



A Report Prepared For:

Pacific Electric Motor Company
1009 66th Avenue
Oakland, California 94601


Attention: Mr. Rand Perry

**QUARTERLY MONITORING REPORT
THIRD QUARTER 1999
PACIFIC ELECTRIC MOTOR COMPANY
1009 66TH AVENUE
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA**

SEPTEMBER 30, 1999

By:

 FOR
Keary D. Knickerbocker
Environmental Specialist


William W. Mast, R.G.
Associate Engineer

618.0101.004

ENVIRONMENTAL
PROTECTION
99 OCT -5 AM 9:02

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES iii
LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS iii
1.0 INTRODUCTION 1
2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION 1
3.0 WATER-LEVEL MEASUREMENTS..... 2
4.0 GROUNDWATER SAMPLING 2
5.0 DISCUSSION OF MONITORING RESULTS 3
 5.1 Water-Level Measurements..... 3
 5.2 Groundwater Chemistry..... 4
6.0 REFERENCES 5

TABLES

ILLUSTRATIONS

APPENDICES A - GROUNDWATER SAMPLING REPORT

 B - LABORATORY REPORTS AND CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORDS

DISTRIBUTION

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Monitoring Well Completion Details
Table 2	Water-Level Elevation Data
Table 3	Analytical Results for Groundwater Samples

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Plate 1	Site Location Map
Plate 2	Site Plan and Monitoring Well Location Map
Plate 3	Water-Level Elevation Map

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of quarterly groundwater monitoring performed by PES Environmental, Inc. (PES) during the third quarter of 1999 at Pacific Electric Motor Company (PEM) in Oakland, California (Plate 1). The current groundwater monitoring program consists of measuring the depth to groundwater in four onsite monitoring wells, and purging and sampling the monitoring wells (Wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, and MW-4) on a quarterly basis.

The purpose of the groundwater monitoring program is to: (1) evaluate the presence of petroleum hydrocarbons in groundwater; and (2) monitor water-level variations at the site. The quarterly monitoring program was performed in accordance with the sampling program specified in the Alameda County Environmental Health Services (ACEHS) December 1, 1998 letter *Additional Soil and Groundwater Investigation Report, 1009-66th Ave., Oakland, CA 94601* (ACEHS, 1998b) and the procedures outlined in PES' proposal dated December 11, 1998 (PES, 1998b).

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The site is located in a residential and light industrial area in Oakland, California and is presently used to repair large electric motors. PEM formerly operated a 2,000-gallon steel gasoline underground storage tank (UST) on the east side of the warehouse building (Plate 2). The tank was reportedly installed in approximately 1975 (ENVIRON, 1997). In February 1995, the UST was removed by W. A. Craig, Inc. (WAC). Observations at the time of removal indicated that the tank was in good condition and no holes were evident. However, free-phase gasoline product was observed on the water surface in the tank excavation. Soil samples collected from the UST excavation and associated piping trenches detected total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPH-g) at concentrations up to 10,000 milligrams per kilogram.

In April 1995, WAC performed a soil investigation consisting of nine soil borings to delineate the lateral and vertical extent of the petroleum hydrocarbons in soil. On the basis of the results of the soil investigation, WAC prepared and implemented a remediation program to remove soil affected by petroleum hydrocarbons. Approximately 1,500 cubic yards of soil were excavated and stockpiled onsite, and 116,000 gallons of petroleum hydrocarbon-affected water were pumped from the excavation and disposed. A dewatering sump installed by WAC during soil excavation was later converted to groundwater monitoring well WAC-1 (Plate 2). Because of its uncertain construction, ACEHS stated that no monitoring of Well WAC-1 is required (ACEHS, 1997). WAC summarized the results of their remediation program in a report entitled *Excavation and Sampling Report, Pacific Electric Motor Co., 1009 66th Avenue, Oakland, California*, dated May 12, 1997 (WAC, 1997).

ENVIRON, Inc. (ENVIRON) installed and sampled three shallow monitoring wells (MW-1, MW-2, MW-3) in June 1997 to evaluate groundwater conditions in the vicinity of the former

UST. Well completion details are summarized in Table 1. The well installation program and associated soil and groundwater sampling program was summarized in the ENVIRON report *Soil and Ground Water Investigation, Summary Report, Pacific Electric Motor Co., 1009-66th Avenue, Oakland, California*, dated July 17, 1997 (ENVIRON, 1997). ENVIRON concluded that the remediation performed had successfully removed the source of the petroleum hydrocarbons (i.e., the former UST), and that residual concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons in soil and groundwater were present only in the immediate vicinity of the former UST.

In September 1998 PES conducted additional soil and groundwater sampling in the vicinity of the former UST, as requested by the ACEHS in a May 13, 1998 letter to PEM (ACEHS, 1998a). Two soil borings were drilled within the backfill of the former UST excavation, and one monitoring well was installed downgradient of the former UST. Petroleum hydrocarbons were generally not detected in the excavation backfill, although groundwater samples collected from both soil borings indicated the presence of methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE), a gasoline additive. Elevated petroleum hydrocarbons were found in soil and groundwater downgradient of the UST excavation during installation and groundwater sampling of monitoring well MW-4. On the basis of the elevated concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons, PES recommended four quarters of additional groundwater monitoring. The additional investigation was summarized in the PES report *Results of Additional Soil and Groundwater Investigation, 1009 66th Avenue, Oakland, California*, dated November 11, 1998 (PES, 1998a).

