
255 Parr Boulevard / Richmond, CA 94801 / (510) 235-1393 /

Fax (510) 235-3709

93 OCT 29 AM II: 37 COVER SHEET



FACSIMILE SENT BY:





Please	deliver	the	following	pages	to:
--------	---------	-----	-----------	-------	-----

Date: __OCTOBER 28,11993

)	NAME:	BRAIN OLIVA	ALAMET A COU	NTY HEALTH DEPT.	DEPT. OF TO	XICS
			CA			(510) 569-4757
•	NUMBER HARD CO	OF PAGES PY TO FOL	TO FOLLO' LOW: [xk	W COVER SHE	EET: X	
)			SUBSURFACE S	C. OIL STUDY PERFORM	MED ON OCTOBE	R 1, 1992
)						
•	COMMEN	TS: HARD	COPY TO BE MAI	LED TODAY TO YOUR		
		First	- FAX	DIDNIT	60	THROUGH.
)	IF YOU DO NO	T RECEIVE ALL	PAGES SENT. F		SOON AS POS	SSIBLE SO THAT WE CAN
	RE-SEND THE	FAX!			THAI	NK YOU!



290 West Channel Road, P.O. Box 866, Benicia, CA 94510-0866 1350 East Greg Street, Suite 3, Sparks, NV 89431 503 West 400 South, Salt Lake City, UT 84101

Phone (707) 745-8907 (800) 272-6899

FAX (707) 745-8024

Certified mail article No. p 225 524 097

November 4, 1992

Steve Slade, General Manager Charles Lowe Company 1400 Park Avenue Emeryville, CA 94608-0445

SUBJECT:

SUMMARY OF SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATION

and

IMMEDIATE MITIGATION PROPOSAL

Chromex / Charles Lowe Company

1400 Park Avenue Emeryville, California

Dear Mr. Slade:

This letter summarizes the findings of the October 1, 1992 subsurface investigation performed at the former Chromex facility in Emeryville, California. In addition, based on the results of the investigation, a proposal to immediately mitigate the chromium contamination in the vault is also presented in this letter. Results of the investigation indicate that there has been a release of chrome to the soil, a localized release of chromium to groundwater, and a limited release of zinc, copper, and silver to the soil. Moreover, potential offsite releases of halogenated hydrocarbons have contaminated the underlying groundwater.

SUMMARY OF SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATION

As part of the subsurface investigation, Excel Trans Inc. subcontracted Terratech to drill boreholes, classify the soil, and sample the soil and groundwater. Once drilling commenced, Terratech collected and prepared groundwater and soil samples for transfer to a DHS certified laboratory. Trace Analysis, a DHS certified laboratory, performed all laboratory work for the investigation. A copy of laboratory analysis, the geological report, and a sample location map are attached to this report.

Laboratory analysis of two soil samples reveal that some metal species are above typical background levels. In particular, the control boring appears to have elevated levels of copper, lead, and zinc at the 1 foot level. Boring B3 appears to have elevated chromium and silver levels at 1 foot. Table I lists sample locations and summarizes contaminates in the soil samples.

TABLE I

SUMMARY OF BOREHOLE SOIL SAMPLING FOR METALS

BOREHOLE SAMPLE / DEPTH	METAL CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)
B0-1' / 1 foot	Copper: 260 mg/kg Lead: 270 mg/kg Zinc: 320 mg/kg
B0-5' / 5 feet	Typical background levels
B0-10' / 10 feet	Typical background levels
B1-2' / 2 feet	Typical background levels
B1-5' / 5 feet	Typical background levels
B1-10' / 10 feet	Typical background levels
B2-1' / 1 foot	Typical background levels
B2-5' / 5 feet	Typical background levels
B2-10' / 10 feet	Typical background levels
B3-1' / 1 foot	Chrome: 88 mg/kg Silver: 1.8 mg/kg
B3-5' / 5 feet	Typical background levels
B3-10' / 10 feet	Typical background levels

Further, two surface soil samples from the floor of the vault were also collected. Both vault soil samples showed elevated concentrations of chromium. Table II summarizes the chromium levels found in the vault soil samples.



TABLE II

ANALYSIS OF VAULT SOIL SAMPLES

SURFACE SAMPLE FROM BOTTOM OF VAULT / LOCATION	CHROMIUM CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)
SO1 / Southeast corner of vault	Chromium: 540 mg/kg
SO2 / Northwest corner of vault	Chromium: 1,300 mg/kg

In addition to soil samples, groundwater samples from borings and a standing water sample from the floor of the vault were also analyzed. Significantly, metal analysis of all borehole groundwater samples showed nondetecable levels for all tested metals. In contrast, the standing water sample from the floor of the vault showed an elevated chromium concentration. Moreover, analysis of groundwater for volatile organics revealed trichloroethene from potential offsite locations may have migrated onto the Chromex site. Offsite migration is evidenced by the constant and ubiquitous level of trichloroethene in groundwater samples, including the control sample. Table III summarizes the contaminate levels for both the groundwater and the vault water samples.

TABLE III
GROUNDWATER AND VAULT WATER CONTAMINATES

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE / DEPTH	CONTAMINATE LEVEL (mg/l)
GW0 / 8 feet	Trichloroethene: .0058 mg/l * Tetrachloroethene: .0104 mg/l *
GW1 / 10 feet	Trichloroethene: .0041 mg/l
GW3 / 8 feet	Trichloroethene: .0047 mg/l Tetrachloroethene: .0036 mg/l
SW1 / Surface water from floor of vault	Chromium: 2.5 mg/l

As noted in the attached Terratech "Summary of Environmental Services" (page 2, paragraph 2), borehole number B2 did not recharge quickly enough to obtain a groundwater sample.

IMMEDIATE MITIGATION PROPOSAL

It is our opinion that the most immediate concern at this time is mitigation of the chromium contamination in the vault. At present, there appears to be no migration of chrome from the vault to the groundwater. To prevent the possibility of future migration, we reccomend that the soil in the vault be immediately excavated below the water table, and that the excavated hole be refilled with pea-gravel after analysis shows that soil chrome concentrations have dropped below a level of regulatory concern. After the soil has been excavated, we propose that the soil pile be analyzed for contamination and be labeled, transported, and disposed of according to all local, state, and federal regulations.

Thank you for your interest and willingness to move quickly on this project. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at (707) 745-8907.

Sincerely,

Mary L. Boyd

Excel Trans, Inc.

May L. Bord



1365 VANDER WAY 7891 WESTWOOD DR., SUITE 101 12 THOMAS OWENS WAY 141 SUBURBAN RD., SUITE C2 SAN JOSE, CA 95112 GILROY, CA 95020 MONTEREY, CA 93940 SAN LUIS OBISPO, CA 93401 (408) 297-6969 (408) 842-0236 (408) 372-3716 (805) 543-5493 FAX (408) 297-7716 FAX (408) 842-7314 FAX (408) 372-7481 FAX (805) 543-2748

October 26, 1992 Project 5229

Excel Trans 290 West Channel Road Benicia, California 94510-0866

Attention: Mary Boyd

Subject:

Summary of Environmental Services

Charles Lowe Company 1400 Park Avenue Emeryville, California

Dear Ms. Boyd:

This letter report summarizes our procedures and findings from the environmental services we provided on October 1, 1992, at the Charles Lowe Company, located at 1400 Park Avenue in Emeryville, California. We performed our work in accordance with tasks outlined in the "Request for Quotation" you supplied us on June 29, 1992, and the excerpts from the work plan you provided us on September 23, 1992 (except as noted in the fifth paragraph on page 2).

We sub-contracted with West Tek, Inc., a C57-licensed drilling company from San Jose, to drill and sample the soil. West Tek drilled four holes using steam-cleaned, 8-inch-outside-diameter, hollow-stem augers advanced by a CME 55 truck-mounted drill rig. The holes were advanced roughly at the locations indicated by the symbols BO, B2, and B3 on the attached drawing, which you provided; B1 was advanced about 5 feet north of the location shown on the drawing due to the drill rig's inability to climb a 2-foot-tall berm.

An environmental geologist with our firm was on site to direct the drilling crew, pack samples, and log the holes. We classified the soils using the Unified Soil Classification System with visual-manual procedures (ASTM D2488-84). Neither our geologist nor the drillers noted unusual odors or discoloration in soils from the drill holes. Exploration drill hole logs, which are appended to this letter, display details regarding types of soil encountered.

In accordance with your sampling plan, the drillers collected samples at depths of 1 to 2 feet, 5 feet, and 10 feet. The drillers sampled using an 18-inch-long, modified California, split-spoon sampler driven with an automatic hammer. Prior to each use, the drillers washed the sampler in a non-phosphate soap solution, rinsed it twice in potable water, and rinsed it once more with distilled water. We provided the drillers with unused, 6-inch-long, brass liners, which they placed in the sampler for each sampling drive.

