

- To: Ms. Kit Soo, P.G., Senior Hazardous Materials Specialist Alameda County Environmental Health Care Services Agency Department of Environmental Health 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, California 94502
- Re: Acknowledgement Statement Vapor Intrusion Assessment Report Bill Chun Service Station 2301 Santa Clara Avenue Alameda, California 94501 SLIC # RO0382 Geotracker Global ID # T0600100980

"I have read and acknowledge the content, recommendations and/or conclusions contained in the attached document or report submitted on my behalf to ACDEH's FTP server and the SWRCB's GeoTracker website."

RECEIVED

By Alameda County Environmental Health 2:02 pm, Jun 09, 2017

Carolyn C. Fong, Justee

Carolyn C. Fong, Trustee Claimant: Lily Angela Chun 1991 Living Trust 711 E. Hermosa Drive San Gabriel, California 91775



June 7, 2017 Project No. 401896004

Ms. Kit Soo Alameda County Environmental Health Care Services Agency Department of Environmental Health 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, California 94502

Subject: Vapor Intrusion Assessment Report Bill Chun Service Station 2301 Santa Clara Avenue Alameda, California Fuel Leak Case #RO0382 GeoTracker Global ID #T0600100980

Dear Ms. Soo:

On behalf of Ms. Carolyn C. Fong, Trustee, Lily A. Chun 1991 Trust, Ninyo & Moore has prepared this Vapor Intrusion Assessment Report for the Bill Chun Service Station located at 2301 Santa Clara Avenue in Alameda, California (site; Figure 1). The immediate site vicinity is shown on Figure 2 and the site plan including well locations and remediation system location is shown on Figure 3. This Report was prepared to document the implementation of Ninyo & Moore's Vapor Intrusion Assessment Work Plan (Work Plan) dated February 3, 2017 which was requested in an Alameda County Department of Environmental Health (ACDEH) email dated October 31, 2016. The Work Plan presented a survey of sensitive receptors and an evaluation of underground utilities in the site vicinity. Presented below are our field activities and procedures for performing this soil vapor investigation and an assessment of current soil vapor conditions at the site and site vicinity. The Work Plan was conditionally approved in a directive letter from ACDEH dated March 17, 2017.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	SITE DESCRIPTION	1
2.	BACKGROUND	1
3.	 SOIL VAPOR ASSESSMENT	1 2 2 4
4.	LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS AND SCREENING LEVELS	6 6 7
5.	SAMPLE QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL (QA/QC)	8
6.	SUMMARY	9
7.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS1	0
8.	LIMITATIONS AND EXCEPTIONS1	1
REI	FERENCES1	4

Figures

Figure 1 – Site Location Map Figure 2 – Site Vicinity Map Figure 3 – Site Plan with Soil Vapor Sampling Locations Figure 4a-g – Soil Vapor Well Construction Diagrams

Tables

Table 1 – Soil Analytical Results: TPH as gasoline Table 2 – Soil Vapor Analytical Results: Benzene, Ethylbenzene and Naphthalene, and Fixed Gases Oxygen, Methane, and Helium

Appendices

Appendix A – Soil Vapor Sampling Datasheets Appendix B –Laboratory Analytical Reports

1. SITE DESCRIPTION

The site is located at 2301 Santa Clara Avenue in the City and County of Alameda, California (Figure 1). The rectangular lot measures approximately 85 feet long by 40 feet wide. The site is occupied by a small vacant kiosk, a canopy, and a garage. The site is located in a mostly commercial area with some residential buildings, and is bordered by Oak Street to the northwest, a meeting hall and residences to the northeast and east, a retail store to the southeast (formerly Towata Flowers), and by Santa Clara Avenue to the southwest. The site vicinity is presented on Figure 2, with the site plan and adjacent properties presented on Figure 3.

2. BACKGROUND

The site is a former gasoline service station, and has been the subject of subsurface assessments, remedial actions, groundwater monitoring, and closure petitions since 1993, when three underground storage tanks (USTs) were removed. The site is listed as a Leaking Underground Storage Tank (LUST) facility in the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) GeoTracker database and as a Leaking Underground Fuel Tank (LUFT) and Spills, Leaks, Investigation and Cleanup (SLIC) facility in the ACEH database.

