly indicate that he didn't, and that he had never sampled it.

I asked Ms. Brown the scope of any environmental disclosures associated with the sale of the property to C+H in 1988 (?). She said it (the disclosure) was, to her recollection, perhaps a paragraph in length, and with language ~~—~~ indicating Kubo would be responsible for on-site contamination, C+H, off-site.

I asked for a copy of the recorded deed for the sale of the property to the current owners, and any additional info regarding environmental issues after Apr. 6, 1989.

SJS

- 1/5/88 Susan Brown of C&H Development Company said it was okay to send their soils report and site map to B.C.E. concerning letter dated 1-14-88 from C&H attorney
- 1/26/88 Spoke to Lester Tolman of RCCCB. He said we should make them do a site investigation on their property with a min. of three wells to determine the gradient since it is not clear with the investigation thus far where the contamination came from.
- 2/10/88 Went to City of San Carlos City Hall and met with Phil Phillips, Building Regulations Supl. He informed me that no Certificate of Occupancy will be issued and BCE will not be allowed to give them service until a monitor well is installed.

12/3

Loy Sets went to the site and spoke to Tim Bogkin, Geologist for Subsurface Consultants. He was informed that approx 22 soil samples were taken from the 20' x 15' x 10' pit. The mobil lab that was at the site the previous day found non-detectable amounts of oil and solvents. A map showing the location and depth of the sampling will be submitted to our office to determine which samples are to be sent to a certified lab. for confirmation.

DATE: 1-1-88 COMPLAINT/EVALUATION
TIME: 8:54 NO: 186

COMPLAINT RECEIVED BY: _____

NAME OF COMPLAINANT: B. Kudo

ADDRESS: _____ CITY: _____ PHONE: _____

SUBJECT OF COMPLAINT: Plan of Correction requirements

ADDRESS OF FACILITY (SUBJECT OF COMPLAINT): 130th & E 14th

NAME: Shell PHONE: _____

CONTACT PERSON: _____

ACTIONS TAKEN: Told him send plan for approval prior to moving soil
> 100 cu ft & where sampling well
will be installed

INVESTIGATED BY: _____ DATE: 1-6-88

APPLIED TIME: _____

SIGNATURE: E.B. Howell