

TEXACO REFINING AND MARKETING INC. 100 CUTTING BOULEVARD RICHMOND, CA 94804

April 6, 1990

Ms. Dyan Whyte
San Francisco Regional Water
Quality Control Board
1111 Jackson Street, Room 6000
Oakland, CA 94607

Dear Ms. Whyte:

Enclosed is a copy of our Quarterly Technical Report dated March 30, 1990 for our former Texaco Service Station located at 2200 East 12th Street in Oakland, California.

Please call me at (415) 236-1770 if you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

R.R. Zielinski

Field Environmental

Supervisor

RRZ:pap

Enclosure

cc: Mr. Rafat Shahid Alameda County Environmental Health Department 80 Swan Way, Room 200 Oakland, CA 94621 A Report Prepared for

Texaco Refining and Marketing Inc. 100 Cutting Boulevard Richmond, California 94804

QUARTERLY TECHNICAL REPORT FOURTH QUARTER OF 1989 FORMER TEXACO STATION 2200 EAST 12TH STREET OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

HLA Job No. 2251,112.03

3/30/90

by

Leonard K. George

Senior Engineer

Randolph Stone

Associate Hydrogeologist

MO. 1214
CERTIFIED
ENGINEERING
GEOLOGIST

OF CALIFORNIA

Harding Lawson Associates 1355 Willow Way, Suite 109 Concord, California 94520 415/687-9660

March 30, 1990

INTRODUCTION

This quarterly technical report (QTR) presents the results of site investigation and remediation activities conducted by Harding Lawson Associates (HLA) at a service station site formerly owned by Texaco Refining and Marketing Inc. at 2200 East 12th Street, Oakland, California (see Plate 1). Currently, Exxon U.S.A. owns and operates the station. HLA's work at the site, ongoing since June 1988, is summarized in this report; the recent quarter's work, including additional borings, is described below.

The information in this QTR is presented in the following subsections:

- Site description
- Hydrogeologic setting
- Summary of previous work
- Work performed during the fourth quarter of 1989
- · Discussion of results.

SITE DESCRIPTION

The site is on the southeast corner of the intersection of East 12th Street and 22nd Avenue (see the Site Plan, Plate 2). The surrounding area consists of commercial/retail businesses, including a Shell Oil Company (Shell) service station immediately across 22nd Avenue (Plate 3). The site is bordered on the west by East 12th Street, on the north by 22nd Avenue, and on the east by a building occupied by a mattress manufacturer. Adjacent to

the site on the south is a parcel owned by M.C.B. Industries, which is currently used for automobile storage.

The site is relatively flat, sloping gently southwest toward East 12th Street and the Brooklyn Basin Tidal Canal (Plate 1). Surface elevation at the site is approximately 20 feet above Mean Sea Level, and drainage is toward East 12th Street. This area has been extensively developed, and surface water runoff is mainly controlled by the municipal storm sewer system.

At the station, leaded and unleaded gasoline are dispensed and automotive repair services are provided. Structures include a building, three fuel pump islands, one underground waste oil tank, and three underground fuel storage tanks.

HYDROGEOLOGIC SETTING

The East Bay Plain has been divided into seven groundwater subareas, defined by the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) on the basis of areal differences (i.e., faults and geologic conditions). The site lies within the Oakland Upland and Alluvial Plain subarea. The groundwater reservoir is made up of the Alameda and Temescal Formations, along with the Merritt Sand, with an aggregate thickness of more than 1,100 feet. Regional groundwater flow direction is west-southwest, toward San Francisco Bay.

Most uses of groundwater in the East Bay Plain are related to irrigation or industrial needs; the majority of domestic water

is supplied by the East Bay Municipal Utility District (EBMUD) from surface sources.

Subsurface conditions at the site to the maximum depth explored (20 feet), indicate that soils generally consist of unconsolidated, stiff, sandy clay (CL), interbedded with occasional silty sand and gravel lenses. During HLA's investigation, groundwater was initially encountered between 11 and 13 feet below grade, stabilizing in the wells at approximately 6.5 feet below grade.

The tops of well casings were surveyed to a temporary datum located at the western end of the dispenser island nearest the underground storage tanks with an assumed elevation of 100.0 feet (HLA datum, see Plate 2). Well monitoring and survey data are presented in Table 1. The general direction of groundwater flow is to the west-northwest, with a gradient of 0.004 foot per foot, as shown on the Groundwater Surface Map, Plate 4. Estimates of the hydraulic conductivity of the slightly confined shallow soils range from 0.4 to 0.5 foot per day.