3.0 WATER-LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

Water levels in four onsite groundwater monitoring wells (Wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, and MW-4) were measured by Blaine Tech Services, Inc. (Blaine Tech) of San Jose, California, under the direct supervision of PES, prior to sampling on July 30, 1999. Depth-to-water in the monitoring wells was measured from the top-of-casing (TOC) reference benchmark to a precision of 0.01-feet using an electronic water-level indicator/interface probe. Depth-to-water measurements were converted to water-level elevations by subtracting the depth to water from the TOC elevation referenced to a site datum established by ENVIRON (ENVIRON, 1997). Free product was not observed in any of the monitoring wells.

To prevent cross-contamination between wells, the portion of the water-level indicator that was submerged in the well was cleaned between well measurements using a phosphate-free detergent/deionized water solution and double rinsed with deionized water.

4.0 GROUNDWATER SAMPLING

On July 30, 1999, Blaine Tech under the direct supervision of PES collected groundwater samples from Wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, and MW-4. Groundwater samples were collected from each well after removing approximately three well volumes of water with disposable

bailers. During well purging, the discharged water was monitored for pH, temperature, electrical conductivity, and turbidity.

Following purging, samples were collected from the wells using a stainless steel or Teflon disposable bailer and transferred to the appropriate laboratory sample containers. The sample containers were filled slowly to minimize sample volatilization and to ensure that the sample was free of air bubbles. The samples were labeled to designate sample number, time and date collected, and analysis required. The samples were immediately placed in a chilled, thermally-insulated cooler. To prevent cross-contamination between wells, the pump and stainless steel bailer were decontaminated using a high-pressure steam cleaner prior to initial use and after sampling at each well. Sampling procedures are documented in the groundwater sampling report prepared by Blaine Tech, included in Appendix A.

Groundwater samples were transported under chain-of-custody protocol to a state-certified laboratory. Entech Analytical Labs of Sunnyvale, California analyzed samples for: (1) total petroleum hydrocarbons quantified as gasoline (TPH-g) using EPA Test Method 8015 Modified; (2) benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX) using EPA Test Method 8020; and (3) methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) using EPA Test Method 8020. Detected concentrations of MTBE were confirmed using EPA Test Method 8260. The laboratory reports and chain-of-custody records are included in Appendix B.

5.0 DISCUSSION OF MONITORING RESULTS

This section presents a summary of water-level measurements and groundwater analyses results from the July 1999 sampling event.

5.1 Water-Level Measurements

Depth-to-water measurements during the July 1999 event ranged from 4.79 feet (MW-2) to 5.49 feet (MW-1) below TOC. Groundwater water-level elevations ranged from 94.79 feet (MW-3) to 95.18 feet (MW-1) referenced to site datum established by ENVIRON (ENVIRON, 1997). Historical and current depth-to-water measurements and calculated water-level elevations are presented in Table 2.

Plate 3 presents water-level elevation contours developed from water levels measured on July 30, 1999. The water-level elevation contours indicate that groundwater flow is generally to the west-southwest. The observed flow direction indicates a slight shift from a westerly flow direction observed during the April 1999 monitoring event. The groundwater gradient is approximately 0.004 foot per foot (ft/ft).

5.2 Groundwater Chemistry

A summary of current and historical laboratory chemical results for petroleum hydrocarbons is presented in Table 3. The analytical laboratory reports and chain-of-custody forms are presented in Appendix B.

During the current monitoring period petroleum hydrocarbon compounds were detected in groundwater samples from Wells MW-1 and MW-4.

At Well MW-1, TPH-g, benzene, ethyl benzene, and xylenes were detected at concentrations of 14,000, 60, 63, and 120 micrograms per liter ($\mu\text{g/L}$), respectively. MTBE was detected at a concentration of 13 $\mu\text{g/L}$ by EPA Test Methods 8020. Confirmation of the MTBE using EPA Test Method 8260 did not detect MTBE. However, as a result of laboratory error, the confirmation analysis was performed on July 18, 1999, approximately five days after expiration of the holding time for this analysis. With the exception of toluene, the detected concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons are considerably higher than those observed during the April 1999 sampling event. Toluene was not detected in samples from well MW-1.

No petroleum hydrocarbon compounds were detected in the samples collected from Wells MW-2 and MW-3 in July 1999. Petroleum hydrocarbons were first detected in samples from Wells MW-2 and MW-3 in January and April 1999, respectively.

At Well MW-4, TPH-g, benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene, and xylenes were detected at concentrations of 91,000, 16,000, 7,500, 2,300 and 8,500 $\mu\text{g/L}$, respectively. MTBE was detected and confirmed at concentrations of 68,000 and 67,000 $\mu\text{g/L}$ using EPA Test Methods 8020 and 8260, respectively. Petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations have fluctuated greatly over the past year; however, concentrations from the current sampling event show are generally consistent with those observed in April 1999.

The results of the previous groundwater monitoring event seemed to indicate that the petroleum hydrocarbon plume associated with the former UST was migrating west to southwest towards the property boundary. Prior to the April 1999 event the groundwater plume appeared to be static and localized. Current data from the downgradient monitoring wells (MW-2 and MW-3) appear to indicate that the petroleum hydrocarbon plume associated with the former UST is limited to minimal lateral migration and remains localized. Concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons in samples from Wells MW-1 and MW-4 are comparable to previously observed conditions.