October 26, 1992 Project 5229

The drillers placed soil cuttings from each hole in separate, labelled, covered, 55-gallon, steel drums (total of four drums with soil). The drillers and our geologist placed rinse water in a fifth labelled, covered, 55-gallon, steel drum. The five drums and their contents were left in a fenced area on site and are the property of the Charles Lowe Company, which bears the responsibility of properly disposing of the drums and their contents, in accordance with regulatory guidelines.

Upon collection, we packed the bottom liner from each drive by placing Teflon sheets over the ends, placing plastic caps over the Teflon, and sealing the caps with masking tape. We then transferred the soil samples to you for labelling, storing with ice, and eventual analytical testing. Chain-of-custody procedures were followed to document sample collection and handling.

At the completion of drilling and soil sampling, the drillers placed PVC pipe (with 5 feet of factory slotting at the bottom) in each of the four drill holes. The drillers then placed about 7 feet of sand in the annular space around the slotted pipe. We allowed the holes to stand undisturbed for about 1 to 4 hours in order for ground water to accumulate in them. Water rose in holes BO, BI, and B3, but no water rose in B2, even after 3 hours.

We used pre-cleaned Teflon bailers to obtain samples of the ground water from each of the three holes that contained water. We transferred the water from the bailers into containers you supplied. For each of the three holes containing water, we filled one half-liter plastic container for analysis for metals, and two VOA vials for volatile-organic analysis. We filtered the water for metals analysis using a QED Sample Pro filter fitted with an unused, 0.45-micron filter cartridge. Before use at each hole, we cleaned the filter vessel and pump with a non-phosphate soap solution, rinsed it in running potable water, rinsed it again with distilled water, and fitted it with a new filter cartridge. We filled the VOA vials until a positive meniscus formed, sealed them with Teflon-faced silicone caps, and inverted and tapped the vials to verify that no bubbles had formed. We then transferred the water samples to you for labelling, storing with ice, and eventual analytical testing. Chain-of-custody procedures were followed to document sample collection and handling.

We let ground water levels equilibrate for at least one hour after water sampling and surveyed the elevations of the tops of the PVC pipes. As you know, the drillers removed the PVC pipes from the holes before we could measure all the water levels. Therefore, we could not obtain the information necessary to determine the ground-water gradient or flow direction.

After removing all PVC casings, the drillers sealed the holes using a slurry of cement and bentonite.

Our personnel (geologist and surveyor) and that of our subcontractor (drillers) were OSHA/SARA certified in compliance with the 29 CFR 1910.120 and California Title 8, GISO, Sec. 5192.

Project 5229

October 26, 1992

We appreciate the opportunity to assist on this project. If you have any questions regarding the information in this letter report, please call Shiela Chrisley or me at 408-297-6969.

ERIC R. LAUTENBACH

No. C042437 EXP. 3 31

Sincerely,

TERRATECH, INC.


S. R. ttl

Eric Lautenbach Registered Professional Engineer

FJG smc

Attachments: Site Plan, exploration drill hole logs, key to exploration drill hole logs





Site Plan provided by Excel Trans, October 1992

	EXPLORATION DRILL HOLE LOG						No.	B0	
P!	PROJECT: C. Lowe Company DATE: 10-01-92					LOGGED	BY: F	JG	
DI	RILL RIG: CME 55 HOLE DIA.:	8 in	ches	SAI	1PLER:	MOD C	AL		
GI	ROUND WATER DEPTH INITIAL: 8 feet FINAL:	7 fe	et	НОІ	LE ELE	V.: 13	feet		
	DESCRIPTION	SOIL TYPE	ОЕРТН	SAMPLE	BLOWS PER FOOT	PID (ppmv)	WATER LEVEL	CASING	ANNULAR MATERIAL
	LL-GRADED GRAVEL WITH SAND; brown, moist, medium dense; base rock T CLAY; dark gray, moist, stiff; alluvium	GW CH	_ 1_ _ 2_	/ / X	18				
FA	i clai; dark gray, moist, stiff; alluvium	СП	_ 3_ _ 4_ _ 5	/,					
CL	AYEY SAND; brown and gray, moist, medium dense; 40% clay; alluvium	SC	- ⁵ - - ⁶ - - ⁷ -	X	12		<u>▼</u>	BLANK	
	ORLY GRADED GRAVEL WITH CLAY AND SAND alternating with FAT CLAY WITH GRAVEL; brown to dark gray, wet, medium dense (gravel) or stiff (clay); alluvium	GP- GC &CH	_ 8_ _ 9_ _10_	\ \ \ \ \			<u>▼</u>		AQUARIUM
	(graver) or strir (clay), arravian		_11_ _12_ _13_	^		÷		SCREEN	SAND: LONESTAR MEDIUM AQI
Ro	ottom of hole at 15 feet below the ground		_1415					SCR	SAND: LONESTAR
	surface.		_16_ _17_ _18_						
r			_19_						
F	PROJECT NUMBER: 5229 TERRATECH,	INC.					PAGE 1	0F 1	

EXPLORATION DRILL HOLE L	.0G				HOLE	No.	B1	
PROJECT: C. Lowe Company	DATE	: 10-0	1-92		LOGGED	BY: F)G	
DRILL RIG: CME 55 HOLE DIA.:	8 in	ches	SAM	IPLER:	MOD CA	NL.		
GROUND WATER DEPTH INITIAL: 10 feet FINAL:	Not	det.	HOL	E ELE	V.: 13	feet		
DESCRIPTION	SOIL TYPE	ОЕРТН	SAMPLE	BLOWS PER FOOT	PID (ppmv)	WATER LEVEL	CASING	ANNULAR MATERIAL
VELL-GRADED GRAVEL WITH CLAY AND SAND alter- nating with FAT CLAY; yellowish brown to dark gray, damp to moist, dense (gravel) or stiff (clay); alluvium	GW- GC& CH	_ 1_ _ 2_ _ 3_	/ / X					
SANDY CLAY; brown, moist, stiff; alluvium	CI	- 4- - 5- - 6- - 7- - 8-	/ X	10			ВГАНК	
POORLY GRADED SAND WITH CLAY AND GRAVEL; brown, wet, loose; interlayered with clay; coarse sand; fine gravel; alluvium	SP- SC	_ 9_	/ / x	7		\	N.	MEDIUM AQUARIUM
		13 _14_ _15		-			SCREEN	SAND: LONESTAR N
Bottom of hole at 15 feet below the ground surface.		_16_ _17_ _18_ _19_						
PROJECT NUMBER: 5229 TERRATECH,	INC	20	<u> </u>	1		PAGE 3	OF 1	<u> </u>

EXPLORATION DRILL HOLE	LOG			İ	HOLE	No.	B2	
ROJECT: C. Lowe Company DATE: 10-01-92					LOGGED	BY: F	JG	
DRILL RIG: CME 55 HOLE DIA.	. 8 ir	iches	SAN	IPLER:	MOD C	AL		
GROUND WATER DEPTH INITIAL: 8 feet FINAL	: Not	det.	HOL	E ELE	V.: 13	feet		
DESCRIPTION	SOIL TYPE	DEPTH	SAMPLE	BLOWS PER FOOT	Pi0 (ppmv)	WATER LEVEL	CASING	ANNULAR
FAT CLAY; dark gray, damp to moist, stiff; alluvium	СН	_ 1_ _ 2_ _ 3_	/ X	14 .				
brown, with a few percent fine sand wet, firm		- 4- - 5- - 6- - 7- - 8- - 9- - 10-	// x	11		₹.	BLANK	
			X				SCREEN	SAND:
Bottom of hole at 15 feet below the ground surface.		_16_ _17_ _18_ _19_ _20						
PROJECT NUMBER: 5229 TERRATECH,	INC.	1 20	1	L		PAGE 1	0F 1	i

EXPLORATION DRILL HOLE LOG						No.	B3	
ROJECT: C. Lowe Company DATE: 10-01-92					LOGGED	BY: FJ	G	
DRILL RIG: CME 55 HOLE DIA	RILL RIG: CME 55 HOLE DIA.: 8 inches SAMPL					AL .		
GROUND WATER DEPTH INITIAL: 8 feet FINA	L: ± 6.	3 ft.	HOL	E ELEV	1.: 13	feet		
DESCRIPTION	SOIL TYPE	DEPTH	SAMPLE	BLOWS PER FOOT	PID (ppmv)	WATER LEVEL	CASING	ANNULAR MATERIAL
FAT CLAY; dark gray, damp to moist, stiff; with 5-10% gravel; alluvium	СН	_ 1 2 3	/ X	11		-		
brown and dark gray, firm; with 20% sand and gravel		- 4- - 5- - 6- - 7- - 8-	/ / x	7		<u>▼</u>	BLANK	
SANDY CLAY; gray and brown, wet, soft to firm; with 40% sand and gravel; alluvium	CI	9 10 11	/ / X	5				ADUARTUM
		12 _13_ _14_ _15					SCREEN	SAND:
Bottom of hole at 15 feet below the ground surface.		_16_ _17_ _18_ _19_						
PROJECT NUMBER: 5229 TERRATECH	, INC	<u> 20</u>		<u> </u>	_1	PAGE 1	OF 1	

