RECEIVED

By Alameda County Environmental Health 8:56 am, Aug 30, 2017

June 8, 2017

To: Ms. Kit Soo, P.G., Senior Hazardous Materials Specialist

Alameda County Environmental Health Care Services Agency

Department of Environmental Health 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250

Alameda, California 94502

Re:

Acknowledgement Statement

Vapor Intrusion Assessment Report

Bill Chun Service Station 2301 Santa Clara Avenue Alameda, California 94501

SLIC # RO0382

Geotracker Global ID # T0600100980

"I have read and acknowledge the content, recommendations and/or conclusions contained in the attached document or report submitted on my behalf to ACDEH's FTP server and the SWRCB's GeoTracker website."

Carolyn C. Fong, Frustee
Carolyn C. Fong, Trustee

Claimant: Lily Angela Chun 1991 Living Trust

711 E. Hermosa Drive

San Gabriel, California 91775



June 7, 2017 Project No. 401896004

Ms. Kit Soo Alameda County Environmental Health Care Services Agency Department of Environmental Health 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, California 94502

Subject: Vapor Intrusion Assessment Report

Bill Chun Service Station 2301 Santa Clara Avenue Alameda, California Fuel Leak Case #RO0382

GeoTracker Global ID #T0600100980

Dear Ms. Soo:

On behalf of Ms. Carolyn C. Fong, Trustee, Lily A. Chun 1991 Trust, Ninyo & Moore has prepared this Vapor Intrusion Assessment Report for the Bill Chun Service Station located at 2301 Santa Clara Avenue in Alameda, California (site; Figure 1). The immediate site vicinity is shown on Figure 2 and the site plan including well locations and remediation system location is shown on Figure 3. This Report was prepared to document the implementation of Ninyo & Moore's Vapor Intrusion Assessment Work Plan (Work Plan) dated February 3, 2017 which was requested in an Alameda County Department of Environmental Health (ACDEH) email dated October 31, 2016. The Work Plan presented a survey of sensitive receptors and an evaluation of underground utilities in the site vicinity. Presented below are our field activities and procedures for performing this soil vapor investigation and an assessment of current soil vapor conditions at the site and site vicinity. The Work Plan was conditionally approved in a directive letter from ACDEH dated March 17, 2017.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	SITE DESCRIPTION	1
	BACKGROUND	
3.	SOIL VAPOR ASSESSMENT 3.1. Pre-Field Activities 3.2. Soil Sampling and Soil Vapor Well Installation 3.3. Soil Vapor Sampling	2 2
4.	LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS AND SCREENING LEVELS 4.1. Soil Analytical Results	6
5.	SAMPLE QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL (QA/QC)	8
6.	SUMMARY	9
7.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	10
8.	LIMITATIONS AND EXCEPTIONS	11
RE	EFERENCES	14

Figures

Figure 1 – Site Location Map

Figure 2 – Site Vicinity Map

Figure 3 – Site Plan with Soil Vapor Sampling Locations

Figure 4a-g – Soil Vapor Well Construction Diagrams

Tables

Table 1 – Soil Analytical Results: TPH as gasoline

Table 2 – Soil Vapor Analytical Results: Benzene, Ethylbenzene and Naphthalene,

and Fixed Gases Oxygen, Methane, and Helium

Appendices

Appendix A – Soil Vapor Sampling Datasheets

Appendix B –Laboratory Analytical Reports

1. SITE DESCRIPTION

The site is located at 2301 Santa Clara Avenue in the City and County of Alameda, California (Figure 1). The rectangular lot measures approximately 85 feet long by 40 feet wide. The site is occupied by a small vacant kiosk, a canopy, and a garage. The site is located in a mostly commercial area with some residential buildings, and is bordered by Oak Street to the northwest, a meeting hall and residences to the northeast and east, a retail store to the southeast (formerly Towata Flowers), and by Santa Clara Avenue to the southwest. The site vicinity is presented on Figure 2, with the site plan and adjacent properties presented on Figure 3.

2. BACKGROUND

The site is a former gasoline service station, and has been the subject of subsurface assessments, remedial actions, groundwater monitoring, and closure petitions since 1993, when three underground storage tanks (USTs) were removed. The site is listed as a Leaking Underground Storage Tank (LUST) facility in the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) GeoTracker database and as a Leaking Underground Fuel Tank (LUFT) and Spills, Leaks, Investigation and Cleanup (SLIC) facility in the ACEH database.

3. SOIL VAPOR ASSESSMENT

Locations of the borings for soil sampling and soil vapor probe installation are shown on Figure 3. Location NMB-3B was intended to evaluate impacts near the northern site boundary. Locations NMB-6B and NMB-9B were intended to evaluate impacts near the eastern site boundary adjacent to the building at 2305 Santa Clara Avenue. Location NMB-11B was intended to evaluate impacts on the eastern side of the 2305 Santa Clara Avenue building which contains a slab on grade foundation. Location NMB-13 was intended to evaluate impacts beneath the north-adjacent 1510 Oak Street building. One soil boring (NMB-13) was advanced within the 1510 Oak Street building's basement which contains a concrete slab foundation that is approximately 4 feet below the surrounding grade. Location NMB-14 was advanced on the east side of the 2305 Santa Clara Avenue property to evaluate impacts at the boundary of the 2305 Santa Clara Avenue

and 2309 Santa Clara Avenue properties, both of which have slab on grade foundations. The locations of NMB-3B, NMB-6B, NMB-9B and NMB-11B correspond to locations where soil vapor samples have been collected in the past.

The ACDEH requested an additional soil vapor sample to be collected adjacent to groundwater monitoring well MW-12 in their March 2017 letter, and Ninyo & Moore added soil vapor probe number NMB-15 at this location. The ACDEH also requested that an air sample be collected in the crawl space accessed from the basement of the 1510 Oak Street property and near the location of NMB-13. This sample was collected and identified as crawl space indoor air sample IA-1.

3.1. Pre-Field Activities

Prior to field activities, the following pre-field activities were conducted:

- Ninyo & Moore conducted a site visit to inspect and mark-out the boring locations for the proposed soil vapor probes, and provided Underground Service Alert (USA) notification as required by California law.
- A drilling permit was obtained from the Alameda County Public Works Agency (ACPWA).

3.2. Soil Sampling and Soil Vapor Well Installation

On April 25, 2017, Ninyo & Moore contracted with VTS, LLC. (VTS) of Hayward, California, a State of California licensed C-57 drilling subcontractor to advance and install seven soil vapor borings at the site (Figure 3). Soil vapor boring locations NMB-3B, NMB-6B, NMB-9B, NMB-11B, and NMB-13 through NMB-15 were advanced by VTS using a 2.5-inch diameter hand auger under the guidance of a Ninyo & Moore field geologist to a depth of approximately 5.5 feet below ground surface (bgs). Soil samples were collected at 2.5 feet below ground surface and at 5.0 feet bgs. The sample collected from soil vapor boring NMB-11B at 5.0 feet bgs could not be analyzed because the sample container did not retain enough soil. Soil vapor boring location NMB-13 was advanced in the basement of the

1510 Oak Street property to approximately 4.0 feet below the bottom of the basement slab. The auger was not advanced deeper than four feet below slab because groundwater was encountered. Soil samples were collected from 2.5 and 4.0 feet below the slab.

Soil samples were collected in United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) Method 5035 approved containers supplied by the analytical lab, placed in dedicated resealable containers and placed in an ice-filled cooler for transport to the analytical laboratory and analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPHg) by US EPA Method 8260B.

Subsequent to soil sample collection the borings were converted to soil vapor monitoring wells by installing ½-inch diameter Teflon tubing and 1-inch long stainless steel micro-pore soil vapor screens set at various depths near the bottom of the borings. The soil vapor sample probe screen assembly was installed in the soil borings with a one-foot sand pack of 2/12 Monterey sand constructed around the screens at the appropriate depths. Soil vapor screen placement for each soil vapor well varied slightly depending on soil moisture conditions. In general, approximately 0.5 feet of dry bentonite seal was installed immediately above the sand pack and hydrated bentonite was emplaced as a seal from the dry bentonite seal to approximately 0.5-feet bgs. The wells were completed with traffic rated well boxes in concrete. Because groundwater was encountered at a shallower depth (4 feet below the slab) than the other borings, soil vapor well NMB-13 was completed to 3.0 feet below the basement slab, with the screen placed at 2.5 feet below the slab. This soil vapor well was constructed with sand between 2.0 to 3.0 feet, 0.5 feet of dry bentonite between 1.5 to 2.0 feet, and hydrated bentonite between 0.5 to 1.5 feet below the slab.

Wet soils encountered in soil vapor borings NMB-3B and NMB-9B may have been caused by the remediation system injection water and are likely not a sign that the stable groundwater elevation is 5 feet bgs in these locations.

A Soil Vapor Well Construction Diagram for each Vapor Well is included as Figures 4a-g.

3.3. Soil Vapor Sampling

On April 27, 2017, soil vapor sampling was conducted at the Site from the soil vapor monitoring wells by a Ninyo & Moore Professional Geologist. For each sample location, a 1-liter Summa[©] canister was collected for analysis of benzene, ethylbenzene, and naphthalene by United States EPA Method TO-15, and fixed gases oxygen, methane, and tracer gas helium by American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Method D-1946.

For US EPA Method TO-15 and ASTM Method D-1946 sampling, stainless steel sampling manifolds were connected to the vapor wells, consisting of Swagelok[®] ball valves, using Teflon tubing and Swagelok[®] fittings. Vapor samples were collected using 1-liter Summa[®] vacuum canisters. Pre-sample purging was performed using a 6-liter Summa[®] vacuum canister. The manifolds, filters, gauges, flow controllers and Summa[®] canisters were supplied by a state-certified laboratory. The flow controller was pre-set by the laboratory to allow approximately 150 milliliters per minute (mL/min) flow rate.

Before the manifold was connected to the vapor sampling well with Teflon tubing, a shut-in test was performed by opening the purge canister. At the onset of the shut-in test the initial vacuum and time was recorded on vapor sampling data sheets included as Appendix A. The shut-in test continued for a minimum of two minutes. If the vacuum pressure remained constant, the test was considered successful (leak free). No leaks were detected during the shut-in tests.

Prior to collecting samples, a purge volume of the collection manifold and Teflon[®] tubing was calculated and one volume was purged. A combined tubing and manifold length of 8 feet was assumed for the purge volume calculation of the approximately five feet bgs vapor monitoring probes, respectively. The purge volume was calculated to be approximately 478 mL which is equivalent to a drop in Summa[®] canister vacuum pressure of approximately 2.39 in. Hg for the approximately 5 feet bgs vapor monitoring probes. The purge volume was monitored by the change in pressure. The purge beginning time, initial purge canister

vacuum, end time, and final vacuum were recorded on vapor sampling data sheets included as Appendix A.

Subsequent to purging, the purge canister valve was closed and the sample canister valve opened to begin sample collection. A tracer-gas shroud was placed over the sample train and helium gas was pumped into the shroud for the duration of sample collection in order to test for leaks in the remaining fittings and the well head integrity. Helium concentrations within the shroud were monitored by utilizing a helium meter and maintaining a minimum of 20% helium in the shroud for the duration of sampling. The sample collection was monitored by change in pressure in the sample canister. The sampling start time, initial sample canister vacuum, end time, and final vacuum were recorded on the vapor sampling data sheets. Sample canister valves were closed when the remaining vacuum was approximately -5 in. Hg. Sample canisters were not allowed to reach 0 in. Hg, which would indicate that no vacuum remained in the canister. Soil vapor samples were collected into 1-Liter Summa[©] canisters at a flow rate of approximately 150 milliliters per minute. Soil vapor sampling datasheets are included as Appendix A.

During soil vapor sampling at location NMB-13, groundwater was observed in the sample tubing and therefore this sample could not be analyzed.

As stated, an additional sample was collected at the request of the ACDEH in the crawl space accessed from the basement at 1510 Oak Street. Ninyo & Moore collected an 8-hour crawl space indoor air sample (sample IA-1) in a 6-Liter Summa[©] canister using a flow controller set to approximately 12.6 milliliters per minute. The IA-1 canister was set in the crawl space at 07:30 in the morning, and was collected approximately 8-hours later at approximately 15:25, just prior to collection of the adjacent NMB-13 soil vapor sample. Because elevated concentrations of TPHg were observed during the installation of NMB-13, the IA-1 indoor air sample was removed from the 1510 Oak Street crawl space prior to opening the nearby NMB-13 well box (which was sealed during construction) in order to prevent any possible cross-contamination of the indoor air.

Subsequent to sample collection, the Summa[®] canisters were identified with the sample number, canister pressures or flow rate, location, date, time, and analytical methods, and placed into packing boxes with completed chain-of-custody documentation and transported to the analytical laboratory. Summa[®] samples were not chilled to prevent condensation of the collected gases.

4. LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS AND SCREENING LEVELS

Soil samples were analyzed by TestAmerica Laboratories Inc. (TestAmerica) of Pleasanton, California. Soil vapor samples and the crawl space indoor air sample were analyzed by McCampbell Analytical laboratory (McCampbell) in Pittsburgh, California. Soil and soil vapor analytical results were compared to the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board's (RWQCB) Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank (UST) Case Closure Policy, media-specific criteria 2 (petroleum vapor intrusion to indoor air), scenario 4. The crawl space indoor air sample result was compared to the Environmental Screening Level (ESL), for shallow soil, direct exposure human health risk levels (Table S-1); dated February 2016; established by the RWQCB.

4.1. Soil Analytical Results

Soil samples were analyzed by TestAmerica for TPHg by US EPA Method 8260B. Soil analytical results are presented in Table 1, and TestAmerica Certified Laboratory Analytical Report is presented in Appendix B. The results of the soil sample analysis include the following:

• In two of 13 soil samples (NMB-13-2.5 and NMB-13-4.0), TPHg was reported at concentrations greater than laboratory reporting limits at concentrations of 12,000 and 8,600 milligrams per kilogram (mg/Kg), respectively. The reported detections exceeded the RWQCB Low-Threat UST Case Closure Policy value of 100 mg/kg for criteria 2, scenario 4. This boring was located in the basement of the adjacent building at 1510 Oak Street and was installed in a 4 foot below grade basement slab. TPHg was not detected above the laboratory reporting limit in any of the remaining soil samples.

4.2. Soil Vapor Analytical Results

Soil vapor samples and the crawl space indoor air sample were analyzed by McCampbell for benzene, ethylbenzene and naphthalene by US EPA Method TO-15, and fixed gases (i.e., oxygen, methane and the tracer gas helium) by ASTM Method D-1946. Soil vapor analytical results are included in Table 2, and the McCampbell certified laboratory analytical report is included in Appendix B. The laboratory analytical results are discussed below.