6.0 REFERENCES

Alameda County Environmental Health Services (ACEHS), 1997. *Soil and Groundwater Investigation for Pacific Electric Motor Co., 1009-66th Ave., Oakland, CA 94601.* August 19.

_____, 1998a. *Evaluation of Residual Health Risks at Pacific Electric Motor Company, 1009 66th Avenue, Oakland, CA 94601.* May 13.

_____, 1998b. *Additional Soil and Groundwater Investigation Report, 1009 66th Ave., Oakland, 94601.* December 1.

ENVIRON Corporation, 1997. *Soil and Groundwater Investigation, Summary Report, Pacific Electric Motor Co., 1009-66th Avenue, Oakland, California.* July 17.

PES Environmental, Inc. (PES), 1998a. *Results of Additional Soil and Groundwater Investigation, 1009 66th Avenue, Oakland, California.* November 11.

_____, 1998b. *Proposal, Quarterly Groundwater Sampling, Pacific Electric Motor Company, Oakland, California.* December 11.

W. A. Craig, Inc. (WAC), 1997. *Excavation and Sampling Report, Pacific Electric Motor Co., 1009 66th Avenue, Oakland, California.* May 12. (Partial)

**Table 1. Monitoring Well Completion Details
 Quarterly Monitoring Report
 Third Quarter 1999
 Pacific Electric Motor Company
 1009 66th Avenue, Oakland, California**

Well Number	Date Installed	Installed By	TOC Elevation (feet*)	Boring Diameter (inches)	Casing Diameter (inches)	Total Depth Boring (feet bgs)	Total Depth of Casing (feet bgs)	Screened Interval Depth (feet bgs)	
								Top	Bottom
MW-1	6/10/97	ENVIRON	101.04	8	2	26.5	25.5	5	25
MW-2	6/10/97	ENVIRON	100.12	8	2	25.5	25.5	5	25
MW-3	6/10/97	ENVIRON	100.23	8	2	25.5	25.5	5	25
MW-4	9/14/98	PES	100.32	8	2	25.0	25.0	15	25

Notes:

* = Referenced to site datum established by ENVIRON (1997).

bgs = Below ground surface.

**Table 2. Water-Level Elevation Data
Quarterly Monitoring Report
Third Quarter 1999
Pacific Electric Motor Company
1009 66th Avenue, Oakland, California**

Well Number	Date	Measured By	Top of Casing Elevation (feet*)	Depth to Water (feet BTOC)	Water-level Elevation (feet*)
MW-1	6/19/97	ENVIRON	100.67	5.87	94.80
	7/1/97	ENVIRON	100.67	5.88	94.79
	9/29/97	PES	100.67	6.45	94.22
	12/16/97	PES	100.67	3.42	97.25
	3/10/98	PES	100.67	3.06	97.61
	10/1/98	PES	100.67	6.36	94.31
	1/19/99	PES	100.67	5.33	95.34
	4/15/99	PES	100.67	3.23	97.44
	5/6/99	PES	100.67	4.36	96.31
	7/30/99	PES	100.67	5.49	95.18
MW-2	6/19/97	ENVIRON	99.85	5.30	94.55
	7/1/97	ENVIRON	99.85	5.37	94.48
	9/29/97	PES	99.85	6.05	93.80
	12/16/97	PES	99.85	3.81	96.04
	3/10/98	PES	99.85	2.89	96.96
	10/1/98	PES	99.85	5.83	94.02
	1/19/99	PES	99.85	5.26	94.59
	4/15/99	PES	99.85	3.19	96.66
	5/6/99	PES	99.85	3.91	95.94
	7/30/99	PES	99.85	4.79	95.06
MW-3	6/19/97	ENVIRON	99.93	5.50	94.43
	7/1/97	ENVIRON	99.93	5.52	94.41
	9/29/97	PES	99.93	6.16	93.77
	12/16/97	PES	99.93	5.52	94.41
	3/10/98	PES	99.93	3.11	96.82
	10/1/98	PES	99.93	5.96	93.97
	1/19/99	PES	99.93	5.45	94.48
	4/15/99	PES	99.93	3.85	96.08
	5/6/99	PES	99.93	4.12	95.81
	7/30/99	PES	99.93	5.14	94.79
MW-4	10/1/98	PES	100.32	6.32	94.00
	1/19/99	PES	100.32	5.59	94.73
	4/15/99	PES	100.32	7.71 #	92.61 #
	5/6/99	PES	100.32	4.50	95.82
	7/30/99	PES	100.32	5.18	95.14

Notes:

* = Referenced to site datum established by ENVIRON (1997).

BTOC = Below top of casing.

= Anomalous data, not used for water-level elevation contouring.

