


76 Broadway
Sacramento, California 95818

RECEIVED

2:53 pm, Apr 29, 2008

Alameda County
Environmental Health

April 25, 2008

Mr. Jerry Wickham
Alameda County Health Agency
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250
Alameda, California 94502


Re: **Corrective Action Plan**
76 Station No. 1156
4276 MacArthur Boulevard
Oakland, California

Dear Mr. Wickham,

I declare under penalty of perjury that to the best of my knowledge the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached report is/are true and correct.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me at (916) 558-7612.

Sincerely,



Bill Borgh
Site Manager – Risk Management and Remediation

Attachment

April 24, 2008

Mr. Jerry Wickham
Alameda County Health Care Services
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250
Alameda, California 94502

**RE: Draft Corrective Action Plan
76 Station No. 1156
4276 MacArthur Boulevard
Oakland, California**



Dear Mr. Wickham:

On behalf of ConocoPhillips Company (COP), Delta Consultants (Delta) has prepared this Corrective Action Plan (CAP) for 76 Service Station No. 1156 located at 4276 MacArthur Boulevard in Oakland California (Figure 1). The CAP was prepared as requested by the Alameda County Health Care Services (ACHCS) in their letter dated January 24, 2008. A copy of the ACHCS letter is presented as Attachment A. An extension was requested by Delta to the March 28, 2008 deadline in an email sent to Mr. Jerry Wickham/ACHCS on March 14, 2008. Mr. Wickham granted the extension in an email on March 17, 2008 until April 28, 2008. The purpose of the CAP is to evaluate remedial alternatives and select the appropriate alternative to address residual petroleum hydrocarbon-impacted soil and groundwater present beneath the site.

SITE DESCRIPTION

The site is located at the northeast corner of MacArthur Boulevard and High Street in Oakland, California (Figure 1). Two 12,000-gallon gasoline underground storage tanks (USTs) are present in the southwestern portion of the site and two dispenser islands are present at the site, one to the northwest and one to the east of the USTs. A station building is located in the northern portion of the site. There are currently eight groundwater monitoring wells (MW-1 through MW-8) and one tank backfill well (TP-1) located at and in the vicinity of the site. Site features are shown on Figure 2. Properties in the immediate vicinity of the site are utilized for commercial and residential purposes.

PREVIOUS ASSESSMENT

In 1997, Pacific Environmental Group Inc. (PEG) advanced 5 soil-gas probes in the vicinity of the USTs, dispenser islands, and product lines to depths ranging from 3 to 15 feet below the ground surface (bgs). Elevated soil vapor concentrations of total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPHg), benzene, and methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE) were reported at concentrations up to 4,700 micrograms per liter ($\mu\text{g/L}$), 70 $\mu\text{g/L}$, and 140 $\mu\text{g/L}$, respectively.

In 1998, Tosco Marketing Company (now ConocoPhillips) removed one 280-gallon used-oil UST, and removed and replaced two 10,000-gallon gasoline USTs, associated piping, and fuel dispensers. The new USTs were installed in a separate excavation. Total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel (TPHd), TPHg, benzene, and total recoverable petroleum hydrocarbons (TRPH) were reported in the soil sample collected from the used-oil UST excavation at concentrations of 78,000 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg), 130 mg/kg, 0.55 mg/kg, and 8,400 mg/kg, respectively. Following the over-excavation of approximately 4.6 tons of soil from the used-oil UST excavation, concentrations of TPHd, TPHg, benzene, and TRPH were reported in soil samples collected from the used-oil UST excavation at concentrations up to 560 mg/kg, 81 mg/kg, 0.64 mg/kg, and 360 mg/kg, respectively. TPHg and benzene were reported in the soil samples collected from the gasoline UST excavation, dispenser islands, and product lines at concentrations up to 1,200 mg/kg and 1.6 mg/kg, respectively. A groundwater sample collected from the gasoline UST excavation contained TPHg and MTBE at concentrations of 41,000 $\mu\text{g/L}$ and 1,800 $\mu\text{g/L}$, respectively. Benzene was below the laboratory's indicated reporting limit in the groundwater sample collected for analysis.

In 1999, Environmental Resolutions Inc. (ERI) conducted a soil and groundwater assessment which included the installation of four on-site groundwater monitoring wells (MW-1 through MW-4). Analytical data from soil samples collected from the borings at a depth of 10.5 feet bgs indicated TPHg, benzene, and MTBE were present at concentrations up to 6,800 mg/kg, 2.6 mg/kg, and 0.71 mg/kg, respectively. The soil sample from MW-1, near the former used-oil UST, was also analyzed for TPHd and TRPH. This soil sample contained TPHd and TRPH at concentrations of 140 mg/kg and 73 mg/kg, respectively.

Analytical data from an additional soil sample collected at a depth of 20.5 feet bgs from the MW-4 boring indicated that TPHg, benzene, and MTBE were below the laboratory's indicated reporting limits. Quarterly groundwater monitoring and sampling activities commenced in July 1999 and are currently ongoing.

In July 2001, ERI installed a UST pit backfill well (TP-1) and initiated monthly purging of groundwater from the UST excavation. Bi-weekly groundwater purging was conducted at the site using wells TP-1 and MW-1 from July 2001 through December 2004.

In addition, during June 2004, the biweekly purging events included monitor well MW-7. Approximately 1,600 gallons of groundwater were removed from monitoring well MW-7 with a cumulative total of approximately 476,015 gallons removed from the site through December 2004.

In August 2001, ERI installed three off-site monitoring wells (MW-5 through MW-7). Analytical data from soil samples collected from these well borings indicated TPHg and MTBE were not present above the laboratory's indicated reporting limits. Benzene was present in one soil sample collected from MW-7 at a concentration of 0.18 mg/kg.

During the first quarter 2007 monitoring and sampling event, groundwater samples were collected from monitoring wells MW-2 and MW-4 for heterotrophic plate count (HPC). The HPC analytical data indicated that the dissolved oxygen (DO) in the groundwater in the vicinity of monitoring well MW-2 is depleted, thus limiting the growth of natural bacterial populations. The HPC analytical data indicate that the DO in the groundwater in the vicinity of monitoring well MW-4 is also depleted, but to a lesser extent than in the vicinity of monitoring well MW-2. Therefore, if oxygen were introduced into the groundwater, via oxygen injection, the increased oxygen would likely stimulate the growth of natural bacterial populations, thus increasing the degradation of the petroleum hydrocarbons in the groundwater.

In November 2007, Delta advanced six soil borings at the site and installed one monitoring well (MW-8) off-site down-gradient of the former waste-oil UST location.

SENSITIVE RECEPTORS

2001 – A GeoTracker database search was conducted which indicated that four public water supply wells owned by the East Bay Regional Park District (Park District) were present within a one-half mile radius of the site. Representatives from the Park District reported having no knowledge or records of any wells located in this area and indicated that the wells may have belonged to the East Bay Municipal Utility District (EBMUD); however, EBMUD also reported no knowledge or records of any wells located in this area.

2001 – A Department of Water Resources (DWR) database search was conducted which indicated four water supply wells belonging to Mills College were present within the one-half mile radius search area. A representative from Mills College indicated that all wells associated with Mills College had been destroyed and Mills College was now connected to a municipal water supply. The DWR search also indicated a well was located at 3397 Arkansas Street, approximately 880 feet outside of the search area. No other wells, surface water bodies, or potentially sensitive environmental habitats were identified during ERI's field receptor search.

2006 – A survey entailing a visit to the DWR office in Sacramento was conducted to examine well log records and identify domestic wells within the survey area. The DWR survey provided two potential receptors within one mile of the site; one irrigation well located 0.9 miles northwest of the site and one domestic/irrigation well located 1.0 mile northeast of the site. Two additional potential receptors were identified, although the specific addresses could not be located.

SITE GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY

The subject site is located in the San Francisco Bay region in the north-central Coast Range and is underlain by interbedded Holocene age alluvial fan deposits. These deposits are composed of unconsolidated deposits of sand and silt in a clay matrix with some fine-grained gravels. Boring logs from monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-8 and

borings SB-1 through SB-6 are presented as Attachment B. Geologic cross-sections A-A' and B-B' are presented as Figures 3 and 4, respectively.

Historical monitoring data indicates static depth to water on-site varies from approximately 1 to 6 feet bgs. The groundwater flow direction has generally been to west with variations to the southwest. The average gradient is 0.07 foot per foot (ft/ft) with a most recent gradient of 0.05 ft/ft. Historical groundwater flow directions are shown on a Rose diagram presented as Figure 5.

Based on data obtained during previous investigations, it appears that groundwater beneath the site is under confined conditions.

SITE CHARACTERIZATION

Extent of Petroleum Hydrocarbon-Impacted Soil

Petroleum hydrocarbon impacted soil appears to be contained on-site in the vicinity of the former USTs, the former waste-oil UST, down-gradient of the current USTs, and west, down-gradient of the station building. Petroleum hydrocarbon impacted soil is primarily found in borings MW-1, SB-2, and SB-5 at depths of 10.5 feet bgs, 8.5 bgs, and 17 feet bgs, respectively. In addition, petroleum hydrocarbon impacted soil was reported in the vicinity of the former UST location and the fuel dispensers along the south side of the property at depths of 6 feet bgs and 3 feet bgs, respectively during the fuel system upgrades conducted in 1998. Petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations in the vicinity of boring MW-1 and impacted soil in the vicinity of the former UST location and the fuel dispensers along the south side of the property have likely naturally attenuated since these samples were collected in 1999 and 1998, respectively.

Historical petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations in soil are presented in Table 1. Locations of historical soil sampling locations are presented on Figure 2.

Extent of Petroleum Hydrocarbon-Impacted Groundwater

The analytical data obtained during the most recent quarterly monitoring event (first quarter 2008) indicates petroleum hydrocarbon impacted groundwater remains beneath the site in the area of the former waste-oil UST, down-gradient of the former UST basin, and in the vicinity of the existing USTs. In addition, groundwater is impacted by petroleum hydrocarbons off-site, down-gradient of the site in the vicinity of monitoring well MW-7 and likely in the vicinity of monitoring wells MW-1, MW-8, and MW-9 associated with the former Shell Station.

During the first quarter 2008 groundwater monitoring and sampling event, TPHg was above the laboratory's indicated reporting limits in on-site monitoring wells MW-1 (40,000 µg/L), MW-2 (1,700 µg/L), MW-3 (4,200 µg/L), MW-4 (770 µg/L), and off-site monitoring wells MW-5 (150 µg/L) and MW-7 (1,500 µg/L). On-site monitoring well MW-1 is located down-gradient of the former waste-oil UST. On-site monitoring wells MW-2 and MW-3 are located down-gradient and adjacent to the existing USTs, respectively. Monitoring wells MW-5 and MW-7 are located off-site, down-gradient of the former waste-oil UST and the existing USTs, respectively. During the first quarter 2008 sampling event, TPHg was below the laboratory's indicated reporting limits in

monitoring wells MW-6 and MW-8 located off-site, down-gradient of the former waste-oil UST.

During the first quarter 2008 groundwater monitoring and sampling event, benzene was above the laboratory's indicated reporting limits in on-site monitoring wells MW-1 (6,000 µg/L), MW-2 (6.2 µg/L), MW-3 (200 µg/L), MW-4 (190 µg/L), and off-site monitoring well MW-7 (0.45 µg/L). During the first quarter 2008 sampling event, benzene was below the laboratory's indicated reporting limits in monitoring wells MW-5, MW-6, and MW-8 located off-site, down-gradient of the former waste-oil UST.

During the first quarter 2008 groundwater monitoring and sampling event, MTBE was above the laboratory's indicated reporting limits in on-site monitoring wells MW-1 (170 µg/L), MW-2 (2,200 µg/L), MW-3 (120 µg/L), MW-4 (220 µg/L), and off-site monitoring wells MW-5 (170 µg/L) and MW-7 (1,900 µg/L). During the first quarter 2008 sampling event, MTBE was below the laboratory's indicated reporting limits in monitoring wells MW-6 and MW-8 located off-site, down-gradient of the former waste-oil UST.

During the first quarter 2008 groundwater monitoring and sampling event, tertiary butyl ether (TBA) was above the laboratory's indicated reporting limits in on-site monitoring well MW-2 (9,900 µg/L) and off-site monitoring well MW-7 (2,700 µg/L).

Historical groundwater analytical results and monitoring data are presented as Attachment C. Copies of the iso-concentration maps for TPHg, benzene, and MTBE from the January 2008 event are presented as Attachment D.

Petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations in groundwater samples collected during the 2007 soil boring investigation are presented in Table 2. Locations of historical soil boring locations are presented on Figure 2.

Groundwater Concentration Trends

Although fluctuations have occurred, TPHg, benzene, and MTBE concentrations in the historically impacted monitoring wells (MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, MW-4, MW-5, and MW-7) have decreased since commencement of monitoring and sampling activities.

Concentrations versus time graphs for the historically impacted wells are presented as Attachment E. Note that when a constituent is below the laboratory's indicated reporting limits, the laboratory's reporting limit was used as the data point to create the plots.

Based on the generally decreasing concentrations in groundwater and the presence of TBA in monitoring wells MW-2, and MW-7, natural attenuation appears to be occurring at the site. TBA is a known byproduct of MTBE biodegradation.

CONSTITUENTS OF CONCERN

The constituents of concern (COCs) in soil at the site are TPHg and benzene. Maximum MTBE concentrations in soil were 1.2 mg/kg in the sample collected from soil boring SB-2 at a depth of 12 feet bgs. TOG was also previously above the laboratory's indicated reporting limits in soil. However, heavier-end petroleum hydrocarbons, such

as oil and grease, typically exhibit characteristics of low toxicity and low mobility in the environment. Therefore, TOG is not included as COCs.

TPHg, benzene, MTBE and TBA are the COCs in groundwater, with benzene and MTBE being the primary COCs.

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN OBJECTIVES

The CAP objectives are consistent with those specified in the applicable regulations (California Code of Regulations [CCR] Title 23, Division 3, Chapter 16), and are as follows:

- Investigate and analyze the potential effects of previously reported releases of petroleum hydrocarbons in soil and groundwater at the site;
- Propose a cost-effective plan to adequately protect human health and the environment;
- Protect current and potential beneficial uses of water; and,
- Propose a means to evaluate the effectiveness of the plan upon implementation.

PROPOSED CLEANUP LEVELS

The target groundwater cleanup levels are proposed to be based on the results of risk-based modeling. A Tier 1 Risk Based Corrective Action (RBCA) or equivalent process as approved by the ACHCS will be conducted. If required, a Tier II RBCA will be conducted using site specific information and data. In addition to the risk evaluation process, a vapor migration risk evaluation per current Department of Toxic Substances Control requirements and a groundwater fate and transport evaluation will be conducted to fully characterize expected risk related to residual gasoline concentrations at this site. Specific risk-based evaluation approaches will be submitted to the ACHCS under separate cover for approval.

If dissolved petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations are currently at or below minimum levels dictated by risk modeling or decline to stable minimum levels below those dictated by risk modeling, the ACHCS will be contacted for further guidance on expected path to closure.

REMEDIAL ALTERNATIVE EVALUATION

As mentioned above, impacted soil was previously reported above the laboratory's indicated reporting limits on-site in the vicinity of the former USTs, the former waste-oil UST, down-gradient of the current USTs, and west, down-gradient of the station building. The highest concentrations were reported in the area monitoring well MW-1.

The highest concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons in groundwater observed during the first quarter 2008 groundwater monitoring and sampling event were in the vicinity of on-site monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3 and MW-4, and off-site monitoring well MW-7 located across MacArthur Boulevard, down-gradient of the current USTs.

The remedial alternatives evaluated to address the impacted soil and groundwater at the site include risk based closure with current concentration levels, monitored natural

attenuation, and ozone injection. Further discussion of each remedial alternative is presented below.

Risk Based Closure

After an evaluation and determination of appropriate cleanup levels using a risk based assessment is complete, Delta will review current hydrocarbon concentrations in soil and groundwater for stability and a trend of continued decrease in concentrations.

If dissolved petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations are stable and currently at or below minimum levels dictated by risk modeling, the ACHCS will be contacted for further guidance on expected path to closure.

Monitored Natural Attenuation

Natural attenuation involves the mitigation of contaminant concentrations through natural, non-destructive processes, e.g., dispersion, volatilization, dilution, sorption, etc., and destructive processes, e.g., biodegradation, hydrolysis, etc. Biodegradation is a process by which petroleum hydrocarbons are broken down by naturally occurring microbes present in the subsurface as a direct or indirect function of their metabolic processes.

With monitored natural attenuation, groundwater monitoring and sampling would be used to continuously to evaluate contaminant concentrations and document when cleanup goals have been achieved. This is a long-term remedial approach with costs ranging from approximately \$45,000 to \$80,000 or more, depending on the time necessary to achieve cleanup goals (to be determined by risk modeling).

The advantages of monitored natural attenuation are (1) contaminants are transformed into innocuous by-products, not just transferred to another phase or location in the environment; (2) it is non-intrusive, allowing the continued use of infrastructure during the remediation process; and (3) initial capital investment costs are low. Potential disadvantages of monitored natural attenuation are (1) the time-frame for remediation may result in long-term monitoring costs; and (2) natural attenuation is subject to natural and anthropogenic changes in local hydrogeologic and geochemical conditions.

Based on the decreasing petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations in groundwater and observed concentrations of TBA, natural attenuation appears to already be occurring at the site. Gasoline concentrations in groundwater should continue to decrease under current site conditions and monitored natural attenuation is a viable alternative for the reduction of COC concentrations in groundwater.

As risk-based closure goals have not yet been developed, monitored natural attenuation is considered a technically viable remedial solution, but overall feasibility will not be further explored until after completion of risk-based modeling to determine if existing concentrations are below risk thresholds, or require further action.

Ozone/Oxygen Injection

Ozone/oxygen injection is a remedial method in which an air/ozone mixture is injected into the groundwater using microporous injection points. Ozone is a highly reactive

chemical that has shown to be effective in destroying (via oxidation) a wide variety of organic chemicals including petroleum hydrocarbons and oxygenates with the by-products being carbon dioxide and water. In addition, the injection of ozone into the subsurface can enhance the natural biodegradation of organic chemicals through increased dissolved oxygen concentrations since ozone rapidly decomposes to oxygen. Significant reductions in contaminant concentrations in groundwater have been observed in as little as a few weeks to a few months with ozone injection. A pilot test would be required to evaluate the potential effectiveness of ozone injection.