Soil vapor analytical results were compared to the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board's Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank (UST) Case Closure Policy, media-specific criteria 2 (petroleum vapor intrusion to indoor air), scenario 4. Soil vapor and crawl space indoor air analytical results as Fixed Gases and select VOCs are presented in Table 2 and summarized below.

- The tracer gas Helium was detected in 2 of the 7 analyzed soil vapor samples (NMB-11B and NMB-14) at concentrations of 1.9 and 0.25%. Average helium concentrations within the tracer-gas shroud were documented as 27.9% and 25.0%, respectively during the sampling procedure. Calculations of percent ambient air leakage are thus 6.8% and 0.01% for samples NMB-11B and NMB-14. This indicates that the soil vapor probe assembly and/or well completion may have been slightly compromised for sample NMB-11B, and as a result the sample may have been slightly diluted. The NMB-14 sample is within acceptable limits and is considered valid. A calculated leakage of 5% or less is considered acceptable according to the California EPA/RWQCB document Advisory: Active Soil Gas Investigations dated July 2015.
- Oxygen was detected at concentrations below the atmospheric percentage of 20.946% in all samples. Concentrations of oxygen at levels approaching the atmospheric concentrations may be indicative of atmospheric intrusion into soil vapor samples from the surface, however, these concentrations were not observed in any samples. Oxygen was detected at concentrations above 4% in all samples except NMB-6B. Oxygen concentrations greater than 4% may be indicative of a bio-attenuation zone.
- Methane was detected at concentrations above the atmospheric percentage of 0.000179% in soil vapor probe samples NMB-3B and NMB-6B, and in the crawl space sample IA-1. Concentrations of methane at levels above that of the earth's atmosphere may be indicative of the degradation of petroleum hydrocarbons and VOCs in the subsurface. In addition, the methane concentrations are below 10% of the lower explosive limits (LEL) for methane (0.5% by volume).

- Benzene was detected in four soil vapor samples at concentrations ranging from 12 μg/m³ (NMB-14) to 500 μg/m³ (NMB-3B), and was several orders of magnitude below both the residential and Commercial RWQCB Low-Threat UST Case Closure Policy screening levels for benzene. Benzene was also detected in the crawl space indoor air sample IA-1 at a concentration of 1.1 μg/m³ which is above the commercial/Industrial ESL for Indoor Air; Direct Exposure Human Health Risk Levels (Table IA-1) established by the RWQCB, February 2016.
- Ethylbenzene was detected in three samples at concentrations ranging from 15 μ g/m³ (NMB-14) to 1,500 μ g/m³ (NMB-3B), which were several orders of magnitude below the RWQCB Low-Threat UST Case Closure Policy screening levels. Ethylbenzene was not detected above the laboratory reporting limit in the crawl space indoor air sample IA-1.
- Naphthalene was detected at concentrations ranging from 8.9 $\mu g/m^3$ (NMB-9B) to 28 $\mu g/m^3$ (NMB-3B), which were several orders of magnitude below the Low Threat Closure screening levels. Naphthalene was also detected in the crawl space indoor air sample at a concentration of 0.57 $\mu g/m^3$ which is above the commercial/Industrial ESL for Indoor Air of 0.36 $\mu g/m^3$ for naphthalene.

5. SAMPLE QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL (QA/QC)

The analytical data obtained from the sampling activities were assessed for QA/QC in order to ensure that the data met the requirements for its intended use. QA/QC procedures were implemented during the sampling to assure that the project's data quality objectives (DQOs) were achieved so that the sample, analysis and reporting activities provide data that are accurate, precise, representative and legally defensible.

This project's QC/QA tasks included maintaining appropriate field documentation, and sample collection following standard environmental sampling and handling methodology, including use of all dedicated soil vapor sample train equipment, precluding decontamination between sample locations. QC samples such as duplicates and equipment blanks were not warranted for this limited investigation.

Helium was used as a tracer gas in the soil vapor sampling shroud, which completely surrounded the wellhead and soil vapor sample train. Helium was detected in just one of the analyzed soil vapor samples at 6.8% in NMB-11B which is slightly above the 5% permissible limit. This

indicates that the soil vapor sample train and all wellhead components maintained integrity during the soil vapor sampling and that no leakage of ambient air or sample dilution occurred, with the exception of NMB-11B.

Ninyo & Moore reviewed and confirmed the analytical laboratory reports. All samples were submitted in accordance with the US EPA analytical procedures and analyzed within their respective holding times.

Two soil sample extractions NMB-6B-2.5 and NMB-9B-2.5 were diluted and had elevated reporting limits due to significant carry-over from previous runs in the batch. However reporting limits were below screening levels and so this data was useful and considered valid.

Soil vapor sample NMB-6B had a surrogate recovery outside of control limits due to matrix interference, and the sample was diluted due to a cluttered chromatogram and due to high organic content. Therefore elevated reporting limits for this sample yielded an unusable result. No other significant analytical testing problems were encountered. No significant issues were identified by the labs on their internal QC samples.

Based on this review, Ninyo & Moore concludes that the projects DQOs have been achieved with the above noted qualifiers, and the reported analytical data is acceptable for use.

6. SUMMARY

On April 25, 2017, Ninyo & Moore mobilized to the Site to begin a limited soil vapor investigation with the installation of soil vapor wells NMB-3B, NMB-6B, NMB-9B, NMB-11B, and NMB-13 through NMB-15. Fourteen soil samples were collected and submitted for analysis of TPHg. Sample NMB-11B-5.0 did not retain enough soil in the sample container to perform the sample analysis. TPHg was detected at concentrations exceeding the RWQCB Low-Threat UST Case Closure Policy screening level in the two samples collected in boring NMB-13 at 2.5 and 4.0 feet below the basement slab and beneath the 1510 Oak Street building. These two samples were moist to wet due to shallow groundwater conditions



On April 27, 2017 soil vapor samples were collected from the seven soil vapor wells installed. . Due to shallow groundwater conditions, soil vapor probe NMB-13 was installed at 2.5 feet below the basement slab and, during sample collection groundwater was observed in the sample-train and as a result the sample could not be analyzed. Laboratory analyses of the remaining soil vapor samples detected low concentrations of benzene, ethylbenzene, and naphthalene above laboratory reporting limits, but below the RWQCB Low-Threat UST Case Closure Policy screening levels. Analysis of fixed gases did detect the tracer gas helium in one sample (NMB-11B) at slightly greater than permissible concentrations, indicating that the soil vapor sample train or wellhead construction contained a leak, did not maintain integrity, or the soil vapor well short-circuited with the atmosphere. In either case, minor sample dilution did occur.

The reported greater than-atmospheric concentrations of methane indicated that the breakdown of VOCs and TPHg constituents is occurring. Concentrations of methane were below 10% of the LEL for methane (equivalent to 0.5% methane by volume) which indicates that there is not a potential explosive hazard due to methane.

Indoor air samples collected from the crawl space at 1510 Oak Street indicated that benzene (reported at $1.1~\mu g/m^3$) and naphthalene (reported at $0.57~\mu g/m^3$) vapors exceeded their respective RWQCB Indoor Air Commercial/Industrial ESL. However, based on documented studies, the average background concentrations of benzene in outdoor urban air from eight cities indicate a benzene concentration of $1.09~\mu g/m^3$ may be considered typical (McCarthy et. al., 2006). In addition, background indoor concentrations of naphthalene range from 0.18 to 1.7 according to a review of 21 studies performed between 1985 and 2006 (Jia and Batterman, 2010). Therefore, the concentrations of benzene and naphthalene reported in the crawl space may be related to ambient conditions.

7. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The Ninyo & Moore vapor intrusion study for the Chun facility indicates that the site meets the criteria outlined in the Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank Case Closure Policy for vapor

intrusion with the exception of the high concentrations of TPHg in the soil samples collected from NMB-13. Because these samples were likely impacted by contaminants in groundwater, the results are not representative of vadose zone soils on site.

Because of the difficulty of collecting an unsaturated soil vapor sample beneath the 1510 Oak street building, Ninyo & Moore recommends the installation of a sub-slab soil vapor probe and the collection of a sub-slab soil vapor sample in this location. We also recommend re-sampling the NMB-11B soil vapor well where detections of tracer gas exceeded permissible limits, which indicates possible sample dilution.

The soil vapor wells should be retained and resampled again in the future, if needed.

8. LIMITATIONS AND EXCEPTIONS

The environmental services described in this report have been conducted in general accordance with current regulatory guidelines and the standard-of-care exercised by environmental consultants performing similar work in the project area. No warranty, expressed or implied, is made regarding the professional opinions presented in this report. Variations in site conditions may exist and conditions not observed or described in this report may be encountered during subsequent activities. Please also note that this study did not include an evaluation of geotechnical conditions or potential geologic hazards.

Ninyo & Moore's opinions and recommendations regarding environmental conditions, as presented in this report, are based on limited subsurface assessment and chemical analysis. Further assessment of potential adverse environmental impacts from past on-site and/or nearby use of hazardous materials may be accomplished by a more comprehensive assessment. The samples collected and used for testing, and the observations made, are believed to be representative of the area(s) evaluated; however, conditions can vary significantly between sampling locations. Variations in soil, soil-gas and/or groundwater conditions will exist beyond the points explored in this evaluation.

The environmental interpretations and opinions contained in this report are based on the results of laboratory tests and analyses intended to detect the presence and concentration of specific chemical or physical constituents in samples collected from the subject site. The testing and analyses have been conducted by an independent laboratory which is certified by the State of California to conduct such tests. Ninyo & Moore has no involvement in, or control over, such testing and analysis. Ninyo & Moore, therefore, disclaims responsibility for any inaccuracy in such laboratory results.

Our conclusions, recommendations, and opinions are based on an analysis of the observed site conditions. It should be understood that the conditions of a site could change with time as a result of natural processes or the activities of man at the subject site or nearby sites. In addition, changes to the applicable laws, regulations, codes, and standards of practice may occur due to government action or the broadening of knowledge. The findings of this report may, therefore, be invalidated over time, in part or in whole, by changes over which Ninyo & Moore has no control.

This document is intended to be used only in its entirety. No portion of the document, by itself, is designed to completely represent any aspect of the project described herein. Ninyo & Moore should be contacted if the reader requires any additional information, or has questions regarding content, interpretations presented, or completeness of this document.

This report is intended exclusively for use by the Lily Chun 1991 Living Trust. Any use or reuse of the findings, conclusions, and/or recommendations of this report by parties other than the Lily Chun 1991 Living Trust is undertaken at said parties' sole risk.

= Sino

We appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter report, and look forward to working with you on future projects.

McFARLAND

No. 7984

Respectfully submitted, NINYO & MOORE

Forrest McFarland, PG 7984 Senior Environmental Geologist Peter D. Sims Project Manager

FSM/KML/vmn

Attachments: References

Figure 1 – Site Location Map Figure 2 – Site Vicinity Map

Figure 3 – Site Plan with Soil Vapor Sampling Locations Figure 4a-g – Soil Vapor Well Construction Diagrams

Table 1 – Soil Analytical Results: TPH as gasoline

S. PROF

Table 2 – Soil Vapor Analytical Results: Benzene, Ethylbenzene and Naphthalene,

and Fixed Gases Oxygen, Methane, and Helium

Appendix A – Soil Vapor Sampling Datasheets Appendix B –Laboratory Analytical Reports

Distribution: (1) Addressee


(2) Lily Angela Chun 1991 Living Trust

REFERENCES


DTSC/RWQCB, 2015, Advisory – Active Soil Gas Investigations, dated July.

- Jia, Chunrong and Batterman, Stuart, 2010, A Critical Review of Naphthalene Sources and Exposures Relevant to Indoor and Outdoor Air.
- McCarthy et.al., 2006, Background Concentrations of 18 Air Toxics for North America, Michael C. McCarthy, Hilary R. Hafner & Stephen A. Montzka; Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association, 56:1, 3-11, DOI: 10.1080/10473289.2006.10464436
- Ninyo & Moore, 2017, Vapor Intrusion Assessment Work Plan Bill Chun Service Station. 2301 Santa Clara Avenue, Alameda, California; Fuel Leak Case #RO0382; dated February, 3.

RWQCB, 2012, Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank Case Closure Policy, dated August 17.



REFERENCE: METRO AREAS OF ALAMEDA, CONTRA COSTA, MARIN, SAN FRANCISCO, SAN MATEO, AND SANTA CLARA COUNTIES, THOMAS GUIDE, 2008.