SUMMARY OF PREVIOUS WORK

HLA's Investigation

Since May 1988, HLA has investigated soil and groundwater conditions at this site. To date, the investigation has consisted of three sequential phases, results of which were presented in reports issued on the following dates:

Sensitive Receptor Study May 24, 1988

2. Subsurface Investigation July 20, 1988

3. Environmental Assessment September 19, 1989

Soil-gas Survey

In September 1988, a soil-gas survey was conducted to evaluate the lateral extent of petroleum hydrocarbons in soil and groundwater. Soil-gas probes were driven at 13 locations on site and in streets near the site (Plate 5).

The soil-gas testing is conducted using a mobile van. A hollow steel probe is driven 6 to 10 feet into the ground, and a vacuum pump attached to the above-ground end of the probe.

Immediately upon extraction, soil-gas and/or water samples are analyzed with a portable gas chromatograph for concentrations of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX), and for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH).

Results of analyses, summarized in Table 2, represent the relative weight of BTEX and TPH found in a 1-liter volume of the sample matrix (soil gas or groundwater). Soil-gas probe locations and associated TPH values are shown on Plate 5. Groundwater samples were obtained from Probes 2 and 10 and from MW-9A.

Soil Borings

HLA explored subsurface conditions on and off site by drilling and sampling 19 soil borings between June 1988 and December 1989. Eight of the borings were completed as monitoring wells (MW-9A through MW-9H). Boring locations are shown on Plate 2.

The borings were advanced using truck-mounted, hollow-stem auger drilling equipment, and sampled using a 2.5-inch-diameter (I.D.), Sprague and Henwood (S&H), split-barrel sampler lined with three, 6-inch-long, stainless steel tubes. Drilling was performed under the direction of an HLA field geologist, who logged the borings.

Soil samples were screened in the field for volatile organic vapors. Selected samples were preserved and transported under chain-of-custody protocol to ChemWest Analytical Laboratories, Inc. (ChemWest), in Sacramento, California. They were analyzed for BTEX and TPH as gasoline (see Table 3).

Water Quality Sampling

Each monitoring well was developed, sampled, and surveyed by an HLA technician within two weeks after installation. All monitoring wells are resampled periodically, using the following procedures.

Groundwater samples are collected from each well with a clean, stainless steel bailer. A representative sample is decanted into laboratory-prepared, 40 milliliter, volatile organic analysis (VOA) vials after at least three well volumes have been purged. The vials are immediately sealed; placed in a cooler with ice; transported under chain-of-custody protocol to ChemWest; and analyzed for BTEX content. Results of samplings to date are presented in Table 4.

Aquifer Hydraulic Testing

HLA performed hydraulic testing in MW-9B and MW-9E in February 1989. A volume (slug) of water was removed from each well using a centrifugal suction pump. A pressure transducer, placed near the bottom of the well, was used to measure water level recovery following slug withdrawal. The output of the transducer was recorded by a data logger for subsequent analysis. The most permeable stratum adjacent to the screen in the saturated zone was classified as hydraulically confined or unconfined by comparing well water levels with the well boring logs. Slug test results are presented in Table 5.

WORK PERFORMED DURING THE FOURTH QUARTER OF 1989

HLA drilled five additional borings (SB-12, SB-13, SB-14, SB-15, and SB-16 as indicated on Plate 2) to collect one soil sample from each boring for TPH and BTEX analysis. The results aided in delineating TPH and BTEX contamination in the vadose zone. Groundwater samples were collected from the eight monitoring wells (MW-9A through MW-9H) for BTEX analysis. HLA also continued to prepare the remedial plan for this site.

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Vadose-zone Soil Condition

The vadose-zone area in which detectable concentrations of petroleum products are found (in soils and soil gas) is closely

associated with the pump islands. Except in Borings SB-4 and MW-9E, concentrations of TPH in vadose-zone soil samples previously obtained, and the five samples recently obtained, are less than 100 parts per million (ppm). Relatively high concentrations of BTEX and TPH were detected in soil-gas samples from Probe Locations SG-1 and SG-3.