Mass calculations on remaining petroleum hydrocarbons in soil and groundwater are included as Attachment F. Current soil conditions may not necessarily correlate with historic data, as in-situ degradation of absorbed petroleum hydrocarbons in the soil have likely occurred over time in response to natural attenuation.

For groundwater, the plume area is on-site in and around monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, MW-4 and borings SB-1, SB-2, SB-3, and SB-4 and extends off-site to the west-northwest in the vicinity of MW-5 and MW-7 as well as monitoring wells MW-1, MW-8, and MW-9 associated with the former Shell Station.

For soil, the depth of the petroleum hydrocarbon impacted soil is primarily found in borings MW-1, SB-2, and SB-5 at depths of 10.5 feet bgs, 8.5 bgs, and 17 feet bgs, respectively. In addition, petroleum hydrocarbon impacted soil was reported in the vicinity of the former UST location and the fuel dispensers along the south side of the property at depths of 6 feet bgs and 3 feet bgs, respectively during the fuel system upgrades conducted in 1998.

The approximate costs for installation of the ozone injection points and periodic injection for an 18-24 month period would range from range from \$150,000 to \$250,000.

The advantages of ozone injection are (1) a reduced time to achieve site closure; (2) ozone is effective at reducing MTBE concentrations, the primary COC at the site; (3) ozone injection can increase dissolved oxygen levels, potentially enhancing natural biodegradation; and (4) no waste is generated, therefore no treatment and/or disposal costs are incurred. Potential disadvantages of ozone injection are (1) it requires the installation and maintenance of injection points (wells); (2) continued regular injection of ozone or oxygen; (3) effectiveness can be limited by subsurface conditions, such as permeability of soil; (4) effectiveness can be limited by other constituents present in the subsurface, such as natural organic matter and ferrous iron, with which ozone may react prior to reaction with the petroleum hydrocarbons; and (5) ozone can degrade underground equipment such as tanks and piping.

As risk-based closure goals have not yet been developed, ozone injection is considered a technically viable remedial solution, but overall feasibility will not be further explored until after completion of risk-based modeling to determine if existing concentrations are below risk thresholds, or require further action.

RECOMMENDED CORRECTIVE ACTION

Recommended Action

Due to the concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons in the soil and the groundwater currently found beneath the site risk based corrective action is not appropriate at this time.

Of the remedial methods evaluated, **ozone/oxygen injection** is the best-available, most cost-effective approach to corrective action. With the decreasing concentrations of TPHg, benzene, and MTBE in groundwater, natural attenuation is apparently already occurring at the site. Natural attenuation should continue to decrease concentrations in groundwater over time until the cleanup goals are achieved.

As discussed above, during the first quarter 2007 monitoring and sampling event groundwater samples were collected from monitoring wells MW-2 and MW-4 for heterotrophic plate count (HPC). The HPC analytical data indicated that the DO in the groundwater in the vicinity of monitoring well MW-2 is depleted thus limiting the growth of natural bacterial populations. The HPC analytical data indicate that the DO in the groundwater in the vicinity of monitoring well MW-4 is also depleted but to a lesser extent than in the vicinity of monitoring well MW-2. Therefore, if ozone/oxygen were introduced into the groundwater, via ozone/oxygen injection, the increased oxygen would likely stimulate the growth of natural bacterial populations thus increasing the degradation of the petroleum hydrocarbons in the groundwater.

Therefore, Delta recommends that an ozone/oxygen injection well be installed in the vicinity of monitoring well MW-1 and feasibility testing be conducted. A work plan will be prepared under a separate cover describing the proposed work. The data from the feasibility testing will be evaluated and a remedial action plan will be prepared for the site.

Alternative Remedial Approach

Of the remaining remedial methods evaluated, **monitored natural attenuation** is the best-available, most cost-effective approach to corrective action. With the decreasing concentrations of TPHg, benzene, and MTBE in groundwater, natural attenuation is apparently already occurring at the site. Natural attenuation should continue to decrease concentrations in groundwater over time until the cleanup goals are achieved. However, due to the concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons in the groundwater currently found beneath the site monitoring natural attenuation would likely take years to obtain cleanup goals unless enhanced by the introduction of ozone/oxygen.

REGULATORY CLOSURE

Regulatory closure will be requested when COC concentrations have met cleanup goals as approved by the agencies, and remaining dissolved-phase COC concentrations can be demonstrated to not pose a risk to human health or the environment.


After approval of closure and no further action by the ACHCS, site monitoring wells and injection wells will be abandoned after obtaining the necessary permits.

REMARKS/SIGNATURES

The recommendations contained in this report represent Delta's professional opinions based upon the currently available information and are arrived at in accordance with currently acceptable professional standards. This report is based upon a specific scope of work requested by the client. The Contract between Delta and its client outlines the scope of work, and only those tasks specifically authorized by that contract or outlined in this report will be performed. This report is intended only for the use of Delta's Client and anyone else specifically listed on this report. Delta will not and cannot be liable for unauthorized reliance by any other third party. Other than as contained in this paragraph, Delta makes no expressed or implied warranty as to the contents of this report.

If you have any questions regarding this project, please contact me at (916) 503-1261 or Mr. William Borgh of ConocoPhillips at (916) 558-7612.

Sincerely,
DELTA CONSULTANTS



Dennis S. Dettloff, P.G.
Senior Project Manager
California Registered Professional Geologist No. 7480



FIGURES

- Figure 1 - Site Location Map
- Figure 3 - Site Map with Location of Geologic Cross Sections
- Figure 3 - Geologic Cross Section A-A'
- Figure 4 - Geologic Cross Section B-B'
- Figure 5 - Historical Groundwater Flow Directions


TABLES

- Table 1 - Groundwater Analytical Results, 2007 Soil Boring Investigation
- Table 2 - Historical Soil Analytical Results

ATTACHMENTS

- Attachment A - ACHCS Approval Letter
- Attachment B - Boring Logs
- Attachment C - Historical Groundwater Monitoring Data and Analytical Results
- Attachment D - Dissolved Phase Concentration Maps (January 2008)
- Attachment E - Groundwater Contaminant Concentration vs. Time Graphs
- Attachment F - Mass Calculations

Figures



0 1000 FT 2000 FT
SCALE: 1 : 24,000




FIGURE 1
SITE LOCATOR MAP

76 SERVICE STATION NO. 1156
4276 MACARTHUR BOULEVARD
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

PROJECT NO. C101-156	DRAWN BY JH 03/01/07
FILE NO. Site Locator	PREPARED BY MC
REVISION NO.	REVIEWED BY




SOURCE: USGS 7.5 MINUTE TOPOGRAPHIC MAP, OAKLAND EAST QUADRANGLE, 1967



SOUTHWEST
A

NORTH
A'



LEGEND

- MONITORING WELL/SOIL BORING
- WELL CASING/EXPLORATORY BORING
- WELL SCREEN
- TOTAL DEPTH (DRILLING DATE)
- DEPTH TO FIRST WATER
- DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER (STATIC)
- SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION WITH ANALYTICAL DATA: TPPH, BENZENE, MTBE (mg/kg)
- GROUNDWATER SAMPLE LOCATION WITH ANALYTICAL DATA: TPPH, BENZENE, MTBE (ug/L)
- MONITORING WELL GROUNDWATER SAMPLE DATE Q=QUARTERLY SAMPLE
- ASPHALT
- CONCRETE
- CLAY AND/OR SILT (CH,CL,ML)
- SAND WITH CLAY (SC)
- UST FILL MATERIAL
- APPROXIMATE STRATIGRAPHIC BOUNDARY




FIGURE 3
GEOLOGIC CROSS SECTION A-A'

76 SERVICE STATION 1156
4276 MACARTHUR BOULEVARD
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA


PROJECT NO. C101156	PREPARED BY MH	DRAWN BY JH
DATE 12/26/07	REVIEWED BY	FILE NAME 1156-CrosA



NORTHWEST
B

SOUTHEAST
B'

FEET BELOW GROUND SURFACE



LEGEND

- MONITORING WELL/SOIL BORING
- WELL CASING/EXPLORATORY BORING
- WELL SCREEN
- TOTAL DEPTH (DRILLING DATE)
- DEPTH TO FIRST WATER
- DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER (STATIC)
- SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION WITH ANALYTICAL DATA: TPH, BENZENE, MTBE (mg/kg)
- GROUNDWATER SAMPLE LOCATION WITH ANALYTICAL DATA: TPH, BENZENE, MTBE (ug/L)
- MONITORING WELL GROUNDWATER SAMPLE DATE Q=QUARTERLY SAMPLE
- ASPHALT
- CONCRETE
- CLAY AND/OR SILT (CH, CL, ML)
- SAND WITH CLAY (SC)
- APPROXIMATE STRATIGRAPHIC BOUNDARY

NOTES:

- 1) <0.50=BELOW LABORATORY REPORTING LIMITS
TPPH=TOTAL PURGEABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS
MTBE=METHYL TERT BUTYL ETHER
ug/L=MICROGRAMS PER LITER
mg/kg=MILLIGRAMS PER KILOGRAM
- 2) STRATIGRAPHY BETWEEN BORINGS IS INTERPRETIVE.
- 3) GROUNDWATER SAMPLES FROM BORINGS WERE COLLECTED ON THE DRILLING DATE.
- 4) DEPTH TO FIRST WATER IN WELLS WAS MEASURED ON THE DRILLING DATE. DEPTH TO STATIC WATER IN WELLS MEASURED DURING MOST RECENT QUARTERLY SAMPLING EVENT.


FIGURE 4
GEOLOGIC CROSS SECTION B-B'

76 SERVICE STATION 1156
4276 MACARTHUR BOULEVARD
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

PROJECT NO. C101156	PREPARED BY MH	DRAWN BY JH
DATE 12/26/07	REVIEWED BY	FILE NAME 1156-CrosB



Figure 5
Historic Groundwater Flow Directions
ConocoPhillips Site No. 1156
 4276 MacArthur Boulevard
 Oakland, California



Legend
 Concentric circles
 represent quarterly
 monitoring events
 Third Quarter 1999
 through First Quarter
 2008
 34 data points shown

■ Groundwater Flow Direction

Tables

Table 1

GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS
2007 SOIL BORING INVESTIGATION
ConocoPhillips Station No. 1156
4276 MacArthur Boulevard, Oakland, California

Sample ID	Date	Sample Depth (feet)	TPPH (µg/L)	Benzene (µg/L)	Toluene (µg/L)	Ethylbenzene (µg/L)	Total Xylenes (µg/L)	MTBE (µg/L)
Soil Borings								
SB-1@6	11/6/2007	6	110	<0.50	0.51	4.2	14	<0.50
SB-1@16	11/6/2007	16	34,000	4.4	100	2,000	9,800	3.3
SB-2@23	11/5/2007	23	2,100	240	200	70	240	95
SB-3@23	11/2/2007	23	220	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	480
SB-4@17	10/31/2007	17	6,500	1,900	100	170	110	410
Notes: TPPH = Total purgeable petroleum hydrocarbons by EPA Method 8260B BTEX = Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, total xylenes by EPA Method 8260B MTBE = Methyl tertiary butyl ether by EPA Method 8260B µg/L = micrograms per liter Bold = Above the laboratory's indicated reporting limit								

Table 2

HISTORICAL SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS
 ConocoPhillips Station No. 1156
 4276 MacArthur Boulevard, Oakland, California


Sample ID	Date	Sample Depth (feet)	TPPHg (mg/kg)	Benzene (mg/kg)	Toluene (mg/kg)	Ethylbenzene (mg/kg)	Total Xylenes (mg/kg)	MTBE (mg/kg)	TOG (mg/kg)	TEPHd (mg/kg)
Fuel USTs										
S-6-T1N	3/23/1998	6	1,200	0.9	<0.50	14	100	NA	NA	NA
S-9.5-T1S	3/23/1998	9.5	590	1.5	<0.50	5.6	33	NA	NA	NA
S-7-T2S	3/23/1998	7	670	1	0.74	6.8	51	NA	NA	NA
S-6-T2N	3/23/1998	6	83	<0.025	<0.025	0.15	0.41	NA	NA	NA
Dispensers										
S-2-D1	4/9/1998	2	<1.0	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	NA	NA	NA
S-3-D2	4/9/1998	3	16	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	1.3	NA	NA	NA
S-3-D3	4/9/1998	3	590	1.6	15	18	99	NA	NA	NA
S-3-D4	4/9/1998	3	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	0.07	NA	NA	NA
Product Lines										
S-3-PL1	4/9/1998	3	160	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	8.4	NA	NA	NA
S-3.5-PL2	4/9/1998	3.5	63	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	0.45	NA	NA	NA
Waste Oil UST										
S-6.5-T3S	3/23/1998	6.5	130	0.55	1.3	1.2	11	NA	8,400	78,000
S-4.5-T3W	4/9/1998	4.5	5	<0.0050	0.066	<0.0050	0.011	NA	<50	2.3
S-3-T3S	4/9/1998	3	1.6	0.043	<0.0050	0.0091	<0.0050	NA	<50	<1.0
S-6-T3S	4/9/1998	6	81	0.64	1.4	1.1	5.9	NA	360	560
Monitoring Wells										
MW-1@10.5'	7/16/1999	10.5	6,800	2.6	25	110	470	<0.050	NA	140
MW-2@10.5'	7/16/1999	10.5	<1.0	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.050	NA	NA
MW-3@10.5'	7/16/1999	10.5	16	0.32	0.43	0.28	1.8	0.36	NA	NA
MW-4@10.5'	7/16/1999	10.5	22	1.1	0.32	0.46	1.3	0.71	NA	NA
MW-4@20.5'	7/16/1999	20.5	<1.0	<0.0050	<0.0050	0.0069	<0.0050	<0.050	NA	NA
MW-5@5'	8/29/2001	5	<1.0	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	ND	NA
MW-6@5'	8/29/2001	5	<1.0	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	NA	NA
MW-7@5'	8/29/2001	5	<1.0	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	NA	NA
MW-7@10'	8/29/2001	10	<5.0	0.18	<0.025	0.085	0.34	<0.25	NA	NA
MW-8@10'	10/30/2007	10	<0.20	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.010	<0.0050	220	NA
MW-8@15'	10/30/2007	15	<0.20	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.010	<0.0050	<50	NA
MW-8@20'	10/30/2007	20	<0.20	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.010	<0.0050	<50	NA
Soil Borings										
SB-1@7	11/6/2007	7	360	<0.25	<0.25	4.2	17	<0.25	<50	NA
SB-1@12	11/6/2007	12	20	<0.025	<0.025	1.7	2.2	<0.025	<50	NA
SB-1@18.5	11/6/2007	18.5	2.3	<0.0050	<0.0050	0.067	0.30	<0.0050	<50	NA
SB-1@33.5	11/6/2007	33.5	3.1	<0.0050	0.012	0.26	0.14	<0.0050	<50	NA
SB-2@8.5	11/5/2007	8.5	1,200	7.8	51	24	120	ND<2.5	<50	NA
SB-2@12	11/5/2007	12	120	1.2	<0.25	2.3	12	1.2	<50	NA
SB-2@20	11/5/2007	20	0.029	0.016	0.011	0.0079	0.029	0.029	<50	NA
SB-2@25	11/5/2007	25	<0.20	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.010	<0.0050	<50	NA
SB-3@7	11/2/2007	7	<0.20	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.010	0.015	<50	NA
SB-3@15	11/2/2007	15	<0.20	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.010	<0.0050	<50	NA
SB-3@20	11/2/2007	20	0.33	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.010	0.34	<50	NA
SB-3@25	11/2/2007	25	0.27	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.010	0.24	<50	NA
SB-4@8'	10/30/2007	8	0.96	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.010	<0.0050	<50	NA
SB-4@11'	10/30/2007	11	<0.20	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.010	<0.0050	<50	NA
SB-4@16'	10/30/2007	16	<0.20	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.010	<0.0050	<50	NA
SB-4@27	10/30/2007	27	<0.20	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.010	<0.0050	<50	NA
SB-5@7	11/1/2007	7	150	0.28	0.31	1.7	8.6	<0.12	<50	NA
SB-5@12	11/1/2007	12	6.0	<0.025	<0.025	<0.025	<0.050	<0.025	<50	NA
SB-5@17	11/1/2007	17	1,700	3.0	13	28	99	<1.0	<50	NA
SB-5@22	11/1/2007	22	<0.20	0.0090	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.010	<0.0050	<50	NA
SB-5@30	11/1/2007	30	<0.20	0.0087	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.010	<0.0050	<50	NA
SB-6@5	10/31/2007	5	72	<0.025	<0.025	0.047	<0.050	<0.025	<50	NA
SB-6@8.5	10/31/2007	8.5	2.0	0.016	<0.0050	0.016	<0.010	0.016	<50	NA
SB-6@12'	10/31/2007	12	<0.20	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.010	0.016	<50	NA
SB-6@15	10/31/2007	15	<0.20	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.010	0.029	<50	NA
SB-6@17	10/31/2007	17	<0.20	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.010	<0.0050	<50	NA
SB-6@30.5	10/31/2007	30.5	<0.20	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.010	<0.0050	<50	NA
Notes:										
TPPHg =	Total purgeable petroleum hydrocarbons by EPA Method 8260B									
BTEX =	Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, total xylenes by EPA Method 8260B									
MTBE =	Methyl tertiary butyl ether by EPA Method 8260B									
TOG =	Total Oil and Grease									
TEPHd =	Total extractable petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel									
mg/kg =	milligrams per kilogram									
Bold =	Above the laboratory's indicated reporting limit									
< =	Below the laboratory's indicated reporting limit									
ND =	Not detected at or above the laboratory reporting limit									
NA =	Not analyzed									

Attachment A

ACHCS Approval Letter

ALAMEDA COUNTY
HEALTH CARE SERVICES

AGENCY
DAVID J. KEARS, Agency Director



January 24, 2008

William Borgh
ConocoPhillips
76 Broadway
Sacramento, CA 95818

Erna Renoud et al
561 Lighthouse Avenue
Pacific Grove, CA 93950

Carole M. Quick, Lorraine M. Mudget, and Frederick Munich
P.O. Box 2165
Gearheart, OR 97138

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250
Alameda, CA 94502-6577
(510) 567-6700
FAX (510) 337-9335

Subject: Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000409 and Geotracker Global ID T0600102279, Unocal #1156, 4276 MacArthur Boulevard, Oakland, CA 94619

Dear Shelby Lathrop, Erna Renoud et al, and Carole M. Quick, Lorraine M. Mudget, and Frederick Munich:

Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH) staff has reviewed the fuel leak case file for the above-referenced site, including the recently submitted document entitled, "Site Investigation Report," dated December 28, 2007. The Site Investigation Report presents results from soil and groundwater sampling in six soil borings. Elevated concentrations of fuel hydrocarbons were detected in soil in the area of the former USTs, the current USTs, and soil boring SB-5, which is located west of the station building. Elevated concentrations of fuel hydrocarbons and MTBE were detected in groundwater in each of the four soil borings where groundwater samples were collected. Up to 34,000 micrograms per liter ($\mu\text{g/L}$) of total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPHg) and 1,900 $\mu\text{g/L}$ of benzene were detected in groundwater.