<i>Minyo</i> & Moore		SITE LOCATION	
PROJECT NO.	DATE	2301 SANTA CLARA AVENUE	1
401896004	3/17	ALAMEDA, CALIFORNIA	







<i>Ninyo</i> & Moore		SITE VICINITY	
PROJECT NO.	DATE	2301 SANTA CLARA AVENUE	2
401896004	3/17	ALAMEDA, CALIFORNIA	_



Soil Vapor Well Construction Diagram: NMB-3B







NOT TO SCALE

Ninyo s	Moore	TEMPORARY SOIL VAPOR WELL CONSTRUCTION DIAGRAM	FIGURE
PROJECT NO. DATE		Former Bill Chun Service Station	⊣ 4a
401896004	5/17	2301 Santa Clara Avenue Alameda, California	

Soil Vapor Well Construction Diagram: NMB-6B







NOT TO SCALE

Ninyo &	Moore	TEMPORARY SOIL VAPOR WELL CONSTRUCTION DIAGRAM	FIGURE 4b
PROJECT NO. DATE		Former Bill Chun Service Station	40
401896004	5/17	2301 Santa Clara Avenue Alameda, California	

Soil Vapor Well Construction Diagram: NMB-9B







NOT TO SCALE

Ninyo s	Moore	TEMPORARY SOIL VAPOR WELL CONSTRUCTION DIAGRAM	FIGURE
PROJECT NO. DATE		Former Bill Chun Service Station	4c
401896004	5/17	2301 Santa Clara Avenue Alameda, California	

Soil Vapor Well Construction Diagram: NMB-11B







NOT TO SCALE

Ninyo s	Moore	TEMPORARY SOIL VAPOR WELL CONSTRUCTION DIAGRAM	FIGURE 4d
PROJECT NO. DATE		Former Bill Chun Service Station	4 0
401896004	5/17	2301 Santa Clara Avenue Alameda, California	

Soil Vapor Well Construction Diagram: NMB-13







Shallow groundwater encountered at 4.0 feet: Backfill to 3.0 feet below ground surface

NOT TO SCALE

Ninyo &	Moore	TEMPORARY SOIL VAPOR WELL CONSTRUCTION DIAGRAM	figure 4e
PROJECT NO. DATE		Former Bill Chun Service Station	46
401896004	5/17	2301 Santa Clara Avenue Alameda, California	

Soil Vapor Well Construction Diagram: NMB-14







NOT TO SCALE

Ninyo	Moore	TEMPORARY SOIL VAPOR WELL CONSTRUCTION DIAGRAM	figure 4f
PROJECT NO.	DATE	Former Bill Chun Service Station	4 1
401896004	5/17	2301 Santa Clara Avenue Alameda, California	

Soil Vapor Well Construction Diagram: NMB-15





NOT TO SCALE

Ninyo	Moore	TEMPORARY SOIL VAPOR WELL CONSTRUCTION DIAGRAM	FIGURE
PROJECT NO.	DATE	Former Bill Chun Service Station	4g
401896004	5/17	2301 Santa Clara Avenue Alameda, California	

TABLE 1. SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS: TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS GASOLINE				
	Date	Concentrations in mg/kg		
Sample ID	Sampled	TPHg		
NMB-3B-2.5	4/25/2017	ND<0.220		
NMB-3B-5.0	4/25/2017	ND<0.210		
NMB-6B-2.5	4/25/2017	ND<22		
NMB-6B-5.0	4/25/2017	ND<0.210		
NMB-9B-2.5	4/25/2017	ND<22		
NMB-9B-5.0	4/25/2017	ND<0.210		
NMB-11B-2.5	4/25/2017	ND<0.210		
NMB-11B-5.0	4/25/2017	NA ¹		
NMB-13-2.5	4/25/2017	12,000		
NMB-13-4.0	4/25/2017	8,600		
NMB-14-2.5	4/25/2017	ND<0.220		
NMB-14-5.0	4/25/2017	ND<0.200		
NMB-15-2.5	4/25/2017	ND<0.210		
NMB-15-5.0	4/25/2017	ND<0.190		
Screening Criteria				
RWQCB Low Threat Clos	ure Criteria	100		

Notes:

mg/Kg - milligrams per kilogram

TPHg - total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline; analyzed by US EPA Method 8260B NA - Not analyzed

(1) - Soil sample NMB-11B-5.0 was not analyzed because insufficient soil was recovered at this depth in the sampler.

ND<X – not detected at a concentration greater than the laboratory reporting limit of X RWQCB Low Threat Closure Criteria, San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board's Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank (UST) Case Closure Policy, media-specific criteria 2 (petroleum vapor intrusion to indoor air), scenario 4.

Bold type - indicates an exceedance of ESLs



		Fixed	Gases in percer	t (%)	Volatile (Organic Compound	s (μg/m3)
Well ID	Date Sampled	Helium (Tracer gas for leak testing)	Oxygen	Methane	Benzene	Ethylbenzene	Naphthalene
NMB-3B	4/27/2017	ND<0.050	13	0.0012	500	1,500	28
NMB-6B	4/27/2017	ND<0.050	1.2	0.20	ND<2,000	ND<2,000	ND<2,000
NMB-9B	4/27/2017	ND<0.050	16	ND<0.00020	15	72	8.9
NMB-11B	4/27/2017	1.9	13	ND<0.00020	21	ND<440	ND<53
NMB-13 ¹	4/27/2017	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
NMB-14	4/27/2017	0.25	17	ND<0.00020	12	15	ND<5.3
NMB-15	4/27/2017	ND<0.050	15	ND<0.00020	ND<8.0	ND<220	ND<26
IA-1 ²	4/27/2017	ND<0.004	16	0.0075	1.1	ND<0.70	0.57
Screening Criteria							
WQCB Low Threat Closure	Residential Criteria	NE	NE	NE	85,000	1,100,000	93,000
WQCB Low Threat Closure	Commercial Criteria	NE	NE	NE	280,000	1,600,000	310,000
tmospheric composition of t	he earth in % ³	0.000524	20.946	0.000179	NE	NE	NE
ndoor Air Commercial/Indus	trial ESL ²	NE	NE	NE	0.42	4.9	0.36

Notes:

μg/m³ - micrograms per cubic meter

TPHg - total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline; analyzed by US EPA Method TO-15

ND<X - not detected at a concentration greater than the laboratory reporting limit of X

NA - Not analyzed

NE - Not established

RWQCB Low Treat Closure, Scenario 4, Commercial ESL

ESL - Direct Exposure Human Health Risk Level (Table IA-1) for Commercial/Industrial Properties; established by the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board, dated February 2016 (revision 3)

RWQCB - San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board

RWQCB Low Threat Closure Residential Criteria; San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board's Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank (UST) Case Closure Policy, media-specific criteria 2 (petroleum vapor intrusion to indoor air), scenario 4.

RWQCB Low Threat Closure Commercial Criteria; San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board's Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank (UST) Case Closure Policy, media-specific criteria 2 (petroleum vapor intrusion to indoor air), scenario 4.

- (1) Compared to Commercial/Industrial ESL for Indoor Air; Direct Exposure Human Health Risk Levels (Table IA-1) established by the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board, dated February 2016 (revision 3)
- (2) Sample canister contained free liquid and could not be analyzed
- (3) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Atmosphere_of_Earth

Bold type - indicates an exceedance of ESLs

APPENDIX A SOIL VAPOR SAMPLING DATASHEETS

			Client: hyn	1 251	1	D	ate 4/28/	
1	14B-9B		Project Number:	0,896	804	6.1		
		Site Location: 2301 Senta Clara, Alarmeda						
			Field Personnel Type of Probe and Advance	cement Method				
maneum	2730 Shadelands Dr. Walnut Cr T 925.946.3100 F 510.350.998	eek, CA 8 www.itsi.com	5	V/HA				
	Sample ID	Sample Info / Times	Comments		Duplicate Sample ID	Duplicate Info / Times	Comments	
	Canister Serial No.	6406-793						
ala	Flow Controller Serial No.	316.770			-			
cample para	Sample Depth (Ft.)	5'						
5	Tubing length	8'	-					
	Purge Volume and Rate	3" Hs						
	Calculated Duration of Purge (3 tubing volumes)	3"Hz						
IO-MILITALE VACAUIII-DOID 1631	Time Sample-Train Vacuum- Hold Test Begins	8812						
	Initial Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-29"						
1	Time Sample-Train Vacuum- Hold Test Ends	0816						
1	Duration of Vacuum-Hold Test	4 min						
	Final Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-29"						
2	Time Beginning of Purge	0827	-27"	- 5-2	6n		10000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 10	
N N	Time End of Purge	0830						
	Actual Duration of Purge	3 min						
	Initial Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-3911						
	Time Canister Opened	0830						
	Measured Helium % initial				T			
	2 min.	23.7	35 min.		2 min.	'	35 min.	
6	4 min.	37.1	40 min.		4 min.		40 min.	
	6min.	72.5	45 min.		6min.		45 min.	
000	8min.		50 min.		8min.		50 min.	
n lar	10 min.		55 min.		10 min.		55 min.	
Sample conection and maker cas monitoring	15 min.		60 min.		15 min.		60 min.	
Ollect	20 min.		min.	_	20 min.		min.	
and a	25 min.		min.		25 min.		min.	
0	30 min.		min.		30 min.		min.	
	Comments	0.2	min.		Comments		min.	
	Time Canister Closed	0816						
	Final Canister Pressure (inches Hg)	750						
	Time of Sample Collection	6836						

	0	0	Client: Chus	101996	004		Date 7/27
	1)146-6	R	Project Number: Site Location:	· V ,	· Clare A	1.00 A1.	1
/	U I I W	1_	Site Location: 45	TEM	r Clare A	ve have	The state of the s
	2720 Shadalanda Dr. Walnut Co	rook CA	Type of Probe and Advan	ncement Method	d	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	2730 Shadelands Dr. Walnut Cr T 925.946.3100 F 510.350.998			SV/ H	A	-	
	Sample ID	Sample Info / Times	Comments		Duplicate Sample ID	Duplicate Info / Times	Comments
	Canister Serial No.	7577-	875				
	Flow Controller Serial No.	316-134	32				
	Sample Depth (Ft.)	5					
	Tubing length	81					
	Purge Volume and Rate	501/6					
	Calculated Duration of Purge (3 tubing volumes)	3"11=					
	Time Sample-Train Vacuum- Hold Test Begins	8859					
	Initial Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-275					
	Time Sample-Train Vacuum-	7959					
	Duration of Vacuum-Hold Test	Zmins					
	Final Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	~27.5					
_	Time Beginning of Purge	0902	-27.5	-	-24.5	l,	
	Time End of Purge	0906			21.0		
	Actual Duration of Purge	4 min					
	Initial Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-31"					
	Time Canister Opened	0706					
	Measured Helium % initial	9		1			
	2 min.	2.7.3	35 min.		2 min.		35 min.
	4 min.	26.7	40 min.		4 min.		40 min,
	6min.	24.3	45 min.		6min.		45 min.
	8min.	2,2.0	50 min.		8min.		50 min.
	10 min.		55 min.		10 min.		55 min.
	15 min.		60 min.		15 min.		60 min.
	20 min.		min.		20 min.		min.
	25 min.		min.		25 min.		min.
	30 min.		min.	15	30 min.		min.
	Comments		min.		Comments		min.
	Time Canister Closed	6914					
	Final Canister Pressure (inches Hg)	-411					
	Time of Sample Collection	2001					

		- ^	Client: Chun				Date 4/2.9///
	N)MB-	28	Project Number:		004		-///-
/	VIVO		Site Location: 25	1 Jan1	c Clare,	Alamed	A
			Field Personnel Type of Probe and Advance	ement Method	J		
	2730 Shadelands Dr. Walnut C T 925.946.3100 F 510.350.99		5	11/14	1		
	T 925.946.5100 F 510.350.996	bb www.itsi.com		0/01	<i>i</i>	T	
	Samela ID	Comple Info / Times	0				
	Sample ID	Sample Info / Times	Comments		Duplicate Sample ID	Duplicate Info / Times	Comments
	Canister Serial No.	1531-879					
Sample Data	Flow Controller Serial No.	318-1358					
ample	Sample Depth (Ft.)	-4'					
Š	Tubing length	7'					
	Purge Volume and Rate	3"45					
	Calculated Duration of Purge (3 tubing volumes)	3"4					
Test	Time Sample-Train Vacuum- Hold Test Begins	0931					
-Hold	Initial Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-25"					
acuun	Time Sample-Train Vacuum- Hold Test Ends	0933					
ute V	Duration of Vacuum-Hold Test	Zmin					
10-Minute Vacuum-Hold Test	Final Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-25"					
	Time Beginning of Purge	0935	-25	"-3.	-22		
Purge	Time End of Purge	2940					
ш.	Actual Duration of Purge	5 min					
	Initial Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-31					
	Time Canister Opened	0990					
	Measured Helium % initial	Ø					
	2 min.	25.9	35 min.		2 min.	,	35 min.
ring	4 min.	24,0	40 min.		4 min.		40 min.
Nonito	6min.	21.9	45 min.		6min.		45 min.
Gas	7 smin.	20.4	50 min.		8min.		50 min.
Tracer	10 min.	70/	55 min.		10 min.		55 min.
and '	15 min.	-	60 min.		15 min.		60 min.
ection	20 min.		min.		20 min.		min.
Sample Collection and Tracer Gas Monitor	25 min.		min.		25 min.		min.
Samp	30 min.		min.		30 min.		min.
	Comments		min.		Comments		min.
	Time Canister Closed	1917					
	Final Canister Pressure	241					
	(inches Hg) Time of Sample Collection	5017					
	Notes:	074/					
	Calculating Purge Volume: I	ength of tube (ft.) x 5.5 c	cc/linear foot (1/4" OD Te	flon Tube)			

Soil Vapor Sample Collection Data

4	^		client: Chee	01591	254		Date 7/3//
MM	18-1		-	018960	lley		
101			Site Location:	MES IT	lley		
			Field Personnel Type of Probe and Advan	cement Method			
	lelands Dr. Walnut C 3100 F 510,350.998		5	U/ HA	1		
			THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON OF T		T		
	Sample ID	Sample Info / Times	Comments		Duplicate Sample ID	Duplicate Info / Times	Comments
Cani	ister Serial No.	7528-874					
Flow Co	ontroller Serial No.	316-717					
Sam	ple Depth (Ft.)	51					
Tu	ubing length	81					
Purge \	Volume and Rate	3"//=					
Calculate (3 tu	d Duration of Purge ibing volumes)	3" Hg					
	nple-Train Vacuum- d Test Begins	1015					
Initial (Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-31"					
Time San	nple-Train Vacuum-	1017		100 Sept. 100 Se			
Duration o	of Vacuum-Hold Test	2 min					
	Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-31"					
Time B	eginning of Purge	1018	-3/	J -> -	284		
Time	e End of Purge	1023					
Actual I	Duration of Purge	5 min					
Initial (Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-30					
Time C	Canister Opened	1023					
Measure	ed Helium % initial	Ø					
	2 min.	29,9	35 min.		2 min.	,	35 min.
	4 min.	27,7	40 min.		4 min.		40 min.
	6min.	26.8	45 min.		6min.		45 min.
	8min.	25.6	50 min.		8min.		50 min.
	10 min.		55 min.		10 min.		55 min.
	15 min.		60 min.		15 min.		60 min.
	20 min.		min.		20 min.		min.
	25 min.		min.		25 min.		min.
	30 min.		min.		30 min.		min.
(Comments		min.		Comments		min.
Time	Canister Closed	1031					
	Canister Pressure (inches Hg)	-5"					
	Sample Collection	1031					
Notes: Calculatin	ng Purge Volume: L	ength of tube (ft.) x 5.5 c	c/linear foot (1/4" OD T	eflon Tube)			

