

From: [Khatri, Paresh, Env. Health](#)
To: [Roe, Dilan, Env. Health](#); [Soo, Kit, Env. Health](#)
Subject: FW: Fwd: Question about underground tank removal policy/and case RO0000409 MacArthur Blvd
Date: Friday, October 14, 2016 11:35:08 AM

Just fyi...

Sincerely,

Paresh Khatri

-----Original Message-----

From: Dr. Maureen Dorsey [<mailto:mddvm@oaklandvet.com>]
Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2016 6:05 PM
To: Khatri, Paresh, Env. Health <paresh.khatri@acgov.org>
Subject: Re: Fwd: Question about underground tank removal policy/and case RO0000409 MacArthur Blvd

Hello,

Thank you for your response. I will forward the information to my attorney and will look for the future reports.

Maureen Dorsey

Khatri, Paresh, Env. Health wrote:

> Hello Dr. Dorsey,
>
>
>
> Thank you for your email. Please find responses to you questions
> below (in red). For Part 2, Dilan, Kit (the new caseworker) and
> myself (the new supervisor) will be meeting on Tuesday, October 18,
> 2016 to thoroughly evaluate the gas station cleanup case. We will
> provide you with a more detailed status on Tuesday.
>
>
>
>
> This is a 2 part email.
>
> 1. There is a UST Cortese list property at High and MacArthur on the
> southwest corner owned by AMG/Alex Hahn/Alexis Gevorgian (property
> ownership has been in court) that may have a mitigation plan recorded
> but I do not have that case number at hand. My question is basic and
> may not be in your jurisdiction, but today I see them with a large
> back hoe and digging large holes in that property and without any
> apparent dust mitigation. Is this allowable/standard for tank removal?
>
> I am not SURE they are removing one or more of the leaking tanks on
> this property today but it is quite a digging operation with large
> piles of dirt. Is the oversight of proper removal up to the City of Oakland?
> Just wondering...as my staff and I are upwind from this and the
> history of this property is one of contention...

>
> The site in question (4311 Macarthur Blvd, Oakland) is overseen by the
> Regional Water Quality Control Board (RB Case # 01-3601). The
> caseworker is Barbara Sieminski (Barbara.sieminski@waterboards.ca.gov
> <<mailto:Barbara.sieminski@waterboards.ca.gov>>). The City of Oakland
> and/or the Bay Area Air Quality Management District would likely have
> authority over dust control/nuisance issues. Alameda County CUPA is
> not aware of any UST removals for this subject site.
>
>
>
>
> 2. Regarding the gas station next door RO0000409 4276 MacArthur
> Blvd...I have not seen any further reports about how they are going to
> correct the leaking tank that is also polluting my property
> underground, but that last one only discussed what they would be doing
> on THEIR property and I believe it was something like just letting it
> decompose underground (I could be mistaken---I don't have the report
> on hand at the moment). But I have not seen a finalized authorized
> mitigation plan from the County to the Unocal/Chevron---whatever oil
> company is in charge---of this property. Has the County completed its
> investigation of this leaking tank? What is the county requiring of
> them regarding the pollution of my property at 4258 MacArthur?
>
> A multi-phase extraction (MPE) pilot test to remediate hydrocarbon
> impacts to the subsurface has been approved on September 23, 2016.
> The location of the proposed extraction wells that will be utilized
> for MPE pilot testing are located near the property boundary between
> your building and the gas station. A Basis of Design Report is due on
> December 21, 2016 and The MPE Pilot Test Report is due on March 31, 2017.
>
>
>
> Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions or
> concerns regarding this email.
>
>
>
> Sincerely,
>
>
>
>
>
> Paresh C Khatri
>
> Supervising Hazardous Materials Specialist
>
> Alameda County Department of Environmental Health
>
> 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway
>
> Alameda, CA 94502-6577
>
>
>
>
> Ph: 510-777-2478

>
> Fax: 510 -337-9335

>

>

>

> <http://www.acgov.org/aceh/index.htm>

>

>

>

> *_Confidentiality Notice_*: This e-mail message, including any
> attachments, is for the sole use of intended recipient(s) and may
> contain confidential and protected information. Any unauthorized
> review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are
> not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail
> and destroy all copies of the original message.

>

>

>