Based on the elevated concentrations of fuel hydrocarbons and oxygenates in soil and groundwater on site and the elevated concentrations of dissolved fuel hydrocarbons and oxygenates migrating off site, remediation is required. MTBE has been consistently detected in groundwater from off-site well MW-7 at concentrations ranging from approximately 7,900 to 53,000 micrograms per liter ($\mu\text{g/L}$). We concur with the recommendation to prepare a revised Corrective Action Plan.

Public participation is a requirement for the Corrective Action Plan (CAP) process. Therefore, we request that you submit a Draft CAP for ACEH review. Upon ACEH approval of a Draft CAP, ACEH will notify potentially affected members of the public who live or own property in the surrounding area of the proposed remediation described in the Draft CAP. Public comments on the proposed remediation will be accepted for a 30-day period. We request that you perform the proposed work and send us the reports described below.

TECHNICAL REPORT REQUEST

Please submit technical reports to Alameda County Environmental Health (Attention: Jerry Wickham), according to the following schedule:

- **March 28, 2008** – Draft Corrective Action Plan
- **30 days following end of each quarter** – Quarterly Report (To include summary report, quarterly monitoring report, and remedial performance report if remediation is ongoing)

These reports are being requested pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25296.10. 23 CCR Sections 2652 through 2654, and 2721 through 2728 outline the responsibilities of a responsible party in response to an unauthorized release from a petroleum UST system, and require your compliance with this request.

ELECTRONIC SUBMITTAL OF REPORTS

The Alameda County Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs (LOP and SLIC) require submission of all reports in electronic form to the county's ftp site. Paper copies of reports will no longer be accepted. The electronic copy replaces the paper copy and will be used for all public information requests, regulatory review, and compliance/enforcement activities. Instructions for submission of electronic documents to the Alameda County Environmental Cleanup Oversight Program ftp site are provided on the attached "Electronic Report Upload (ftp) Instructions." Please do not submit reports as attachments to electronic mail.

Submission of reports to the Alameda County ftp site is an addition to existing requirements for electronic submittal of information to the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) Geotracker website. Submission of reports to the Geotracker website does not fulfill the requirement to submit documents to the Alameda County ftp site. In September 2004, the SWRCB adopted regulations that require electronic submittal of information for groundwater cleanup programs. For several years, responsible parties for cleanup of leaks from underground storage tanks (USTs) have been required to submit groundwater analytical data, surveyed locations of monitor wells, and other data to the Geotracker database over the Internet. Beginning July 1, 2005, electronic submittal of a complete copy of all necessary reports was required in Geotracker (in PDF format). Please visit the SWRCB website for more information on these requirements (http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/ust/cleanup/electronic_reporting).

PERJURY STATEMENT

All work plans, technical reports, or technical documents submitted to ACEH must be accompanied by a cover letter from the responsible party that states, at a minimum, the following: "I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document or report is true and correct to the best of my knowledge." This letter must be signed by an officer or legally authorized representative of your company. Please include a cover letter satisfying these requirements with all future reports and technical documents submitted for this fuel leak case.

William Borgh
Erna Renoud
Carole M. Quick, Lorraine M. Mudget, and Frederick Munich
January 24, 2008
Page 3

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION & CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

The California Business and Professions Code (Sections 6735, 6835, and 7835.1) requires that work plans and technical or implementation reports containing geologic or engineering evaluations and/or judgments be performed under the direction of an appropriately registered or certified professional. For your submittal to be considered a valid technical report, you are to present site specific data, data interpretations, and recommendations prepared by an appropriately licensed professional and include the professional registration stamp, signature, and statement of professional certification. Please ensure all that all technical reports submitted for this fuel leak case meet this requirement.

UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK CLEANUP FUND


Please note that delays in investigation, later reports, or enforcement actions may result in your becoming ineligible to receive grant money from the state's Underground Storage Tank Cleanup Fund (Senate Bill 2004) to reimburse you for the cost of cleanup.

AGENCY OVERSIGHT

If it appears as though significant delays are occurring or reports are not submitted as requested, we will consider referring your case to the Regional Board or other appropriate agency, including the County District Attorney, for possible enforcement actions. California Health and Safety Code, Section 25299.76 authorizes enforcement including administrative action or monetary penalties of up to \$10,000 per day for each day of violation.

If you have any questions, please call me at (510) 567-6791 or send me an electronic mail message at jerry.wickham@acgov.org.

Sincerely,



Jerry Wickham, California PG 3766, CEG 1177, and CHG 297
Senior Hazardous Materials Specialist

Enclosure: ACEH Electronic Report Upload (ftp) Instructions

cc: Dennis Dettloff, Delta Environmental Consultants, Inc., 3164 Gold Camp Drive, Suite 200
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

Denis Brown, Shell Oil Products US, 20945 S. Wilmington Ave., Carson, CA 90810-1039

Donna Drogos, ACEH
Jerry Wickham, ACEH
File

Attachment B

Boring Logs



Project No.: 2235 Boring: P1 / MW1 Plate: APPENDIX
 Location: Tosco 76 Service Station 11 Date: 7/16/99
 Drill Contractor: Woodward Drilling

Sample Method: Split Spoon Geologist: MARK S. DOCKUM
 Drill Rig: B57 Bore Hole Diameter: 8" Signature: *[Handwritten Signature]*
 Location: 10 Feet North of Northwestern Corner Registration: R.G. 4412
 of Station Logged by: Dylan Crouse

DEPTH (ft)	BLOW COUNTS	PD/OVM (ppm)	SAMPLE COLUMN	USCS	GEOLOGIC DESCRIPTION	WELL DESIGN
					2 1/2" asphalt	
				CH	Clay, grayish green, very moist, high plasticity	
5	39	253		SP	Sand, fine-grained, grayish green, moist, no plasticity, black staining	
				CH	Clay, grayish green, very moist, high plasticity	
10	27	87		ML	Silty sand, fine-grained sand, black, very moist, no plasticity, (65% silt, 35% sand)	
				CL	Clay, with some sand, medium-grained, light olive brown, medium plasticity, wet	
15	36	222				
20	37	22			sandy clay, strong brown, (40% sand, 60% clay)	
					yellow orange, high plasticity, very moist	
25	33	9				
					Total depth at 26.5 feet. Groundwater encountered at 23'7".	

Casing Diameter: 2" Slot Size: 010, Sand Size: 2/12, Grout: Portland I.I



Project No.: 2235 Boring: B2/MW2 Plate: APPENDIX
 Site: Tosco 76 Service Station 1156 Date: 7/16/99
 Drill Contractor: Woodward Drilling

Sample Method: Split Spoon Geologist: MARK S. DOCKUM
 Drill Rig: B57 Bore Hole Diameter: 8" Signature: *[Handwritten Signature]*
 Location: 2 Feet East of Southernmost Driveway Registration: R.G. 4412
Along MacArthur Boulevard Logged by: Dylan Crouse

DEPTH (ft)	BLOG COUNTS	PD/OTM (ppm)	SAMPLE COLUMN	USCS	GEOLOGIC DESCRIPTION	WELL DESIGN
5-11	20		[Patterned Column]	CH	4" asphalt Clay, dark greenish gray, mottled redish orange, some coarse-grained sand, slightly damp, high plasticity. (35% sand, 65% clay)	[Hatched Well Design]
10-18	0		[Patterned Column]		15% fine gravels up to 0.5", 20% sand, medium-grained, damp	[Dotted Well Design]
15-21	130		[Patterned Column]	CL	Silty clay, orange brown, mottled green gray, (35% silt, 65% clay), moist, medium plasticity	[Dotted Well Design]
20-29	20		[Patterned Column]		gravelly clay, light yellowish brown, (40% fine gravel, 60% clay), medium plasticity, very moist, black staining	[Dotted Well Design]
25-45	18		[Hatched Column]	ML	Sandy clay, trace of silt, yellowish brown, wet, medium plasticity, (35% sand, 15% silt, 50% clay)	[Dotted Well Design]
					Total depth at 26.5 feet. Groundwater encountered at 23' 6".	

Casing Diameter: 2" Slot Size: .010, Sand Size: 2/12, Grout: Portland II



Project No.: 2235 Boring: B3/MW3 Plate: APPENDIX
 Site: Tosco 76 Service Station 1156 Date: 7/16/99
 Drill Contractor: Woodward Drilling

Sample Method: Split Spoon Geologist: MARK S. DOCKUM
 Drill Rig: B57 Bore Hole Diameter: 8" Signature: *Mark S. Dockum*
 Location: Approximately 15' South West of Southern- Registration: R.G. 4412
 most Dispenser Island Parallel to High Street Logged by: Dylan Crouse

DEPTH (ft)	BLOW COUNTS	PID/OVM (ppm)	SAMPLE	COLUMN	USCS	GEOLOGIC DESCRIPTION	WELL DESIGN
						4 1/2" asphalt	
5-18	235				CH	Clay, dark yellowish brown, mottled, trace of medium-grained sand, slightly damp, high plasticity, (15% sand, 85% clay)	
						brown, mottled gray, dry	
10-33	265					staining, trace of coarse gravel and rootlets (15% gravel, 85% clay), slightly damp	
15-25	81				CL	Sandy clay, greenish gray, mottled, orange, some medium-grained sand, slight plasticity, caliche present, (35% sand, 65% clay)	
20-36	9				CH	Clay, strong brown, slight mottling, trace of medium-grained sand, 20% sand, high plasticity, black staining, 80% clay	
					GW	Gravel, yellowish brown, wet	
25-25	0				CH	Clay, trace of medium-grained sand, yellowish brown, very moist, high plasticity, (15% sand)	
					GW	Gravel, orange, slight plasticity, wet	
30-22	0				CH	Clay, yellowish brown, moist, high plasticity	
						Total depth at 31.5 feet. Groundwater encountered at 23.3 feet. Static groundwater at 12 feet.	

Casing Diameter: 2" Slot Size: .010" Sand Size: 2/12" Grout: Portland I.I



Project No.: 2235 Boring: B4/MW4 Plate: APPENDIX

Site: Tosco 76 Service Station 1156 Date: 7/16/99

Drill Contractor: Woodward Drilling

Sample Method: Split Spoon Geologist: MARK S. DOCKUM

Drill Rig: B57 Bore Hole Diameter: 8" Signature: *[Handwritten Signature]*

Location: 18 Feet North of Southernmost Dispenser Registration: R.G. 4412

Island Parallel High Street Logged by: Dylan Crouse

DEPTH (ft)	BLOW COUNTS	PD/OVM (ppm)	SAMPLE	COLUMN	USCS	GEOLOGIC DESCRIPTION	WELL DESIGN
						4 1/2" asphalt	
5-17	309					Clay, greenish gray, mottled, orange slightly damp, high plasticity	
10-22	253			CH		trace of medium-grained sand, slightly moist	
15-19	4					moist	
20-28	4					brownish yellow, black staining, 20% gravel, 20% medium-grained sand, moist	
25-36	0					brown, mottled, olive yellow, moist, black staining	
						Total depth at 26.5 feet. Groundwater encountered at 23.6 feet.	

Casing Diameter: 2" Slot Size: .010" Sand Size: 2/12" Grout: Portland I, II



Project No.: 2235 Boring: MW5 Plate: Attachment
 Site: Tosco 76 Service Station 1156 Date: 8/29/01
 Drill Contractor: Woodward Drilling Company, Inc.

Sample Method: Split Spoon Geologist: JOHN B. BOBBITT
 Drill Rig: BK-81 Bore Hole Diameter: 8" Signature: *[Signature]*
 Location: Eastern side of MacArthur Boulevard Registration: R.G. 4313
 approximately 40 feet north of site Logged by: Rob Saur

DEPTH (ft)	BLOW COUNTS	PID/OVM (ppm)	SAMPLE	COLUMN	USCS	GEOLOGIC DESCRIPTION	WELL DESIGN
0						6" Concrete	
5	23	8.3	▽		CL	CLAY WITH SAND AND TRACE OF GRAVEL: greenish gray, moist, high plasticity, fine-grained sand, fine-grained poorly-sorted subangular gravel.	
10	27	7.7	■			SANDY CLAY: orange brown, moist, low plasticity, fine-grained sand.	
15	57	11.2	■		ML	SANDY SILT: orange brown, moist, low plasticity, fine-grained sand.	
20	30		■				
25	38	7.7	■			light brown, wet.	
						Boring Terminated at 25 feet. Boring converted to groundwater monitoring well. Groundwater encountered at 6 feet.	

Casing Diameter: 2" Slot Size: 0.020, Sand Size: #3, Grout: Portland Cement



Project No.: 2235 Boring: MW6 Plate: Attachment
 Site: Tosco 76 Service Station 1156 Date: 8/29/01
 Drill Contractor: Woodward Drilling Company, Inc.

Sample Method: Split Spoon Geologist: JOHN B. ROBBITT
 Drill Rig: BK-81 Bore Hole Diameter: 8" Signature: *J. B. Robbitt*
 Location: Western side of MacArthur Boulevard Registration: R.G. 4313
 approx. 30 feet north of Shell station Logged by: Rob Saur

DEPTH (ft)	BLOW COUNTS	PD/OVM (ppm)	SAMPLE	COLUMN	USCS	GEOLOGIC DESCRIPTION	WELL DESIGN
						6" Concrete	
5	24	10.6				CLAYEY SILT: greenish gray, very moist, medium plasticity.	
10	19	10.0			ML	light brown, trace of fine-grained sub-angular sand (approx. 5%).	
15	24	6.0				CLAYEY SILT WITH SAND: light brown, fine-grained sub-angular sand (approx. 15%).	
20	48	7.7			SM	SAND WITH SILT: orange brown, wet, medium-grained well-sorted well-rounded sand.	
25	50 5"					Boring terminated at 25 feet. Boring converted to groundwater monitoring well. Groundwater encountered at 5.5 feet.	

Casing Diameter: 2" Slot Size: 0.020, Sand Size: #3, Grout: Portland Cement



Project No.: 2235 Boring: MW7 Plate: Attachment
 Site: Tosco 76 Service Station 1156 Date: 8/29/01
 Drill Contractor: Woodward Drilling Company, Inc.

Sample Method: Split Spoon Geologist: JOHN B. BOBBITT
 Drill Rig: BK-81 Bore Hole Diameter: 8" Signature: *[Signature]*
 Location: Western side of MacArthur Boulevard Registration: R.G. 4313
 approx. 40 feet north of High Street Logged by: Rob Saur

DEPTH (ft)	BLOW COUNTS	PD/OVM (ppm)	SAMPLE	COLUMN	USCS	GEOLOGIC DESCRIPTION	WELL DESIGN
						6" Concrete	
5	50 5"	25				SANDY SILT: brown with bluish green mottling, moist, low plasticity, 40% fine-grained sand.	
10	36	236					
15	35	8.9			ML	light brown, wet.	
20	25	57					
25	50 5"	19.3				reddish brown, 30% medium-grained sand.	
						Boring terminated at 25 feet. Boring converted to groundwater monitoring well. Groundwater encountered at 15 feet.	

Casing Diameter: 2" Slot Size: 0.020 Sand Size: #3 Grout: Portland Cement

Delta Consultants

Project No: **C101156151**

Client: **ConocoPhillips**

Boring No: **SB-1**

Logged By: **Tabbitha Croy**

Location: **4276 MacArthur Blvd**

Date Drilled: **11/6/07**

Driller: **Gregg Drilling & Testing**

Oakland, CA

Page 1 of 2

Drilling Method: **HSA**

Hole Diameter: **4"**

Sampling Method: **Continuous**

Hole Depth: **35'**

Casing Type: **NA**

Well Diameter: **NA**

Slot Size: **NA**

Well Depth: **NA**

Gravel Pack: **NA**

First Water Depth: **4'**

▽ = First Water

▼ = Static Groundwater

* = Selected for lab analysis

Well Completion		Static Water Level	Elevation		Northing		Easting		Soil Type	LITHOLOGY / DESCRIPTION
Backfill	Casing		Moisture Content	PID Reading (ppm)	Sample Identification	Depth (feet)	Recovery	Interval		
Neat Cement		▽								Asphalt - 6" Fill; tan, with medium gravel; surrounded; no plasticity; high toughness; soft; moist; (45,5,50)
				Air-Knife	1					
					2					Fill; some clay; brown; gravel medium to coarse; sub rounded; some fine sand; moist
					3					
					4					@ 4' large rocks; subround; wet; trace fine sand and clay; (90,5,5)
		wet	6.2		5					
					6					CL Lean clay ; olive green; some fine to medium sand; some orange mottling; medium stiff; medium plasticity and toughness; wet; strong odor (0,30,70)
		wet	326	@ 7* 8:39	7					
		wet	165	@ 8.5 8:42	8					Some black specs
		wet	221	@ 10 8:45	9					Some black and red staining
					10					
		wet	140	@ 12* 8:48	11					Sand fine to medium grain (0,40,60)
					12					SC Poorly graded sand with clay ; brown; some olive green mottling and gray staining; sand fine to medium grain; soft; loose; wet; strong odor (0,70,30)
		wet	118	@ 13.5 8:50	13					
		wet	133	@ 15 8:52	14					
					15					Red brown with orange and olive green mottling; medium stiff; (0,50,50)
		wet	419	@ 17 8:56	16					CL Lean clay ; some fine to medium sand; red brown with orange mottling and black specs; medium plasticity and toughness; medium stiff; wet; strong odor; (0,35,65)
		wet	1056	@ 18.5* 8:58	17					
		wet	148	@ 20 9:02	18					Stiff; trace medium gravel; sand medium grain; (5,35,60)
					19					
		wet	152	@ 22 9:04	20					Some black staining
					21					
					22					