7	VMB-11-	D	Project Number: 44	21 276 00	4		Date 4/27
1	VIVOIT		Site Location: 25	25 Sa-50	Vaca.	Alanseda	
-			Field Personnel	FSM	July 1	14 suse 44	k
	2730 Shadelands Dr. Walnut Cr	22 407	Type of Probe and Advar	ncement Method			
_	T 925.946.3100 F 510.350.998		5	VIHA		your development of the control of t	
	Sample ID	Sample Info / Times	Comments		Duplicate Sample ID	Duplicate Info / Times	Comments
	Canister Serial No.	1978-191	6				
	Flow Controller Serial No.	316-132	7				
	Sample Depth (Ft.)	5'					
	Tubing length	8,					
	Purge Volume and Rate	3"H2				,	
	Calculated Duration of Purge (3 tubing volumes)	3 45					
	Time Sample-Train Vacuum- Hold Test Begins	1219			## The second se		
	Initial Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-28					
	Time Sample-Train Vacuum- Hold Test Ends	1219					
	Duration of Vacuum-Hold Test	3 min					
	Final Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-28"	,			,	
	Time Beginning of Purge	1222	- 28	> -25	•		
	Time End of Purge	1226					7
	Actual Duration of Purge	Yours					
	Initial Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-31"					
	Time Canister Opened	1226					
	Measured Helium % initial	\$					
	2 min.	29.3	35 min.		2 min.	1	35 min.
	4 min.	17.7	40 min.		4 min.		40 min.
	6min.	26.6	45 min.		6min.		45 min.
	8min.		50 min.		8min.		50 min.
	10 min.		55 min.		10 min.		55 min.
	15 min.	la .	60 min.		15 min.		60 min.
	20 min.		min.		20 min.		min.
	25 min.		min.		25 min.		min.
	30 min.		min.		30 min.		min.
	Comments		min.		Comments		min.
	Time Canister Closed	1252					
	Final Canister Pressure (inches Hg)	-4					
	Time of Sample Collection	1232					

Soil Vapor Sample Collection Data

MINIO	14	Client: Project Number:	10199	6004		Date / Au
 IVMB-	17	Site Location:	305 5	antal Cla	19	
		Field Personnel	FIM	100		
2720 Shadalanda Dr. Waland O		Type of Probe and Adva	ncement Method			
2730 Shadelands Dr. Walnut C T 925.946.3100 F 510.350.998	reek, CA 88 www.itsi.com	2	>V/H	A		
Sample ID	Sample Info / Times	Comments		Duplicate Sample ID	Duplicate Info / Times	Comment
Canister Serial No.	6204-798					
Flow Controller Serial No.	316-848					
Sample Depth (Ft.)	51					
Tubing length	81					
Purge Volume and Rate	3" 4					
Calculated Duration of Purge (3 tubing volumes)	3 4					
Time Sample-Train Vacuum- Hold Test Begins	1283					
Initial Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-24.5					
Time Sample-Train Vacuum- Hold Test Ends	1255					
Duration of Vacuum-Hold Test	Zmin					
Final Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-24.5					
Time Beginning of Purge	1308	24.	5 ->	115"		
Time End of Purge	1312					
Actual Duration of Purge	5 m	~				
Initial Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	~31					
Time Canister Opened	13/3					
Measured Helium % initial	\$					
2 min.	26.6	35 min.		2 min.	,	35 min.
4 min.	25,1	40 min.		4 min.		40 min.
6min.	23.2	45 min.		6min.		45 min.
8min.		50 min.		8min.		50 min.
10 min.		55 min.		10 min.		55 min.
15 min.	-	60 min.		15 min.		60 min.
20 min.		min.		20 min.		min
25 min.		min.		25 min.		min
30 min.		min.		30 min.		min
Comments		min.		Comments		min
Time Canister Closed	1319					
Final Canister Pressure (inches Hg)	~4"					
Time of Sample Collection	1319					
Notes: Calculating Purge Volume: Le	ength of tube (ft.) v 5 5 a	Alinear foot (4/4" OF T	offon Tub-1			

	NMB-	-13	Project Number:	510 0.	10 St. 1	floweda	
	, (,,,	- Indiana - Indi	Site Location: Field Personnel	FSM		The state of the s	!
	2730 Shadelands Dr. Walnut C		Type of Probe and Adva	ncement Method	1		
	T 925.946.3100 F 510.350.99	88 www.itsi.com	0	17,	/ /		
_	Sample ID	Sample Info / Times	Comments		Duplicate Sample ID	Duplicate Info / Times	Comments
	Canister Serial No.	R1214-7551			- 70m, 3712 (42)		
	Flow Controller Serial No.	316-1226					
	Sample Depth (Ft.)	41					
	Tubing length	71					
	Purge Volume and Rate	3" Hs					
	Calculated Duration of Purge (3 tubing volumes)	3" Ha					
	Time Sample-Train Vacuum- Hold Test Begins	1505					
	Initial Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-21.5					
	Time Sample-Train Vacuum- Hold Test Ends	1507					
	Duration of Vacuum-Hold Test	Zonin					
	Final Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-21,5"					
_	Time Beginning of Purge	521	21.	5 - 9	~19	5	
	Time End of Purge	1526			0	2/0	
	Actual Duration of Purge	5 Me					
	Initial Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-25 11					
	Time Canister Opened	1526		0	579	Notice	e There
	Measured Helium % initial	\$	1 , 1	au's	11	to bins	AK
	2 min.	29.8	35 min.	1	2 min.		35 min.
	4 min.	27,7	40 min.		4 min.		40 min.
	6min.		45 min.		6min.		45 min.
	8min.		50 min.		8min.		50 min.
	10 min.		55 min.		10 min.		55 min.
	15 min.	.,1	60 min.		15 min.		60 min.
	20 min.		min.		20 min.		min.
	25 min.		min.		25 min.	-	min,
	30 min.		min.		30 min.		min.
	Comments		min.		Comments		min.
	Time Canister Closed	1528					
	Final Canister Pressure (inches Hg)	-126					
	Time of Sample Collection	120	Saml	110			

			Client: CHU	V			Date \$ / 27/17
-	T / - 1		Project Number:	1018960	104		/ //
	LAT		Site Location: 15	10 Oak	STREET	Alemada	CA
			Field Personnel	FSM	,	7	
	2730 Shadelands Dr. Walnut Cr	mak CA	Type of Probe and Advan		/ ,		
	T 925.946.3100 F 510.350.998		Inc	loor Air	/ Coasol	Space =	Damolo.
						1	
	Sample ID	Sample Info / Times	Comments		Duplicate Sample ID	Duplicate Info / Times	Comments
	Canister Serial No.	2735-559	8				
Oata	Flow Controller Serial No.	1051					
Sample Data	Sample Depth (Ft.)	Parameter					
Sa	Tubing length	_					
	Purge Volume and Rate	~					
	Calculated Duration of Purge (3 tubing volumes)						
d Test	Time Sample-Train Vacuum- Hold Test Begins						
10-Minute Vacuum-Hold Test	Initial Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	<u> </u>		10			
/acuu	Time Sample-Train Vacuum- Hold Test Ends	_					
inute	Duration of Vacuum-Hold Test	1					
10-Mi	Final Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	Montenance					
	Time Beginning of Purge	- Promise de la company de la					
Purge	Time End of Purge	~					
	Actual Duration of Purge	_					
	Initial Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-29,5					
	Time Canister Opened	0735					
	Measured Helium % initial		T				
	2 min.	NA	35 min.		2 min.	r.	35 min.
oring	4 min.	1	40 min.		4 min.		40 min,
Moni	6min.		45 min.		6min.		45 min.
er Gas	8min.		50 min.		8min.		50 min.
d Trac	10 min.		55 min.		10 min.		55 min.
on and	15 min.		60 min.		15 min.		60 min.
ollecti	20 min.		min.		20 min.		min.
Sample Collection and Tracer Gas Monitoring	25 min.		min.		25 min.		min.
San	30 min.		min.		30 min.		min.
	Comments		min.		Comments		min.
	Time Canister Closed	1570					12000
	Final Canister Pressure (inches Hg)	750					
	Time of Sample Collection	1520					
	Notes: Calculating Purge Volume: L	ength of tube (ft.) x 5.5	cc/linear foot (1/4" OD T	efion Tube)			

APPENDIX B

LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS



McCampbell Analytical, Inc.

"When Quality Counts"

Analytical Report

WorkOrder: 1705029

Report Created for: Ninyo & Moore

> 1956 Webster St. #400 Oakland, CA 94612

Peter Sims Project Contact: 401896004 **Project P.O.:**

Project Name: 401596004; CHUN

Project Received: 05/01/2017

Analytical Report reviewed & approved for release on 05/09/2017 by:

Angela Rydelius,

Laboratory Manager

The report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. The analytical results relate only to the items tested. Results reported conform to the most current NELAP standards, where applicable, unless otherwise stated in the case narrative.



1534 Willow Pass Rd. Pittsburg, CA 94565 ♦ TEL: (877) 252-9262 ♦ FAX: (925) 252-9269 ♦ www.mccampbell.com

CA ELAP 1644 ♦ NELAP 4033ORELAP

Glossary of Terms & Qualifier Definitions

Client: Ninyo & Moore Project: 401596004; CHUN

WorkOrder: 1705029

Glossary Abbreviation

%D Serial Dilution Percent Difference

95% Interval 95% Confident Interval

DF Dilution Factor

DI WET (DISTLC) Waste Extraction Test using DI water

DISS Dissolved (direct analysis of 0.45 µm filtered and acidified water sample)

DLT Dilution Test (Serial Dilution)

DUP Duplicate

EDL Estimated Detection Limit
IGN1 Sample is non metalic
IGN2 Sample is metalic

ITEF International Toxicity Equivalence Factor

LCS Laboratory Control Sample

MB Method Blank

MB % Rec % Recovery of Surrogate in Method Blank, if applicable

MDL Method Detection Limit

ML Minimum Level of Quantitation

MS Matrix Spike

MSD Matrix Spike Duplicate

N/A Not Applicable

ND Not detected at or above the indicated MDL or RL

NR Data Not Reported due to matrix interference or insufficient sample amount.

PDS Post Digestion Spike

PDSD Post Digestion Spike Duplicate

PF Prep Factor

RD Relative Difference

RL Reporting Limit (The RL is the lowest calibration standard in a multipoint calibration.)

RPD Relative Percent Deviation
RRT Relative Retention Time

SPK Val Spike Value

SPKRef Val Spike Reference Value

SPLP Synthetic Precipitation Leachate Procedure

ST Sorbent Tube

TCLP Toxicity Characteristic Leachate Procedure

TEQ Toxicity Equivalents

WET (STLC) Waste Extraction Test (Soluble Threshold Limit Concentration)



Glossary of Terms & Qualifier Definitions

Client: Ninyo & Moore Project: 401596004; CHUN

WorkOrder: 1705029

Analytical Qualifiers

S surrogate spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits

a2 sample diluted due to cluttered chromatograma3 sample diluted due to high organic content.

c2 surrogate recovery outside of the control limits due to matrix interference.

Quality Control Qualifiers

F2 LCS/LCSD recovery and/or RPD is out of acceptance criteria.

Case Narrative

Client: Ninyo & Moore Work Order: 1705029

Project: 401596004; CHUN May 11, 2017

TO-15 ANALYSIS

All summa canisters are EVACUATED 5 days after the reporting of the results. Please call or email if a longer retention time is required.

In an effort to attain the lowest reporting limits possible for the majority of the TO-15 target list, high level compounds may be analyzed using EPA Method 8260B.

Polymer (Tedlar) bags are not recommended for TO15 samples. The disadvantages are listed in Appendix B of the DTSC Active Soil Gas Advisory of July 2015.

Sample 1705029-007A could not be analyzed because it contained liquid and had a strong gasoline odor. A septa was put on the port of the sample, and liquid oozed out.

Angela Rydelius, Lab Manager

Analytical Report

Client: Ninyo & Moore WorkOrder: 1705029

Date Received:5/1/17 16:00Extraction Method:ASTM D 1946-90Date Prepared:5/3/17Analytical Method:ASTM D 1946-90

Project: 401596004; CHUN **Unit:** 9

Atmospheric Gases

		_			
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Co	ollected Instrument	Batch ID
IA-1	1705029-008A	Indoor Air	04/27/20	17 15:20 GC26	138457
<u>Analytes</u>	Result		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Oxygen	16		8.0	2.5	05/03/2017 11:02

Analyst(s): AK

Angela Rydelius, Lab Manager

WorkOrder:

1705029



Analytical Report

Client: Ninyo & Moore

Date Received:5/1/17 16:00Extraction Method:ASTM D 1946-90Date Prepared:5/3/17Analytical Method:ASTM D 1946-90

Atmospheric Gases							
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrum	ent	Batch ID	
NMB-9B	1705029-001A	SoilGas	04/27/2017 08:36	GC26		138457	
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)	
13.58	27.16					AK	
<u>Analytes</u>		Result		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed	
Oxygen		16		0.40	1	05/03/2017 08:16	

NMB-6B	1705029-002A SoilGas	04/27/2017 09:14 GC26	138457
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure (psia)		Analyst(s)
13.97	27.93		AK
Analytes	<u>Result</u>	<u>RL</u> <u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Oxygen	1.2	0.40 1	05/03/2017 08:37

NMB-3B	1705029-003A SoilGas	04/27/2017 09:47 GC26	138457
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure (psia)		Analyst(s)
13.71	27.38		AK
Analytes Oxygen	Result 13	<u>RL</u> <u>DF</u> 0.40 1	<u>Date Analyzed</u> 05/03/2017 08:58

Analytical Report

Client: Ninyo & Moore WorkOrder: 1705029

Date Received:5/1/17 16:00Extraction Method:ASTM D 1946-90Date Prepared:5/3/17Analytical Method:ASTM D 1946-90

Atmospheric Gases							
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instru	ment	Batch ID	
NMB-15	1705029-004A	SoilGas	04/27/2017 10:31	GC26		138457	
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)	
12.92	25.74					AK	
Analytes		Result		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed	
Oxygen		15		0.40	1	05/03/2017 09:19	

NMB-11B 1705029-005A SoilGas		04/27/2017 12:32 GC26	138457
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure (psia)		Analyst(s)
13.96	27.87		AK
Analytes Oxygen	Result 13	<u>RL</u> <u>DF</u> 0.40 1	<u>Date Analyzed</u> 05/03/2017 09:40

NMB-14	1705029-006A SoilGas	04/27/2017 13:19 GC26	138457
Initial Pressure (psia) Final Pressure (psia)			Analyst(s)
14.11	28.21		AK
<u>Analytes</u>	Result	<u>RL</u> <u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Oxygen	17	0.40 1	05/03/2017 10:01

Analytical Report

Client: Ninyo & Moore WorkOrder: 1705029

Date Received:5/1/17 16:00Extraction Method:ASTM D 1946-90Date Prepared:5/3/17Analytical Method:ASTM D 1946-90

Project: 401596004; CHUN Unit: $\mu L/L$

Helium and Hydrogen							
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instru	ment	Batch ID	
IA-1	1705029-008A	Indoor Air	04/27/2017 15:20	GC26		138459	
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressur	Final Pressure (psia)				Analyst(s)	
13.13	21.00					AK	
<u>Analytes</u>		Result		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed	
Helium		ND		40	1	05/03/2017 17:00	