KEY TO EXPLORATION DRILL HOLE LOGS

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

	PRIMA	ARY DIVISI	ONS	GROUP SYMBOL	SECONDARY DIVISIONS
	90 r	GRAVELS Gravels		GW	Well graded gravels, gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines.
ILS	s targar 28	More than half of coarse	(less than 5% fines)	GP	Poorly graded gravels, gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines.
COARSE GRAINED SOILS	ial is ve siza	fraction is larger than	Gravel with	GM	Silty gravels, gravel-sand-silt mixtures, non- plastic fines.
INE	of material 200 mieve si	No. 4 sieve	fines	GC	Clayey gravels, gravel-sand-clay mixtures, plastic fines.
GRA	f of 200	SANDS	Clean Sands	SW	Well graded sands, gravelly sands, little or no fines.
IRSE	then helf then Ho.	Hore than half of coarse		SP	Poorly graded sands or gravelly sands, little or no fines.
03	the the	fraction is smaller than	Sands with	SM	Silty sands, sand-silt mixtures, non-plastic fines.
	Hore	No. 4 Sieve	fines	SC	Clayey sands, sand-clay mixtures, plastic fines.
	5	SILTS AN	D CLAYS	ML	Inorganic silts, clayey silts, rock flour, very silty fine sands.
	smaller		Liquid Limit is less		Inorganic clays of low plasticity, gravelly clays of low plasticity.
ILS	18	than 35 ("lean")	0L	Organic silts and organic silty clays of low plasticity.
OS O	ierlo	SILTS AN	D CLAYS	MI	Inorganic silts, clayey silts and silty fine sands of intermediate plasticity.
GRAINED SOILS	of moterial . 200 sieve s	SILIS ANI		CI	Inorganic clays, gravelly clays, sandy clays and silty clays of intermediate plasticity.
GRV	alf o	35 and 50		OI	Organic clays and silty clays of intermediate plasticity.
FINE	than half than No.	SILTS AND CLAYS		МН	Inorganic silts, clayey silts, elastic silts, micaceous or diatomaceous silty or fine sandy soils.
	Mora t	Liquid Limit		СН	Inorganic clays of high plasticity.
	ž	than 50	("fat")	OH	Organic clays and silts of high plasticity.
	HIGHL	Y ORGANIC S	OILS	Pt	Peat, meadow mat, highly organic soils.

SOIL CONSISTENCY

SANDS and GRAVELS (non-cohesive)	BLOWS per FOOT
Very Loose	0 - 4
Loose	4 - 10
Medium Dense	10 - 30
Dense	30 - 50
Very Dense	over 50

SILTS and CLAYS	UNCONFINED SHEAR STRENGTH (PSF)
Very Soft Soft Firm Stiff Very Stiff	0 - 250 250 - 500 500 - 1000 1000 - 2000 2000 - 4000
Hard	4000+

See "Notes" and "Symbols & Abbreviations" on following page.

KEY TO EXPLORATION DRILL HOLE LOGS (CONTINUED)

NOTES

- 1. FINES Material smaller than No. 200 sieve size.
- 2. BLOWS per FOOT Resistance to the advancement of the soil sampler-number of blows of a 140 pound hammer falling 30 inches to drive a split spoon sampler.
- 3. The stratification lines on the logs represent the approximate boundary between soil types, and the transition may be gradual.
- 4. Mod. Cal. 2½ inch O.D. (1% inch I.D.) "Modified California" split spoon sampler.
- 5. Std. Pen. 2 inch O.D. (1% inch I.D.) "Standard Penetration" split spoon sampler (ASTM D1586-84).

SYMBOLS & ABBREVIATIONS

- Final ground water level

PID - Photo Ionization Detector

ppmv - Parts per million by volume

/ - Soil sample collected

X - Soil sample transferred to client for analysis

S - Slough

N/R - No recovery

TAL

October 16, 1992

Ms. Mary Boyd Excel Trans, Inc. P.O. Box 866 Benicia, California 94510-0866

Dear Ms. Boyd:

Trace Analysis Laboratory received twelve soil samples and six water samples on October 1, 1992 for your project, Chromex Subsurface Investigation (our custody log number 2553).

These samples were analyzed for Priority-13 Metals. The water samples were analyzed by EPA Method 8240. Our analytical report and the completed chain of custody form are enclosed for your review.

Trace Analysis Laboratory is certified under the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program. Our certification number is 1199.

If you should have any questions or require additional information, please call me.

Sincerely yours

Jehrifér Pekol Project Specialist

Enclosures

LOG NUMBER:

2553

DATE SAMPLED: DATE RECEIVED: 10/01/92 10/01/92

DATE ANALYZED:

10/13/92

DATE REPORTED:

10/16/92

CUSTOMER:

Excel Trans, Inc.

REQUESTER:

Mary Boyd

PROJECT:

Chromex Subsurface Investigation

			Sample	Type:	Water		
	GWO		GW1		GW3		
Method and Constituent :	<u>Units</u>	Concen- tration	Reporting Limit	Concen- tration	Reporting <u>Limit</u>	Concen- tration	Reporting Limit
EPA Method 8240:							
Chloromethane	ug/l	ND	10	ND	10	ND	10
Bromomethane	ug/1	ND	2.0	ND	2.0	_ND	2.0
Vinyl Chloride	ug/l	ND	10	ND	10	ND	10
Chloroethane	ug/l	ND	2.0	ND	2.0	ND	2.0
Methylene Chloride	ug/1	ND	2.0	ŅD	2.0	ND	2.0
Trichlorofluoromethane	ug/l	ND	2.0	ND	2.0	ND	2.0
1,1-Dichloroethene	ug/1	ND	2.0	ND	2.0	ND	2.0
1,1-Dichloroethane	ug/1	ND	2.0	ND	2.0	ND	2.0
Trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ug/1	ND	2.0	_10	2.0	_ ND	2.0
Chloroform	ug/l	ND	2.0	ND	2.0	ND	2.0
1,2-Dichloroethane	ug/l	ND	2.0	ND	2.0	ND	2.0
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ug/l	ND	2.0	ND	2.0	ND	2.0
Carbon Tetrachloride	ug/1	ND	2.0	ND	2.0	ND	2.0
Bromodichloromethane	ug/1	ND	2.0	ND	2.0	ND	2.0
1,2-Dichloropropane	ug/1	ND	2.0	ND	2.0	ND	2.0
Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/1	ND	2.0	ND	2.0	ND	2.0
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ug/1	ND	3.0	ND	3.0	ND	3.0
Trichloroethene	ug/l	₹5.8	2.0	4.1	2.0	4.7	2.0
 Benzene	ug/l	ND	2.0	ND	2.0	ND	2.0

LOG NUMBER:

2553

DATE SAMPLED: DATE RECEIVED: 10/01/92

DATE ANALYZED: DATE REPORTED: 10/01/92 10/13/92 10/16/92

PAGE:

Two

j		Sample Type: Water									
				GWO	GW	1	GW	3 ·			
	Method and Constituent	<u>Units</u>	Concen- tration	Reporting Limit	Concen- tration	Reporting <u>Limit</u>	Concen- tration	Reporting Limit			
)	EPA Method 8240 (Continued	i):									
	2-Chloroethylvinyl Ether	ug/l	ND	10	ND	10	ND	10			
	Dibromochloromethane	ug/1	ND	2.0	ND	2.0	ND	2.0			
	Cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/1	ND	2.0	ND	2.0	ND	2.0			
	Bromoform	ug/1	ND	2.0	ND	2.0	ND	2.0			
	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ug/1	ND	3.0	ND	3.0	ND	3.0			
	Tetrachloroethene	ug/1	10.4	2.0	ND	2.0	3.6	2.0			
(Toluene	ug/1	ND	2.0	ND	2.0	ND	2.0			
), ` <u> </u>	Chlorobenzene	ug/l	ND	2.0	ND	2.0	ND	2.0			
	Ethylbenzene	ug/1	ND	2.0	ND	2.0	ND	2.0			
	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ug/l	ND	3.0	ND	3.0	ND	3.0			
	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ug/l	ND	3.0	ND	3.0	ND	3.0			
•	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ug/1	ND	3.0	ND	3.0	ND	3.0			
	Surrogate % Recovery										
	Bromochloromethane			106		117		103			
•	1-Chloro,2-Bromopropane			123		133		118			
	1,4-Dichlorobutane			105		102		102			