Groundwater Condition

Shallow groundwater in the site vicinity contains detectable quantities of BTEX. Laterally, the extent of BTEX in the groundwater is well delineated and appears to be extending downgradient, toward utility lines in East 12th Street and 22nd Avenue. The bottom of the storm drain in East 12th Street is approximately 8.5 feet below grade, approximately 2 feet below the water table. The recent groundwater sampling and analysis results indicated that groundwater conditions in five wells (MW-9A, MW-9C, MW-9D, MW-9F, and MW-9H) remained unchanged from previous sampling where BTEX was not detected, BTEX concentration increased slightly in one well (MW-9E) from 1.3 parts per billion (ppb) to 3.6 ppb and BTEX concentrations decreased in two wells MW-9B and MW-9G from 90.3 ppb to 4.1 ppb and from 0.8 ppb to below detection limit, respectively.

LIST OF TABLES

Table	1	Well Monitoring and Survey Data
Table	2	Results of Soil-gas Survey
Table	3	Results of Soil Analyses
Table	4	Results of Groundwater Analyses
Table	5	Slug Test Results

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Plate	1	Site Location
Plate	2	Site Plan
Plate	3	Vicinity Plan
Plate	4	Groundwater Surface Map
Plate	5	Soil-gas Probe Locations

Table 1. Well Monitoring and Survey Data

Well	Top of Casing Elevation* (feet)	Depth to Groundwater**(feet)	Groundwater Surface Elevation+ (feet)
MW-9A	100.07	7.25	92.82
MW-9B	98.41	6.14	92.27
MW-9C	99.73	6.99	92.74
MW-9D	101.46	8.40	93.06
MW-9E	98.41	5.70	92.71
MW-9F	96.96	6.07	90.89
MW-9G	98.51	6.01	92.50
MW-9H	97.14	8.35	88.79

Notes:

- * Elevation relative to HLA temporary benchmark located at the western corner of the dispenser island nearest the underground storage tanks, with an arbitrary elevation of 100.0 feet (see Plate 2).
- ** Depth to groundwater on October 12, 1989.
- Groundwater surface elevation = top of casing elevation depth to water.

Table 2. Results of Soil-gas Survey Conducted on September 20, 1988 Concentrations in micrograms per liter ($\mu g/L$)

Sample	Depth (ft)	Benzene	Ethyl- benzene	Toluene	Xylenes	Total Petroleum <u>Hydrocarbons</u>
Air	N/A	<0.8	<0.8	<0.7	<0.8	<0.8
SG-01	5.0	320,000	620	1	2,200	700,000
WS-02	5.0	12,000	<80	<73	<80	25,000
SG-03	4.0	32,000	<8	<28,000	800	96,000
SG-04	5.0	<0.8	<0.8	<0.7	<0.8	<0.8
AP-WM	6.0	<76	<80	<73	<80	<76
SG-05	2.0	<0.8	<0.8	<0.7	<0.8	<0.8
SG-06						
SG-07						
sg-08	5.0	<0.8	<0.8	<0.7	<0.8	<0.8
SG-09	6.0	<0.8	<0.8	<0.7	<0.8	<0.8
WS-10	6.0	<76	<80	<73	<80	<76
SG-11	4.0	<0.8	<0.8	<0.7	<0.8	<0.8
SG-12	5.0	<0.8	<0.8	<0.7	<0.8	<0.8
SG-13	5.0	<0.8	<0.8	<0.7	<0.8	23
Air	N/A	<0.7	<0.8	<0.8	<0.8	<0.7

-- = Not able to obtain sample

N/A = Not applicable

Air = ambient air sample

Table 3. Results of Soil Analyses
Concentrations in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg)

Sample Number	Depth (ft)	<u>Benzene</u> ;	Ethyl- <u>Benzene</u> 2	<u>Toluene³</u>	<u>Xvlenes</u> 3	TPH as 4 Gasoline
SB-1	4.8	0.30	ND	0.2	ND	ND
B-9-1	5.0	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
B-9-1	9.0	ND	ND	ND	ND	NĎ
B-9-1	12.0	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
B-9-2	5.0	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
B-9-2	9.0	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
B-9-2	10.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
B-9-2	13.0	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
SB-4	4.0	1.0	2.3	0.9	5.8	160
SB-4	9.0	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
SB-5	4.0	0.33	ND	ND	ИĎ	ND
SB-5	9.0	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
SB-6	5.0	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
SB-6	5.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
SB-7	4.0	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
SB-7	8.5	ND	ND	ИĎ	ND	ND
SB-8	5.5	0.43	ND	ND	ND	ND
SB-8	9.0	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
SB-9	4.0	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
SB-9	9.0	ND	0.4	ND	1.1	39
SB10-1	5.0	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
SB10-2	10.0	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
SB11-1	5.0	ND	ND	0.1	ND	ND
SB11-2	10.0	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
SB-12	5.0	0.09	0.07	0.2	009	11 (1.0)
SB-13	5.0	ND	ND	0.1	ND	1.7 (1.0)
SB-14	5.0	ND	ND	ND	ND	3.5 (1.0)
SB-15	5.0	0.07	ND	ND	ND	6.3 (1.0)
SD-16	5.0	0.21	.08	ND	ND	9.0 (1.0)
MW-9D	6.0	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-9B	10.5	ND	ND	ND	ИD	ND
MW-9E	5.5	ND	18	ND	ND	1900
MW-9E	9.0	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-9G	4.0	ND	ND	0.2	ND	ND