Analytical Report

Client: Ninyo & Moore WorkOrder: 1705029

 Date Received:
 5/1/17 16:00
 Extraction Method:
 ASTM D 1946-90

 Date Prepared:
 5/3/17-5/4/17
 Analytical Method:
 ASTM D 1946-90

Helium							
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrun	nent	Batch ID	
NMB-9B	1705029-001A	SoilGas	04/27/2017 08:36	GC26		138460	
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressur	e (psia)				Analyst(s)	
13.58	27.16					AK	
Analytes		Result		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed	
Helium		ND		0.050	1	05/03/2017 15:43	

NMB-6B	1705029-002A SoilGas	04/27/2017 09:14 GC26	138460	
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure (psia)		Analyst(s)	
13.97	27.93	27.93		
Analytes Helium	<u>Result</u> ND	<u>RL</u> <u>DF</u> 0.050 1	<u>Date Analyzed</u> 05/03/2017 15:56	

NMB-3B	1705029-003A SoilGas	04/27/2017 09:47 GC26	138460
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure (psia)	
13.71	27.38		AK
<u>Analytes</u>	Result	<u>RL</u> <u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Helium	ND	0.050 1	05/03/2017 16:08

Analytical Report

Client: Ninyo & Moore WorkOrder: 1705029

 Date Received:
 5/1/17 16:00
 Extraction Method:
 ASTM D 1946-90

 Date Prepared:
 5/3/17-5/4/17
 Analytical Method:
 ASTM D 1946-90

		Helium	1			
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrun	nent	Batch ID
NMB-15	1705029-004A	SoilGas	04/27/2017 10:31	GC26		138460
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
12.92	25.74					AK
Analytes		Result		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Helium		ND		0.050	1	05/03/2017 16:21

NMB-11B	1705029-005A SoilGas	04/27/2017 12:32 GC26	138460
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure (psia)		Analyst(s)
13.96	27.87		AK
Analytes	Result	<u>RL</u> <u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Helium	1.9	1.2 25	05/04/2017 12:35

NMB-14	1705029-006A SoilGas	04/27/2017 13:19 GC26	138460
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure (psia)		Analyst(s)
14.11	28.21		AK
<u>Analytes</u>	Result	<u>RL</u> <u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Helium	0.25	0.050 1	05/03/2017 16:47

Analytical Report

Client: Ninyo & Moore WorkOrder: 1705029

Date Received:5/1/17 16:00Extraction Method:ASTM D 1946-90Date Prepared:5/2/17Analytical Method:ASTM D 1946-90

		Light Gas	es			
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrum	ent	Batch ID
IA-1	1705029-008A	Indoor Air	04/27/2017 15:20	GC26		138454
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressur	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.13	21.00					AK
<u>Analytes</u>		Result		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Methane		0.0075		0.00016	1	05/02/2017 17:59



Analytical Report

Client: Ninyo & Moore WorkOrder: 1705029

Date Received: 5/1/17 16:00 Extraction Method: ASTM D 1946-90 **Date Prepared:** 5/2/17 **Analytical Method:** ASTM D 1946-90

Unit: **Project:** 401596004; CHUN

		Light Ga	ses			
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrume	ent	Batch ID
NMB-9B	1705029-001A	SoilGas	04/27/2017 08:36	GC26		138454
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressur	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.58	27.16					AK
Analytes		Result		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Methane		ND		0.00020	1	05/02/2017 15:52

NMB-6B	1705029-002A SoilGas	04/27/2017 09:14 GC26	138454
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure (psia)		Analyst(s)
13.97	27.93		AK
Analytes Methane	Result 0.20	<u>RL</u> <u>DF</u> 0.00020 1	<u>Date Analyzed</u> 05/02/2017 16:13

NMB-3B	1705029-003A SoilGas	04/27/2017 09:47 GC26	138454
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure (psia)		Analyst(s)
13.71	27.38		AK
Analytes	Result	RL DF	Date Analyzed
Methane	0.0012	0.00020 1	05/02/2017 16:34

Analytical Report

Client: Ninyo & Moore WorkOrder: 1705029

Date Received:5/1/17 16:00Extraction Method:ASTM D 1946-90Date Prepared:5/2/17Analytical Method:ASTM D 1946-90

		Light Gas	ses			
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrume	ent	Batch ID
NMB-15	1705029-004A	SoilGas	04/27/2017 10:31	GC26		138454
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressur	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
12.92	25.74					AK
Analytes		Result		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Methane		ND		0.00020	1	05/02/2017 16:55

NMB-11B	1705029-005A SoilGas	04/27/2017 12:32 GC26	138454
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure (psia)		Analyst(s)
13.96	27.87		AK
Analytes Methane	<u>Result</u> ND	<u>RL</u> <u>DF</u> 0.00020 1	<u>Date Analyzed</u> 05/02/2017 17:16

NMB-14	1705029-006A SoilGas	04/27/2017 13:19 GC26	138454
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure (psia)		Analyst(s)
14.11	28.21		AK
<u>Analytes</u>	Result	<u>RL</u> <u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Methane	ND	0.00020 1	05/02/2017 17:37

Analytical Report

Client: Ninyo & Moore Date Received: 5/1/17 16:00

Date Prepared: 5/9/17

Project: 401596004; CHUN

WorkOrder: 1705029
Extraction Method: SW5030B
Analytical Method: SW8260B

Unit: $\mu g/m^3$

	Volatile Or	Volatile Organics by P&T and GC/MS				
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrun	nent	Batch ID
NMB-6B	1705029-002A	SoilGas	04/27/2017 09:14	GC10		138557
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressur	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.97	27.93					AK
<u>Analytes</u>		Result		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Benzene		ND		2000	4	05/09/2017 13:30
Ethylbenzene		ND		2000	4	05/09/2017 13:30
Naphthalene		ND		2000	4	05/09/2017 13:30
Surrogates		REC (%)	<u>Qualifiers</u>	<u>Limits</u>		
Dibromofluoromethane		25	S	70-130		05/09/2017 13:30
Toluene-d8		129		70-130		05/09/2017 13:30
4-BFB		302	S	70-130		05/09/2017 13:30
			Analytical Comments: a	2,a3,c2		

Analytical Report

 Client:
 Ninyo & Moore
 WorkOrder:
 1705029

 Date Received:
 5/1/17 16:00
 Extraction Method:
 TO15

 Date Prepared:
 5/4/17-5/5/17
 Analytical Method:
 TO15

 Project:
 401596004; CHUN
 Unit:
 μg/m³

	Volati	le Organic C	ompounds			
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrun	nent	Batch II
NMB-3B	1705029-003A	SoilGas	04/27/2017 09:47	GC43		138462
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.71	27.38					AK
<u>Analytes</u>		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Benzene		500		8.0	5	05/05/2017 01:41
Ethylbenzene		1500		220	5	05/05/2017 01:41
Naphthalene		28		26	5	05/05/2017 01:41
<u>Surrogates</u>		REC (%)		<u>Limits</u>		
1,2-DCA-d4		96		70-130		05/05/2017 01:41
Toluene-d8		102		70-130		05/05/2017 01:41
4-BFB		98		70-130		05/05/2017 01:41
NMB-15	1705029-004A	SoilGas	04/27/2017 10:31	GC43		138462
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
initial Pressure (psia)						AK
12.92	25.74					
<u> </u>	25.74	Result		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
12.92	25.74	Result ND		<u>RL</u> 8.0	<u>DF</u> 5	•
12.92 Analytes	25.74					05/05/2017 00:59
12.92 Analytes Benzene	25.74	ND		8.0	5	05/05/2017 00:59 05/05/2017 00:59
12.92 Analytes Benzene Ethylbenzene	25.74	ND ND		8.0 220	5 5	Date Analyzed 05/05/2017 00:59 05/05/2017 00:59 05/05/2017 00:59
Analytes Benzene Ethylbenzene Naphthalene	25.74	ND ND ND		8.0 220 26	5 5	05/05/2017 00:59 05/05/2017 00:59 05/05/2017 00:59
Analytes Benzene Ethylbenzene Naphthalene Surrogates	25.74	ND ND ND REC (%)		8.0 220 26 <u>Limits</u>	5 5	05/05/2017 00:59 05/05/2017 00:59

Analytical Report

 Client:
 Ninyo & Moore
 WorkOrder:
 1705029

 Date Received:
 5/1/17 16:00
 Extraction Method:
 TO15

 Date Prepared:
 5/4/17-5/5/17
 Analytical Method:
 TO15

 Project:
 401596004; CHUN
 Unit:
 μg/m³

Volatile Organic Compounds												
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instru	ment	Batch ID						
NMB-11B	1705029-005A	SoilGas	138462									
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)						
13.96	27.87					AK						
<u>Analytes</u>		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed						
Benzene		21		16	10	05/04/2017 22:53						
Ethylbenzene		ND		440	10	05/04/2017 22:53						
Naphthalene		ND		53	10	05/04/2017 22:53						
<u>Surrogates</u>		REC (%)		<u>Limits</u>								
1,2-DCA-d4		98		70-130		05/04/2017 22:53						
Toluene-d8		100		70-130		05/04/2017 22:53						
4-BFB		97		70-130		05/04/2017 22:53						

Analytical Report

Client: Ninyo & Moore
Date Received: 5/1/17 16:00
Date Prepared: 5/5/17

Project: 401596004; CHUN

WorkOrder: 1705029 Extraction Method: TO15

Analytical Method: TO15

Unit: $\mu g/m^3$

Volatile Organic Compounds												
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrun	nent	Batch ID						
IA-1	1705029-008A	5029-008A Indoor Air 04/27/2017 15:20 GC24										
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)			Analyst(s)							
13.13	21.00					AK						
<u>Analytes</u>		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed						
Benzene		1.1		0.051	1	05/05/2017 12:30						
Ethylbenzene		ND		0.70	1	05/05/2017 12:30						
Naphthalene		0.57		0.080	1	05/05/2017 12:30						
<u>Surrogates</u>		REC (%)		<u>Limits</u>								
1,2-DCA-d4		73		70-130		05/05/2017 12:30						
Toluene-d8		99		70-130		05/05/2017 12:30						
4-BFB		96		70-130		05/05/2017 12:30						

Analytical Report

 Client:
 Ninyo & Moore
 WorkOrder:
 1705029

 Date Received:
 5/1/17 16:00
 Extraction Method:
 TO15

 Date Prepared:
 5/4/17-5/6/17
 Analytical Method:
 TO15

 Project:
 401596004; CHUN
 Unit:
 μg/m³

	Volati	le Organic	Compounds			
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrun	nent	Batch II
NMB-9B	1705029-001A	SoilGas	04/27/2017 08:36	GC43		138462
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.58	27.16					AK
<u>Analytes</u>		Result		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Benzene		15		1.6	1	05/06/2017 03:56
Ethylbenzene		72		2.2	1	05/06/2017 03:56
Naphthalene		8.9		5.3	1	05/06/2017 03:56
<u>Surrogates</u>		REC (%)		<u>Limits</u>		
1,2-DCA-d4		95		70-130		05/06/2017 03:56
Toluene-d8		98		70-130		05/06/2017 03:56
4-BFB		106		70-130		05/06/2017 03:56
NMB-14	1705029-006A	SoilGas	04/27/2017 13:19	GC24		138462
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
14.11	28.21					AK
<u>Analytes</u>		Result		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Benzene		12		1.6	1	05/04/2017 09:42
Education and a		15		2.2	1	05/04/2017 09:42
Ethylbenzene		ND		5.3	1	05/04/2017 09:42
Naphthalene						
· ·		REC (%)	Qualifiers	<u>Limits</u>		
Naphthalene		REC (%)	<u>Qualifiers</u> S	<u>Limits</u> 70-130		05/04/2017 09:42
Naphthalene Surrogates						
Naphthalene Surrogates 1,2-DCA-d4		69		70-130		05/04/2017 09:42 05/04/2017 09:42 05/04/2017 09:42

GC26

Instrument:

1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Toll Free Telephone: (877) 252-9262 / Fax: (925) 252-9269 http://www.mccampbell.com / E-mail: main@mccampbell.com

Analytical Method: ASTM D 1946-90

1705029

Quality Control Report

Client: Ninyo & Moore WorkOrder:

Date Prepared:5/3/17BatchID:138457Date Analyzed:5/3/17Extraction Method:ASTM D 1946-90

Matrix: SoilGas Unit: %

Project: 401596004; CHUN **Sample ID:** MB/LCS-138457

Analyte	MB	LCS	RL	SPK	MB SS	LCS	LCS
	Result	Result		Val	%REC	%REC	Limits
Oxygen	ND	0.554	0.20	0.70	-	79	70-130

Quality Control Report

Client: Ninyo & Moore

Date Prepared: 5/3/17

Date Analyzed: 5/3/17 **Instrument:** GC26

Matrix: Soilgas

Project: 401596004; CHUN

WorkOrder: 1705029 **BatchID:** 138460

BatchID: 138460 **Extraction Method:** ASTM D 1946-90

Analytical Method: ASTM D 1946-90

Unit: %

Sample ID: MB/LCS-138460

QC Summary Report for ASTM D1946-90

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
Helium	ND	0.0846	0.025	0.10	-	85	60-140

1705029

138454

Quality Control Report

Client: Ninyo & Moore WorkOrder:

Date Prepared: 5/2/17 BatchID:

Date Analyzed:5/2/17Extraction Method:ASTM D 1946-90Instrument:GC26Analytical Method:ASTM D 1946-90

Matrix: SoilGas Unit: %

Project: 401596004; CHUN **Sample ID:** MB/LCS-138454

QC Summary Report for ASTM D1946-90

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
Methane	ND	0.00723	0.00010	0.010	-	72	70-130

Indoor Air

1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Toll Free Telephone: (877) 252-9262 / Fax: (925) 252-9269 http://www.mccampbell.com / E-mail: main@mccampbell.com

 $\mu g/m^3$

Quality Control Report

 Client:
 Ninyo & Moore
 WorkOrder:
 1705029

 Date Prepared:
 5/4/17 - 5/5/17
 BatchID:
 138468

 Date Analyzed:
 5/4/17 - 5/5/17
 Extraction Method:
 TO15


 Instrument:
 GC24
 Analytical Method:
 TO15

Project: 401596004; CHUN **Sample ID:** MB/LCS-138468

QC Summary Report for TO15

Unit:

Analyte MB Result Acetone ND Acrolein ND Acrylonitrile tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) Benzene ND Benzyl chloride ND Bromodichloromethane ND Romoform	11.4 12.0 13.2 14.2 18.5	6.0 0.58 0.22	12 11.65	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
Acrolein ND Acrylonitrile ND tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) ND Benzene ND Benzyl chloride ND Bromodichloromethane ND	12.0 13.2 14.2	0.58 0.22		-		
Acrylonitrile ND tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) ND Benzene ND Benzyl chloride ND Bromodichloromethane ND	13.2 14.2	0.22	11.65		95	60-140
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) ND Benzene ND Benzyl chloride ND Bromodichloromethane ND	14.2			-	103	60-140
Benzene ND Benzyl chloride ND Bromodichloromethane ND			11	-	120	60-140
Benzyl chloride ND Bromodichloromethane ND	18.5	0.42	21	-	68	60-140
Bromodichloromethane ND	10.0	0.032	16	-	116	60-140
	21.0	0.53	26.5	-	79	60-140
Dramafarm	38.4	0.0070	35	-	110	60-140
Bromoform ND	59.1	1.1	52.5	-	113	60-140
Bromomethane ND	22.2	0.39	19.5	-	114	60-140
1,3-Butadiene ND	12.6	0.22	11	-	115	60-140
2-Butanone (MEK) ND	17.0	7.5	15	-	113	60-140
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) ND	9.81	6.2	15.5	-	63	60-140
Carbon Disulfide ND	17.2	0.32	16	-	107	60-140
Carbon Tetrachloride ND	18.1	0.0064	32	-	57, F2	60-140
Chlorobenzene ND	26.7	0.47	23.5	-	113	60-140
Chloroethane ND	16.1	0.27	13.5	-	119	60-140
Chloroform ND	23.6	0.025	24.5	-	96	60-140
Chloromethane ND	9.64	0.21	10.5	-	92	60-140
Cyclohexane ND	18.4	1.8	17.5	-	105	60-140
Dibromochloromethane ND	53.7	0.87	43.5	-	123	60-140
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane ND	57.7	0.050	49	-	118	60-140
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) ND	46.0	0.0078	39	-	118	60-140
1,2-Dichlorobenzene ND	36.8	0.61	30.5	-	121	60-140
1,3-Dichlorobenzene ND	36.4	0.61	30.5	-	119	60-140
1,4-Dichlorobenzene ND	36.2	0.030	30.5	-	119	60-140
Dichlorodifluoromethane ND	22.6	0.50	25	-	90	60-140
1,1-Dichloroethane ND	22.6	0.41	20.5	-	110	60-140
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA) ND	15.6	0.0041	20.5	-	76	60-140
1,1-Dichloroethene ND	22.4	0.10	20	-	112	60-140
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene ND	21.4	0.40	20	-	107	60-140
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene ND	21.1	0.40	20	-	106	60-140
1,2-Dichloropropane ND	26.1	0.0047	23.5	-	111	60-140
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene ND	25.8	0.12	23	-	112	60-140
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene ND	21.6	0.12	23	-	94	60-140
1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane ND	33.1	0.71	35.5	-	93	60-140
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE) ND	20.4	0.42	21	-	97	60-140
1,4-Dioxane ND	23.7	0.018	18.5	-	128	60-140



Matrix:

Quality Control Report

 Client:
 Ninyo & Moore
 WorkOrder:
 1705029

 Date Prepared:
 5/4/17 - 5/5/17
 BatchID:
 138468

 Date Analyzed:
 5/4/17 - 5/5/17
 Extraction Method:
 TO15

 Instrument:
 GC24
 Analytical Method:
 TO15

Matrix: Indoor Air Unit: µg/m³

Project: 401596004; CHUN **Sample ID:** MB/LCS-138468

	QC Summary Report for TO15										
Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits				
Ethyl acetate	ND	18.6	0.92	18.5	-	100	60-140				
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND	15.9	0.42	21	-	76	60-140				
Ethylbenzene	ND	25.1	0.44	22	-	114	60-140				
4-Ethyltoluene	ND	29.0	0.50	25	-	116	60-140				
Freon 113	ND	39.3	0.78	39	-	101	60-140				
Heptane	ND	22.0	2.1	21	-	105	60-140				
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	66.1	1.1	54	-	122	60-140				
Hexane	ND	17.9	1.8	18	-	99	60-140				
2-Hexanone	ND	14.6	0.42	21	-	70	60-140				
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	ND	22.6	0.42	21	-	107	60-140				
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	14.7	0.37	18.5	-	79	60-140				
Methylene chloride	ND	17.4	0.88	17.5	-	100	60-140				
Methyl methacrylate	ND	23.2	0.42	20.8	-	112	60-140				
Naphthalene	ND	64.6	0.050	53	-	122	60-140				
Propene	ND	ND	8.8	8.5	-	86	60-140				
Styrene	ND	24.8	0.43	21.5	-	115	60-140				
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	38.6	0.0070	35	-	110	60-140				
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	43.2	0.0070	35	-	124	60-140				
Tetrachloroethene	ND	39.2	0.069	34.5	-	114	60-140				
Tetrahydrofuran	ND	14.3	0.60	15	-	95	60-140				
Toluene	ND	21.6	0.38	19	-	114	60-140				
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	50.6	0.75	37.5	-	135	60-140				
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	26.4	0.55	27.5	-	96	60-140				
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	31.4	0.0055	27.5	-	114	60-140				
Trichloroethene	ND	30.8	0.027	27.5	-	112	60-140				
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	28.5	0.57	28.5	-	100	60-140				
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	29.3	0.50	25	-	117	60-140				
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	28.5	0.50	25	-	114	60-140				
Vinyl Acetate	ND	21.6	1.8	18	-	120	60-140				
Vinyl Chloride	ND	14.6	0.013	13	-	113	60-140				
Xylenes, Total	ND	85.1	1.3	66	-	129	60-140				
Surrogate Recovery											
1,2-DCA-d4	73.96	71.5		100	74	72	70-130				
Toluene-d8	98.85	99.2		100	99	99	70-130				
4-BFB	95.35	97.1		100	95	97	70-130				

McCampbell Analytical, Inc.

1534 Willow Pass Rd Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 (925) 252-9262

CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD

Page 1 of 1

WorkOrder: 1705029 ClientCode: NMO

WaterTrax	WriteOn	EDF	Excel	■ EQuIS	Email	HardCopy	ThirdParty	J-flag
-----------	---------	-----	-------	---------	-------	----------	------------	--------

Report to: Bill to: Requested TAT: 5 days;

Peter Sims Email: psims@ninyoandmoore.com Accounts Payable Ninyo & Moore Cc/3rd Party: Ninyo & Moore

 1956 Webster St. #400
 PO:
 401896004
 1956 Webster St. #400
 Date Received:
 05/01/2017

 Oakland, CA 94612
 ProjectNo:
 401596004; CHUN
 Oakland, CA 94612
 Date Logged:
 05/02/2017

(510) 633-5640 FAX: (510) 633-5646

								Re	questec	l Tests	(See leg	end bel	ow)			
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	Collection Date	Hold	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1705029-001	NMB-9B	SoilGas	4/27/2017 08:36			Α	Α			Α		Α	Α			T
1705029-002	NMB-6B	SoilGas	4/27/2017 09:14			Α	Α			Α		Α	Α			
1705029-003	NMB-3B	SoilGas	4/27/2017 09:47			Α	Α			Α		Α	Α			
1705029-004	NMB-15	SoilGas	4/27/2017 10:31			Α	Α			Α		Α	Α			
1705029-005	NMB-11B	SoilGas	4/27/2017 12:32			Α	Α			Α		Α	Α			
1705029-006	NMB-14	SoilGas	4/27/2017 13:19			Α	Α			Α		Α	Α			
1705029-007	NMB-13	SoilGas	4/27/2017 15:28			Α	Α			Α		Α	Α			
1705029-008	IA-1	Indoor Air	4/27/2017 15:20		Α			Α	Α		Α					

Test Legend:

1	ATMOSPHERICGAS_A(%)	2 ATMOSPHERI	ICGAS_SG(%) 3 HE	ELIUM_LC_SOILGAS(%)	HELIUM+HYDROGEN_Tedlar
5	LG_SUMMA_INDOOR(%)	6 LG_SUMMA_	SOILGAS(%) 7 TO15	_SCAN-SIM_Indoor(ug/m3) 8	TO15_Scan-SIM_SOIL(UG/M3)
9	TO15-8260_SOIL(UG/M3)	10	11	12	

Prepared by: Jena Alfaro

The following SampID: 008A contains testgroup TO15_INDOOR.; The following SampIDs: 001A, 002A, 003A, 004A, 005A, 006A, 007A contain testgroup TO15He_O2_CO2_Ch4_SG.

Comments: Jennifer is the PM

NOTE: Soil samples are discarded 60 days after results are reported unless other arrangements are made (Water samples are 30 days).

Hazardous samples will be returned to client or disposed of at client expense.



McCampbell Analytical, Inc.

"When Quality Counts"

1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Toll Free Telephone: (877) 252-9262 / Fax: (925) 252-9269 http://www.mccampbell.com / E-mail: main@mccampbell.com

WORK ORDER SUMMARY

Client Name: NINYO & MOORE Project: 401596004; CHUN Work Order: 1705029

Client Contact: Peter Sims

QC Level: LEVEL 2

Contact's Email: psims@ninyoandmoore.com

Comments: Jennifer is the PM

Date Logged: 5/2/2017

		WaterTrax	WriteOn EDF E	xcel	Fax Email	HardC	opyThirdPart	.у 🗀 -	l-flag
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	Test Name	Containers /Composites	Bottle & Preservative	De- chlorinated	Collection Date & Time	TAT	Sediment Hold SubOut Content
1705029-001A	NMB-9B	SoilGas	TO15 w/ Helium, O2, CO2 and Methane	1	1L Summa		4/27/2017 8:36	5 days	
1705029-002A	NMB-6B	SoilGas	TO15 w/ Helium, O2, CO2 and Methane	1	1L Summa		4/27/2017 9:14	5 days	
1705029-003A	NMB-3B	SoilGas	TO15 w/ Helium, O2, CO2 and Methane	1	1L Summa		4/27/2017 9:47	5 days	
1705029-004A	NMB-15	SoilGas	TO15 w/ Helium, O2, CO2 and Methane	1	1L Summa		4/27/2017 10:31	5 days	
1705029-005A	NMB-11B	SoilGas	TO15 w/ Helium, O2, CO2 and Methane	1	1L Summa		4/27/2017 12:32	5 days	
1705029-006A	NMB-14	SoilGas	TO15 w/ Helium, O2, CO2 and Methane	1	1L Summa		4/27/2017 13:19	5 days	
1705029-007A	NMB-13	SoilGas	TO15 w/ Helium, O2, CO2 and Methane	1	1L Summa		4/27/2017 15:28	5 days	
1705029-008A	IA-1	Indoor Air	TO15 for Indoor Air (Scan-SIM)	1	6L Summa		4/27/2017 15:20	5 days	
			ASTM D1946-90 (CO, CO2 and CH4) <methane_4></methane_4>					5 days	
			ASTM D1946-90 (Helium &/or Hydrogen) <helium_3></helium_3>					5 days	
			ASTM D1946-90 (N2, O2) <oxygen></oxygen>					5 days	

NOTES: - STLC and TCLP extractions require 2 days to complete; therefore, all TATs begin after the extraction is completed (i.e., One-day TAT yields results in 3 days from sample submission).

- MAI assumes that all material present in the provided sampling container is considered part of the sample - MAI does not exclude any material from the sample prior to sample preparation unless requested in writing by the client.

								CH	All	V ()F	Cl	JST	O[1 YC	RECORI	
McCan	npk	ell /	Analytica	I, Inc.	TUR	N A	ROU	ND TI	ME:	RUS	Н□ј	1	Day [2 Day] 3 Day 🔲	5 DAY
1534 Willow	Pass R	d. / Pitts	burg, Ca. 94565-17	701	GeoTi	racke	r EDF	: 🔲		PDF			EDI	О	1	EQuIS 🛄 1	0 DAY 📮
www.mccam Telephone:	pbell.c (877) 2	om / m 252-9262	nain@mccampbell 2 / Fax: (925) 252-92	269 100				und Pro	oiect [⊒t CI	aim#						
			Bill To: 421 8		رد، ق			nalysis						Heli	ium Sl	hroud SN#	
Report To: Peter S:				76007	W. 3	1							676	Oth			
Company: Ningoge					1 4	7,0	S.	s, sircle	uL/I	16			11-0				s if different than
Cakland	C	A	9EMail: PSins &	simuland mosta	17-3	TENESAS.	LEED (inc. 4PCH, Formáldehydé, C Total VOCs)	Fixed Gas: CO2, Methane, Ethane, Ethylene, Acetylene, CO (please circle or indicate in notes) uL/L	cle)	01916		atic	Ci	defa	ults VC	Cs is ug/m3 an check default i	d fixed gas is
Tele: (56)343-3000		94612	Fax: ()	, <2:5	Na	27	Ildel	e, Et (ple ´	e cir		Leak Check (IPA, Norflorane, 1,1-difluroethane) ug/m3	APH: Aliphatic and/or Aromatic (please circle) ug/m3	V				
Project #: 401596004			Project Name:	CHUN	(ug/m3)	727	ОППО	than CO	plea	(%)	orflo g/m3	or A	200		1 1 1/2	11 % /	lesso
Project Location: 2361 S	anta (la les fil	e, Alamada		gu)	2100	H, F	, Me lene, tes)	N2 (ane	A, N e) u	and/ ig/m	Merhor		•	/	
Sampler Signature:	-				TO-15	1	4PC	CO2 cety	02,	Fixed Gas: Propane UL/L Helium Leak Check (%)	(IP.	atic le) t		Ma	trix	Ca	nnister
7711 C 1 770	Coll	ection			T V	1	inc.	ie, A	jas:	Lea Lea	heck	Aliph	ő	s			e/ Vacuum
Field Sample ID (Location)	n .	m:	Canister SN#	Sampler Kit SN#	VOCs by 8010 by 7		ED (ed (ed (lium	ak C -difi	H: /	Other:	Soilgas	Indoor Air	Initial	Final
	Date	Time	W.		N	#	LE	E E		되	, E	AF (p)	Ot	°S	In		
NMB-9B	4/27/	5836	6906-793	316-770		X				X			X	X		-29	~5
NMB-6B	1	0914	7527-875	316-1347		X			4	X			X	<u>~</u>		-31	~ 4
NM B -3B		0947	7531-879	316-1328		X			1	X			X	X		-31	-4
NM B-15		1031	7528-876	316-717		X,				X			X			-30	- 5
NMB-11B		1232	1978-1926	316-1327		X				X			X	\geq		-31	-4
NMB-14		1319	6304. 745	316-845		X			$\perp \downarrow$	$\perp \lambda$			X	\times		157	-4
NM B = 13*		1528	R1218-2551	316 -1336		X				1			X	X	5 0	- 29	- 26
IA-/	4	1520	2735-558	1051		X				X		-	X		X	~29,5	-5
									$\perp \perp$								
									11								
* Work	2:	West	er or Possil	de TPHa 1	1 7	ich	in	,0	no.	2: 1	Sid	90	1	<u> </u>	ruy	Ma Ciz	aist-1
			5-1-17 100	20				,			0						
Relinquished By:	Date:	Time:	Received By:	1	Tem	ıp (°(C):_		,	Work	Orde	r#: _					
Relinquished By:	Date:	Time:	Received By:		Con	ditio	n:										
1/1/5	1-10	169	1		Cust	tody	Seals	Intact	?: Ye	s	N	0	N	one_			