Delta Consultants

Project No: **C101156151**

Client: **ConocoPhillips**

Boring No: **SB-1**

Logged By: **Tabbitha Croy**

Location: **4276 MacArthur Blvd**

Date Drilled: **11/6/07**

Driller: **Gregg Drilling & Testing**

Oakland, CA

Page 2 of 2

Drilling Method: **HSA**

Hole Diameter: **4"**

Sampling Method: **Continuous**

Hole Depth: **35'**

Casing Type: **NA**

Well Diameter: **NA**

Slot Size: **NA**

Well Depth: **NA**

Gravel Pack: **NA**

First Water Depth: **4'**

▽ = First Water

▼ = Static Groundwater

* = Selected for lab analysis

Well Completion		Static Water Level	Elevation			Northing		Easting	LITHOLOGY / DESCRIPTION
Backfill	Casing		Moisture Content	PID Reading (ppm)	Sample Identification	Depth (feet)	Sample Recovery Interval	Soil Type	
Neat Cement			wet	61.1		23			(5,40,55)
			wet	78.1	@ 25 9:13	24			A lot of black specs, very stiff; sand medium to coarse; low plasticity; high toughness; odor; (5,40,55)
			wet	41.2	@ 27 9:15	25			Tan; some black specs; trace orange mottling; coarse sand; trace fine gravel; sub angular; very stiff; (5,35,60)
			wet	53.9		26			Red brown with orange mottling; soft; no plasticity; sand fine to medium; crumbles easily; (5,40,55)
			wet	76.8		27			No orange mottling; medium stiff; low plasticity; (0,40,60)
			wet	38.3		28			Stiff; red brown; some tan mottling; a lot of black specs; sand fine grain; trace coarse sand; (0,35,65)
			wet	65.8	@ 33.5 9:32	29			Medium stiff; red brown with black specs; medium plasticity and toughness
						30			SC Poorly graded sand with clay; trace fine gravel; sand medium to coarse; red brown and orange; dark red staining; hard but crumbles easily; some black specs; gravel sub angular; wet; odor; (5,65,30)
						31			
						32			
			33						
			34						
			35						
			36						
			37						
			38						
			39						
			40						
			41						
			42						
			43						
			44						

TD = 35 feet bgs

Delta

Consultants

Project No: **C101156151**

Logged By: **Tabbitha Croy**

Driller: **Gregg Drilling & Testing**

Drilling Method: **HSA**

Sampling Method: **Continuous**

Casing Type: **NA**

Slot Size: **NA**

Gravel Pack: **NA**

Client: **ConocoPhillips**

Location: **4276 MacArthur Blvd**

Oakland, CA

Hole Diameter: **4"**

Hole Depth: **35'**

Well Diameter: **NA**

Well Depth: **NA**

First Water Depth: **22'**

Boring No: **SB-2**

Date Drilled: **11/5/07**

Page 1 of 2

▽ = First Water

▼ = Static Groundwater

* = Selected for lab analysis

Elevation

Northing

Easting

Well Completion	Static Water Level	Moisture Content	PID Reading (ppm)	Sample Identification	Depth (feet)	Sample Recovery	Interval	Soil Type	LITHOLOGY / DESCRIPTION
Neat Cement									Asphalt - 2"
					1			CL	Lean clay with gravel; tan; low to medium plasticity; high toughness; stiff; moist; gravel fine to medium; (30,0,70)
					2				
					3				
					4				
		moist	932.0	@ 5 8:43	5	▲			Tan with olive green mottling; medium plasticity and toughness; some silt; trace fine sand; moist; strong odor; (0,10,90)
		moist	1599	@ 7 8:45	7	▲			Red brown specs; some roots; medium stiff; trace fine gravel; (5,25,70)
		moist	1307	@ 8.5* 8:47	9	▲			(5,40,55)
		moist	1528	@ 10 8:49	10	▲		SC	Clayey sand; tan and olive green; some red brown mottling; red specs; sand fine to medium medium stiff; crumbles easily; no plasticity; gravel fine grain; moist; strong odor; (15,50,35)
		moist	1335	@ 12* 8:51	12	▲		CL	Lean clay; red brown; some olive green mottling; stiff; silty; some fine sand; some black specs; low plasticity; high toughness; moist; strong odor; (0,35,65)
		moist	1227	@ 13.5 8:53	14	▲			Sand fine to medium; trace fine gravel; red brown and tan; some olive green; (5,25,70)
		moist	762	@ 15 8:55	15	▲			Medium stiff; medium plasticity and toughness; red brown; some olive green; some black specs; (0,35,65)
		moist	308	@ 17 8:57	17	▲			Red brown; some pink staining; olive green mottling; crumbles easily; some fine gravel; (10,35,55)
		moist	182	@ 18.5 8:59	19	▲			Red brown; doesn't crumble easily; some fine sand; odor; (0,40,60)
		moist	124	@ 20* 9:04	20	▲			Medium soft; medium sand; trace fine gravel; some black specs; low plasticity; high toughness; (10,40,50)
	▽	wet	228	@ 22 9:06	22	▼		SC	Clayey sand; red brown with orange mottling;

Delta Consultants

Project No: **C101156151**

Client: **ConocoPhillips**

Boring No: **SB-2**

Logged By: **Tabbitha Croy**

Location: **4276 MacArthur Blvd**

Date Drilled: **11/5/07**

Driller: **Gregg Drilling & Testing**

Oakland, CA

Page 2 of 2

Drilling Method: **HSA**

Hole Diameter: **4"**

Sampling Method: **Continuous**

Hole Depth: **35'**

Casing Type: **NA**

Well Diameter: **NA**

Slot Size: **NA**

Well Depth: **NA**

Gravel Pack: **NA**

First Water Depth: **22'**

▽ = First Water

▼ = Static Groundwater

* = Selected for lab analysis

		Elevation			Northing		Easting				
Well Completion	Static Water Level	Moisture Content	PID Reading (ppm)	Sample Identification	Depth (feet)	Sample Recovery	Interval	Soil Type	LITHOLOGY / DESCRIPTION		
Neat Cement		wet	55.1		23	▼	▲		black specs; silty; sand fine to medium; fine gravel loose; slightly cemented; wet; odor; (15,50,35)		
		wet	51.2	@ 25* 9:30	24	▼	▲		Cemented; very stiff; sand medium grain; red brown; some orange mottling; (5,55,40)		
		wet	14.6		26	▼	▲	CL	Lean clay; red brown and tan with orange mottling; some black specs; medium stiff; medium plasticity and toughness; sand fine grain; wet; odor; (0,40,60)		
		wet	21.1		27	▼	▲		Red brown with tan mottling		
		wet	13.7		28	▼	▲		Black specs; stiff; trace fine gravel; low plasticity; high toughness; (5,35,60)		
		wet	2.3		29	▼	▲		Some pink staining Medium soft; (5,40,55)		
		wet	11.1		30	▼	▲		Red brown with black specs; very stiff; some fine sand; slight odor; (0,30,70)		
						31	▼	▲		Medium stiff; (0,20,80)	
						32	▼	▲			
						33	▼	▲			
					34	▼	▲				
					35	▼	▲				
					36						
					37						
					38						
					39						
					40						
					41						
					42						
					43						
					44						
									TD = 35 feet bgs		

Delta Consultants

Project No: **C101156151**
 Logged By: **Tabbitha Croy**
 Driller: **Gregg Drilling & Testing**
 Drilling Method: **HSA**
 Sampling Method: **Continuous**
 Casing Type: **NA**
 Slot Size: **NA**
 Gravel Pack: **NA**

Client: **ConocoPhillips**
 Location: **4276 MacArthur Blvd**
Oakland, CA
 Hole Diameter: **4"**
 Hole Depth: **35'**
 Well Diameter: **NA**
 Well Depth: **NA**
 First Water Depth: **21'**

Boring No: **SB-3**
 Date Drilled: **11/2/07**
 Page 1 of 2

▽ = First Water

▼ = Static Groundwater

* = Selected for lab analysis

Well Completion		Elevation			Northing		Easting		LITHOLOGY / DESCRIPTION
Backfill	Casing	Moisture Content	PID Reading (ppm)	Sample Identification	Depth (feet)	Recovery	Interval	Soil Type	
Neat Cement									Concrete - 6"
					1				CL Silty clay ; tannish brown; medium plasticity; medium soft; low to medium toughness; moist; (0,0,100)
					2				
					3				@ 3' lean clay; stiff; medium plasticity; high toughness; moist; (0,0,100)
					4				
		moist	1.1	@ 5 8:54	5	▲			Some black streaks; tan; some red brown specs; some medium sand; no odor; (0,15,85)
		moist	0.7	@ 7* 8:57	7	▲			Some gray streaks; (0,20,80)
		moist	0.4	@ 8.5 9:00	9	▲			Some black specs; some white caliche; trace fine gravel; sand medium to coarse; (5,25,70)
		moist	0.6	@ 10 9:02	10	▲			Tan with red brown mottling
					11				
		moist	0.8	@ 12 9:04	12	▲			Red brown with tan; black specs; trace fine gravel; (10,25,65)
		moist	0.6	@ 13.5 9:07	14	▲			A lot of black specs; crumbles easily
		moist	0.6	@ 15* 9:09	15	▲			Very stiff; low plasticity
					16				
		moist	0.8	@ 17 9:11	17	▲			More sand; some silt; (5,35,60) Silty lean clay ; red brown with tan mottling; soft; some black specs; (0,35,65)
		moist	2.6	@ 18.5 9:15	19	▲			Trace fine gravel; medium soft; medium plasticity; crumbles easily; silty; (5,40,565)
		wet	36.1	@ 20* 9:21	20	▲			
	▽				21				SC Clayey sand ; poorly graded with fine gravel; sand fine to medium; red brown with tan mottling; soft; loose; trace black specs; wet; slight odor; (5,55,40)
		wet	8.8		22	▼			

Delta Consultants

Project No: **C101156151**

Client: **ConocoPhillips**

Boring No: **SB-3**

Logged By: **Tabbitha Croy**

Location: **4276 MacArthur Blvd**

Date Drilled: **11/2/07**

Driller: **Gregg Drilling & Testing**

Oakland, CA

Page 2 of 2

Drilling Method: **HSA**

Hole Diameter: **4"**

Sampling Method: **Continuous**

Hole Depth: **35'**

Casing Type: **NA**

Well Diameter: **NA**

Slot Size: **NA**

Well Depth: **NA**

Gravel Pack: **NA**

First Water Depth: **21'**

▽ = First Water

▼ = Static Groundwater

* = Selected for lab analysis

		Elevation			Northing			Easting			
Well Completion	Static Water Level	Moisture Content	PID Reading (ppm)	Sample Identification	Depth (feet)	Recovery	Interval	Soil Type	LITHOLOGY / DESCRIPTION		
Backfill	Casing										
Neat Cement		wet	3.7		23				Breaks easily		
					24				Sand mostly medium grain; trace fine sand; red brown		
		wet	1.8	@ 25* 9:48	25				CL Lean clay with sand; fine to medium; red brown and tan with orange mottling; some red specs; stiff; low plasticity; high toughness; wet; no odor; (0,35,65)		
		wet	1.7		26				Some black specs; red brown and some tan; medium stiff; trace fine gravel; (5,40,55)		
		wet	0.7		27				Stiff; sand fine grain; tan with red brown mottling; (0,30,70)		
		wet	0.5		28				Tan; some red brown mottling; trace medium sand; very stiff; wet; (0,30,70)		
		wet	1.1		29				Trace gravel; sand medium to fine grain; wet; (5,30,65)		
		wet	1.8		30				A lot of black specs; red brown with tan mottling; sand fine grain; some medium grain; (0,25,75)		
					31						
					32						
					33						
					34						
					35						
					36						
					37						
				38							
				39							
				40							
				41							
				42							
				43							
				44							
									TD = 35 feet bgs		

Delta Consultants

Project No: **C101156151**
 Logged By: **Tabbitha Croy**
 Driller: **Gregg Drilling & Testing**
 Drilling Method: **HSA**
 Sampling Method: **Continuous**
 Casing Type: **NA**
 Slot Size: **NA**
 Gravel Pack: **NA**

Client: **ConocoPhillips**
 Location: **4276 MacArthur Blvd**
Oakland, CA
 Hole Diameter: **4"**
 Hole Depth: **35'**
 Well Diameter: **NA**
 Well Depth: **NA**
 First Water Depth: **17.5'**

Boring No: **SB-4**
 Date Drilled: **10/30/07**
 Page 1 of 2

▽ = First Water

▼ = Static Groundwater

* = Selected for lab analysis

Well Completion		Static Water Level	Moisture Content	PID Reading (ppm)	Sample Identification	Depth (feet)	Recovery Interval	Soil Type	LITHOLOGY / DESCRIPTION
Backfill	Casing								
Neat Cement					Air-Knife				Asphalt - 6"
									CL Lean clay ; tan to olive green; medium plasticity; medium toughness; stiff; moist; some black staining; (0,0,100)
			moist 7.5		@ 5 3:30				Very stiff; high toughness; some red specs; trace medium sand; odor; (0,5,95)
			moist 27.5		@ 8* 3:32				
			moist 25.3		@ 9 3:35				Orange mottling
			moist 11.5		@ 11 3:37				Tan some gray staining; stiff
			moist 6.5		@ 13.5* 3:39				Tan and red brown; some medium sand; slight odor; (0,25,75)
			moist 5.5		@ 14 3:40				Trace fine gravel; (5,30,65)
			moist 0.8		@ 16* 3:43				SC Clayey sand ; red brown and tan; slightly cemented but crumbles easily; soft; no plasticity; high toughness; sand medium grain; moist; slight odor; (5,60,30)
		▽	wet 0.7						Low plasticity; sand fine to medium; wet; (0,60,40)
			wet 1						Trace gray staining
			wet 1.1						
			wet 0.3						No plasticity; (0,65,35)

Delta Consultants

Project No: **C101156151**

Client: **ConocoPhillips**

Boring No: **SB-4**

Logged By: **Tabbitha Croy**

Location: **4276 MacArthur Blvd**

Date Drilled: **10/30/07**

Driller: **Gregg Drilling & Testing**

Oakland, CA

Page 2 of 2

Drilling Method: **HSA**

Hole Diameter: **4"**

Sampling Method: **Continuous**

Hole Depth: **35'**

Casing Type: **NA**

Well Diameter: **NA**

Slot Size: **NA**

Well Depth: **NA**

Gravel Pack: **NA**

First Water Depth: **17.5'**

▽ = First Water

▼ = Static Groundwater

* = Selected for lab analysis

Elevation

Northing

Easting

Well Completion		Static Water Level	Moisture Content	PID Reading (ppm)	Sample Identification	Depth (feet)	Sample Recovery Interval		Soil Type	LITHOLOGY / DESCRIPTION	
Backfill	Casing						Recovery	Interval			
Neat Cement			wet	0.6		23	↓	↑	CL	Lean clay; tan to red brown; medium soft; medium plasticity and toughness; some fine sand; moist; no odor; (0,15,85)	
			wet	0.6		24	↓	↑			
						25	↓	↑			
						26	↓	↑			
			moist	0.4	@ 27* 4:15	27	↓	↑			Olive green and tan; stiff; (0,0,100)
			moist	0.4		28	↓	↑			
						29	↓	↑			
						30	↓	↑			
						31	↓	↑			No Recovery
			moist	0.5		32	↓	↑			Very stiff; trace fine gravel; some medium sand; low plasticity; high toughness; tan to red brown; (5,20,70)
			moist	0.4		33	↓	↑			
						34	↓	↑			
						35	↓	↑			(10,30,60)
					36						
					37						
					38						
					39						
					40						
					41						
					42						
					43						
					44						
TD = 35 feet bgs											

Delta Consultants

Project No: **C101156151**

Client: **ConocoPhillips**

Boring No: **SB-5**

Logged By: **Tabbitha Croy**

Location: **4276 MacArthur Blvd**

Date Drilled: **11/1/07**

Driller: **Gregg Drilling & Testing**

Oakland, CA

Page 1 of 2

Drilling Method: **HSA**

Hole Diameter: **4"**

Sampling Method: **Continuous**

Hole Depth: **35'**

Casing Type: **NA**

Well Diameter: **NA**

Slot Size: **NA**

Well Depth: **NA**

Gravel Pack: **NA**

First Water Depth: **18'**

▽ = First Water

▼ = Static Groundwater

* = Selected for lab analysis

Elevation

Northing

Easting

Well Completion	Static Water Level	Moisture Content	PID Reading (ppm)	Sample Identification	Depth (feet)	Recovery	Interval	Soil Type	LITHOLOGY / DESCRIPTION
Neat Cement									Asphalt - 5"
					1				CL Lean clay; olive green; medium stiff; medium plasticity and toughness; some medium sand and trace fine gravel; some gray staining; moist; strong odor; (10,25,65)
					2				
					3				
					4				
		moist	468	@ 5 11:11	5	▲			Trace white caliche; very stiff; low plasticity; high toughness; with medium to coarse sand; trace fine gravel; (10,30,60)
		moist	688	@ 7* 11:19	7	▲			Tan and olive green; some red brown mottling; some medium sand; (0,20,80)
		moist	638	@ 8.5 11:20	9	▲			Low to medium plasticity
		moist	573	@ 10 11:22	10	▲			
					11				
		moist	623	@ 12* 11:25	12	▲			(0.25,75) Trace fine gravel; low plasticity; medium soft; (5,25,70)
		moist	570	@ 13.5 11:27	14	▲			Crumbles easily; (5,35,60)
		moist	532	@ 15 11:30	15	▲			Red brown with olive green mottling; stiff; (0,35,65)
					16				
		moist	157	@ 17* 11:32	17	▲			
	▽	wet	100		18	▲			
					19				SC Clayey sand; red brown and olive; trace gravel; green; poorly graded; loose; soft; crumbles easily; medium sand; fine gravel; wet; odor; (10,50,40)
		wet	53.6	@ 20 11:41	20	▲			
					21				Red brown; soft; sand fine grain; trace fine gravel; black specs; medium plasticity and toughness; wet; odor; (5,50,45)
		wet	57	@ 22* 11:44	22	▲			