**Table 3. Analytical Results for Groundwater Samples
Quarterly Monitoring Report
Third Quarter 1999
Pacific Electric Motor Company
1009 66th Avenue, Oakland, California**

Sample Location	Date Sampled	Sampled By	TPH-g (µg/L)	Benzene (µg/L)	Toluene (µg/L)	Ethyl- benzene (µg/L)	Xylenes (µg/L)	MTBE EPA 8020 (µg/L)	MTBE EPA 8260 (µg/L)
MW-1	6/19/97	ENVIRON	18,000	3,300	200	1,100	4,900	<250	--
	9/29/97	PES	29,000	4,800	<25	2,000	3,500	<250	--
	12/16/97	PES	<50	1.3	<0.5	0.6	0.7	<5	--
	3/10/98	PES	190	2.0	<0.5	5.7	1.7	<5	--
	1/19/99	PES	1,000	40	<0.5	18	68	8.3	6.9
	4/15/99	PES	<50	0.92	0.9	0.7	0.87	<5.0	--
	7/30/99	PES	1,400	60	<0.5	63	120	13	<5.0
MW-2	6/19/97	ENVIRON	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	--
	9/29/97	PES	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	--
	12/16/97	PES	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	--
	3/10/98	PES	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	--
	1/19/99	PES	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	<5.0
	4/15/99	PES	<50	0.75	0.64	<0.5	0.74	<5.0	--
	7/30/99	PES	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	--
MW-3	6/19/97	ENVIRON	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	--
	9/29/97	PES	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	--
	12/16/97	PES	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	--
	3/10/98	PES	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	--
	1/19/99	PES	<50	0.78	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	8.7	<5.0
	4/15/99	PES	<50	5.4	3.9	1.7	5.6	23	25
	7/30/99	PES	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	--
MW-4	9/15/98	PES	170,000	26,000	32,000	2,900	18,000	26,000	--
	1/19/99	PES	2,600	1,700	3.8	25	29	13,000	16,000
	4/15/99	PES	210,000	28,000	15,000	3,700	19,000	52,000	67,000
	7/30/99	PES	91,000	16,000	7,500	2,300	8,500	68,000	67,000


Notes:

TPH-g = Total petroleum hydrocarbons quantified as gasoline (EPA 8015M).

MTBE = Methyl tert-butyl ether (EPA 8020; detected concentrations were confirmed by EPA 8260.)

µg/L = Micrograms per liter.

<50 = Not detected at or above the indicated laboratory reporting limit.



Scale in Feet

Oakland Map, California State Automobile Association, 1997



PES Environmental, Inc.
Engineering & Environmental Services

Site Location Map
Pacific Electric Motor Company
1009 66th Avenue
Oakland, California

PLATE

1

618.0101.004

6180101002_V1.CDR

[Signature]

8/99

JOB NUMBER



DRAWING NUMBER

REVIEWED BY

DATE



Explanation



⊕ Monitoring Well Location



Drawing modified from ENVIRON, 1997

Explanation

-  Monitoring Well Location
- 95.18 Water-Level Elevation (in feet, referenced to site datum)
-  Groundwater contour (in feet referenced to site datum; dashed where inferred)
- Water levels measured on July 30, 1999.



Drawing modified from ENVIRON, 1997

APPENDIX A

GROUNDWATER SAMPLING REPORT

BLAINE
TECH SERVICES INC.

1680 ROGERS AVENUE
SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95112-1105
(408) 573-7771 FAX
(408) 573-0555 PHONE



August 13, 1999

RECEIVED AUG 16 1999

PES Environmental, Inc.
1682 Novato Blvd., Suite 100
Novato, CA 94947

ATTN: Will Mast

Site:
Pacific Electric Motor Company
1099 66th Ave.
Oakland, California

Date:
July 30, 1999

GROUNDWATER SAMPLING REPORT 990730-Z-1

Blaine Tech Services, Inc. performs specialized environmental sampling and documentation as an independent third party. In order to avoid compromising the objectivity necessary for the proper and disinterested performance of this work, Blaine Tech Services, Inc. does not participate in the interpretation of analytical results, or become involved with the marketing or installation of remedial systems.

This report deals with the groundwater well sampling performed by our firm in response to your request. Data collected in the course of our work at the site are presented in the TABLE OF WELL MONITORING DATA. This information was collected during our inspection, well evacuation and sample collection. Measurements include the total depth of the well and the depth to water. Water surfaces were further inspected for the presence of immiscibles. A series of electrical conductivity, pH, turbidity, and temperature readings were obtained during well evacuation and at the time of sample collection.

STANDARD PRACTICES

Evacuation and Sampling Equipment

As shown in the TABLE OF WELL MONITORING DATA, the wells at this site were evacuated according to a protocol requirement for the removal of three case volumes of water, before sampling. The wells were evacuated using disposable bailers.

Samples were collected using bailers.

Bailers: A bailer, in its simplest form, is a hollow tube which has been fitted with a check valve at the lower end. The device can be lowered into a well by means of a cord. When the bailer enters the water, the check valve opens and liquid flows into the interior of the bailer. The bottom check valve prevents water from escaping when the bailer is drawn up and out of the well.

Two types of bailers are used in groundwater wells at sites where fuel hydrocarbons are of concern. The first type of bailer is made of a clear material such as acrylic plastic and is used to obtain a sample of the surface and the near surface liquids, in order to detect the presence of visible or measurable fuel hydrocarbon floating on the surface. The second type of bailer is made of Teflon or stainless steel, and is used as an evacuation and/or sampling device.

Bailers are inexpensive and relatively easy to clean. Because they are manually operated, variations in operator technique may have a greater influence than would be found with more automated sampling equipment. Also, where fuel hydrocarbons are involved, the bailer may include near surface contaminants that are not representative of water deeper in the well.

Decontamination

All apparatus is brought to the site in clean and serviceable condition. The equipment is decontaminated after each use and before leaving the site.