Shipped Via:

Time: Received By:

Refinquished By:

Sample Receipt Checklist

Client Name:	Ninyo & Moore			Date and Time Received	5/1/2017 16:00
Project Name:	401596004; CHUN			Date Logged: Received by:	5/2/2017 Jena Alfaro
WorkOrder №:	1705029 Matrix: Indoor Air/SoilGas			Logged by:	Jena Alfaro
Carrier:	Bernie Cummins (MAI Courier)				
	Chain of C	ustody	(COC) Infor	mation	
Chain of custody	present?	Yes	•	No 🗆	
Chain of custody	signed when relinquished and received?	Yes	•	No 🗆	
Chain of custody	agrees with sample labels?	Yes	•	No 🗆	
Sample IDs noted	d by Client on COC?	Yes	✓	No 🗆	
Date and Time of	collection noted by Client on COC?	Yes	✓	No 🗆	
Sampler's name	noted on COC?	Yes	•	No 🗆	
	<u>Sampl</u>	e Rece	ipt Informati	<u>on</u>	
Custody seals int	act on shipping container/cooler?	Yes		No 🗆	NA 🗸
Shipping containe	er/cooler in good condition?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	
Samples in prope	er containers/bottles?	Yes	•	No 🗆	
Sample container	rs intact?	Yes	✓	No 🗆	
Sufficient sample	volume for indicated test?	Yes	•	No 🗆	
	Sample Preservation	n and	Hold Time (I	HT) Information	
All samples recei	ved within holding time?	Yes	✓	No 🗆	NA 🗌
Sample/Temp Bla	ank temperature		Temp:		NA 🗹
Water - VOA vials	s have zero headspace / no bubbles?	Yes		No 🗆	NA 🗹
Sample labels ch	ecked for correct preservation?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	
pH acceptable up	oon receipt (Metal: <2; 522: <4; 218.7: >8)?	Yes		No 🗆	NA 🗹
Samples Receive	ed on Ice?	Yes		No 🗹	
UCMR3 Samples	:				
-	ested and acceptable upon receipt for EPA 522?	Yes		No 🗆	NA 🗹
Free Chlorine to 300.1, 537, 539	ested and acceptable upon receipt for EPA 218.7, 3?	Yes		No 🗆	NA 🗹
	=========		====	=======	=======

<u>TestAmerica</u>

THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

ANALYTICAL REPORT

TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.

TestAmerica Pleasanton 1220 Quarry Lane Pleasanton, CA 94566 Tel: (925)484-1919

TestAmerica Job ID: 720-79144-1

Client Project/Site: Chun

Revision: 1

For:

Ninyo & Moore 1956 Webster Street Suite 400 Oakland, California 94612

Attn: Mr. Peter D. Sims

faller

Authorized for release by: 5/17/2017 3:10:51 PM

Paloma Duong, Project Manager I (925)484-1919

paloma.duong@testamericainc.com

.....LINKS

Review your project results through
Total Access

Have a Question?



Visit us at: www.testamericainc.com

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.

Table of Contents

Cover Page	1
Table of Contents	2
Definitions/Glossary	3
Case Narrative	4
Detection Summary	5
Client Sample Results	6
QC Sample Results	19
QC Association Summary	21
Lab Chronicle	22
Certification Summary	25
Method Summary	26
Sample Summary	27
Chain of Custody	28
Receipt Checklists	30

3

4

6

0

9

10

12

13

Definitions/Glossary

Client: Ninyo & Moore TestAmerica Job ID: 720-79144-1
Project/Site: Chun

Glossary

MDC

Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.
¤	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis
%R	Percent Recovery
CFL	Contains Free Liquid
CNF	Contains No Free Liquid
DER	Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)
Dil Fac	Dilution Factor
DL	Detection Limit (DoD/DOE)
DL, RA, RE, IN	Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample
DLC	Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin)
LOD	Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE)
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)
MDA	Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)

MDL Method Detection Limit
ML Minimum Level (Dioxin)
NC Not Calculated

ND Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)

Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)

PQL Practical Quantitation Limit

QC Quality Control

RER Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)

RL Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)

RPD Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points

TEF Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)
TEQ Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)

Page 3 of 30

3

5

6

_

10

15

13

Case Narrative

Client: Ninyo & Moore Project/Site: Chun TestAmerica Job ID: 720-79144-1

Job ID: 720-79144-1

Laboratory: TestAmerica Pleasanton

Narrative

Job Narrative 720-79144-1

Comments

No additional comments.

Receipt

The samples were received on 4/26/2017 12:00 PM; the samples arrived in good condition, properly preserved and, where required, on ice. The temperature of the cooler at receipt was 4.2° C.

Receipt Exceptions

Sample NMB-11B-5.0 was received with no soil in the core-n-one. Sample analysis was canceled.

GC/MS VOA

Method 8260B: Elevated reporting limits are provided for the following samples: NMB-9B-2.5 (720-79144-3) and NMB-6B-2.5 (720-79144-5). Original analysis had significant carryover from the previous runs in the batch. The second analysis had a poor purge with no reportable data.

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

2

3

4

5

6

0

9

10

13

Detection Summary

Client: Ninyo & Moore Project/Site: Chun

TestAmerica Job ID: 720-79144-1

Client Sample ID: NMB-13	Lab Sample ID:	Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-1					
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac D Method	Prep Type
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) -C5-C12	12000000		2300000		ug/Kg	10000 8260B	Total/NA
Client Sample ID: NMB-13	3-4.0					Lab Sample ID:	720-79144-2
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac D Method	Prep Type
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) -C5-C12	8600000		2300000		ug/Kg	10000 8260B	Total/NA
Client Sample ID: NMB-9E	3-2.5					Lab Sample ID:	720-79144-3
No Detections.							
Client Sample ID: NMB-98	3-5.0					Lab Sample ID:	720-79144-4
No Detections.							
Client Sample ID: NMB-6E	3-2.5					Lab Sample ID:	720-79144-5
No Detections.							
Client Sample ID: NMB-6E	3-5.0					Lab Sample ID:	720-79144-6
No Detections.							
Client Sample ID: NMB-3E	3-2.5					Lab Sample ID:	720-79144-7
No Detections.							
Client Sample ID: NMB-3E	3-5.0					Lab Sample ID:	720-79144-8
No Detections.							
Client Sample ID: NMB-14	l-2.5					Lab Sample ID:	720-79144-9
No Detections.							
Client Sample ID: NMB-14	l-5.0					Lab Sample ID: 7	20-79144-10
No Detections.							
Client Sample ID: NMB-11	B-2.5					Lab Sample ID: 7	20-79144-11
No Detections.							
Client Sample ID: NMB-15	5-2.5					Lab Sample ID: 7	20-79144-13
No Detections.							
Client Sample ID: NMB-15	5-5.0					Lab Sample ID: 7	20-79144-14
No Detections.							

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

TestAmerica Pleasanton

Client Sample Results

Client: Ninyo & Moore TestAmerica Job ID: 720-79144-1
Project/Site: Chun

Client Sample ID: NMB-13-2.5 Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-1

Date Collected: 04/25/17 08:50

Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Matrix: Solid

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) Result Qualifier Analyte RL **MDL** Unit D Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 04/26/17 23:00 04/28/17 19:11 Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) 12000000 2300000 ug/Kg 10000 -C5-C12 Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac

66 - 148

101

4-Bromofluorobenzene

7

10000

04/26/17 23:00 04/28/17 19:11

6

9

11

12

Client: Ninyo & Moore TestAmerica Job ID: 720-79144-1
Project/Site: Chun

Client Sample ID: NMB-13-4.0 Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-2

Date Collected: 04/25/17 09:05

Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Matrix: Solid

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) Result Qualifier Analyte RL **MDL** Unit D Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 04/26/17 23:00 04/28/17 19:42 Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) 8600000 2300000 ug/Kg 10000 -C5-C12 Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 4-Bromofluorobenzene 103 66 - 148 04/26/17 23:00 04/28/17 19:42 10000

Λ

5

6

9

10

12

13

Client: Ninyo & Moore TestAmerica Job ID: 720-79144-1
Project/Site: Chun

Client Sample ID: NMB-9B-2.5 Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-3

Date Collected: 04/25/17 09:50

Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Matrix: Solid

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) Analyte Result Qualifier RL **MDL** Unit D Analyzed Dil Fac Prepared ND 04/26/17 23:00 05/02/17 12:05 Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) 22000 ug/Kg 100 -C5-C12 Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 4-Bromofluorobenzene 101 66 - 148 04/26/17 23:00 05/02/17 12:05 100

3

5

6

9

11

12

Client: Ninyo & Moore TestAmerica Job ID: 720-79144-1 Project/Site: Chun

Client Sample ID: NMB-9B-5.0 Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-4

Date Collected: 04/25/17 10:05 Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Method: 8260B - Volatile	Organic Compounds (GC/	MS)					
Analyte	Result Qualifier	RL	MDL Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
C5-C12	ND ND	210	ug/Kg		04/26/17 23:00	04/28/17 19:30	1
Surrogate	%Recovery Qualifier	Limits			Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene	97	45 - 131			04/26/17 23:00	04/28/17 19:30	

5

6

8

46

11

13

Client: Ninyo & Moore Project/Site: Chun

TestAmerica Job ID: 720-79144-1

Client Sample ID: NMB-6B-2.5

Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-5

Date Collected: 04/25/17 10:30 Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Matrix: Solid

Method: 8260B - Volatile Orga	inic Compo	unds (GC/I	MS)				
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prep
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND		22000		ug/Kg		04/26/1
_C5_C12							

Analyzed Dil Fac pared /17 23:00 <u>05/02/17 12:36</u> 100

Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 4-Bromofluorobenzene 98 66 - 148 04/26/17 23:00 05/02/17 12:36 100

Client: Ninyo & Moore TestAmerica Job ID: 720-79144-1 Project/Site: Chun

Client Sample ID: NMB-6B-5.0 Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-6

Date Collected: 04/25/17 10:40 Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Method: 8260B - Volatile	Organic Compounds (GC/	MS)				
Analyte	Result Qualifier	RL	MDL Unit	D Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
C5-C12	ND ND	210	ug/Kg	04/26/17 23:00	04/28/17 20:28	1
Surrogate	%Recovery Qualifier	Limits		Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene	100	45 - 131		04/26/17 23:00	04/28/17 20:28	1

4

6

7

9

11

13

Client: Ninyo & Moore TestAmerica Job ID: 720-79144-1 Project/Site: Chun

Client Sample ID: NMB-3B-2.5 Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-7

Date Collected: 04/25/17 11:00 Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Welliou. 6260B - Volatile Orga	inic compounds (GC/	1 V 13)				
Analyte	Result Qualifier	RL	MDL Unit D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
C5-C12	ND	220	ug/Kg	04/26/17 23:00	04/28/17 20:56	1
Surrogate	%Recovery Qualifier	Limits		Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene	08	45 131		04/26/17 23:00	04/28/17 20:56	

5

ט

8

10

12

13

Client: Ninyo & Moore TestAmerica Job ID: 720-79144-1 Project/Site: Chun

Client Sample ID: NMB-3B-5.0 Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-8

Date Collected: 04/25/17 11:10 Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS

Analyte	Result Qualifier	IVIS) RL	MDL Unit	D Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Analyte	Result Qualifier	KL	MDL UIII	D Prepared	Allalyzeu	DII Fac
C5-C12	ND	210	ug/Kg	04/26/17 23:00	04/28/17 22:51	1
Surrogate	%Recovery Qualifier	Limits		Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene	102	45 - 131		04/26/17 23:00	04/28/17 22:51	1

6

9

11

12

Client: Ninyo & Moore TestAmerica Job ID: 720-79144-1 Project/Site: Chun

Client Sample ID: NMB-14-2.5 Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-9

Date Collected: 04/25/17 11:30 Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Method: 8260B - Volatile	Organic Compounds (GC)	/MS)				
Analyte	Result Qualifier	RL	MDL Unit	D Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
C5-C12	ND	220	ug/Kg	04/26/17 23:00	04/28/17 23:20	1
Surrogate	%Recovery Qualifier	Limits		Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene	98	45 - 131		04/26/17 23:00	04/28/17 23:20	1

_

6

_

9

11

13

Client: Ninyo & Moore TestAmerica Job ID: 720-79144-1
Project/Site: Chun

Client Sample ID: NMB-14-5.0 Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-10

Date Collected: 04/25/17 11:40

Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Matrix: Solid

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) Analyte Result Qualifier RL **MDL** Unit D Analyzed Dil Fac Prepared C5-C12 ND 200 04/26/17 23:00 04/28/17 23:49 ug/Kg Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac Limits 4-Bromofluorobenzene 100 45 - 131 04/26/17 23:00 04/28/17 23:49

_

5

6

_

46

11

Client: Ninyo & Moore TestAmerica Job ID: 720-79144-1 Project/Site: Chun

Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-11 Client Sample ID: NMB-11B-2.5

Date Collected: 04/25/17 12:10 **Matrix: Solid** Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Method: 8260B - Volatile	Organic Compo	unds (GC/	MS)						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
C5-C12	ND		200		ug/Kg		04/26/17 23:00	04/29/17 00:17	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene	82		45 - 131				04/26/17 23:00	04/29/17 00:17	1

Client: Ninyo & Moore TestAmerica Job ID: 720-79144-1 Project/Site: Chun

Client Sample ID: NMB-15-2.5 Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-13

Date Collected: 04/25/17 14:00 Matrix: Solid Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) Analyte Result Qualifier RL **MDL** Unit D Analyzed Dil Fac Prepared C5-C12 ND 210 04/26/17 23:00 04/29/17 00:46 ug/Kg Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac Limits 4-Bromofluorobenzene 94 45 - 131 04/26/17 23:00 04/29/17 00:46

Client: Ninyo & Moore TestAmerica Job ID: 720-79144-1 Project/Site: Chun

Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-14 Client Sample ID: NMB-15-5.0