Delta

Consultants

Project No: **C101156151**

Client: **ConocoPhillips**

Boring No: **SB-5**

Logged By: **Tabbitha Croy**

Location: **4276 MacArthur Blvd**

Date Drilled: **11/1/07**

Driller: **Gregg Drilling & Testing**

Oakland, CA

Page 2 of 2

Drilling Method: **HSA**

Hole Diameter: **4"**

Sampling Method: **Continuous**

Hole Depth: **35'**

Casing Type: **NA**

Well Diameter: **NA**

Slot Size: **NA**

Well Depth: **NA**

Gravel Pack: **NA**

First Water Depth: **18'**

▽ = First Water

▼ = Static Groundwater

* = Selected for lab analysis

Well Completion		Static Water Level	Elevation			Northing		Easting		LITHOLOGY / DESCRIPTION							
Backfill	Casing		Moisture Content	PID Reading (ppm)	Sample Identification	Depth (feet)	Recovery Interval	Soil Type									
Neat Cement			wet	51.8		23	↓	CL	Lean clay; tan with red brown mottling; black specs; fine sand; medium soft; medium plasticity and toughness; wet; odor; (0,30,70)								
			moist	7.3		24	↑			Some fine to medium sand; moist; slight odor							
			moist	8.6		25	↓				Stiff; (0,15,85)						
			moist	11.4		26	↑					Tan and red brown with some olive green mottling					
			wet	16.8	@ 30* 12:07	27	↓						Medium stiff; (0,35,65)				
			wet	14.1		28	↑							SC Clayey sand; red brown; some black specs; trace fine gravel; sand medium grain; soft; loose; no plasticity; wet; slight odor; (10,50,40)			
			moist	13.5		29	↓								Some olive green mottling; medium stiff		
						30	↑									CL Lean clay; light tan to olive green; stiff; some coarse sand; trace black specs; low to medium plasticity; high toughness; moist; odor; (0,15,85)	
						31	↓										
						32	↑										
					33	↓											
					34	↑											
					35	↓											
					36	↑											
					37	↓											
					38	↑											
					39	↓											
					40	↑											
					41	↓											
					42	↑											
					43	↓											
					44	↑											

TD = 35 feet bgs

Delta Consultants

Project No: **C101156151**
 Logged By: **Tabbitha Croy**
 Driller: **Gregg Drilling & Testing**
 Drilling Method: **HSA**
 Sampling Method: **Continuous**
 Casing Type: **NA**
 Slot Size: **NA**
 Gravel Pack: **NA**

Client: **ConocoPhillips**
 Location: **4276 MacArthur Blvd**
Oakland, CA
 Hole Diameter: **4"**
 Hole Depth: **35'**
 Well Diameter: **NA**
 Well Depth: **NA**
 First Water Depth: **17'**

Boring No: **SB-6**
 Date Drilled: **10/31/07**
 Page 1 of 2

▽ = First Water

▼ = Static Groundwater

* = Selected for lab analysis

Well Completion		Elevation			Northing		Easting		LITHOLOGY / DESCRIPTION
Backfill	Casing	Moisture Content	PID Reading (ppm)	Sample Identification	Depth (feet)	Recovery	Interval	Soil Type	
Neat Cement									Asphalt - 3"
					1				CL Lean clay; olive green; medium stiff; medium plasticity and toughness; some tan coloring; some medium sand; medium to coarse gravel; moist; slight odor; (15,25,60)
					2				
					3				
					4				
		moist	253	@ 5* 1:02	5	↑			Strong odor
					6				
		moist	47.4	@ 7 1:05	7	↑			Stiff; sand fine to medium grain; (0,20,80)
					8				
		moist	96.9	@ 8.5* 1:07	9	↑			Tan with olive green mottling; (0,25,75)
					10				
		moist	33.8	@ 10 1:09	10	↑			Red brown and tan with olive green mottling; (0,30,70)
					11				
		moist	12.7	@ 12* 1:11	12	↑			Low plasticity; high toughness; medium stiff; odor; (0,40,60)
					13				SC Clayey sand; red brown and tan; black specs; medium soft; slightly cemented but crumbles easily; poorly graded; no plasticity; high toughness; sand fine to medium; moist; odor; (0,55,45)
		moist	20.6	@ 13.5 1:14	14	↑			Red brown with black specs; (0,70,35)
					15				
		moist	21	@ 15* 1:16	15	↑			
					16				
		wet	4.1	@ 17* 1:19	17	↑			Olive green and tan; some gray staining; loose; sand medium to coarse; wet; (0,65,35)
					18				CL Lean clay with sand; red brown with black specs; sand fine grain; medium stiff; medium plasticity and toughness; wet; odor; (0,40,60)
		wet	15		19	↑			
					20				
		wet	3.4		20	↑			Moist; slight odor; (0,30,70)
					21				
		moist	1.8		22	↓			With fine to medium gravel; coarse sand; low

Delta

Consultants

Project No: **C101156151**

Logged By: **Tabbitha Croy**

Driller: **Gregg Drilling & Testing**

Drilling Method: **HSA**

Sampling Method: **Continuous**

Casing Type: **NA**

Slot Size: **NA**

Gravel Pack: **NA**

Client: **ConocoPhillips**

Location: **4276 MacArthur Blvd**

Oakland, CA

Hole Diameter: **4"**

Hole Depth: **35'**

Well Diameter: **NA**

Well Depth: **NA**

First Water Depth: **17'**

Boring No: **SB-6**

Date Drilled: **10/31/07**

Page 2 of 2

▽ = First Water

▼ = Static Groundwater

* = Selected for lab analysis

Well Completion		Static Water Level	Moisture Content	PID Reading (ppm)	Sample Identification	Elevation		Northing		Easting		LITHOLOGY / DESCRIPTION		
Backfill	Casing					Depth (feet)	Sample Recovery Interval	Soil Type						
Neat Cement			moist	1.8	@ 24.5 1:30	23	↓					plasticity; high toughness; moist; (15,35,50)		
			moist	1.4		24	↑					Red brown to tan; ;some medium sand; trace fine gravel; stiff; low plasticity; high toughness; moist; no odor; (5,30,65)		
			moist	1.1		25	↓					Tan; some red brown specia; medium plasticity; (0,10,90)		
			moist	0.8		26	↑					Some black specs; medium soft; no plasticity; fine to medium red brown sand; high toughness; (0,25,75)		
			moist	0.6	@ 30.5 1:43	27	↓					Dark brown and tan; stiff; some black staining; no odor; (0,40,60)		
			moist	0.5		28	↑					Olive green and tan; fine to medium sand; trace fine gravel; very stiff; some black specs but no staining; (5,25,70)		
			moist	0.9		29	↓					(0,20,80)		
								30	↑					
								31	↓					
								32	↑					
					33	↓								
					34	↑								
					35	↓								
					36									
					37									
					38									
					39									
					40									
					41									
					42									
					43									
					44									

TD = 35 feet bgs

Delta Consultants

Project No: **C101156151**

Client: **ConocoPhillips**

Well No: **MW-8**

Logged By: **Tabbitha Croy**

Location: **4276 MacArthur Boulevard**

Date Drilled: **10/30/07**

Driller: **Gregg Drilling & Testing**

Oakland, CA

Page 1 of 2

Drilling Method: **HSA**

Hole Diameter: **8"**

Sampling Method: **Split Spoon**

Hole Depth: **25'**

Casing Type: **Schedule 40 PVC**

Well Diameter: **2"**

Slot Size: **0.010"**

Well Depth: **25'**

Gravel Pack: **#2/12**


First Water Depth: **23'**

▽ = First Water

▼ = Static Groundwater

* = Selected for lab analysis

Well Completion		Elevation			Northing		Easting		LITHOLOGY / DESCRIPTION
Backfill	Casing	Moisture Content	PID Reading (ppm)	Sample Identification	Depth (feet)	Sample Recovery	Soil Type		
								Concrete = 6"	
					1			CL Silty clay ; black and brown; medium soft; medium to high plasticity; low toughness; trace orange mottling; moist; (0,0,100)	
					2				
					3				
					4				
		moist	0.1	@ 5 9:46	5	↑		CL Lean clay ; black; medium stiff; medium plasticity and toughness; some fine sand; some fine to medium sub round gravel; moist; no odor; (15,20,65)	
					6	↓			
					7				
					8				
					9				
		moist	0.2	@ 10* 9:51	10	↑		Tan; some orange mottling; trace roots; some black staining; slight odor; (5,15,80)	
					11	↓			
					12				
					13				
					14				
		moist	0.2	@ 15* 9:56	15	↑		CL Sandy clay ; tan; orange mottling; trace roots; trace black staining; medium stiff; medium plasticity and toughness; sand fine grain; moist; no odor; (0,40,60)	
					16	↓			
					17				
					18				
		moist	0.2	@ 20* 10:P37	19	↑		Soft; medium to high plasticity; low toughness; (0,30,70)	
					20	↓			
					21				
					22			SC	



Delta

Consultants

Project No: **C101156151**

Client: **ConocoPhillips**

Well No: **MW-8**

Logged By: **Tabbitha Croy**

Location: **4276 MacArthur Boulevard**

Date Drilled: **10/30/07**

Driller: **Gregg Drilling & Testing**

Oakland, CA

Page 2 of 2

Drilling Method: **HSA**

Hole Diameter: **8"**

Sampling Method: **Split Spoon**

Hole Depth: **25'**

Casing Type: **Schedule 40 PVC**

Well Diameter: **2"**

Slot Size: **0.010"**

Well Depth: **25'**

Gravel Pack: **#2/12**

First Water Depth: **23'**

▽ = First Water

▼ = Static Groundwater

* = Selected for lab analysis

Well Completion		Static Water Level	Moisture Content	PID Reading (ppm)	Sample Identification	Elevation		Northing		Easting		LITHOLOGY / DESCRIPTION
Backfill	Casing					Depth (feet)	Sample Recovery Interval	Soil Type				
		▽				23						
						24						SC Clayey sand; tan; orange mottling; medium grain; poorly graded; loose; wet; no odor (0,65,35)
						25						
						26						Total Depth = 25 feet bgs
						27						
						28						
						29						
						30						
						31						
						32						
						33						
						34						
						35						
						36						
						37						
						38						
						39						
						40						
						41						
						42						
						43						
						44						

Attachment C

Historical Groundwater Monitoring Data and Analytical Results

Table 2
HISTORIC FLUID LEVELS AND SELECTED ANALYTICAL RESULTS
July 1999 Through January 2008
76 Station 1156

Date Sampled	TOC Elevation (feet)	Depth to Water (feet)	LPH Thickness (feet)	Ground-water Elevation (feet)	Change in Elevation (feet)	TPH-G (8015M) (µg/l)	TPH-G (GC/MS) (µg/l)	Benzene (µg/l)	Toluene (µg/l)	Ethyl-benzene (µg/l)	Total Xylenes (µg/l)	MTBE (8021B) (µg/l)	MTBE (8260B) (µg/l)	Comments
MW-1 (Screen Interval in feet: 5.0-25.0)														
07/20/99	174.86	7.50	0.00	167.36	--	120000	--	11000	27000	3300	18000	ND	--	
09/28/99	174.86	8.75	0.00	166.11	-1.25	6020	--	1030	1040	68.5	412	321	333	
01/07/00	174.86	9.05	0.02	165.82	-0.29	72700	--	7410	13900	2070	9620	ND	--	GWE corrected
03/31/00	174.86	7.18	0.00	167.68	1.86	92000	--	10000	23000	3200	14000	ND	--	
07/14/00	174.86	7.68	0.00	167.18	-0.50	108000	--	8250	18700	3750	17800	ND	--	
10/03/00	174.86	7.99	0.00	166.87	-0.31	96000	--	8760	20000	3350	15600	ND	--	
01/03/01	174.86	9.18	0.00	165.68	-1.19	37000	--	5800	13000	1700	8100	2200	--	
04/04/01	174.86	8.05	0.00	166.81	1.13	86900	--	7780	18500	2470	11800	ND	481	
07/17/01	174.86	7.01	0.00	167.85	1.04	79000	--	5600	11000	2800	12000	ND	230	
10/03/01	177.54	7.89	0.00	169.65	1.80	99000	--	8200	18000	3000	16000	ND<2500	--	
10/05/01	177.54	7.91	0.00	169.63	-0.02	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
01/28/02	177.54	5.98	0.00	171.56	1.93	110000	--	8900	19000	2600	12000	3000	440	
04/25/02	177.54	6.19	0.00	171.35	-0.21	93000	--	8100	18000	3000	15000	810	670	
07/18/02	177.54	6.99	0.00	170.55	-0.80	69000	--	5400	10000	2100	10000	ND<500	620	
10/07/02	177.54	7.73	0.00	169.81	-0.74	82000	--	9200	20000	2600	13000	1300	760	
01/06/03	177.54	5.48	0.00	172.06	2.25	82000	--	6500	18000	2700	11000	ND<1000	790	
04/07/03	177.54	6.30	0.00	171.24	-0.82	74000	--	7000	15000	2400	11000	1000	800	
07/07/03	177.54	6.47	0.00	171.07	-0.17	60000	--	6400	11000	2600	11000	600	530	
10/09/03	177.54	7.85	0.00	169.69	-1.38	91000	81000	8100	17000	3200	14000	--	660	Sampled for TPH-G by 8015M on 11/14/03.
01/14/04	177.54	6.69	0.00	170.85	1.16	98000	--	8000	21000	2600	15000	ND<1300	ND<800	
04/28/04	177.54	6.43	0.00	171.11	0.26	93000	--	9000	20000	1300	10000	1400	560	
07/12/04	177.54	7.44	0.00	170.10	-1.01	57000	--	6900	7200	1600	580	490	440	
10/25/04	177.54	7.54	0.00	170.00	-0.10	66000	--	7300	19000	2700	14000	ND<1300	330	

Table 2
HISTORIC FLUID LEVELS AND SELECTED ANALYTICAL RESULTS
July 1999 Through January 2008
76 Station 1156

Date Sampled	TOC Elevation (feet)	Depth to Water (feet)	LPH Thickness (feet)	Ground-water Elevation (feet)	Change in Elevation (feet)	TPH-G (8015M) (µg/l)	TPH-G (GC/MS) (µg/l)	Benzene (µg/l)	Toluene (µg/l)	Ethyl-benzene (µg/l)	Total Xylenes (µg/l)	MTBE (8021B) (µg/l)	MTBE (8260B) (µg/l)	Comments
MW-1 continued														
01/17/05	177.54	5.79	0.00	171.75	1.75	86000	--	8600	21000	3200	15000	ND<1300	570	
04/06/05	177.54	4.93	0.00	172.61	0.86	85000	--	8400	20000	3200	16000	ND<1300	580	
07/08/05	177.54	5.35	0.00	172.19	-0.42	69000	--	7100	17000	2700	14000	ND<1300	290	
10/07/05	177.54	5.96	0.00	171.58	-0.61	68000	--	5900	8300	1800	8300	330	250	
01/27/06	177.54	5.08	0.00	172.46	0.88	94000	--	7400	19000	3700	14000	450	360	
04/28/06	177.54	4.85	0.00	172.69	0.23	74000	--	6400	13000	2300	10000	460	280	
07/28/06	177.54	5.32	0.00	172.22	-0.47	74000	--	6600	12000	3100	13000	330	220	
10/27/06	177.54	6.13	0.00	171.41	-0.81	100000	--	8300	20000	3600	16000	280	250	
01/10/07	177.54	5.47	0.00	172.07	0.66	84000	--	7100	15000	2600	13000	350	260	
04/13/07	177.54	5.60	0.00	171.94	-0.13	27000	--	5600	840	2300	3200	270	220	
07/19/07	177.54	5.69	0.00	171.85	-0.09	83000	--	6000	15000	2600	13000	1000	200	
10/08/07	177.54	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	Gate locked; no key available
01/09/08	177.54	5.15	0.00	172.39	--	40000	--	6000	4800	2600	5100	840	170	Gauged on 1/18/08
MW-2 (Screen Interval in feet: 5.0-25.0)														
07/20/99	173.01	5.40	--	167.61	--	ND	--	ND	ND	ND	ND	4500	11000	
09/28/99	173.01	5.60	0.00	167.41	-0.20	1390	--	124	ND	62.9	43.1	5280	6150	
01/07/00	173.01	5.92	0.00	167.09	-0.32	1450	--	99	ND	23.8	16	33100	--	
03/31/00	173.01	5.23	0.00	167.78	0.69	ND	--	42	ND	ND	ND	17000	--	
07/14/00	173.01	5.52	0.00	167.49	-0.29	ND	--	44.7	ND	ND	ND	66500	--	
10/03/00	173.01	6.04	0.00	166.97	-0.52	ND	--	56.7	ND	ND	ND	57500	--	
01/03/01	173.01	6.42	0.00	166.59	-0.38	ND	--	ND	ND	ND	ND	49000	--	
04/04/01	173.01	6.14	0.00	166.87	0.28	ND	--	ND	ND	ND	ND	38700	37800	
07/17/01	173.01	5.30	0.00	167.71	0.84	ND	--	ND	ND	ND	ND	65000	56000	
10/03/01	173.50	7.38	0.00	166.12	-1.59	ND<250	--	2.7	ND<2.5	ND<2.5	ND<2.5	14000	18000	

Table 2
HISTORIC FLUID LEVELS AND SELECTED ANALYTICAL RESULTS
July 1999 Through January 2008
76 Station 1156

Date Sampled	TOC Elevation (feet)	Depth to Water (feet)	LPH Thickness (feet)	Ground-water Elevation (feet)	Change in Elevation (feet)	TPH-G (8015M) (µg/l)	TPH-G (GC/MS) (µg/l)	Benzene (µg/l)	Toluene (µg/l)	Ethyl-benzene (µg/l)	Total Xylenes (µg/l)	MTBE (8021B) (µg/l)	MTBE (8260B) (µg/l)	Comments
MW-2 continued														
01/28/02	173.50	5.68	0.00	167.82	1.70	ND<250	--	2.5	4.4	2.8	7.4	11000	10000	
04/25/02	173.50	5.82	0.00	167.68	-0.14	ND<50	--	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	8400	8100	
07/18/02	173.50	6.90	0.00	166.60	-1.08	ND<500	--	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	4300	8800	
10/07/02	173.50	7.54	0.00	165.96	-0.64	4300	--	ND<10	27	21	75	7100	5900	
01/06/03	173.50	6.79	0.00	166.71	0.75	5900	--	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	31000	35000	
04/07/03	173.50	6.49	0.00	167.01	0.30	1500	--	ND<10	14	11	38	2000	1500	
07/07/03	173.50	6.72	0.00	166.78	-0.23	ND<2500	--	ND<25	ND<25	ND<25	ND<25	5500	8300	
10/09/03	173.50	7.16	0.00	166.34	-0.44	3500	ND<5000	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	ND<100	--	8500	Sampled for TPH-G by 8015M on 11/14/03.
01/14/04	173.50	5.53	0.00	167.97	1.63	3200	--	ND<25	ND<25	ND<25	ND<25	2600	3200	
04/28/04	173.50	5.21	0.00	168.29	0.32	22000	--	ND<3	9.2	ND<3	ND<6	35000	22000	
07/12/04	173.50	5.83	0.00	167.67	-0.62	1700	--	3.8	18	2.6	16	3000	3000	
10/25/04	173.50	6.89	0.00	166.61	-1.06	3400	--	ND<25	ND<25	ND<25	ND<25	1800	1600	
01/17/05	173.50	5.70	0.00	167.80	1.19	1700	--	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	1600	1500	
04/06/05	173.50	4.50	0.00	169.00	1.20	3000	--	ND<20	ND<20	ND<20	ND<20	2500	3200	
07/08/05	173.50	4.69	0.00	168.81	-0.19	ND<2000	--	ND<20	ND<20	ND<20	ND<20	2900	3100	
10/07/05	173.50	4.61	0.00	168.89	0.08	7500	--	6.7	6.6	ND<3.0	ND<6.0	5900	5200	
01/27/06	173.50	4.10	0.00	169.40	0.51	2500	--	1.0	2.6	ND<0.30	ND<0.60	2600	2800	
04/28/06	173.50	3.75	0.00	169.75	0.35	3100	--	9.4	3.6	0.94	3.4	3700	3600	
07/28/06	173.50	4.34	0.00	169.16	-0.59	3000	--	2.0	ND<1.5	ND<1.5	ND<3.0	3000	2900	
10/27/06	173.50	5.62	0.00	167.88	-1.28	1800	--	1.5	ND<1.5	ND<1.5	ND<3.0	1600	1300	
01/10/07	173.50	4.02	0.00	169.48	1.60	2100	--	1.1	ND<0.60	ND<0.60	ND<1.2	2300	2000	
04/13/07	173.50	4.03	0.00	169.47	-0.01	3300	--	12	1.6	0.46	1.1	3600	3200	
07/19/07	173.50	4.41	0.00	169.09	-0.38	2500	--	21	0.64	5.1	1.5	2000	2000	