LOG NUMBER: 2553
DATE SAMPLED: 10/01/92
DATE RECEIVED: 10/01/92
DATE ANALYZED: 10/13/92
DATE REPORTED: 10/16/92
PAGE: Three

			Sample	Type:
Method and Constituent: FPA Method 8240:	<u>Units</u>	Method Concen- tration	Blank Reporting <u>Limit</u>	•
Chloromethane	ug/l	ND	10	
Bromomethane	ug/1	ND	2.0	
Vinyl Chloride	ug/1	ND	10	
Chloroethane	ug/1	ND	2.0	
Methylene Chloride	ug/1	5.7/	2.0	
Trichlorofluoromethane	ug/l	(_NB)	2.0	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ug/1	ND	2.0	
1,1-Dichloroethane	ug/l	ND	2.0	
Trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ug/l	ND	2.0	,
Chloroform	ug/1	ND	2.0	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ug/1	ND	2.0	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ug/1	ND	2.0	
Carbon Tetrachloride	ug/1	ND	2.0	
Bromodichloromethane	ug/l	ND	2.0	
1,2-Dichloropropane	ug/1	ND	2.0	
Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/l	ND	2.0	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ug/l	ND	3.0	
Trichloroethene	ug/1	ND	2.0	
Benzene	ug/1	ND	2.0	

LOG NUMBER: 2553
DATE SAMPLED: 10/01/92
DATE RECEIVED: 10/01/92
DATE ANALYZED: 10/13/92
DATE REPORTED: 10/16/92
PAGE: Four

Sample Type: Water

				- Cump r C
	Method and Constituent	<u>Units</u>	Metho Concen- tration	d Blank Reporting Limit
	EPA Method 8240 (Continued	i):		
	2-Chloroethylvinyl Ether	ug/1	ND	10
	Dibromochloromethane	ug/l	ND	2.0
	Cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/1	ND	2.0
,	Bromoform	ug/1	ND	2.0
	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ug/l	ND	3.0
	Tetrachloroethene	ug/l	ND	2.0
(Toluene	ug/1	ND	2.0
	Chlorobenzene	ug/1	ND	2.0
	Ethylbenzene	ug/l	ND	2.0
	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ug/l	ND	3.0
	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ug/l	ND	3.0
•	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ug/1	ND	3.0
	Surrogate % Recovery			
	Bromochloromethane			98
	1-Chloro,2-Bromopropane			88
	1,4-Dichlorobutane		1	02

LOG NUMBER:

2553

DATE SAMPLED:

10/01/92

DATE RECEIVED:

DATE EXTRACTED:

10/01/92 10/12/92, 10/14/92 and 10/15/92 10/13/92, 10/14/92 and 10/15/92

DATE ANALYZED:

DATE REPORTED:

10/16/92 Five

PAGE:

Sample Type: Soil

•	Method and Constituent:	<u>Units</u>	BO- Concen- tration	Reporting Limit	BO Concen- tration	-5′ Reporting Limit	BO Concen- tration	-10' Reporting Limit
	EPA Method 7041: Antimony	ug/kg	ND	14,000	ND	14,000	ND	14,000
	EPA Method 7060: Arsenic	ug/kg	16,000	120	15,000	120	11,000	120
(EPA Method 7090: Beryllium	ug/kg	300	120	120	120	140	120
	EPA Method 7130: Cadmium	ug/kg	1,400	250	, ND	250	ND	250
•	EPA Method 7190: Chromium (Jotal)	ug/kg	43,000	1,200	27,000	1,200	29,000	1,200
	EPA Method 7210: Copper	ug/kg	200,000	5,000	15,000	5,000	16,000	5,000
	EPA Method 7420: Lead	ug/kg	200,000	2,500	3,500	2,500	3,000	2,500
	EPA Method 7471: Mercury	ug/kg	180	50	95	50	ND	50

LOG NUMBER:

2553

DATE SAMPLED:

10/01/92

DATE RECEIVED:

10/01/92

DATE EXTRACTED:

10/12/92, 10/13/92 and 10/14/92 10/13/92, 10/14/92 and 10/15/92

DATE ANALYZED: DATE REPORTED:

10/16/92

PAGE:

Six

				Sample	Type:	Soil '		
			BO)-1′	BO	-5'	B0	-10'
	Method and Constituent:	<u>Units</u>	Concen- tration	Reporting Limit	Concen- tration	Reporting Limit	Concen- tration	Reporting <u>Limit</u>
	EPA Method 7520: Nickel	ug/kg	52,000	7,500	38,000	7,500	43,000	7,500
	EPA Method 7741: Selenium	ug/kg	ND	120	ND	120	ND	120
	EPA Method 7760: Silver	u g/kg	ND	250	ND	250	ND	250
	<pre>:PA Method 7841: Thallium</pre>	ug/kg	ND	3,800	ND	3,800	ND	3,800
	EPA Method 7950: Zinc	ug/kg	320,000	1,200	34,000	1,200	47,000	1,200

LOG NUMBER:

2553

DATE SAMPLED:

10/01/92

DATE RECEIVED:

10/01/92

DATE EXTRACTED:

10/12/92, 10/14/92 and 10/15/92

DATE ANALYZED:

10/13/92, 10/14/92 and 10/15/92

DATE REPORTED:

10/16/92

PAGE:

Thirteen

Sample Type: Soil >

				<u> </u>		
			Metho	d Blank	QC Sumn	ary
	Method and Constituent:	<u>Units</u>	Concen- tration	Reporting Limit	% Recovery	% RPD
	EPA Method 7041: Antimony	ug/kg	ND	14,000	94*	**
	EPA Method 7060: Arsenic	ug/kg	ND	120	98*	3.3
,(EPA Method 7090: Beryllium	ug/kg	ND	120	70	4.3
	EPA Method 7130: Cadmium	ug/kg	ND	250	88	14
	EPA Method 7190: Chromium	ug/kg	ND	1,200	123*	1.2
	EPA Method 7210: Copper	ug/kg	ND	5,000	78	1.1
	EPA Method 7420: Lead	ug/kg	ND	2,500	75	12
)	EPA Method 7471: Mercury	ug/kg	ND	50	103	8.7

^{*} The Recovery is for the Laboratory Control Sample, due to interference in the spiked sample.

** The RPD is not reportable since the sample prepared in duplicate was not detectable.

LOG NUMBER:

2553

DATE SAMPLED:

10/01/92

DATE RECEIVED:

10/01/92

DATE EXTRACTED: DATE ANALYZED:

10/12/92, 10/13/92 and 10/14/92 10/13/92, 10/14/92 and 10/15/92

DATE REPORTED:

10/16/92

PAGE:

Fourteen

Sample Type:

Soil

		Method	Blank	QC Summa	
Method and Constituent:	<u>Units</u>		Reporting <u>Limit</u>	% Recovery	% RPD
EPA Method 7520: Nickel	ug/kg	ND	7,500	83	1.9
EPA Method 7741: Selenium	ug/kg	ND	120	90*	**
EPA Method 7760: Silver	ug/kg	ND	250	50*	**
ZPA Method 7841: Thallium	ug/kg	ND	3,800	89 ,	**
EPA Method 7950: Zinc	ug/kg	ND	1,200	73	1.5

^{*} The Recovery is for the Laboratory Control Sample, due to interference in the spiked sample. ** The RPD is not reportable since the sample prepared in duplicate was not detectable.

LOG NUMBER:

2553

DATE SAMPLED: DATE RECEIVED: 10/01/92 10/01/92

DATE EXTRACTED:

10/04/92 and 10/06/92

DATE ANALYZED: DATE REPORTED:

10/05/92, 10/06/92 and 10/07/92

10/16/92 Fifteen

PAGE:

			Sample	Type:	Water		
		GWO-	HNO3	GW1-	HN03	GW3-HNO3	
Method and <pre>Constituent:</pre>	<u>Units</u>	Concen- tration	Reporting Limit	Concen- tration	Reporting <u>Limit</u>	Concen- tration	Reporting <u>Limit</u>
EPA Method 7041:							
Antimony	ug/l	ND	650	ND	650	ND	650
EPA Method 7060:							
Arsenic	ug/l	ND	5.0	ND	5.0	ND	5.0
EPA Method 7090:							
Beryllium	ug/l	ND	5.0	ND	5.0	ND	5.0
EPA Method 7130:							
Cadmium	ug/l	ND	10	ND	10	ND	10
EPA Method 7190:				,			
Chromium	ug/l	ND	50	ND	50	ND	50
EPA Method 7210:							
Copper	ug/l	ND	200	ND	200	ND	200
EPA Method 7420:							
Lead	ug/l	ND	100	ND	100	ND	100
EPA Method 7471:							
Mercury	ug/1	ND	1.0	ND	1.0	ND	1.0

LOG NUMBER:

2553

DATE SAMPLED:

10/01/92

DATE RECEIVED: DATE EXTRACTED: 10/01/92 10/04/92 and 10/06/92

DATE ANALYZED:

10/04/92 and 10/06/92 10/05/92, 10/06/92 and 10/07/92

DATE REPORTED:

10/16/92

PAGE:

Sixteen

			Sample	Туре:	Water		***************************************	
		GWO-HNO3		GW1-	GW1-HN03		GW3-HNO3	
Method and Constituent:	<u>Units</u>	Concen- tration	Reporting Limit	Concen- tration	Reporting Limit	Concen- tration	Reporting Limit	
EPA Method 7520: Nickel	ug/l	ND	300	ND	300	ND	300	
EPA Method 7741: Selenium	ug/1	ND	5.0	ND	5.0	ND	5.0	
EPA Method 7760: Silver	ug/l	ND	10	ND	10	ND	10	
EPA Method 7841: Thallium	ug/1	ND	100	ND	100	ND	100	
EPA Method 7950: Zinc	ug/l	ND	50	, ND	50	ND	50	

LOG NUMBER:

2553

DATE SAMPLED:

10/01/92

DATE RECEIVED:

10/01/92

DATE EXTRACTED:

10/04/92 and 10/06/92

DATE ANALYZED:

10/05/92, 10/06/92 and 10/07/92 10/16/92

DATE REPORTED: Seventeen PAGE:

Sample Type: Water

	- Jump 10 17907							
		Metho	d Blank	QC Summa	ry			
Method and Constituent:	<u>Units</u>	Concen- tration	Reporting <u>Limit</u>	% Recovery	% RPD			
EPA Method 7041: . Antimony	ug/l	ND	650	101	**			
EPA Method 7060: Arsenic	ug/l	ND	5.0	107	**			
EPA Method 7090: Beryllium	ug/l	ND	5.0	108	**			
EPA Method 7130: Cadmium	ug/l	ND	10	,101	**			
EPA Method 7190: Chromium	ug/l	ND	50	91	**			
EPA Method 7210: Copper	ug/l	ND	200	98	**			
EPA Method 7420: Lead	ug/l	ND	100	95	14			
EPA Method 7471: Mercury	ug/1	ND	1.0	95	**			

^{**} The RPD is not reportable since the sample prepared in duplicate was not detectable.

LOG NUMBER: DATE SAMPLED: 2553 10/01/92

DATE RECEIVED:

10/01/92

DATE EXTRACTED: 10/04/92 and 10/06/92

10/05/92, 10/06/92 and 10/07/92

DATE ANALYZED: DATE REPORTED:

10/16/92

PAGE:

Eighteen

	Sample Type: Water							
Method and Constituent:	<u>Units</u>	<u>Metho</u> Concen- <u>tration</u>	d Blank Reporting Limit	OC Sum % Recovery	nary % RPD			
EPA Method 7520: Nickel	ug/1	ND	300	98	**			
EPA Method 7741: Selenium	ug/l	ND	5.0	90	**			
EPA Method 7760: Silver	ug/l	ND	10	104	**			
EPA Method 7841: Thallium	ug/1	ND	100	124	**			
EPA Method 7950: Zinc	ug/l	ND	50	93	3.1			

Concentrations reported as ND were not detected at or above the reporting limit.

** The RPD is not reportable since the sample prepared in duplicate was not detectable.

Louis W. DuPuis

Quality Assurance/Quality Control Manager

LOG NUMBER:

2553

DATE SAMPLED:

10/01/92

DATE RECEIVED:

10/01/92

DATE EXTRACTED:

10/12/92, 10/14/92 and 10/15/92

10/13/92, 10/14/92 and 10/15/92

DATE ANALYZED: DATE REPORTED:

10/16/92

PAGE:

Seven

			<u>Sample</u>	Type:	Soil		
		B1	-2'	B1	-5'	B1	-10'
Method and Constituent:	<u>Units</u>	Concen- tration	Reporting Limit	Concen- tration	Reporting Limit	Concen- tration	Reporting <u>Limit</u>
EPA Method 7041: Antimony	ug/kg	ND	14,000	ND	14,000	· ND	14,000
EPA Method 7060: Arsenic	ug/kg	5,400	120	9,100	120	11,000	120
EPA Method 7090: Beryllium	ug/kg	ND	120	200	120	150	120
EPA Method 7130: Cadmium	ug/kg	ND	250	ND	250	ND	250
EPA Method 7190: Chromium	ug/kg	2,200	1,200	46,000	1,200	27,000	1,200
EPA Method 7210: Copper	ug/kg	5,500	5,000	20,000	5,000	23,000	5,000
EPA Method 7420: Lead	ug/kg	ND	2,500	4,500	2,500	3,800	2,500
EPA Method 7471: Mercury	ug/kg	ND	50	91	50	100	50

LOG NUMBER:

2553

DATE SAMPLED: DATE RECEIVED:

10/01/92 10/01/92

DATE EXTRACTED: DATE ANALYZED:

10/12/92, 10/13/92 and 10/14/92 10/13/92, 10/14/92 and 10/15/92

10/16/92

DATE REPORTED: PAGE:

Eight

Sample Type: Soil

	B1-2'			RI	-5′	B1-10'		
Method and Constituent:	<u>Units</u>	Concen- tration	Reporting Limit	Concen- tration	Reporting Limit	Concen- tration	Reporting Limit	
EPA Method 7520: Nickel	ug/kg	ND	7,500	67,000	7,500	35,000	7,500	
EPA Method 7741: Selenium	ug/kg	ND	120	ND	120	ND	120	
EPA Method 7760: Silver	ug/kg	ND	250	ND	250	ND	250	
EPA Method 7841: Thallium	ug/kg	ND	3,800	ND	3,800	ND	3,800	
EPA Method 7950: Zinc	ug/kg	21,000	1,200	50,000	1,200	47,000	1,200	

LOG NUMBER:

DATE SAMPLED:

2553 10/01/92

DATE RECEIVED:

DATE EXTRACTED: DATE ANALYZED:

10/01/92 10/12/92, 10/14/92 and 10/15/92 10/13/92, 10/14/92 and 10/15/92

DATE REPORTED:

10/16/92

PAGE:

Nine

		Sample Type: Soil										
			B2-	1'	B2	-5′	B2	-10'				
ļ	Method and Constituent:	<u>Units</u>	Concentration	Reporting Limit	Concen- tration	Reporting <u>Limit</u>	Concen- tration	Reporting Limit				
	EPA Method 7041: Antimony	ug/kg	ND	14,000	ND	14,000	, ND	14,000				
)	EPA Method 7060: Arsenic	ug/kg	16,000	120	12,000	120	14,000	120				
	EPA Method 7090: Beryllium	ug/ kg	230	120	140	120	190	120				
	EPA Method 7130: Cadmium	ug/kg	320	250	ND	250	300	250				
}	EPA Method 7190: Chromium	ug/kg	41,000	1,200	34,000	1,200	40,000	1,200				
	EPA Method 7210: Copper	ug/kg	24,000	5,000	25,000	5,000	24,000	5,000				
)	EPA Method 7420: Lead	ug/kg	11,000	2,500	4,000	2,500	5,000	2,500				
ı	EPA Method 7471: Mercury	ug/kg	67	50	91	50	68	50				

LOG NUMBER:

2553

DATE SAMPLED:

10/01/92

DATE RECEIVED: DATE EXTRACTED: 10/01/92

DATE ANALYZED:

10/12/92, 10/13/92 and 10/14/92 10/13/92, 10/14/92 and 10/15/92

DATE REPORTED:

10/16/92

PAGE:

Ten

	Sample Type: Soil									
		R2	2-1'	B2	-5'	B2	-10'			
Method and Constituent:	<u>Units</u>	Concen- tration	Reporting Limit	Concen- tration	Reporting Limit	Concen- tration	Reporting Limit			
EPA Method 7520: Nickel	ug/kg	55,000	7,500	33,000	7,500	44,000	7,500			
EPA Method 7741: Selenium	ug/kg	ND	120	ND	120	ND	120			
EPA Method 7760: Silver	ug/kg	ND	250	ND	250	ND	250			
EPA Method 7841: Thallium	ug/kg	ND	3,800	ND	3,800	ND	3,800			
EPA Method 7950: Zinc	ug/kg	61,000	1,200	46,000	1,200	51,000	1,200			

LOG NUMBER:

2553

DATE SAMPLED:

10/01/92

DATE RECEIVED:

10/01/92

DATE EXTRACTED:

10/12/92, 10/14/92 and 10/15/92 10/13/92, 10/14/92 and 10/15/92

DATE ANALYZED: DATE REPORTED:

10/16/92

PAGE:

Eleven

		Sample Type: Soil										
			B3	-1'	В3	-5′	B3	-10'				
	Method and Constituent :	<u>Units</u>	Concen- tration	Reporting Limit	Concen- tration	Reporting Limit	Concen- tration	Reporting <u>Limit</u>				
	EPA Method 7041: Antimony	ug/kg	ND	14,000	ND	14,000	· ND	14,000				
	EPA Method 7060: Arsenic	ug/kg	6,100	120	7,500	120	12,000	120				
Ž.	EPA Method 7090: Beryllium	ug/kg	220	120	130	120	150	120				
\$	EPA Method 7130: Cadmium	ug/kg	ND	250	ND	250	ND	250				
	EPA Method 7190: Chromium	ug/kg	88,000	1,200	37,000	1,200	29,000	1,200				
	EPA Method 7210: Copper	ug/kg	22,000	5,000	16,000	5,000	16,000	5,000				
	EPA Method 7420: Lead	ug/kg	6,500	2,500	3,000	2,500	4,000	2,500				
	EPA Method 7471: Mercury	ug/kg	91	50	200	50	160	50				

LOG NUMBER:

2553

DATE SAMPLED:

10/01/92

DATE RECEIVED:

10/01/92

DATE EXTRACTED:

DATE ANALYZED:

Sample Type:

10/12/92, 10/13/92 and 10/14/92 10/13/92, 10/14/92 and 10/15/92 10/16/92

DATE REPORTED: PAGE:

Twelve

Soil

			*					
			B3	-1'	В3	-5'	B3	-10'
	Method and Constituent:	<u>Units</u>	Concen- tration	Reporting Limit	Concen- tration	Reporting <u>Limit</u>	Concen- tration	ReportingLimit
	EPA Method 7520: Nickel	ug/kg	64,000	7,500	35,000	7,500	36,000	7,500
	EPA Method 7741: Selenium	ug/kg	ND	120	ND	120	ND	120
	EPA Method 7760: Silver	ug/kg	1,800	250	ND	250	ND.	250
(EPA Method 7841: Thallium	ug/kg	ND	3,800	ND	3,800	ND	3,800
	EPA Method 7950: Zinc	ug/kg	56,000	1,200	39,000	1,200	39,000	1,200



SUBSURFACE THUES FIGATION / CHROMEX 1400 PARK AUF EMERYVILLE, CA

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD ANALYSES PROJECT NAME CHYCOMER SUBSURFACE REO'D EXCELTRANS INVESTIGATION WPLERS IS present Frank Groffie, Territory, Inc. SUSPECTED TURN-AROUND DETECTION HEDIA SAMPLE LOCATION CONTAMINANT! AMPLE DATE TIME SMIT S. T.U. T. BOREHOLE #1 , TWO feet acep Chromium SCIL BI-2/10-1-91 4:17 Borehole # 1; five feet dee; SciL Bi-5' 10-1-92 9:22 Berehoic + ; ten fect deep SULL Bi - 10101-94 91,28 X corchele #1; one foct deep SOLL B2-1/101-14 10136 Borehole # 2 : five fact dece SOIL B2-5/10 1-44 10:44 SOIL X porchole \$2 ten feet deer B2-10 10-1-42 10:48 SOIL Burehale #3 : one foot deep B3-1/ 10-1-92 11:44 x Borehole #3. five fact deep 03-51 10-1-94 11:51 SOIL X Borehai #3; ten feet deep SOIL 63-10 167-72 11:55 Control, Burehole # 0; one foot BE-1/ 16-14 12:56 5016 x (control, Borehole #0; five feet BC-5' 10-1-92 13:03 SOUL Control Borehole #0; ten feet Soil BO-10710-1-743:08 Received by: 15-pnarrages AL Date / Time Relinquished by: (Signeture) Received by: (Signature) Date / Time esinguished by: (Signature) 10-1-92 2:10 Jacoust Fundhas Terratein, une Muk 6nothe Received by: Isignature Date / Time Relinquished by: (Signature) Date / Time Received by: (Signature) elinouished by: (Signame) Remarks P/W, GWO, GW1, GW3-1-500ml HWO3 Ca and 2-40ml HC1 each - B9reen; B1, B2, B3, B0-1-BT each Y-5, Date / Time Date / Time Received for Laboratory by: throughed by: (Separare) (Signature)



SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATION/ CHROMEX, 1400 MAKE AVE, EMERYVINE, CA.

1						CHAIN	OF CUST	ODY	REC	ORI	<u> </u>			, , , , ,				
T		TRA	NS	Pi	ROJECT NAME HRCMEX SUBSUCTION	FACE		anai. Req'									·	
LIPLER	s remo	wei KGI	r54-	Ec.	, Terratech , I	nc.	ı					/,						
	DATE	1	1	GRAB	SAMPLE LOC		HEDIA	J.S.	1		_	<u>L</u>	_	DETECTIO LIMIT	<u>. </u>	N-AROUND TIME	SUSPEC CONTAR	
544D	14-1-92	13.57	1	X	control Grenoie = D Preservettive: HCL		water	X						<u></u>	STA	WDAND.	CHEOMI	٠,١٨
		10:59	-	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	Row wile #1		ومساملا	×										
	10 . 7 - 72	200	 _	V	Preservative: HCL	DELETE STATE		X	D	تىن ي	TE							
THE .	وهدای دار	12:70	\vdash	X	Burnland 1 # 3	WS (1)	Grand	X						,		<u> </u>		
<u>ων ></u>	10 1.44	12:34	╁	<u> </u>	preservative: HCC		Carried Wall							·				
		1 - 27	╀	 	Control Borehacto		1000	1	\overline{x}				-		STA	NOMED	CHECM	LUM
NC3 NC3 NC3	•	13:57		1×	Preservative HNO : Borehole #1	3	 	1—		-		 	一					{
NC3	10 7-97	10:54	1_	×	Preseruative HNO	3	 	-	14	-	-	<u> </u>	-	1	_			>
ND3	Ja-1-4 1	小 一		· ≯=	Drese antiveritate	DELETER BIS	5 101-40	丰	<u> </u>		二						 	
13- 103	10-1-4	告	1	×	Borevale * 3 Preservative: HNC	•			×		<u> </u>		<u> </u>			<u> </u>	<u></u>	
		1	1	1			<u> </u>			_		<u> </u>	_	<u> </u>			.	
			1	1.							L		<u> </u>				<u> </u>	
	1	1	†	1							! _	<u> </u>	1					
	 		╁	╅╌				1	T	T							<u> </u>	
	-{		╁	1-		-	1	-		1							<u> </u>	
	 	+	╌	-			-	1	1	1		1	1				<u> </u>	
P	1	(Same	从—		Date / Time F	leceived by: (Signatur	11/1/1	> 1	linqui MU Ter	KC	かして	ne	•	. 1	Time PM 2:10	Received by	entke	1 / T &
		Kovel : Isgain		ba	PM	Sawent			linqui						/Time	Received by	f: (Signature)	
elinqui	shed by	: IS-grot	ure)			Received for Laborat Signature)	orA pA:		D	ate /	lime		Rem	nay ks				