Effluent Materials

The evacuation process creates a volume of effluent water which must be contained. Blaine Tech Services, Inc. will place this water in appropriate containers of the client's choice or bring new 55 gallon DOT 17 E drums to the site, which are appropriate for the containment of the effluent materials. The determination of how to properly dispose of the effluent water must usually await the results of laboratory analyses of the sample collected from the groundwater well. If that sample does not establish whether or not the effluent water is contaminated, or if effluent from more than one source has been combined in the same container, it may be

necessary to conduct additional analyses on the effluent material.

Sampling Methodology

Samples were obtained by standardized sampling procedures that follow an evacuation and sample collection protocol. The sampling methodology conforms to both State and Regional Water Quality Control Board standards and specifically adheres to EPA requirements for apparatus, sample containers and sample handling as specified in publication SW 846 and T.E.G.D. which is published separately.

Sample Containers

Sample containers are supplied by the laboratory performing the analyses.

Sample Handling Procedures

Following collection, samples are promptly placed in an ice chest containing ice or an inert ice substitute such as Blue Ice or Super Ice. The samples are maintained in either an ice chest or a refrigerator until delivered into the custody of the laboratory.

Sample Designations

All sample containers are identified with both a sampling event number and a discrete sample identification number. Please note that the sampling event number is the number that appears on our chain of custody. It is roughly equivalent to a job number, but applies only to work done on a particular day of the year rather than spanning several days, as jobs and projects often do.

Chain of Custody

Samples are continuously maintained in an appropriate cooled container while in our custody and until delivered to the laboratory under our standard chain of custody. If the samples are taken charge of by a different party (such as another person from our office, a courier, etc.) prior to being delivered to the laboratory, appropriate release and acceptance records are made on the chain of custody (time, date and signature of person accepting custody of the samples).

Hazardous Materials Testing Laboratory

The samples obtained at this site were analyzed at Entech in Sunnyvale, California. Entech is certified by the California Department of Health Services under the Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (ELAP), and is listed as ELAP #I-2346.

Personnel


All Blaine Tech Services, Inc. personnel receive 29 CFR 1910.120(e)(2) training as soon after being hired as is practical. In addition, many of our personnel have additional certifications that include specialized training in level B supplied air apparatus and the supervision of employees working on hazardous materials sites. Employees are not sent to a site unless we are confident they can adhere to any site safety provisions in force at the site and unless we know that they can follow the written provisions of an SSP and the verbal directions of an SSO.

In general, employees sent to a site to perform groundwater well sampling will assume an OSHA level D (wet) environment exists unless otherwise informed. The use of gloves and double glove protocols protects both our employees and the integrity of the samples being collected. Additional protective gear and procedures for higher OSHA levels of protection are available.

Reportage

Submission to the Regional Water Quality Control Board and the local implementing agency should include copies of the sampling report, the chain of custody and the certified analytical report issued by the Hazardous Materials Testing Laboratory.

Please call if we can be of any further assistance.



William Jones

WRJ/pb

attachments: table of well monitoring data
chain of custody

TABLE OF WELL MONITORING DATA

Well I.D.	MW-1			MW-2			MW-3			MW-4		
Date Sampled	07/30/99			07/30/99			07/30/99			07/30/99		
Well Diameter (in.)	2			2			2			2		
Total Well Depth (ft.)	24.93			24.88			24.76			24.57		
Depth To Water (ft.)	5.49			4.79			5.14			5.18		
Free Product (in.)	NONE			NONE			NONE			NONE		
Reason If Not Sampled	--			--			--			--		
1 Case Volume (gal.)	3.1			3.2			3.1			3.1		
Did Well Dewater?	NO			NO			NO			NO		
Gallons Actually Evacuated	9.50			10.00			9.50			9.50		
Purging Device	BAILER			BAILER			BAILER			BAILER		
Sampling Device	BAILER			BAILER			BAILER			BAILER		
Time	11:11	11:18	11:24	09:52	10:00	10:08	10:20	10:25	10:29	10:44	10:51	10:57
Temperature (Fahrenheit)	67.0	65.3	64.7	65.6	65.4	65.3	65.0	64.7	64.9	68.3	69.6	70.1
pH	7.8	7.2	7.2	7.0	7.2	7.2	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.0	6.9	6.9
Conductivity (micromhos/cm)	574	562	593	1180	1140	1100	3170	5030	5270	6484	6812	6846
Nephelometric Turbidity Units	14	32	72	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	26	12	8
Dissolved Oxygen (D.O) (mg/L)	--			--			--			--		
Oxidation Reduction Potential (mV)	--			--			--			--		
BTS Chain of Custody	990730-Z1			990730-Z1			990730-Z1			990730-Z1		
BTS Sample I.D.	MW-1			MW-2			MW-3			MW-4		
DOHS HMTL Laboratory	ENTECH			ENTECH			ENTECH			ENTECH		
Analysis	TPH-G, BTEX, MTBE			TPH-G, BTEX, MTBE			TPH-G, BTEX, MTBE			TPH-G, BTEX, MTBE		

BLAINE

TECH SERVICES INC.