Date Collected: 04/25/17 14:15 **Matrix: Solid** Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Method: 8260B - Volatile (Organic Compo	unds (GC/	MS)						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
C5-C12	ND		190		ug/Kg		04/26/17 23:00	04/29/17 01:15	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene	93		45 - 131				04/26/17 23:00	04/29/17 01:15	

Client: Ninyo & Moore TestAmerica Job ID: 720-79144-1 Project/Site: Chun

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

MB MB

Lab Sample ID: MB 720-222053/9 Client Sample ID: Method Blank **Matrix: Solid** Prep Type: Total/NA

Analysis Batch: 222053

MB MB Result Qualifier RL **MDL** Unit D Analyzed Dil Fac **Analyte** Prepared 25000 04/28/17 12:33 100 Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) ND ug/Kg -C5-C12

Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits Prepared Dil Fac Analyzed 4-Bromofluorobenzene 04/28/17 12:33 98 66 - 148 100

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Lab Sample ID: LCS 720-222053/13 Prep Type: Total/NA

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 222053

LCS LCS %Rec. Spike **Analyte** Added Result Qualifier Unit D %Rec Limits Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) 100000 98700 ug/Kg 99 71 - 134

-C5-C12

LCS LCS %Recovery Qualifier Surrogate I imits 66 - 148 4-Bromofluorobenzene 100

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 720-222053/14 **Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup Matrix: Solid** Prep Type: Total/NA

Analysis Batch: 222053

LCSD LCSD RPD Spike %Rec. Added Result Qualifier Limits **RPD** Limit Analyte Unit %Rec 100000 102000 102 20 Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) ug/Kg

-C5-C12

LCSD LCSD

Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits 4-Bromofluorobenzene 101 66 - 148

Lab Sample ID: MB 720-222080/11

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 222080

MB MB Analyte Result Qualifier RL MDL Unit D Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac C5-C12 $\overline{\mathsf{ND}}$ 250 ug/Kg 04/28/17 18:31

MB MB %Recovery

Surrogate Qualifier Limits Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 4-Bromofluorobenzene 98 04/28/17 18:31 45 - 131

Lab Sample ID: LCS 720-222080/9

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 222080

LCS LCS %Rec. Spike Analyte Added Result Qualifier Unit %Rec Limits C5-C12 1000 1040 ug/Kg 104 61 - 128

LCS LCS

Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits 4-Bromofluorobenzene 45 - 131 101

TestAmerica Pleasanton

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Total/NA

Prep Type: Total/NA

Client: Ninyo & Moore Project/Site: Chun

TestAmerica Job ID: 720-79144-1

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 720-222080/10 Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup **Matrix: Solid** Prep Type: Total/NA

Analysis Batch: 222080

Spike LCSD LCSD %Rec. RPD Analyte Added Result Qualifier Unit D %Rec Limits RPD Limit C5-C12 1000 976 ug/Kg 98 61 - 128 6 20

LCSD LCSD

Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits 4-Bromofluorobenzene 98 45 - 131

Lab Sample ID: MB 720-222215/4 Client Sample ID: Method Blank Prep Type: Total/NA

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 222215

MB MB

Analyte Result Qualifier RL **MDL** Unit Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 25000 05/02/17 09:00 100 $\overline{\mathsf{ND}}$ ug/Kg Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)

-C5-C12

MB MB Qualifier Limits Dil Fac Surrogate %Recovery Prepared Analyzed 4-Bromofluorobenzene 97 66 - 148 05/02/17 09:00 100

Lab Sample ID: LCS 720-222215/7 Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Prep Type: Total/NA

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 222215

Spike LCS LCS %Rec. Analyte Added Result Qualifier Unit %Rec Limits 100000 97800 98 Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) ug/Kg 71 - 134

-C5-C12

LCS LCS

Surrogate %Recovery Qualifier Limits 4-Bromofluorobenzene 66 - 148 99

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 720-222215/8 Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup Prep Type: Total/NA

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 222215

Spike LCSD LCSD %Rec. **RPD** Added Result Qualifier Unit D %Rec Limits RPD Limit **Analyte** 100000 98000 98 20 Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) ug/Kg 71 - 134 0

-C5-C12

LCSD LCSD %Recovery Qualifier Surrogate Limits 4-Bromofluorobenzene 66 - 148 98

QC Association Summary

Client: Ninyo & Moore TestAmerica Job ID: 720-79144-1
Project/Site: Chun

GC/MS VOA

Analysis Batch: 222053

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
720-79144-1	NMB-13-2.5	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	222105
720-79144-2	NMB-13-4.0	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	222105
MB 720-222053/9	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	
LCS 720-222053/13	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	
LCSD 720-222053/14	Lab Control Sample Dup	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	

Analysis Batch: 222080

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
720-79144-4	NMB-9B-5.0	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	222105
720-79144-6	NMB-6B-5.0	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	222105
720-79144-7	NMB-3B-2.5	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	222105
720-79144-8	NMB-3B-5.0	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	222105
720-79144-9	NMB-14-2.5	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	222105
720-79144-10	NMB-14-5.0	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	222105
720-79144-11	NMB-11B-2.5	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	222105
720-79144-13	NMB-15-2.5	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	222105
720-79144-14	NMB-15-5.0	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	222105
MB 720-222080/11	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	
LCS 720-222080/9	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	
LCSD 720-222080/10	Lab Control Sample Dup	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	

Prep Batch: 222105

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
720-79144-1	NMB-13-2.5	Total/NA	Solid	5035	_
720-79144-2	NMB-13-4.0	Total/NA	Solid	5035	
720-79144-4	NMB-9B-5.0	Total/NA	Solid	5035	
720-79144-6	NMB-6B-5.0	Total/NA	Solid	5035	
720-79144-7	NMB-3B-2.5	Total/NA	Solid	5035	
720-79144-8	NMB-3B-5.0	Total/NA	Solid	5035	
720-79144-9	NMB-14-2.5	Total/NA	Solid	5035	
720-79144-10	NMB-14-5.0	Total/NA	Solid	5035	
720-79144-11	NMB-11B-2.5	Total/NA	Solid	5035	
720-79144-13	NMB-15-2.5	Total/NA	Solid	5035	
720-79144-14	NMB-15-5.0	Total/NA	Solid	5035	

Analysis Batch: 222215

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
720-79144-3	NMB-9B-2.5	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	222245
720-79144-5	NMB-6B-2.5	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	222245
MB 720-222215/4	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	
LCS 720-222215/7	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	
LCSD 720-222215/8	Lab Control Sample Dup	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	

Prep Batch: 222245

Ĺ	₋ab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
7	720-79144-3	NMB-9B-2.5	Total/NA	Solid	5035	
7	7 20-79144-5	NMB-6B-2.5	Total/NA	Solid	5035	

TestAmerica Pleasanton

Page 21 of 30

-

3

5

0

8

10

11

13

14

Prep Batch

Dan D

TestAmerica Job ID: 720-79144-1

Client: Ninyo & Moore Project/Site: Chun

Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-1

Matrix: Solid

Client Sample ID: NMB-13-2.5 Date Collected: 04/25/17 08:50

Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	5035			222105	04/26/17 23:00	MJK	TAL PLS
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		10000	222053	04/28/17 19:11	BAJ	TAL PLS

Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-2

Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-4

Matrix: Solid

Matrix: Solid

Date Collected: 04/25/17 09:05 Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Client Sample ID: NMB-13-4.0

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	5035			222105	04/26/17 23:00	MJK	TAL PLS
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		10000	222053	04/28/17 19:42	BAJ	TAL PLS

Client Sample ID: NMB-9B-2.5 Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-3

Date Collected: 04/25/17 09:50

Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

_	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Type	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	5035			222245	04/26/17 23:00	JRM	TAL PLS
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		100	222215	05/02/17 12:05	JRM	TAL PLS

Client Sample ID: NMB-9B-5.0

Date Collected: 04/25/17 10:05 Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

_	Batch	Batch	_	Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Type	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	5035			222105	04/26/17 23:00	MJK	TAL PLS
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	222080	04/28/17 19:30	A1C	TAL PLS

Client Sample ID: NMB-6B-2.5 Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-5

Date Collected: 04/25/17 10:30

Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

_	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	5035			222245	04/26/17 23:00	JRM	TAL PLS
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		100	222215	05/02/17 12:36	JRM	TAL PLS

Client Sample ID: NMB-6B-5.0 Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-6

Date Collected: 04/25/17 10:40 Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

_	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	5035			222105	04/26/17 23:00	MJK	TAL PLS
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	222080	04/28/17 20:28	A1C	TAL PLS

TestAmerica Pleasanton

Page 22 of 30

5/17/2017

Matrix: Solid

Matrix: Solid

TestAmerica Job ID: 720-79144-1

Client: Ninyo & Moore Project/Site: Chun

Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-7

Client Sample ID: NMB-3B-2.5 Date Collected: 04/25/17 11:00

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Type	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	5035			222105	04/26/17 23:00	MJK	TAL PLS
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	222080	04/28/17 20:56	A1C	TAL PLS

Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-8

Client Sample ID: NMB-3B-5.0 Date Collected: 04/25/17 11:10

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Type	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	5035			222105	04/26/17 23:00	MJK	TAL PLS
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	222080	04/28/17 22:51	A1C	TAL PLS

Client Sample ID: NMB-14-2.5 Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-9

Date Collected: 04/25/17 11:30 **Matrix: Solid**

Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	5035			222105	04/26/17 23:00	MJK	TAL PLS
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	222080	04/28/17 23:20	A1C	TAL PLS

Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-10 Client Sample ID: NMB-14-5.0

Date Collected: 04/25/17 11:40 **Matrix: Solid**

Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Type	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	5035			222105	04/26/17 23:00	MJK	TAL PLS
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	222080	04/28/17 23:49	A1C	TAL PLS

Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-11 Client Sample ID: NMB-11B-2.5

Date Collected: 04/25/17 12:10 **Matrix: Solid**

Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Type	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	5035			222105	04/26/17 23:00	MJK	TAL PLS
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	222080	04/29/17 00:17	A1C	TAL PLS

Client Sample ID: NMB-15-2.5 Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-13

Date Collected: 04/25/17 14:00 **Matrix: Solid**

Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	5035			222105	04/26/17 23:00	MJK	TAL PLS
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	222080	04/29/17 00:46	A1C	TAL PLS

TestAmerica Pleasanton

Page 23 of 30

5/17/2017

Lab Chronicle

Client: Ninyo & Moore Project/Site: Chun

TestAmerica Job ID: 720-79144-1

Client Sample ID: NMB-15-5.0

Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-14 Date Collected: 04/25/17 14:15

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

ı		Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
	Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
	Total/NA	Prep	5035			222105	04/26/17 23:00	MJK	TAL PLS
	Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	222080	04/29/17 01:15	A1C	TAL PLS

Laboratory References:

TAL PLS = TestAmerica Pleasanton, 1220 Quarry Lane, Pleasanton, CA 94566, TEL (925)484-1919

Accreditation/Certification Summary

Client: Ninyo & Moore TestAmerica Job ID: 720-79144-1
Project/Site: Chun

Laboratory: TestAmerica Pleasanton

Unless otherwise noted, all analytes for this laboratory were covered under each accreditation/certification below.

Authority	Program		EPA Region	Identification Number	Expiration Date
California	State Prog	gram	9	2496	01-31-18
Analysis Method	Prep Method	Matrix	Analyt	е	

2

5

4

5

0

10

11

12

Method Summary

Client: Ninyo & Moore Project/Site: Chun

TestAmerica Job ID: 720-79144-1

Method	Method Description	Protocol	Laboratory
8260B	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL PLS

Protocol References:

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

Laboratory References:

TAL PLS = TestAmerica Pleasanton, 1220 Quarry Lane, Pleasanton, CA 94566, TEL (925)484-1919

3

4

Ę

6

9

11

40

13

Sample Summary

Client: Ninyo & Moore Project/Site: Chun

TestAmerica Job ID: 720-79144-1

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received
720-79144-1	NMB-13-2.5	Solid	04/25/17 08:50	04/26/17 12:00
720-79144-2	NMB-13-4.0	Solid	04/25/17 09:05	04/26/17 12:00
720-79144-3	NMB-9B-2.5	Solid	04/25/17 09:50	04/26/17 12:00
720-79144-4	NMB-9B-5.0	Solid	04/25/17 10:05	04/26/17 12:00
720-79144-5	NMB-6B-2.5	Solid	04/25/17 10:30	04/26/17 12:00
720-79144-6	NMB-6B-5.0	Solid	04/25/17 10:40	04/26/17 12:00
720-79144-7	NMB-3B-2.5	Solid	04/25/17 11:00	04/26/17 12:00
720-79144-8	NMB-3B-5.0	Solid	04/25/17 11:10	04/26/17 12:00
720-79144-9	NMB-14-2.5	Solid	04/25/17 11:30	04/26/17 12:00
720-79144-10	NMB-14-5.0	Solid	04/25/17 11:40	04/26/17 12:00
720-79144-11	NMB-11B-2.5	Solid	04/25/17 12:10	04/26/17 12:00
720-79144-13	NMB-15-2.5	Solid	04/25/17 14:00	04/26/17 12:00
720-79144-14	NMB-15-5.0	Solid	04/25/17 14:15	04/26/17 12:00

3

4

5

7

8

9

12

Client: Ninyo & Moore Job Number: 720-79144-1

Login Number: 79144 List Source: TestAmerica Pleasanton

List Number: 1 Creator: Mullen, Joan

Greator: Mullen, Joan		
Question	Answer	Comment
Radioactivity wasn't checked or is = background as measured by a survey meter.</td <td>N/A</td> <td></td>	N/A	
The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact.	N/A	
Sample custody seals, if present, are intact.	N/A	
The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with.	True	
Samples were received on ice.	True	
Cooler Temperature is acceptable.	True	
Cooler Temperature is recorded.	True	
COC is present.	True	
COC is filled out in ink and legible.	True	
COC is filled out with all pertinent information.	True	
Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC?	True	
There are no discrepancies between the containers received and the COC.	True	
Samples are received within Holding Time (excluding tests with immediate HTs)	True	
Sample containers have legible labels.	True	
Containers are not broken or leaking.	True	
Sample collection date/times are provided.	True	
Appropriate sample containers are used.	True	
Sample bottles are completely filled.	True	
Sample Preservation Verified.	N/A	
There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested MS/MSDs	True	
Containers requiring zero headspace have no headspace or bubble is <6mm (1/4").	True	
Multiphasic samples are not present.	True	
Samples do not require splitting or compositing.	True	
Residual Chlorine Checked.	N/A	

TestAmerica Pleasanton