Table 2
HISTORIC FLUID LEVELS AND SELECTED ANALYTICAL RESULTS
July 1999 Through January 2008
76 Station 1156

Date Sampled	TOC Elevation (feet)	Depth to Water (feet)	LPH Thickness (feet)	Ground-water Elevation (feet)	Change in Elevation (feet)	TPH-G (8015M) (µg/l)	TPH-G (GC/MS) (µg/l)	Benzene (µg/l)	Toluene (µg/l)	Ethyl-benzene (µg/l)	Total Xylenes (µg/l)	MTBE (8021B) (µg/l)	MTBE (8260B) (µg/l)	Comments
MW-2 continued														
10/08/07	173.50	4.93	0.00	168.57	-0.52	3400	--	38	1.6	13	2.1	5000	4000	
01/09/08	173.50	3.03	0.00	170.47	1.90	1700	--	6.2	2.5	0.61	0.91	2100	2200	Gauged on 1/18/08
MW-3 (Screen Interval in feet: 5.0-25.0)														
07/20/99	178.44	8.50	--	169.94	--	1000	--	76	52	79	76	330	--	
09/28/99	178.44	8.31	0.00	170.13	0.19	1860	--	174	95.4	71.8	135	443	288	
01/07/00	178.44	8.56	0.00	169.88	-0.25	28400	--	2450	3090	1560	3910	1940	--	
03/31/00	178.44	8.42	0.00	170.02	0.14	26000	--	1300	2900	2600	3500	2800	--	
07/14/00	178.44	8.61	0.00	169.83	-0.19	24500	--	1850	2630	2750	3900	548	--	
10/03/00	178.44	9.14	0.00	169.30	-0.53	22000	--	1910	2020	2400	2680	965	--	
01/03/01	178.44	9.06	0.00	169.38	0.08	14000	--	1600	1100	2300	1400	3300	--	
04/04/01	178.44	8.98	0.00	169.46	0.08	19600	--	1150	1470	2100	1820	1050	450	
07/17/01	178.44	7.46	0.00	170.98	1.52	26000	--	1500	2100	2100	3400	ND	350	
10/03/01	178.13	9.81	0.00	168.32	-2.66	22000	--	830	1900	1700	3000	ND<1000	--	
01/28/02	178.13	7.39	0.00	170.74	2.42	30000	--	880	2600	1800	4300	3200	210	
04/25/02	178.13	7.86	0.00	170.27	-0.47	18000	--	500	2000	1300	3800	500	260	
07/18/02	178.13	8.83	0.00	169.30	-0.97	37000	--	1800	3800	2200	8000	ND<250	270	
10/07/02	178.13	9.71	0.00	168.42	-0.88	26000	--	600	2000	1800	6400	ND<120	ND<200	
01/06/03	178.13	7.40	0.00	170.73	2.31	27000	--	800	2100	2000	6400	440	110	
04/07/03	178.13	8.17	0.00	169.96	-0.77	28000	--	660	2200	1900	6300	440	100	
07/07/03	178.13	8.35	0.00	169.78	-0.18	33000	--	1200	2500	2700	8300	280	100	
10/09/03	178.13	9.39	0.00	168.74	-1.04	3800	6000	120	260	390	1200	--	190	Sampled for TPH-G by 8015M on 11/14/03.
01/14/04	178.13	6.86	0.00	171.27	2.53	5100	--	120	240	310	720	190	230	
04/28/04	178.13	6.63	0.00	171.50	0.23	7300	--	250	440	580	1300	740	240	

Table 2
HISTORIC FLUID LEVELS AND SELECTED ANALYTICAL RESULTS
July 1999 Through January 2008
76 Station 1156

Date Sampled	TOC Elevation (feet)	Depth to Water (feet)	LPH Thickness (feet)	Ground-water Elevation (feet)	Change in Elevation (feet)	TPH-G (8015M) (µg/l)	TPH-G (GC/MS) (µg/l)	Benzene (µg/l)	Toluene (µg/l)	Ethylbenzene (µg/l)	Total Xylenes (µg/l)	MTBE (8021B) (µg/l)	MTBE (8260B) (µg/l)	Comments
MW-3 continued														
07/12/04	178.13	7.41	0.00	170.72	-0.78	5500	--	350	310	120	350	180	100	
10/25/04	178.13	8.81	0.00	169.32	-1.40	3300	--	96	140	270	490	94	260	
01/17/05	178.13	6.37	0.00	171.76	2.44	3400	--	150	270	360	750	55	200	
04/06/05	178.13	4.69	0.00	173.44	1.68	14000	--	420	1300	1000	3100	ND<250	200	
07/08/05	178.13	5.23	0.00	172.90	-0.54	5000	--	180	290	500	800	ND<250	150	
10/07/05	178.13	6.35	0.00	171.78	-1.12	6800	--	270	120	ND<0.30	210	260	180	
01/27/06	178.13	5.24	0.00	172.89	1.11	3200	--	120	140	270	460	280	250	
04/28/06	178.13	5.01	0.00	173.12	0.23	4500	--	130	250	380	670	230	180	
07/28/06	178.13	6.21	0.00	171.92	-1.20	4700	--	160	240	510	730	250	150	
10/27/06	178.13	6.93	0.00	171.20	-0.72	3700	--	150	160	460	530	250	140	
01/10/07	178.13	5.93	0.00	172.20	1.00	4800	--	180	160	550	600	230	150	
04/13/07	178.13	6.10	0.00	172.03	-0.17	5100	--	180	240	550	710	230	160	
07/19/07	178.13	6.51	0.00	171.62	-0.41	2000	--	110	64	220	190	190	180	
10/08/07	178.13	7.05	0.00	171.08	-0.54	2100	--	72	65	180	290	180	120	
01/09/08	178.13	3.65	0.00	174.48	3.40	4200	--	200	160	510	580	290	120	Gauged on 1/18/08
MW-4 (Screen Interval in feet: 5.0-25.0)														
07/20/99	179.10	7.40	--	171.70	--	69	--	2.7	0.77	ND	7.1	100	--	
09/28/99	179.10	7.19	0.00	171.91	0.21	4050	--	1250	72	51.3	133	416	459	
01/07/00	179.10	8.98	0.00	170.12	-1.79	7010	--	2260	167	271	276	764	--	
03/31/00	179.10	7.26	0.00	171.84	1.72	5500	--	1800	230	330	400	1000	--	
07/14/00	179.10	7.67	0.00	171.43	-0.41	7940	--	2810	332	450	247	1530	--	
10/03/00	179.10	8.12	0.00	170.98	-0.45	11400	--	3110	437	519	816	1040	--	
01/03/01	179.10	9.10	0.00	170.00	-0.98	8600	--	2500	340	480	960	850	--	
04/04/01	179.10	8.63	0.00	170.47	0.47	9950	--	2380	126	416	725	1140	819	

Table 2
HISTORIC FLUID LEVELS AND SELECTED ANALYTICAL RESULTS
July 1999 Through January 2008
76 Station 1156

Date Sampled	TOC Elevation (feet)	Depth to Water (feet)	LPH Thickness (feet)	Ground-water Elevation (feet)	Change in Elevation (feet)	TPH-G (8015M) (µg/l)	TPH-G (GC/MS) (µg/l)	Benzene (µg/l)	Toluene (µg/l)	Ethyl-benzene (µg/l)	Total Xylenes (µg/l)	MTBE (8021B) (µg/l)	MTBE (8260B) (µg/l)	Comments
MW-4 continued														
07/17/01	179.10	6.49	0.00	172.61	2.14	10000	--	2300	110	410	800	1200	900	
10/03/01	178.96	7.01	0.00	171.95	-0.66	7800	--	2100	85	380	390	580	820	
01/28/02	178.96	6.21	0.00	172.75	0.80	12000	--	2100	130	350	670	1100	500	
04/25/02	178.96	5.49	0.00	173.47	0.72	3300	--	1300	42	270	250	680	600	
07/18/02	178.96	8.28	0.00	170.68	-2.79	4800	--	1300	71	290	220	530	760	
10/07/02	178.96	7.49	0.00	171.47	0.79	5100	--	1400	110	330	380	650	540	
01/06/03	178.96	6.36	0.00	172.60	1.13	5600	--	1100	57	260	320	370	520	
04/07/03	178.96	6.24	0.00	172.72	0.12	5100	--	1100	55	190	370	550	420	
07/07/03	178.96	6.43	0.00	172.53	-0.19	3000	--	920	28	170	330	480	450	
10/09/03	178.96	7.97	0.00	170.99	-1.54	530	700	100	2.2	5.4	14	--	270	Sampled for TPH-G by 8015M on 11/14/03.
01/14/04	178.96	6.30	0.00	172.66	1.67	530	--	88	4.1	9.9	11	150	180	
04/28/04	178.96	5.68	0.00	173.28	0.62	1200	--	200	5.3	21	13	490	310	
07/12/04	178.96	6.48	0.00	172.48	-0.80	3600	--	1000	14	260	72	710	470	
10/25/04	178.96	6.85	0.00	172.11	-0.37	490	--	34	ND<2.5	ND<2.5	ND<2.5	200	170	
01/17/05	178.96	4.56	0.00	174.40	2.29	620	--	100	2.6	15	8.0	240	200	
04/06/05	178.96	2.90	0.00	176.06	1.66	630	--	81	9.6	16	41	ND<25	26	
07/08/05	178.96	3.74	0.00	175.22	-0.84	980	--	170	24	44	140	ND<25	64	
10/07/05	178.96	4.24	0.00	174.72	-0.50	4900	--	1100	11	110	110	370	310	
01/27/06	178.96	3.65	0.00	175.31	0.59	2800	--	580	20	130	230	320	240	
04/28/06	178.96	3.94	0.00	175.02	-0.29	710	--	110	2.4	21	22	140	140	
07/28/06	178.96	4.63	0.00	174.33	-0.69	550	--	120	2.1	12	19	170	150	
10/27/06	178.96	5.19	0.00	173.77	-0.56	260	--	37	2.0	1.9	6.7	130	130	
01/10/07	178.96	4.82	0.00	174.14	0.37	270	--	29	0.72	1.8	2.7	160	150	

Table 2
HISTORIC FLUID LEVELS AND SELECTED ANALYTICAL RESULTS
July 1999 Through January 2008
76 Station 1156

Date Sampled	TOC Elevation (feet)	Depth to Water (feet)	LPH Thickness (feet)	Ground-water Elevation (feet)	Change in Elevation (feet)	TPH-G (8015M) (µg/l)	TPH-G (GC/MS) (µg/l)	Benzene (µg/l)	Toluene (µg/l)	Ethyl-benzene (µg/l)	Total Xylenes (µg/l)	MTBE (8021B) (µg/l)	MTBE (8260B) (µg/l)	Comments
MW-4 continued														
04/13/07	178.96	4.25	0.00	174.71	0.57	390	--	53	1.2	3.1	4.1	210	160	
07/19/07	178.96	5.35	0.00	173.61	-1.10	210	--	8.0	1.0	1.4	4.5	120	130	
10/08/07	178.96	5.48	0.00	173.48	-0.13	290	--	17	2.3	3.8	14	160	150	
01/09/08	178.96	3.40	0.00	175.56	2.08	770	--	190	5.9	21	40	210	220	Gauged on 1/18/08
MW-5 (Screen Interval in feet: 5.0-25.0)														
10/03/01	169.18	2.81	0.00	166.37	--	ND<50	--	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	1800	2100	
01/28/02	169.18	1.88	0.00	167.30	0.93	ND<50	--	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	650	550	
04/25/02	169.18	1.99	0.00	167.19	-0.11	ND<50	--	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	2200	2400	
07/18/02	169.18	2.49	0.00	166.69	-0.50	ND<50	--	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	530	690	
10/07/02	169.18	2.80	0.00	166.38	-0.31	140	--	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	300	330	
01/06/03	169.18	1.86	0.00	167.32	0.94	120	--	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	410	350	
04/07/03	169.18	2.15	0.00	167.03	-0.29	220	--	0.53	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	450	420	
07/07/03	169.18	2.26	0.00	166.92	-0.11	120	--	ND<1.2	ND<1.2	ND<1.2	ND<1.2	220	200	
10/09/03	169.18	2.72	0.00	166.46	-0.46	560	210	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	--	290	Sampled for TPH-G by 8015M on 11/14/03.
01/14/04	169.18	2.00	0.00	167.18	0.72	560	--	ND<2.5	ND<2.5	ND<2.5	ND<2.5	670	760	
04/28/04	169.18	2.01	0.00	167.17	-0.01	760	--	ND<0.3	1.8	ND<0.3	ND<0.6	1200	790	
07/12/04	169.18	2.56	0.00	166.62	-0.55	96	--	1.8	3.3	0.54	3.6	2.8	ND<0.5	
10/25/04	169.18	2.43	0.00	166.75	0.13	1100	--	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	780	1100	
01/17/05	169.18	1.49	0.00	167.69	0.94	720	--	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	530	550	
04/06/05	169.18	0.95	0.00	168.23	0.54	830	--	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	600	760	
07/08/05	169.18	1.49	0.00	167.69	-0.54	ND<500	--	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	570	630	
10/07/05	169.18	1.92	0.00	167.26	-0.43	540	--	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.60	530	490	
01/27/06	169.18	2.03	0.00	167.15	-0.11	490	--	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.60	580	610	

Table 2
HISTORIC FLUID LEVELS AND SELECTED ANALYTICAL RESULTS
July 1999 Through January 2008
76 Station 1156

Date Sampled	TOC Elevation (feet)	Depth to Water (feet)	LPH Thickness (feet)	Ground-water Elevation (feet)	Change in Elevation (feet)	TPH-G (8015M) (µg/l)	TPH-G (GC/MS) (µg/l)	Benzene (µg/l)	Toluene (µg/l)	Ethyl-benzene (µg/l)	Total Xylenes (µg/l)	MTBE (8021B) (µg/l)	MTBE (8260B) (µg/l)	Comments
MW-5 continued														
04/28/06	169.18	1.02	0.00	168.16	1.01	430	--	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.60	590	520	
07/28/06	169.18	1.57	0.00	167.61	-0.55	480	--	0.34	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.60	440	420	
10/27/06	169.18	2.20	0.00	166.98	-0.63	420	--	0.34	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.60	460	390	
01/10/07	169.18	1.57	0.00	167.61	0.63	390	--	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.60	430	420	
04/13/07	169.18	1.89	0.00	167.29	-0.32	170	--	3.8	5.9	1.5	3.8	160	120	
07/19/07	169.18	1.92	0.00	167.26	-0.03	ND<50	--	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.60	19	23	
10/08/07	169.18	2.28	0.00	166.90	-0.36	200	--	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.60	310	280	
01/09/08	169.18	1.09	0.00	168.09	1.19	150	--	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.60	170	170	Gauged on 1/18/08
MW-6 (Screen Interval in feet: 5.0-25.0)														
10/03/01	169.04	2.87	0.00	166.17	--	ND<50	--	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	200	270	
01/28/02	169.04	1.82	0.00	167.22	1.05	ND<50	--	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<2.5	--	
04/25/02	169.04	2.01	0.00	167.03	-0.19	ND<50	--	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<2.5	--	
07/18/02	169.04	2.44	0.00	166.60	-0.43	ND<50	--	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<2.5	ND<2.0	
10/07/02	169.04	2.72	0.00	166.32	-0.28	ND<50	--	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<2.5	ND<2.0	
01/06/03	169.04	1.90	0.00	167.14	0.82	ND<50	--	0.62	1.2	1.2	3.5	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	
04/07/03	169.04	2.02	0.00	167.02	-0.12	ND<50	--	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	46	46	
07/07/03	169.04	2.21	0.00	166.83	-0.19	ND<50	--	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	
10/09/03	169.04	2.71	0.00	166.33	-0.50	ND<50	ND<50	0.95	3.0	1.4	5.5	--	ND<2.0	Sampled for TPH-G by 8015M on 11/14/03.
01/14/04	169.04	2.00	0.00	167.04	0.71	ND<50	--	ND<0.50	0.57	ND<0.50	0.64	ND<5.0	ND<2.0	
04/28/04	169.04	2.18	0.00	166.86	-0.18	ND<50	--	0.39	0.78	ND<0.3	ND<0.6	ND<1	ND<0.5	
07/12/04	169.04	2.69	0.00	166.35	-0.51	ND<50	--	ND<0.3	ND<0.3	ND<0.3	ND<0.6	6.4	ND<0.5	
10/25/04	169.04	2.46	0.00	166.58	0.23	ND<50	--	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<5.0	0.57	
01/17/05	169.04	1.54	0.00	167.50	0.92	ND<50	--	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<5.0	ND<0.50	

Table 2
HISTORIC FLUID LEVELS AND SELECTED ANALYTICAL RESULTS
July 1999 Through January 2008
76 Station 1156