1680 ROGERS AVENUE
SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95112-1105
FAX (408) 573-7771
PHONE (408) 573-0555

CONDUCT ANALYSIS TO DETECT

LAB ENTTECH DHS # _____
ALL ANALYSES MUST MEET SPECIFICATIONS AND DETECTION LIMITS SET BY CALIFORNIA DHS AND
 EPA RWQCB REGION _____
 LIA
 OTHER

CHAIN OF CUSTODY
BTS # 9910730-21
CLIENT PES
SITE Pacific Electrical Motor
1099 66TH Ave
DALLAND, CA

C = COMPOSITE ALL CONTAINERS

SAMPLE I.D. 7/3/99 MATRIX SOIL CONTAINERS
W = H2O TOTAL

SAMPLE I.D.	MATRIX	CONTAINERS	TOTAL	CONCENTRATION	PH	BTX	MTBE	ADD'L INFORMATION	STATUS	CONDITION	LAB SAMPLE #
MW-1	W	3	40ml	X	X	X					
MW-2		3	HCl	X	X	X					
MW-3		3	VOAS	X	X	X					
MW-4	V	3	V	X	X	X					

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS
Invoice / Report to PES
ATTN: WILL MAST
* Confirm MTBE Hit by 8/24/99

SAMPLING COMPLETED 7/29/99 1125 SAMPLING PERFORMED BY MATTHEW SMITH RESULTS NEEDED NO LATER THAN As Contacted

RELEASED BY Matthew R. Smith DATE 8/3 TIME 1:50 RECEIVED BY Tai Di DATE 8/2/99 TIME 1:45 PM

RELEASED BY _____ DATE _____ TIME _____ RECEIVED BY _____ DATE _____ TIME _____

RELEASED BY _____ DATE _____ TIME _____ RECEIVED BY _____ DATE _____ TIME _____

SHIPPED VIA _____ DATE SENT _____ TIME SENT _____ COOLER # _____

APPENDIX B

**LABORATORY REPORTS
AND
CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORDS**

Entech Analytical Labs, Inc.

RECEIVED AUG 17 1999
CA ELAP# I-2346

525 Del Rey Avenue, Suite E • Sunnyvale, CA 94086 • (408) 735-1550 • Fax (408) 735-1554

PES Environmental, Inc.
1682 Novato Blvd., Suite 100
Novato, CA 94947
Attn: Will Mast

Date: 8/9/99
Date Received: 8/2/99
Project:
PO #:
Sampled By: Client

Certified Analytical Report

Water Sample Analysis:

Sample ID	MW-1			MW-2			MW-3				
Sample Date	7/30/99			7/30/99			7/30/99				
Sample Time	11:25			10:10			10:30				
Lab #	15555-001			15555-002			15555-003				
	Result	DF	DLR	Result	DF	DLR	Result	DF	DLR	PQL	Method
Results in µg/Liter:											
Analysis Date	8/3/99			8/3/99			8/3/99				
TPH-Gas	1,400	1.0	50	ND	1.0	50	ND	1.0	50	50	8015M
MTBE	13	1.0	5.0	ND	1.0	5.0	ND	1.0	5.0	5.0	8020
Benzene	60	1.0	0.50	ND	1.0	0.50	ND	1.0	0.50	0.50	8020
Toluene	ND	1.0	0.50	ND	1.0	0.50	ND	1.0	0.50	0.50	8020
Ethyl Benzene	63	1.0	0.50	ND	1.0	0.50	ND	1.0	0.50	0.50	8020
Xylenes (total)	120	1.0	0.50	ND	1.0	0.50	ND	1.0	0.50	0.50	8020

DF=Dilution Factor ND= None Detected above DLR PQL=Practical Quantitation Limit DLR=Detection Reporting Limit

Analysis performed by Entech Analytical Labs, Inc. (CA ELAP #I-2346)


Michelle L. Anderson, Lab Director

Environmental Analysis Since 1983

Entech Analytical Labs, Inc.

CA ELAP# I-2346

525 Del Rey Avenue, Suite E • Sunnyvale, CA 94086 • (408) 735-1550 • Fax (408) 735-1554

PES Environmental, Inc.
1682 Novato Blvd., Suite 100
Novato, CA 94947
Attn: Will Mast

Date: 8/9/99
Date Received: 8/2/99
Project:
PO #:
Sampled By: Client


Certified Analytical Report

Water Sample Analysis:

Sample ID	MW-4									
Sample Date	7/30/99									
Sample Time	11:00									
Lab #	15555-004									
	Result	DF	DLR						PQL	Method
Results in µg/Liter:										
Analysis Date	8/4/99									
TPH-Gas	91,000	500	25000						50	8015M
MTBE	68,000	500	2500						5.0	8020
Benzene	16,000	500	250						0.50	8020
Toluene	7,500	500	250						0.50	8020
Ethyl Benzene	2,300	500	250						0.50	8020
Xylenes (total)	8,500	500	250						0.50	8020

DF=Dilution Factor ND= None Detected above DLR PQL=Practical Quantitation Limit DLR=Detection Reporting Limit

Analysis performed by Entech Analytical Labs, Inc. (CA ELAP #I-2346)


Michelle L. Anderson, Lab Director

Entech Analytical Labs, Inc.