ND = Not detected.

Detection limit 0.05 mg/kg except as noted in parentheses.

Detection limit 0.2 mg/kg except as noted in parentheses.

³ Detection limit 0.1 mg/kg except as noted in parentheses.

Detection limit 10 mg/kg except as noted in parentheses.

Table 4. Results of Groundwater Analyses Concentrations in micrograms per liter ($\mu g/L$)

EPA TEST METHOD 602

Well <u>Number</u>	Date <u>Sampled</u>	Benzene	<u>Ethylbenzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Xylenes
MW-9A MW-9A MW-9A	06/13/88 10/24/88 10/13/89	ND ND ND	ND ND ND ¹	ND ND ¹	ND ND ND ²
MW-9B	06/13/88	350	66	7.8	160
MW-9B	10/24/88	84	3.1	ND	3.2
MW-9B	10/13/89	4.1	ND ¹	ND ¹	ND ²
MW-9C	06/13/88	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-9C	10/28/88	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-9C	10/13/89	ND	ND ¹	ND ¹	ND ²
MW-9D	10/24/88	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-9D	10/13/89	ND	ND ¹	ND ¹	ND ²
MW-9E	10/24/88	1.3	ND	ND1	ND
MW-9E	10/13/89	1.5	2.1 ¹		ND ²
MW-9F	12/06/88	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-9F	10/13/89	ND	ND ¹	ND ¹	ND ²
MW-9G	12/06/88	0.8	ND	ND ¹	ND
MW-9G	10/13/89	ND	ND ¹		ND ²
MW-9H	12/06/88	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-9H	10/13/89	ND	ND ¹	ND ¹	ND ²
Detection	on limits	0.5	2.0	1.0	1.0


ND = Not detected

¹ Detection limit = 0.5

² Detection limit = 3.0

Table 5. Slug Test Results

Well <u>Number</u>	Lithology of Tested Zone	Thickness of Zone (feet)	Estimated Hydraulic Conductivity of Zone (feet/day
MW-9B	Clayey sand	2.5	0.42
MW-9E	Sandy clay with gravel	13.0	0.52





Harding Lawson Associates Engineers and Geoscientists

Site Location


Former Texaco Service Station 2200 East 12th Street Oakland, California

JOB MUMBER 2251,112,03 DRAWN

APPROVED


DATE 6/89 HEVISED

DATE



 DRAWN
 JOB NUMBER
 APPROVED
 DATE
 REVISED
 DATE

 YC
 2251,112.03
 G
 6/89
 1/90



Monitoring Well (2" diameter)

Feet

Reference: Pacific Aerial Surveys March 30 1988 Photograph




Harding Lawson Associates


Engineers and Geoscientists

Vicinity Plan 2200 East 12th Street

Former Texaco Service Station Oakland, California

APPRIOVED DATE REVISED CRAWN JOB NUMBER YC 2251,112.03 1/89







Engineers and Geoscientists

Former Texaco Service Station 2200 East 12th Street

Oakland, California APPROVED

6/89

PEYISED

DATE

YC

TB WUMBER 2251,112.03

DISTRIBUTION

Texaco Refining and Marketing Inc. 3 copies:

100 Cutting Boulevard

Richmond, California 94804 Attention: Mr. R. R. Zielinski

Texaco Refining and Marketing Inc. 1 copy:

10 Universal City Plaza

Universal City, California 91608 Attention: Mr. Gene Freed

Texaco Refining and Marketing Inc. 1 copy:

10 Universal City Plaza

Universal City, California 91608 Attention: Mr. Robert Robles

LKG/RS/pkp 031254M/R35

QUALITY CONTROL REVIEWER

Stephen J / Osborne

Principal Engineer