Date Sampled	TOC Elevation (feet)	Depth to Water (feet)	LPH Thickness (feet)	Ground-water Elevation (feet)	Change in Elevation (feet)	TPH-G (8015M) (µg/l)	TPH-G (GC/MS) (µg/l)	Benzene (µg/l)	Toluene (µg/l)	Ethyl-benzene (µg/l)	Total Xylenes (µg/l)	MTBE (8021B) (µg/l)	MTBE (8260B) (µg/l)	Comments
MW-6 continued														
04/06/05	169.04	1.15	0.00	167.89	0.39	ND<50	--	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<5.0	ND<0.50	
07/08/05	169.04	1.05	0.00	167.99	0.10	ND<50	--	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<5.0	ND<0.50	
10/07/05	169.04	1.90	0.00	167.14	-0.85	ND<50	--	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.60	ND<1.0	ND<0.50	
01/27/06	169.04	1.32	0.00	167.72	0.58	ND<50	--	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.60	ND<1.0	ND<0.50	
04/28/06	169.04	0.00	0.00	169.04	1.32	ND<50	--	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.60	ND<1.0	ND<0.50	
07/28/06	169.04	1.68	0.00	167.36	-1.68	ND<50	--	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.60	ND<1.0	ND<0.50	
10/27/06	169.04	1.98	0.00	167.06	-0.30	ND<50	--	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.60	ND<1.0	ND<0.50	
01/10/07	169.04	1.60	0.00	167.44	0.38	ND<50	--	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.60	ND<1.0	ND<0.50	
04/13/07	169.04	2.01	0.00	167.03	-0.41	ND<50	--	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.60	ND<1.0	ND<0.50	
07/19/07	169.04	1.96	0.00	167.08	0.05	ND<50	--	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.60	ND<1.0	ND<0.50	
10/08/07	169.04	2.35	0.00	166.69	-0.39	ND<50	--	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.60	ND<1.0	0.80	
01/09/08	169.04	1.10	0.00	167.94	1.25	ND<50	--	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.60	ND<1.0	ND<0.50	Gauged on 1/18/08
MW-7 (Screen Interval in feet: 5.0-25.0)														
10/03/01	171.64	7.62	0.00	164.02	--	10000	--	210	ND<50	ND<50	800	35000	40000	
01/28/02	171.64	7.21	0.00	164.43	0.41	ND<1000	--	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	42000	38000	
04/25/02	171.64	7.25	0.00	164.39	-0.04	ND<5000	--	660	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	42000	45000	
07/18/02	171.64	8.12	0.00	163.52	-0.87	ND<5000	--	130	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	51000	53000	
10/07/02	171.64	7.71	0.00	163.93	0.41	18000	--	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	33000	38000	
01/06/03	171.64	7.63	0.00	164.01	0.08	410	--	0.61	1.0	0.89	2.9	3900	3100	
04/07/03	171.64	7.58	0.00	164.06	0.05	13000	--	ND<20	ND<20	ND<20	ND<20	32000	28000	
07/07/03	171.64	7.56	0.00	164.08	0.02	990	--	8.2	ND<0.50	1.2	ND<0.50	36000	45000	
10/09/03	171.64	7.72	0.00	163.92	-0.16	6800	ND<13000	ND<130	ND<130	ND<130	ND<250	--	20000	
01/14/04	171.64	6.97	0.00	164.67	0.75	19000	--	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	20000	25000	Sampled for TPH-G by 8015M on 11/14/03.

Table 2
HISTORIC FLUID LEVELS AND SELECTED ANALYTICAL RESULTS
July 1999 Through January 2008
76 Station 1156

Date Sampled	TOC Elevation (feet)	Depth to Water (feet)	LPH Thickness (feet)	Ground-water Elevation (feet)	Change in Elevation (feet)	TPH-G (8015M) (µg/l)	TPH-G (GC/MS) (µg/l)	Benzene (µg/l)	Toluene (µg/l)	Ethyl-benzene (µg/l)	Total Xylenes (µg/l)	MTBE (8021B) (µg/l)	MTBE (8260B) (µg/l)	Comments
MW-7 continued														
04/28/04	171.64	8.70	0.00	162.94	-1.73	19000	--	ND<3	ND<3	ND<3	ND<6	30000	21000	
07/12/04	171.64	9.44	0.00	162.20	-0.74	12000	--	28	14	330	200	12000	11000	
10/25/04	171.64	7.23	0.00	164.41	2.21	28000	--	ND<250	ND<250	ND<250	ND<250	13000	14000	
01/17/05	171.64	6.30	0.00	165.34	0.93	15000	--	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	17000	16000	
04/06/05	171.64	5.96	0.00	165.68	0.34	13000	--	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	14000	17000	
07/08/05	171.64	6.45	0.00	165.19	-0.49	ND<10000	--	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	8600	11000	
10/07/05	171.64	6.78	0.00	164.86	-0.33	13000	--	ND<3.0	ND<3.0	ND<3.0	ND<6.0	9400	9800	
01/27/06	171.64	5.82	0.00	165.82	0.96	8200	--	0.64	1.6	ND<0.30	ND<0.60	9900	7900	
04/28/06	171.64	5.57	0.00	166.07	0.25	6900	--	0.88	1.5	0.34	1.0	9600	11000	
07/28/06	171.64	6.67	0.00	164.97	-1.10	5400	--	5.2	ND<3.0	ND<3.0	ND<6.0	5000	5300	
10/27/06	171.64	6.93	0.00	164.71	-0.26	4500	--	ND<1.5	ND<1.5	ND<1.5	ND<3.0	4700	3700	
01/10/07	171.64	6.41	0.00	165.23	0.52	4000	--	ND<1.2	ND<1.2	ND<1.2	ND<2.4	4400	4400	
04/13/07	171.64	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	Paved over
07/19/07	171.64	7.10	0.00	164.54	--	2700	--	0.57	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.60	2700	3300	
10/08/07	171.64	7.42	0.00	164.22	-0.32	1600	--	0.47	0.49	ND<0.30	ND<0.60	2500	2200	
01/09/08	171.64	5.98	0.00	165.66	1.44	1500	--	0.45	0.49	ND<0.30	ND<0.60	1900	1900	Gauged on 1/18/08
MW-8 (Screen Interval in feet: 15.0-25.0)														
01/18/08	167.97	0.43	0.00	167.54	--	ND<50	--	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.60	ND<1.0	ND<0.50	

Table 2 a
ADDITIONAL HISTORIC ANALYTICAL RESULTS
76 Station 1156

Date Sampled	TPH-D	TBA	Ethanol (8015B)	Ethanol (8260B)	Ethylene-dibromide (EDB)	1,2-DCA (EDC)	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	Acenaphthylene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Bromo-form	Bromo-methane	Carbon Tetra-chloride	Chloro-benzene
	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(mg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)
MW-1															
07/20/99	16000	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	12
09/28/99	2410	ND	--	--	--	--	ND	ND	ND	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/07/00	7870	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
03/31/00	3600	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/14/00	8580	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/03/00	9260	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/03/01	11000	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/04/01	14000	ND	--	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	--	--	--	--	--	5.6
07/17/01	2200	ND	--	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/05/01	13000	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/28/02	4400	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/25/02	9000	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/18/02	9200	ND<100	--	ND<2500000	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	--	--	--	--	--	5.9
10/07/02	3400	ND<10000	--	ND<50000000	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/06/03	5100	ND<20000	--	ND<100000000	ND<400	ND<400	ND<400	ND<400	ND<400	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/07/03	2800	ND<10000	--	ND<50000000	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/07/03	7000	ND<25000	ND<120000	--	ND<500	ND<500	ND<500	ND<500	ND<500	--	--	--	--	--	ND<120
10/09/03	4300	ND<20000	--	ND<100000	ND<400	ND<400	ND<400	ND<400	ND<400	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/14/04	6200	ND<40000	--	ND<200000	ND<800	ND<800	ND<800	ND<800	ND<800	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/28/04	--	800	--	ND<1000	ND<50	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/12/04	270	1100	--	ND<20000	ND<10	ND<10	ND<20	ND<20	ND<20	ND<2	ND<10	ND<10	ND<20	ND<10	ND<10
10/25/04	5100	ND<2000	--	ND<20000	ND<200	ND<200	ND<400	ND<200	ND<200	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/17/05	6400	3100	--	ND<20000	ND<200	ND<200	ND<400	ND<200	ND<200	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/06/05	2800	1500	--	ND<10000	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/08/05	6400	ND<1300	--	ND<13000	ND<130	3.8	ND<130	ND<130	ND<130	--	ND<0.50	ND<2.0	ND<1.0	ND<0.50	12
10/07/05	5500	680	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--

Table 2 a
ADDITIONAL HISTORIC ANALYTICAL RESULTS
76 Station 1156

Date Sampled	TPH-D (µg/l)	TBA (µg/l)	Ethanol (8015B) (mg/l)	Ethanol (8260B) (µg/l)	Ethylene- dibromide (EDB) (µg/l)	1,2-DCA (EDC) (µg/l)	DIPE (µg/l)	ETBE (µg/l)	TAME (µg/l)	Acenaph- thylene (µg/l)	Bromo- dichloro- methane (µg/l)	Bromo- form (µg/l)	Bromo- methane (µg/l)	Carbon Tetra- chloride (µg/l)	Chloro- benzene (µg/l)
MW-1 continued															
01/27/06	9000	ND<500	--	ND<12000	ND<25	ND<25	ND<25	ND<25	ND<25	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/28/06	9200	ND<500	--	ND<12000	ND<25	ND<25	ND<25	ND<25	ND<25	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/28/06	5100	ND<10	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<1.0	ND<0.50	ND<0.50
10/27/06	4600	ND<2500	--	ND<62000	ND<120	ND<120	ND<120	ND<120	ND<120	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/10/07	12000	ND<1000	--	ND<25000	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/13/07	8400	730	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	0.68	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/19/07	10000	ND<1000	--	ND<25000	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	--	ND<50	ND<50	ND<100	ND<50	ND<50
01/09/08	12000	ND<250	--	ND<6200	ND<12	ND<12	ND<12	ND<12	ND<12	--	--	--	--	--	--
MW-2															
09/28/99	--	ND	--	--	--	--	ND	ND	ND	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/04/01	--	ND	--	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/17/01	--	ND	--	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/18/02	--	ND<1000	--	ND<25000000	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/07/02	--	ND<20000	--	ND<100000000	ND<400	ND<400	ND<400	ND<400	ND<400	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/06/03	--	ND<50000	--	ND<250000000	ND<1000	ND<1000	ND<1000	ND<1000	ND<1000	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/07/03	--	ND<2000	--	ND<10000000	ND<40	ND<40	ND<40	ND<40	ND<40	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/07/03	--	ND<5000	--	ND<25000000	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/09/03	--	ND<10000	--	ND<50000	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/14/04	--	ND<2500	--	ND<13000	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/28/04	--	13000	--	ND<1000	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<1	11	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/12/04	--	110	--	ND<4000	ND<3	ND<3	ND<5	ND<5	ND<5	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/25/04	--	1100	--	ND<1300	ND<13	ND<13	ND<25	ND<13	ND<13	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/17/05	--	1200	--	ND<1300	ND<13	ND<13	ND<25	ND<13	ND<13	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/06/05	--	2800	--	ND<2500	ND<25	ND<25	ND<25	ND<25	ND<25	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/08/05	--	4300	--	ND<2500	ND<25	ND<25	ND<25	ND<25	ND<25	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/07/05	--	8700	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	1.4	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--

Table 2 a
ADDITIONAL HISTORIC ANALYTICAL RESULTS
76 Station 1156

Date Sampled	TPH-D (µg/l)	TBA (µg/l)	Ethanol (8015B) (mg/l)	Ethanol (8260B) (µg/l)	Ethylene- dibromide (EDB) (µg/l)	1,2-DCA (EDC) (µg/l)	DIPE (µg/l)	ETBE (µg/l)	TAME (µg/l)	Acenaph- thylene (µg/l)	Bromo- dichloro- methane (µg/l)	Bromo- form (µg/l)	Bromo- methane (µg/l)	Carbon Tetra- chloride (µg/l)	Chloro- benzene (µg/l)
MW-2 continued															
01/27/06	--	5200	--	ND<12000	ND<25	ND<25	ND<25	ND<25	ND<25	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/28/06	--	6700	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	1.4	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	1.6	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/28/06	--	5100	--	ND<6200	ND<12	ND<12	ND<12	ND<12	ND<12	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/27/06	--	6600	--	ND<1200	ND<2.5	ND<2.5	ND<2.5	ND<2.5	ND<2.5	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/10/07	--	6000	--	ND<1200	ND<2.5	ND<2.5	ND<2.5	ND<2.5	ND<2.5	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/13/07	--	7400	--	ND<6200	ND<12	ND<12	ND<12	ND<12	ND<12	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/19/07	--	6200	--	ND<2500	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/08/07	--	20000	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/09/08	--	9900	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
MW-3															
09/28/99	--	ND	--	--	--	--	ND	ND	8.80	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/04/01	--	ND	--	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/17/01	--	ND	--	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/18/02	--	ND<50	--	ND<1200000	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/07/02	--	ND<10000	--	ND<50000000	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/06/03	--	ND<4000	--	23000000	ND<80	ND<80	ND<80	ND<80	ND<80	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/07/03	--	ND<4000	--	ND<20000000	ND<80	ND<80	ND<80	ND<80	ND<80	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/07/03	--	ND<2000	--	ND<10000000	ND<40	ND<40	ND<40	ND<40	ND<40	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/09/03	--	ND<1000	--	ND<5000	ND<20	ND<20	ND<20	ND<20	ND<20	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/14/04	--	ND<1000	--	ND<5000	ND<20	ND<20	ND<20	ND<20	ND<20	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/28/04	--	ND<12	--	ND<1000	ND<3	ND<3	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/12/04	--	350	--	ND<20000	ND<10	ND<10	ND<20	ND<20	ND<20	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/25/04	--	39	--	ND<250	ND<2.5	ND<2.5	ND<5.0	ND<2.5	ND<2.5	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/17/05	--	120	--	ND<250	ND<2.5	ND<2.5	ND<5.0	ND<2.5	ND<2.5	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/06/05	--	150	--	ND<1000	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/08/05	--	64	--	ND<250	ND<2.5	ND<2.5	ND<2.5	ND<2.5	ND<2.5	--	--	--	--	--	--

Table 2 a
ADDITIONAL HISTORIC ANALYTICAL RESULTS
76 Station 1156

Date Sampled	TPH-D (µg/l)	TBA (µg/l)	Ethanol (8015B) (mg/l)	Ethanol (8260B) (µg/l)	Ethylene- dibromide (EDB) (µg/l)	1,2-DCA (EDC) (µg/l)	DIPE (µg/l)	ETBE (µg/l)	TAME (µg/l)	Acenaph- thylene (µg/l)	Bromo- dichloro- methane (µg/l)	Bromo- form (µg/l)	Bromo- methane (µg/l)	Carbon Tetra- chloride (µg/l)	Chloro- benzene (µg/l)
MW-3 continued															
10/07/05	--	ND<200	--	ND<5000	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/27/06	--	ND<10	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	1.5	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/28/06	--	190	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	0.63	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/28/06	--	ND<10	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/27/06	--	ND<10	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	1.3	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/10/07	--	66	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	1.4	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/13/07	--	ND<10	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	1.2	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/19/07	--	ND<10	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/08/07	--	ND<20	--	ND<500	ND<1.0	1.1	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/09/08	--	ND<20	--	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
MW-4															
09/28/99	--	ND	--	--	--	--	ND	ND	ND	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/04/01	--	ND	--	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/17/01	--	ND	--	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/18/02	--	ND<100	--	ND<2500000	ND<10	49	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/07/02	--	ND<10000	--	ND<50000000	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/06/03	--	ND<1000	--	ND<5000000	ND<20	ND<20	ND<20	ND<20	ND<20	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/07/03	--	ND<1000	--	ND<5000000	ND<20	ND<20	ND<20	ND<20	ND<20	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/07/03	--	ND<1000	--	ND<5000000	ND<20	ND<20	ND<20	ND<20	ND<20	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/09/03	--	ND<200	--	ND<1000	ND<4.0	ND<4.0	ND<4.0	ND<4.0	ND<4.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/14/04	--	ND<200	--	ND<1000	ND<4.0	6.5	ND<4.0	ND<4.0	ND<4.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/28/04	--	150	--	ND<1000	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/12/04	--	210	--	ND<4000	ND<3	14	ND<5	ND<5	ND<5	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/25/04	--	38	--	ND<100	ND<1.0	2.0	ND<2.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/17/05	--	110	--	ND<100	ND<1.0	3.6	ND<2.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/06/05	--	ND<25	--	73000	ND<2.5	ND<2.5	ND<2.5	ND<2.5	ND<2.5	--	--	--	--	--	--

Table 2 a
ADDITIONAL HISTORIC ANALYTICAL RESULTS
76 Station 1156

Date Sampled	TPH-D	TBA	Ethanol (8015B)	Ethanol (8260B)	Ethylene-dibromide (EDB)	1,2-DCA (EDC)	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	Acenaphthylene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Bromo-form	Bromo-methane	Carbon Tetra-chloride	Chloro-benzene
	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(mg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)
MW-4 continued															
07/08/05	--	29	--	ND<50	ND<0.50	1.2	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/07/05	--	210	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	26	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/27/06	--	280	--	ND<2500	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/28/06	--	130	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	0.97	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/28/06	--	64	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	5.8	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/27/06	--	54	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	1.5	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/10/07	--	33	--	310	ND<0.50	1.9	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/13/07	--	82	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	0.77	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/19/07	--	13	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/08/07	--	ND<20	--	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/09/08	--	ND<20	--	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
MW-5															
07/18/02	--	ND<20	--	ND<500000	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/07/02	--	ND<100	--	ND<500000	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/06/03	ND<50	ND<100	--	ND<500000	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	--	--	--	--	--	ND<0.50
04/07/03	--	ND<500	--	ND<2500000	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/07/03	--	ND<200	--	ND<1000000	ND<4.0	ND<4.0	ND<4.0	ND<4.0	ND<4.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/09/03	--	ND<200	--	ND<1000	ND<4.0	ND<4.0	ND<4.0	ND<4.0	ND<4.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/14/04	--	ND<2000	--	ND<10000	ND<40	ND<40	ND<40	ND<40	ND<40	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/28/04	--	ND<12	--	ND<1000	ND<0.5	1.8	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/12/04	--	ND<12	--	ND<800	ND<0.5	0.76	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/25/04	--	ND<500	--	ND<5000	ND<50	ND<50	ND<100	ND<50	ND<50	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/17/05	--	100	--	ND<250	ND<2.5	ND<2.5	ND<5.0	ND<2.5	ND<2.5	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/06/05	--	7.6	--	ND<50	ND<0.50	1.4	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/08/05	--	180	--	ND<500	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/07/05	--	ND<10	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	1.0	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--