			•		•	€. {0 ~ } 5∪85∪	+92 RFACE IN	(T25)	16 <i>K</i> T	101/	 / CH	RoM	●	1400 MAK AU	● . Emeryviue, (450E
		NS	• [CHRCMEY	c subs	CHA UR FACE	AIN OF CUS	ANA	I ITSE	COF				7//		
Ta	uk G1		CRAE A				HEDIA	100						DETECTION	TURN-AROUND	SUSPECTED CONTAMINAN
			××	preservent	102 : H	<u> </u>	e long	×							STANDARD .	CHEOMWIN
روروه	18.0		< K	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH		THE LEVEL OF	Cround		D	÷ ()E	76		=			
				Control So.	ende	6	water								CTANDARD	CHEOMIUM
			^ ×	Preserve	ا دار	No.			×		-					Ciliadintori
D=1+42	53		₹ ×	Borevole-	h 3 (meithe		255 10 4 - 4 -		×							
						•										
		_														
t	0	PL PALE		11140	77		X11111	A Y	17JU	C G	m	he		1	PM	: 18 gratures TA
			_					Ret				کنے ہیں جاریہ	_		Received by	: (Separtura)
	DATE:	DATE TIME 13:57 10-1-92 13:57 10-	DATE TIME 8 11-1-92 13:57 10-1-92 10:59 10-1-92 10:57 10-1-92 10:57 10-1-92 10:57	DATE TIME 8 8 3 1 1 -1-92 13:57 X 10-1-92 10:59 X 10-1-92 10:57 X 10-1-	PROJECT N CHRC MEY THE B S SM II -1-92 13:57 X Cantrol & Preserved DATE TIME B S SM II -1-92 13:57 X Cantrol & Preserved DOTE TO X BOTE STORY TO X BOTE STORY DOTE TO X BOTE STORY TO	PROJECT NAME CHROMEX SUBSTITUTESTICATION THE STATILE IN TOTAL CAPTURE IN THE STATILE IN THE STA	PROJECT NAME CHROMEX SUBSULE FACE THURSTIGHTION SE SEPRETURE THE SE SEPRETURE THE SE SHIPLE LOCATION DATE THE SE SEPRETURE CONTROL GENERAL SEPRETURE LOCATION DATE THE SE SEPRETURE CONTROL GENERAL SEPRETURE LOCATION PRESERVATIVE: HCQ LOCATION THE SEPRETURE CONTROL GENERAL SEPRETURE CONTROL GENERAL SEPRETURE LOCATION THE SEPRETURE CONTROL GENERAL SEPRETURE CONTROL GENERAL SEPRETURE CONTROL GENERAL SEPRETURE LOCATION THE SERVENT SEPRETURE CONTROL GENERAL SEPRETURE CONTROL G	PROJECT NAME CHAIN OF CUST PROJECT NAME CHACKEY SUBSUL FACE THURSTICK TION RS REpreture THUK GIFTER, TEVATED, INC. DATE TIME 8 5 SAMPLE LOCATION HEDIA BATE TIME 8 5 SAMPLE LOCATION HEDIA LOTTER 10:59 X CONTROL STRUCK HCQ LOTTER 10:59 X BOTE VOIC BT LOTTER 10:59 X BOT	PROJECT NAME CHAIN OF CUSTOD PROJECT NAME CHACKERY SUBSULFACE THURST THE STATE AT TOWN DATE TIME STATE AT TOWN PROJECT NAME CHACKERY DATE TIME STATE SAMPLE LOCATION HEDIA PROJECT NAME CHACKERY PROJECT NAME CHACKERY DEPOSITION TOWN PROJECT NAME CHAIN OF CUSTODY RE CHAIN OF CUSTODY RE ASMITSE CHACK PREX SUBSIDE FACE THURST IGATION BY REPRODUCT THE B S SAMPLE LOCATION HEDIA SAMPLE FORMAL HE SAMPLE LOCATION HEDIA SAMPLE FORMAL HE SAMPLE	PROJECT NAME CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECOR PROJECT NAME CHACMEX SUBSUR FACE THOUSE THE B B SAMPLE LOCATION DATE THE B B SAMPLE LOCATION HEDIA FOR PROJECT HALL DATE THE B B SAMPLE LOCATION HEDIA FOR PROJECT HALL DATE THE B B SAMPLE LOCATION HEDIA FOR PROJECT HALL DATE THE B B SAMPLE LOCATION HEDIA FOR PROJECT HALL DATE THE B B SAMPLE LOCATION HEDIA FOR PROJECT HALL F	PROJECT NAME CHACK SUBSUC FACE THURST CHACK SUBSUC FACE THURST IGATION BY SAMPLE IOCATION DATE TIME 8 8 S SAMPLE IOCATION HEDIA SOCIAL CONTROL OF CHACK IN 1-91 10:59 X SOCIAL CHACK PROJECT NAME CHACK SUBSUC FACE THURST IN SUBSUC FACE TH	CPL TRANS PROJECT NAME CHACAGE SUBSULE FACE THURST IGATION ASALTSES RED'D BATE TIME 8 8 S SAMPLE LOCATION DATE TIME 8 8 S SAMPLE LOCATION DATE TIME 8 8 S SAMPLE LOCATION HEDIA SUBSULE 1355 > Y Control, Screenist and Location Date 1355 > Y Control, Screenist and Location Society of the Location of the Location Society of the Location of th	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD CELTRANS PROJECT NAME CHACKEY SUBSUL FACE THURST GARTIE JOAN BATH THE B S S SAMPLE LOCATION HEDIA JOHN 10:59 X SOCIAL STEEL SAMPLE LOCATION HEDIA JOHN 10:59 X SOCIAL STEEL SAMPLE LOCATION JOHN 10:59 X SOCIAL STEEL SAMPLE SAMPLE LOCATION JOHN 10:59 X SOCIAL STEEL SAMPLE	PROJECT NAME CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD PROJECT NAME CHACK SUSSIC FACE THURST IN ATTOM THE B S SAMPLE LOCATION DETECTION IN A CONTROL SUSSIC FACE THURST IN ATTOM DETECTION IN A CONTROL SUSSIC FACE THURST IN ATTOM DETECTION IN A CONTROL SUSSIC FACE THE B S SAMPLE LOCATION HEDIA DETECTION IN A CONTROL SUSSIC FACE THE B S SAMPLE LOCATION HEDIA DETECTION IN A CONTROL SUSSIC FACE THE B S SAMPLE LOCATION HEDIA DETECTION IN A CONTROL SUSSIC FACE THURST DETECTION IN A CONTROL SUSSIC FACE THURST CONTROL SUSSIC FACE THURS	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD PROJECT NAME CARCAGO'S BASSON FACE THOUSE I GATION SERVINE THE B S SAMPLE LOCATION HEDIA DATE TIME B SAMPLE LOCATIO	



SUBSURFACE THUESTIGATION / CHROMEX 1400 PARK AUE EMERYVILLE,

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD PROJECT NAME AHAI.YSES CHYCOMER SUBSURFACE EXCELTRANS KIXO, D THUESTIGATION WIPLERS IS motored Frank Groffie, Terratach, Inc. HAME DATE TIME & TURN-AROUND SUSPECTED HEDIA DETECTION SAMPLE LOCATION TIME CONTAMINANT J.THIT BORTHOLE # 1; TWO GET deep S.T.U.T. BI-2/ 10-1-92 9117 5016 Chromium Borehole # 1; five feet deep B1-5' 10-1-92 9:22 SeiL Borehole# | ten fret dece SolL BI - 103,000 94 9128 Borchole \$2 : one four deap 83-1/107-94 10:36 COLL Borehole #2; five fret deep B2-51 10 1-92 10:44 SOIL X porchole \$2; ten frot deep 02-10 10 11-14 10:40 SOIL x Borehole #3; one foot deep Soil B3-1/10-1-92 11:44 63-5 10-1- FU 11:51 Borehole #3 , five feet deep SOIL Borehole #3; ten feet deep B3-10/101-94 11:55 SOIL Control, Borehole # 0; one foot BD-11 10-1-9 12:56 5016 80-51 10-1-14 13:03 x (ontrol, Borehola #0; five feet SOLL Control Borehole #0; ten feet 80-10/10-1-743:08 SOLL Received by: Is a war A/ Date / Time Relinquished by: (Symme) throughed by: (Samewell Received by: (Squaeure) 10-1-92 2:10 tenateen. Inc Muk Grothe Received by: /Signature/ Date / Time Bahaquished by: (Signatural throughed by: (Symmet Date / Time Received by: (Signame) Remarks P/L, GWO, GW1, GW3-1-500ml HHOS and 2-40ml HCI each -89/een; B1, B2, B3, B0-1-BT each Y-5, elinquished by: (Speaker) Received for Laboratory by: Dane / Time Date / Tume المسيد وحثكا



October 15, 1992

Ms. Mary Boyd Excel Trans, Inc. P.O. Box 866 Benicia, California 94510-0866

Dear Ms. Boyd:

Trace Analysis Laboratory received one water sample and two soil samples on September 22, 1992 for your project No. 072027, Chromex Subsurface Investigation (our custody log number 2518).

These samples were analyzed for Priority-13 Metals. Our analytical report and the completed chain of custody form are enclosed for your review.

Trace Analysis Laboratory is certified under the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program. Our certification number is 1199.

If you should have any questions or require additional information, please call me.

Sincerely yours,

Jennifer Pekol

Project Specialist

Enclosures

RECEIVED OCT 2 2 1992

LOG NUMBER:

2518

DATE SAMPLED:

09/22/92 09/22/92

DATE RECEIVED:

DATE EXTRACTED: DATE ANALYZED:

10/04/92 and 10/06/92

10/05/92, 10/06/92 and 10/07/92

DATE REPORTED:

10/15/92

CUSTOMER:

Excel Trans, Inc.

REQUESTER:

Mary Boyd

PROJECT:

No. 072027, Chromex Subsurface Investigation

·			Sample	Type:	Water	•	
		SW-		Metho	d Blank	QC_Sun	
Method and <u>Constituent</u> :	<u>Units</u>	Concen- tration	Reporting <u>Limit</u>	Concen- tration	Reporting <u>Limit</u>	% <u>Recovery</u>	% RPD
EPA Method 7041:							
Antimony	ug/1	ND	650	ND	650	101	*
EPA Method 7060:							
Arsenic	ug/1	ND	5.0	ND	5.0	107	*
EPA Method 7090:							
Beryllium	ug/l	ND	5.0	ND	5.0	108	*
EPA Method 7130:							
Cadmium	ug/l	ND	10	ND	10	101	*
EPA Method 7190:							
Chromium	ug/1	2,500	50	ND	50	91	*
EPA Method 7210:							
Copper	ug/1	ND	200	ND	200	98	*
EPA Method 7420:							
Lead	ug/1	ND	100	ND	100	95	14
EPA Method 7471:							
Mercury	ug/1	ND	1.0	ND	1.0	95	*

Concentrations reported as ND were not detected at or above the reporting limit.