525 Del Rey Avenue, Suite E
Sunnyvale, CA 94086

QUALITY CONTROL RESULTS SUMMARY

METHOD: Gas Chromatography
Laboratory Control Sample

QC Batch #: GBG1990804

Matrix: Water
Units: µg/Liter

Date Analyzed: 08/04/99

Quality Control Sample: Blank Spike

PARAMETER	Method #	MB µg/Liter	SA µg/Liter	SR µg/Liter	SP µg/Liter	SP % R	SPD µg/Liter	SPD %R	RPD	QC LIMITS	
										RPD	%R
Benzene	8020	<0.50	4.5	ND	3.3	73	3.3	73	0.0	25	69-118
Toluene	8020	<0.50	25.0	ND	26	102	26	104	1.2	25	82-122
Ethyl Benzene	8020	<0.50	5.0	ND	5.1	102	5.2	104	1.9	25	77-114
Xylenes	8020	<0.50	25.0	ND	28	111	28	113	1.8	25	85-125
Gasoline	8015	<50.0	500	ND	417	83	416	83	0.4	25	75-125
aaa-TFT(S.S.)-PID	8020			98%	112%		113%				65-135
aaa-TFT(S.S.)-FID	8015			100%	103%		103%				65-135

Definition of Terms:

- na: Not Analyzed in QC batch
- MB: Method Blank
- SA: Spike Added
- SR: Sample Result
- RPD(%): Duplicate Analysis - Relative Percent Difference
- SP: Spike Result
- SP (%R): Spike % Recovery
- SPD: Spike Duplicate Result
- SPD (%R): Spike % Recovery
- nc: Not Calculated

Entech Analytical Labs, Inc.

525 Del Rey Avenue, Suite E
Sunnyvale, CA 94086

QUALITY CONTROL RESULTS SUMMARY

METHOD: Gas Chromatography
Laboratory Control Sample

QC Batch #: GBG2990803

Matrix: Water
Units: µg/Liter

Date Analyzed: 08/03/99
Quality Control Sample: Blank Spike

PARAMETER	Method #	MB µg/Liter	SA µg/Liter	SR µg/Liter	SP µg/Liter	SP % R	SPD µg/Liter	SPD %R	RPD	QC LIMITS	
										RPD	%R
Benzene	8020	<0.50	5.0	ND	4.3	86	4.4	88	2.5	25	69-118
Toluene	8020	<0.50	25.0	ND	27	107	28	112	4.0	25	82-122
Ethyl Benzene	8020	<0.50	5.0	ND	5.3	106	5.6	111	5.3	25	77-114
Xylenes	8020	<0.50	25.0	ND	28	113	30	119	4.8	25	85-125
Gasoline	8015	<50.0	500	ND	481	96	516	103	7.0	25	75-125
aaa-TFT(S.S.)-PID	8020			99%	98%		98%				65-135
aaa-TFT(S.S.)-FID	8015			103%	100%		104%				65-135

Definition of Terms:

- na: Not Analyzed in QC batch
- MB: Method Blank
- SA: Spike Added
- SR: Sample Result
- RPD(%): Duplicate Analysis - Relative Percent Difference
- SP: Spike Result
- SP (%R): Spike % Recovery
- SPD: Spike Duplicate Result
- SPD (%R): Spike % Recovery
- nc: Not Calculated

BLAINE

TECH SERVICES INC.

1680 ROGERS AVENUE
SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95112-1105
FAX (408) 573-7771
PHONE (408) 573-0555

CONDUCT ANALYSIS TO DETECT

LAB ENTTECH DHS # _____
ALL ANALYSES MUST MEET SPECIFICATIONS AND DETECTION LIMITS SET BY CALIFORNIA DHS AND
 EPA RWQCB REGION _____
 LIA
 OTHER

CHAIN OF CUSTODY
BTJ # 990730-21
CLIENT PES
SITE Pacific Electronic Motor
1099 66TH Ave
DALLAND, CA

C = COMPOSITE ALL CONTAINERS

SAMPLE I.D.	DATE/TIME	MATRIX	CONTAINERS	TOTAL	C	CONDUCT ANALYSIS TO DETECT			ADD'L INFORMATION	STATUS	CONDITION	LAB SAMPLE #
						TPH - G	BTEX	MTBE - X				
MW-1	7/30/99 1125	W	3	40ml	X	X	X	-001				
MW-2	7/29/99 1010		3	HCl	X	X	X	-002				
MW-3	7/29/99 1030		3	VOAS	X	X	X	-003				
MW-4	7/29/99 1100	V	3	↓	X	X	X	-004				

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS
Invoice of Report to PES
ATTN: WILL MAST
* Confirm MTBE Hit by 8242/8260

SAMPLING COMPLETED DATE 7/29/99 TIME 1125 SAMPLING PERFORMED BY MATTHEW SMITH RESULTS NEEDED NO LATER THAN As Contacted

RELEASED BY Matthew R. Smith DATE 8/3 TIME 1:50 RECEIVED BY Tei Oni DATE 8/2/99 TIME 1:45pm

RELEASED BY Tei Oni DATE 8/2/99 TIME 2:30 RECEIVED BY Vinh Chur DATE 8/2/99 TIME 2:30pm

RELEASED BY _____ DATE _____ TIME _____ RECEIVED BY _____ DATE _____ TIME _____

SHIPPED VIA _____ DATE SENT _____ TIME SENT _____ COOLER # _____

Entech Analytical Labs, Inc.

RECEIVED AUG 24 1999
CA ELAP # I-2346

525 Del Rey Avenue, Suite E • Sunnyvale, CA 94086 • (408) 735-1550 • Fax (408) 735-1554

PES Environmental, Inc.
1682 Novato Blvd., Suite 100
Novato, CA 94947
Attn: Will Mast

Date: 8/18/99
Date Received: 8/2/99
Project:
PO #:
Sampled By: Client

Certified Analytical Report

Water Sample Analysis:

Sample ID	MW-1			MW-4						
Sample Date	7/30/99			7/30/99						
Sample Time	11:25			11:00						
Lab #	15555-001			15555-004						
	Result	DF	DLR	Result	DF	DLR			PQL	Method
Results in µg/Liter:										
Analysis Date	8/18/99			8/18/99						
MTBE	ND	1.0	5.0	67,000	1000	5000			5.0	8260

DF=Dilution Factor ND= None Detected above DLR PQL=Practical Quantitation Limit DLR=Detection Reporting Limit

Analysis performed by Entech Analytical Labs, Inc. (CA ELAP #I-2346)


Michelle L. Anderson, Lab Director

Entech Analytical Labs, Inc.