Table 2 a
ADDITIONAL HISTORIC ANALYTICAL RESULTS
76 Station 1156

Date Sampled	TPH-D	TBA	Ethanol (8015B)	Ethanol (8260B)	Ethylene-dibromide (EDB)	1,2-DCA (EDC)	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	Acenaphthylene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Bromo-form	Bromo-methane	Carbon Tetra-chloride	Chloro-benzene
	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(mg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)
MW-5 continued															
01/27/06	--	1000	--	ND<2500	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/28/06	--	130	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	0.95	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/28/06	--	ND<100	--	ND<2500	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/27/06	--	43	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	1.5	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/10/07	--	28	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	1.7	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/13/07	--	ND<10	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	0.84	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/19/07	--	ND<10	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	ND<5.0	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/08/07	--	ND<10	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	1.3	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/09/08	--	ND<10	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	1.2	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
MW-6															
07/18/02	--	ND<20	--	ND<500000	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/07/02	--	ND<100	--	ND<500000	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/06/03	--	ND<100	--	ND<500000	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/07/03	--	ND<100	--	ND<500000	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/07/03	--	ND<100	--	ND<500000	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/09/03	--	ND<100	--	ND<500	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/14/04	--	ND<100	--	ND<500	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/28/04	--	ND<12	--	ND<1000	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/12/04	--	ND<12	--	ND<800	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/25/04	--	ND<5.0	--	ND<50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<1.0	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/17/05	--	ND<5.0	--	ND<50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<1.0	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/06/05	--	ND<5.0	--	ND<50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/08/05	--	ND<5.0	--	ND<50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/07/05	--	ND<10	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/27/06	--	ND<10	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/28/06	--	ND<10	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--

Table 2 a
ADDITIONAL HISTORIC ANALYTICAL RESULTS
76 Station 1156

Date Sampled	TPH-D (µg/l)	TBA (µg/l)	Ethanol (8015B) (mg/l)	Ethanol (8260B) (µg/l)	Ethylene- dibromide (EDB) (µg/l)	1,2-DCA (EDC) (µg/l)	DIPE (µg/l)	ETBE (µg/l)	TAME (µg/l)	Acenaph- thylene (µg/l)	Bromo- dichloro- methane (µg/l)	Bromo- form (µg/l)	Bromo- methane (µg/l)	Carbon Tetra- chloride (µg/l)	Chloro- benzene (µg/l)
MW-6 continued															
07/28/06	--	ND<10	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/27/06	--	ND<10	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/10/07	--	ND<10	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/13/07	--	ND<10	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/19/07	--	ND<10	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/08/07	--	ND<10	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/09/08	--	ND<10	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--
MW-7															
07/18/02	--	33000	--	ND<5000000	ND<20	ND<20	ND<20	ND<20	ND<20	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/07/02	--	26000	--	ND<100000000	ND<400	ND<400	ND<400	ND<400	ND<400	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/06/03	ND<50	ND<10000	--	ND<50000000	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	--	--	--	--	--	ND<50
04/07/03	--	ND<40000	--	ND<200000000	ND<800	ND<800	ND<800	ND<800	ND<800	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/07/03	--	27000	--	ND<100000000	ND<400	ND<400	ND<400	ND<400	ND<400	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/09/03	--	ND<25000	--	ND<130000	ND<500	ND<500	ND<500	ND<500	ND<500	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/14/04	--	ND<40000	--	ND<200000	ND<800	ND<800	ND<800	ND<800	ND<800	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/28/04	--	9200	--	ND<1000	ND<0.5	6.8	ND<1	ND<1	12	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/12/04	--	4600	--	ND<8000	ND<5	5.1	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/25/04	--	3900	--	ND<5000	ND<50	ND<50	ND<100	ND<50	ND<50	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/17/05	--	4200	--	ND<5000	ND<50	ND<50	ND<100	ND<50	ND<50	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/06/05	--	4200	--	ND<10000	ND<0.50	6.4	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	9.3	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/08/05	--	4300	--	ND<5000	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/07/05	--	1100	--	ND<12000	ND<25	ND<25	ND<25	ND<25	ND<25	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/27/06	--	1600	--	ND<25000	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/28/06	--	2900	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	3.4	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	6.3	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/28/06	--	1300	--	ND<6200	ND<12	ND<12	ND<12	ND<12	ND<12	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/27/06	--	1700	--	ND<2500	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	--	--	--	--	--	--

Table 2 a
ADDITIONAL HISTORIC ANALYTICAL RESULTS
76 Station 1156

Date Sampled	TPH-D (µg/l)	TBA (µg/l)	Ethanol (8015B) (mg/l)	Ethanol (8260B) (µg/l)	Ethylene- dibromide (EDB) (µg/l)	1,2-DCA (EDC) (µg/l)	DIPE (µg/l)	ETBE (µg/l)	TAME (µg/l)	Acenaph- thylene (µg/l)	Bromo- dichloro- methane (µg/l)	Bromo- form (µg/l)	Bromo- methane (µg/l)	Carbon Tetra- chloride (µg/l)	Chloro- benzene (µg/l)
MW-7 continued															
01/10/07	12000	1300	--	ND<2500	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/19/07	--	ND<100	--	ND<2500	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/08/07	--	ND<500	--	ND<12000	ND<25	ND<25	ND<25	ND<25	ND<25	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/09/08	--	2700	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	1.2	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	1.1	--	--	--	--	--	--
MW-8															
01/18/08	--	ND<10	--	ND<250	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--

Table 2 b
ADDITIONAL HISTORIC ANALYTICAL RESULTS
76 Station 1156

Date Sampled	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Dibromochloromethane	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	Dichlorodifluoromethane	1,1-DCA	1,1-DCE	cis-1,2-DCE	trans-1,2-DCE	1,2-Dichloropropane	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	
	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	
MW-1																
07/20/99	--	--	--	--	3.9	--	--	--	2.0	--	3.6	--	0.92	--	--	
03/31/00	--	--	--	--	6.2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
04/04/01	--	--	--	--	4.6	--	--	--	--	--	3.4	--	--	--	--	
07/17/01	--	--	--	--	18	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
07/18/02	1.1	--	--	--	5.8	--	1.3	--	--	--	1.3	--	--	--	--	
07/07/03	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	ND<120	--	--	--	--	
07/12/04	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	
07/08/05	1.0	ND<0.50	ND<1.0	ND<0.50	9.0	ND<0.50	1.2	ND<1.0	1.3	ND<0.50	3.1	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	
07/28/06	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	4.5	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	
07/19/07	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	
MW-5																
01/06/03	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	
MW-7																
01/06/03	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	ND<50	--	--	--	--	

Table 2 c
ADDITIONAL HISTORIC ANALYTICAL RESULTS
76 Station 1156

Date Sampled	Hexachlorobutadiene	Methylene chloride	Naphthalene	n-Propylbenzene	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	Trichlorotrifluoroethane	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethene (TCE)	Trichlorofluoromethane	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	Vinyl chloride
	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)
MW-1															
07/20/99	--	--	600	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
09/28/99	--	--	534	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	1240	318	--
01/07/00	--	--	1050	371	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	2210	597	--
03/31/00	--	--	140	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/14/00	--	--	690	--	--	334	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/03/00	--	--	361	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/03/01	--	--	400	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/04/01	--	--	490	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/17/01	--	--	740	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/18/02	--	--	910	--	--	ND<0.60	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/07/03	--	--	850	--	--	ND<120	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/12/04	ND<2	ND<20	450	--	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<2	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	--	--	ND<10
07/08/05	ND<20	ND<5.0	250	--	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<20	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	0.73	ND<1.0	--	--	ND<0.50
07/28/06	--	ND<1.0	--	--	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	--	--	ND<0.50
07/19/07	--	ND<100	--	--	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	--	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	ND<50	--	--	ND<50
MW-5															
01/06/03	--	--	ND<10	--	--	ND<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
MW-7															
01/06/03	--	--	ND<10	--	--	ND<50	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Table 2 d
ADDITIONAL HISTORIC ANALYTICAL RESULTS
 76 Station 1156

Date Sampled	Acena- phthene (µg/l)	Acena- phthylene (svoc) (µg/l)	Anthra- cene (µg/l)	Benzo[a]- anthracene (µg/l)	Benzo[a]- pyrene (µg/l)	Benzo[b]- fluor- anthene (µg/l)	Benzo- [g,h,l]- perylene (µg/l)	Benzo[k]- fluor- anthene (µg/l)	Benzoic Acid (µg/l)	Benzyl Alcohol (µg/l)	Bis(2- chloro- ethoxy) methane (µg/l)	Bis(2- chloro- ethyl) ether (µg/l)	Bis(2- chloro- isopropyl)- ether (µg/l)	Bis(2-ethyl- hexyl) phthalate (µg/l)	4-Bromo- pheny phe- nyl ether (µg/l)
MW-1															
03/31/00	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	10	--
10/03/00	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	51.6	--
04/04/01	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	55	--
07/17/01	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	400	--
07/18/02	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	120	--
07/07/03	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	70	--
07/12/04	ND<2	--	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2	--	--	--	--	--	ND<5	--
07/28/06	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<50	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	33	ND<10
07/19/07	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<11	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<4.4	ND<2.2
MW-5															
01/06/03	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	ND<5.0	--
MW-7															
01/06/03	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	ND<5.0	--

Table 2 e
ADDITIONAL HISTORIC ANALYTICAL RESULTS
76 Station 1156

Date Sampled	Butyl- benzyl phthalate (µg/l)	1-Chloro-3- methyl- phenol (µg/l)	4-Chloro- aniline (µg/l)	2-Chloro- naphtha- lene (µg/l)	2-Chloro- phenol (µg/l)	4-Chloro- phenyl ether (µg/l)	Chrysene (µg/l)	Dibenzo- [a,h]- anthracene (µg/l)	Dibenzo- furan (µg/l)	1,2- Dichloro- benzene (svoc) (µg/l)	1,3- Dichloro- benzene (svoc) (µg/l)	1,4- Dichloro- benzene (svoc) (µg/l)	3,3- Dichloro- benzidine (µg/l)	2,4- Dichloro- phenol (µg/l)	Diethyl phthalate (µg/l)
MW-1															
07/12/04	--	--	--	--	--	--	ND<2	ND<3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/28/06	ND<10	ND<25	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<15	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<50	ND<10	ND<10
07/19/07	ND<2.2	ND<5.5	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<3.3	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<11	ND<2.2	ND<2.2

Table 2 f
ADDITIONAL HISTORIC ANALYTICAL RESULTS
 76 Station 1156

Date Sampled	2,4-Dimethylphenol (µg/l)	Dimethyl phthalate (µg/l)	Di-n-butyl phthalate (µg/l)	2,4-Dinitrophenol (µg/l)	2,4-Dinitrotoluene (µg/l)	2,6-Dinitrotoluene (µg/l)	Di-n-octyl phthalate (µg/l)	Fluoranthene (µg/l)	Fluorene (µg/l)	Hexachlorobenzene (µg/l)	HCBD (svoc) (µg/l)	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene (µg/l)	Hexachloroethane (µg/l)	Indeno-[1,2,3-c,d]pyrene (µg/l)	Isophorone (µg/l)
MW-1															
07/12/04	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	ND<2	ND<2	--	--	--	--	ND<2	--
07/28/06	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<50	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<5.0	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10
07/19/07	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<11	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<1.1	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<2.2

Table 2 g
ADDITIONAL HISTORIC ANALYTICAL RESULTS
76 Station 1156


Date Sampled	2-Methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol (µg/l)	2-Methylnaphthalene (µg/l)	2-Methylphenol (µg/l)	4-Methylphenol (µg/l)	Naphthalene (svoc) (µg/l)	2-Nitroaniline (µg/l)	3-Nitroaniline (µg/l)	4-Nitroaniline (µg/l)	Nitrobenzene (µg/l)	2-Nitrophenol (µg/l)	4-Nitrophenol (µg/l)	N-nitrosodipropylamine (µg/l)	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (µg/l)	Pentachlorophenol (µg/l)	Phenanthrene (µg/l)
MW-1															
07/20/99	--	240	--	27	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
09/28/99	--	87.4	26.4	35.6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/07/00	--	315	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
03/31/00	--	73	31	18	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/14/00	--	300	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
10/03/00	--	98.1	--	28.9	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
01/03/01	--	180	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
04/04/01	--	78	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/17/01	--	290	47	25	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/18/02	--	420	13	25	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/07/03	--	260	ND<5.0	22	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
07/12/04	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	ND<2
07/28/06	--	280	ND<10	--	660	ND<10	ND<10	ND<25	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<50	ND<10
07/19/07	ND<11	230	29	--	770	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<5.5	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<11	ND<2.2
MW-5															
01/06/03	--	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
MW-7															
01/06/03	--	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Table 2 h
ADDITIONAL HISTORIC ANALYTICAL RESULTS
 76 Station 1156

Date Sampled	Phenol (µg/l)	Pyrene (µg/l)	1,2,4- Trichloro- benzene (sum) (µg/l)	2,4,6- Trichloro- phenol (µg/l)	2,4,5- Trichloro- phenol (µg/l)
MW-1					
07/12/04	--	ND<2	--	--	--
07/28/06	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<25	ND<25
07/19/07	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<2.2	ND<5.5	ND<5.5

Attachment D

Dissolved Phase Concentration Maps (January 2008)



LEGEND

MW-8 76 Station Monitoring Well with Dissolved-Phase TPH-G Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$)

MW-9 Shell Monitoring Well with Dissolved-Phase TPH-G (GC/MS) Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$) or LPH Thickness (feet)

TB2 Destroyed Shell Well

NOTES:


TPH-G = total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline.
 $\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$ = micrograms per liter. ND = not detected at limit indicated on official laboratory report. NA = not analyzed, measured, or collected. UST = underground storage tank. Shell data supplied by Blaine Tech;
 TPH-G (GC/MS) = total petroleum hydrocarbons with gasoline distinction utilizing EPA Method 8260B. TPH-G Results obtained using EPA Method 8015.




DISSOLVED-PHASE TPH-G CONCENTRATION MAP
 JANUARY 9 AND 18, 2008
 76 STATION 1156
 4276 MACARTHER BOULEVARD
 OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA


PROJECT NO. C1011-5616-1	PREPARED BY DD	DRAWN BY DR
DATE 4/24/08	REVIEWED BY	FILE NAME 1156sm





MW-8
ND<0.30





LEGEND

- MW-8 ⊕ 76 Station Monitoring Well with Dissolved-Phase MTBE Concentration (µg/l)
- MW-9 ⊕ Shell Monitoring Well with Dissolved-Phase Benzene Concentration (µg/l)
- TB2 ∅ Destroyed Shell Well

NOTES:

MTBE = methyl tertiary butyl ether. µg/l = micrograms per liter. ND = not detected at limit indicated on official laboratory report. NA = not analyzed, measured, or collected. UST = underground storage tank. Shell data supplied by Blaine Tech. Results obtained using EPA Method 8260B.

DISSOLVED-PHASE MTBE CONCENTRATION MAP
 JANUARY 9 AND 18, 2008
 76 STATION 1156
 4276 MACARTHER BOULEVARD
 OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA


PROJECT NO. C1011-5616-1	PREPARED BY DD	DRAWN BY DR
DATE 4/24/08	REVIEWED BY	FILE NAME 1156sm




Attachment E

***Groundwater Contaminant Concentration
vs.
Time Graphs***


Site 1156
Monitoring Well 1
TPHg Concentration vs. Time




Site 1156
Monitoring Well 1
Benzene Concentration vs. Time




Site 1156
Monitoring Well 1
MTBE Concentration vs. Time




Site 1156
Monitoring Well 2
TPHg Concentration vs. Time




Site 1156
Monitoring Well 2
Benzene Concentration vs. Time




Site 1156
Monitoring Well 2
MTBE Concentration vs. Time




Site 1165
Monitoring Well 3
TPHg Concentration vs. Time




Site 1156
Monitoring Well 3
Benzene Concentration vs. Time




Site 1165
Monitoring Well 3
MTBE Concentration vs. Time




Site 1165
Monitoring Well 4
TPHg Concentration vs. Time




Site 1165
Monitoring Well 4
Benzene Concentration vs. Time




Site 1165
Monitoring Well 4
MTBE Concentration vs. Time




**Site 1165
Monitoring Well 5
TPHg Concentration vs. Time**




Site 1165
Monitoring Well 5
Benzene Concentration vs. Time




Site 1165
Monitoring Well 5
MTBE Concentration vs. Time




**Site 1165
Monitoring Well 6
Benzene Concentration**




Site 1165
Monitoring Well 6
MTBE Concentration vs. Time




Site 1165
Monitoring Well 7
TPHg Concentration vs. Time



**Site 1165
Monitoring Well 7
Benzene Concentration vs. Time**



**Site 1165
Monitoring Well 7
MTBE Concentration vs. Time**



Attachment F
Mass Calculations

76 Station 1156

4276 MacArthur Blvd, Oakland

Volume Calculations and Mass Calculations Soil

Soil Data	plumes	Area of impact (feet ²)	Thickness of Soil (feet)	Volume (ft ³)	Density (grams/cm ³)	TPH-G Average (mg/Kg)	mass (lbs)
at 6 to 11 feet bgs	1	16848	5	48859.2	1.3	2092	8,284

Volume Calculations and Mass Calculations for Groundwater

Water Data	plumes	Area of impact (feet ²)	Thickness of Layer (feet)	Liters		TPH-G Average (ug/L)	mass (lbs)
at 16 to 23 feet bgs	1	22272	7	4,408,092		11277.5	109.50

Water Data	plumes	Area of impact (feet ²)	Thickness of Layer (feet)	Liters		Benzene Average (ug/L)	mass (lbs)
at 16 to 23 feet bgs	1	3104	7	614,346		2713.33	3.67

Water Data	plumes	Area of impact (feet ²)	Thickness of Layer (feet)	Liters		MtBE Average (ug/L)	mass (lbs)
at 16 to 23 feet bgs	1	8352	7	1,653,035		1017.00	3.70

**total
contaminant
mass in
groundwater
(lbs) 116.87**

**total
contaminant
mass in soil
(lbs) 8,284**

notes:

Soil concentrations based on 1998 data and 2007 site investigation

Volume of soil is based on area of concern * depth of region of concern * porosity of region

No Calc. for benzene and MtBE due to low levels of both in soil

Liters to feet³ is 28.2744 liters per feet³

core material: porosity of sand and clay is 0.58, density of clay is 1.3 grams/cm³

mass= volume *(1728 in³/ft³) *(16.38 cm³/in³) *concentration* (1kg/10⁶ mg)* density* (1 lb/454 grams)