The RPD is not reportable since the sample prepared in duplicate was not detectable.

LOG NUMBER:

2518

DATE SAMPLED:

09/22/92 09/22/92

DATE RECEIVED: DATE EXTRACTED:

10/04/92 and 10/06/92

DATE ANALYZED:

10/05/92, 10/06/92 and 10/07/92

DATE REPORTED:

10/15/92

PAGE:

Two

		Sample Type: Water											
	Method and		Concen-	W-1 Reporting	<u>Metho</u> Concen-	d Blank Reporting	QC Summary						
	Constituent:	<u>Units</u>	tration	<u>Limit</u>	<u>tration</u>	Limit	Recovery	<u>RPD</u>					
	EPA Method 7520: Nickel	ug/l	ND	300	ND	300	98	*					
	EPA Method 7741: Selenium	ug/l	ND	5.0	ND	5.0	90	*					
	EPA Method 7760: Silver	ug/l	ND	10	ND	10	104	*					
(EPA Method 7841: Thallium	ug/l	ND	100	ND	100	124	*					
	EPA Method 7950: Zinc	ug/l	ND	50	ND	50	93	3.1					

Concentrations reported as ND were not detected at or above the reporting limit.

^{*} The RPD is not reportable since the sample prepared in duplicate was not detectable.

LOG NUMBER:

2518

DATE SAMPLED:

09/22/92 09/22/92

DATE RECEIVED: DATE EXTRACTED: DATE ANALYZED:

10/12/92, 10/14/92 and 10/15/92 10/13/92, 10/14/92 and 10/15/92

DATE REPORTED:

10/15/92

PAGE:

Three

		·		Sample	Type:	Soil
	Method and Constituent:	<u>Units</u>	SO-1 Concen- tration	Reporting Limit	SO-2 Concen- tration	Reporting Limit
	EPA Method 7041: Antimony	ug/kg	ND	14,000	ND	14,000
	EPA Method 7060: Arsenic	ug/kg	12,000	120	18,000	120
	EPA Method 7090: Beryllium	ug/kg	190	120	180	120
`.	EPA Method 7130: Cadmium	ug/kg	/ ND	250	260	250
	EPA Method 7190: Chromium	ug/kg	540,000	1,200	1,300,000	1,200
	EPA Method 7210: Copper	ug/kg	20,000	5,000	23,000	5,000
	EPA Method 7420: Lead	ug/kg	7,000	2,500	26,000	2,500
	EPA Method 7471: Mercury	ug/kg	68	50	130	50

LOG NUMBER:

2518

DATE SAMPLED:

09/22/92 09/22/92

DATE RECEIVED:

DATE EXTRACTED: DATE ANALYZED:

10/12/92, 10/13/92 and 10/14/92 10/13/92, 10/14/92 and 10/15/92

DATE REPORTED:

10/15/92

PAGE:

Four

,		Sample Type: Soil											
			\$0)-1	\$0	-2	Metho	d Blank					
	Method and Constituent:	<u>Units</u>	Concen- <u>tration</u>	Reporting <u>Limit</u>	Concen- tration	Reporting Limit	Concen- tration	Reporting Limit					
	EPA Method 7520: Nickel	ug/kg	38,000	7,500	39,000	7,500	ND	7,500					
	EPA Method 7741: Selenium	ug/kg	ND	120	ND	120	ND	120					
	EPA Method 7760: Silver	ug/kg	ND	250	ND	250	ND	250					
) \ }	EPA Method 7841: Thallium	ug/kg	ND	3,800	ND	3,800	NĐ	3,800					
	EPA Method 7950: Zinc	ug/kg	110,000	1,200	150,000	1,200	ND	1,200					

Concentrations reported as ND were not detected at or above the reporting limit.

LOG NUMBER:

2518

DATE SAMPLED:

09/22/92

DATE RECEIVED:

09/22/92

DATE EXTRACTED:

10/12/92, 10/14/92 and 10/15/9 10/13/92, 10/14/92 and 10/15/9

DATE ANALYZED: DATE REPORTED:

10/15/92

PAGE:

Five

	Sample Type:		le Type:	Soil	
Method and Constituent:	<u>Units</u>	Metho Concen- tration	d Blank Reporting Limit	QC Summ % Recovery	ary % RPD
EPA Method 7041: Antimony	ug/kg	ND	14,000	94**	*
EPA Method 7060: Arsenic	ug/kg	ND	120	98**	3.3
EPA Method 7090: Beryllium	ug/kg	ND	120	70	4.3
EPA Method 7130: Cadmium	ug/kg	ND	250	88	14
EPA Method 7190: Chromium	ug/kg	ND	1,200	123	1.2
EPA Method 7210: Copper	ug/kg	ND	5,000	78	1.1
EPA Method 7420: Lead	ug/kg	ND	2,500	75	12
EPA Method 7471: Mercury	ug/kg	ND	50	103	8.7

Concentrations reported as ND were not detected at or above the reporting limit.

^{*} The RPD is not reportable since the sample prepared in duplicate was not detectable. ** The Recovery is for the Laboratory Control Sample, due to interference in the spiked sample.

LOG NUMBER:

2518

DATE SAMPLED:

09/22/92

DATE RECEIVED: DATE EXTRACTED: 09/22/92

10/12/92, 10/13/92 and 10/14/92 10/13/92, 10/14/92 and 10/15/92

DATE ANALYZED: DATE REPORTED:

10/15/92

PAGE:

Six

		Sample Type: Soil							
			Method Blank		QC Summary				
	Method and Constituent:	<u>Units</u>	Concen- tration	Reporting <u>Limit</u>	% Recovery	% RPD			
	EPA Method 7520: Nickel	ug/kg	ND	7,500	83	1.9			
	EPA Method 7741: Selenium	ug/kg	ND	120	90**	*			
	EPA Method 7760: Silver	ug/kg	ND	250	50**	*			
	EPA Method 7841: Thallium	ug/kg	ND	3,800	89	*			
	EPA Method 7950: Zinc	ug/kg	ND	1,200	73	1.5			

Concentrations reported as ND were not detected at or above the reporting limit.

* The RPD is not reportable since the sample prepared in duplicate was not detectable.

** The Recovery is for the Laboratory Control Sample, due to interference in the spiked sample.

Louis W. DuPuis

Quality Assurance/Quality Control Manager

elinquished by: (Signature)

Date / Time

Received for Laboratory by:

[Signature]

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD PROJECT NAME CHROMEX SUBSURFACE THUEST GATION 0720]7 ANALYSES **EXCELTRANS** RFQ'D IPLERS IS product Mary L. Bord HPIE DATE TIME SUSPECTED TURN-AROUND HEDIA DETECTION SAMPLE LOCATION SMIT CONTAMENANT 1.1HIT X SE corner of vault Chrome 9-22-9L AM STANDARD. SOIL chreme NW corner of vault 501 STANDARD Chrom center + west edge of STANDARD WATER Received by: Isomorural Date / Time Relinquished by: (Signature) sunguished by: (Signature) Date / Time Received by:/(Signature) 9/22/92 2:08 9-22-92 1.48pm May L. Bord Date / Time Received by: (Signature) Relanquished by: (Signature) :linquished by: (Signature) Date / Time Received by: (Signature) Remarks 1-402, 1-402, 1-850 ml, /2e White 4 4-4 Date / Time



P.O. #92-10590

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD PROJECT NAME CHROMEX SUBSURFACE TNUEST GATION 072017 ANAI.YSES REQ'D LIPLERS IS protuct Mary d. Good HEDIA DETECTION TURN-AROUND SUSPECTED SAMPLE LOCATION AMPLE DATE THE LIHIT CONTAMINANT SHIT X SE corner of var It 9-22-16 400 Ch come 5016 501 STANDARD. chreme NW corner of vault 5-22-12 912 L 502 5 = 1 [_ STANDARD center + west edge of Chrome 11-22-97 4145 WATER STANDACTO Received by: Isonaturel Thi Date / Time elinquished by: (Signature) Date / Time Received by:/(Signature) Relinquished by: (Signature) 9-22-92 1:48 pm 9/22/92 Z:08 May L. Box clinquished by: (Signature) Date / Time Received by: 15-granual Received by: (Signature) Relunquished by: (Signature) Date / Time tlinguished by: (Signature) P/U, 1-402, 1-402, 1-850 ml, 2c White 4 4-4 Date / Time Received for Laboratory by: Date / Time (Signature)