525 Del Rey Avenue, Suite E
Sunnyvale, CA 94086

QUALITY CONTROL RESULTS SUMMARY

Volatile Organic Compounds
Laboratory Control Sample

QC Batch #: WGCMS990816
Matrix: Water
Units: µg/L

Date analyzed: 08/16/99
Spiked Sample: Blank Spike

PARAMETER	Method #	SA µg/L	SR µg/L	SP µg/L	SP %R	SPD µg/L	SPD %R	RPD	QC LIMITS	
									RPD	%R
1,1- Dichloroethene	8240/8260	25	ND	26	102	29	118	13.8	25	50-150
Methyl-tert-butyl ether	8240/8260	25	ND	26	104	29	118	12.3	25	50-150
Benzene	8240/8260	25	ND	27	110	28	112	2.2	25	50-150
Trichloroethene	8240/8260	25	ND	29	116	29	117	1.0	25	50-150
Toluene	8240/8260	25	ND	28	110	28	111	0.4	25	50-150
Chlorobenzene	8240/8260	25	ND	29	116	30	118	2.4	25	50-150
<i>Surrogates</i>										
Dibromofluoromethane	8240/8260		87%	104%		106%				65-135
MTBE-d3	8240/8260		91%	102%		115%				65-135
Toluene -d8	8240/8260		95%	100%		97%				65-135
4-Bromofluorobenzene	8240/8260		90%	105%		106%				65-135

Definition of Terms:

- na: Not Analyzed in QC batch
- SA: Spike Added
- SR: Sample Result
- RPD(%): Duplicate Analysis - Relative Percent Difference
- SP: Spike Result
- SP (%R): Spike % Recovery
- SPD: Spike Duplicate Result
- SPD (%R): Spike Duplicate % Recovery

CONDUCT ANALYSIS TO DETECT

LAB ENTTECH DHS # _____
ALL ANALYSES MUST MEET SPECIFICATIONS AND DETECTION LIMITS SET BY CALIFORNIA DHS AND
 EPA
 LIA
 OTHER _____

RUSH

CHAIN OF CUSTODY
BTS # 990730-Z1
CLIENT PES
SITE Pacific Electrical Motor
1099 66TH Ave
OAKLAND, CA

C = COMPOSITE ALL CONTAINERS

TPH - 6
BTX
MTBE *
MBC 67 8260 PPT COC
request run post
hold time due to lab
equ. problem
8/19/99
REPORT by 8/19
NO RUSH PREP

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS
Invoice / Return to
PES
ATTN: Will Mast
* Confirm MTBE hits by 8240/8260

SAMPLE I.D.	DATE/TIME	M/S/PER	MATRIX S=SOIL W=H2O	TOTAL	CONTAINERS	C	CONDUCT ANALYSIS TO DETECT										ADD'L INFORMATION	STATUS	CONDITION	LAB SAMPLE #
							TPH-6	BTX	MTBE*	MBC 67 8260 PPT COC	request run post	hold time due to lab	equ. problem	8/19/99	REPORT by 8/19	NO RUSH PREP				
MW-1	7/30/99	1125	W	3	40ml	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	-001		
MW-2	7/30/99	1010		3	HCl	X	X	X										-002		
MW-3	7/30/99	1030		3	VOAS	X	X	X										-003		
MW-4	7/30/99	1100	V	3	V	X	X	X	X									-004		

SAMPLING COMPLETED DATE 7/26/99 TIME 1125 SAMPLING PERFORMED BY MATTHEW SMITH RESULTS NEEDED NO LATER THAN As Contacted

RELEASED BY Matthew R. Smith DATE 8/3 TIME 1:50 RECEIVED BY Tai Oni DATE 8/2/99 TIME 1:45 PM

RELEASED BY Tai Oni DATE 8/2/99 TIME 2:30 RECEIVED BY Vinh Chien DATE 8/2/99 TIME 2:30 PM

RELEASED BY _____ DATE _____ TIME _____ RECEIVED BY _____ DATE _____ TIME _____

SHIPPED VIA _____ DATE SENT _____ TIME SENT _____ COOLER # _____

DISTRIBUTION

**QUARTERLY MONITORING REPORT
THIRD QUARTER 1999
PACIFIC ELECTRIC MOTOR COMPANY
1009 66TH AVENUE
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA**

SEPTEMBER 30, 1999

COPY NO. 5

		<u>Copy No.</u>
1 Copy	Pacific Electric Motor Company 137 Fiesta Circle Orinda, California 94563 Attention: Mr. Steve Boyd	1
2 Copies	Pacific Electric Motor Company 129 Natalie Drive Moraga, California 94556-2422 Attention: Mr. Rand Perry	2 - 3
2 Copies	Alameda County Health Care Service Agency Department of Environmental Health 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, California 94502-6577 Attention: Mr. Barney Chan	4 - 5
3 Copies	PES Job File	6 - 8
1 Copy	Unbound Original	9