3. SOIL VAPOR ASSESSMENT

Locations of the borings for soil sampling and soil vapor probe installation are shown on Figure 3. Location NMB-3B was intended to evaluate impacts near the northern site boundary. Locations NMB-6B and NMB-9B were intended to evaluate impacts near the eastern site boundary adjacent to the building at 2305 Santa Clara Avenue. Location NMB-11B was intended to evaluate impacts on the eastern side of the 2305 Santa Clara Avenue building which contains a slab on grade foundation. Location NMB-13 was intended to evaluate impacts beneath the north-adjacent 1510 Oak Street building. One soil boring (NMB-13) was advanced within the 1510 Oak Street building 's basement which contains a concrete slab foundation that is approximately 4 feet below the surrounding grade. Location NMB-14 was advanced on the east side of the 2305 Santa Clara Avenue property to evaluate impacts at the boundary of the 2305 Santa Clara Avenue

and 2309 Santa Clara Avenue properties, both of which have slab on grade foundations. The locations of NMB-3B, NMB-6B, NMB-9B and NMB-11B correspond to locations where soil vapor samples have been collected in the past.

The ACDEH requested an additional soil vapor sample to be collected adjacent to groundwater monitoring well MW-12 in their March 2017 letter, and Ninyo & Moore added soil vapor probe number NMB-15 at this location. The ACDEH also requested that an air sample be collected in the crawl space accessed from the basement of the 1510 Oak Street property and near the location of NMB-13. This sample was collected and identified as crawl space indoor air sample IA-1.

3.1. Pre-Field Activities

Prior to field activities, the following pre-field activities were conducted:

- Ninyo & Moore conducted a site visit to inspect and mark-out the boring locations for the proposed soil vapor probes, and provided Underground Service Alert (USA) notification as required by California law.
- A drilling permit was obtained from the Alameda County Public Works Agency (ACPWA).

3.2. Soil Sampling and Soil Vapor Well Installation

On April 25, 2017, Ninyo & Moore contracted with VTS, LLC. (VTS) of Hayward, California, a State of California licensed C-57 drilling subcontractor to advance and install seven soil vapor borings at the site (Figure 3). Soil vapor boring locations NMB-3B, NMB-6B, NMB-9B, NMB-11B, and NMB-13 through NMB-15 were advanced by VTS using a 2.5-inch diameter hand auger under the guidance of a Ninyo & Moore field geologist to a depth of approximately 5.5 feet below ground surface (bgs). Soil samples were collected at 2.5 feet below ground surface and at 5.0 feet bgs. The sample collected from soil vapor boring NMB-11B at 5.0 feet bgs could not be analyzed because the sample container did not retain enough soil. Soil vapor boring location NMB-13 was advanced in the basement of the



1510 Oak Street property to approximately 4.0 feet below the bottom of the basement slab. The auger was not advanced deeper than four feet below slab because groundwater was encountered. Soil samples were collected from 2.5 and 4.0 feet below the slab.

Soil samples were collected in United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) Method 5035 approved containers supplied by the analytical lab, placed in dedicated resealable containers and placed in an ice-filled cooler for transport to the analytical laboratory and analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPHg) by US EPA Method 8260B.

Subsequent to soil sample collection the borings were converted to soil vapor monitoring wells by installing ¼-inch diameter Teflon tubing and 1-inch long stainless steel micro-pore soil vapor screens set at various depths near the bottom of the borings. The soil vapor sample probe screen assembly was installed in the soil borings with a one-foot sand pack of 2/12 Monterey sand constructed around the screens at the appropriate depths. Soil vapor screen placement for each soil vapor well varied slightly depending on soil moisture conditions. In general, approximately 0.5 feet of dry bentonite seal was installed immediately above the sand pack and hydrated bentonite was emplaced as a seal from the dry bentonite seal to approximately 0.5-feet bgs. The wells were completed with traffic rated well boxes in concrete. Because groundwater was encountered at a shallower depth (4 feet below the slab) than the other borings, soil vapor well NMB-13 was completed to 3.0 feet below the slab, with the screen placed at 2.5 feet of dry bentonite between 1.5 to 2.0 feet, and hydrated bentonite between 0.5 to 1.5 feet below the slab.

Wet soils encountered in soil vapor borings NMB-3B and NMB-9B may have been caused by the remediation system injection water and are likely not a sign that the stable groundwater elevation is 5 feet bgs in these locations.

A Soil Vapor Well Construction Diagram for each Vapor Well is included as Figures 4a-g.

3.3. Soil Vapor Sampling

On April 27, 2017, soil vapor sampling was conducted at the Site from the soil vapor monitoring wells by a Ninyo & Moore Professional Geologist. For each sample location, a 1-liter Summa[©] canister was collected for analysis of benzene, ethylbenzene, and naphthalene by United States EPA Method TO-15, and fixed gases oxygen, methane, and tracer gas helium by American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Method D-1946.

For US EPA Method TO-15 and ASTM Method D-1946 sampling, stainless steel sampling manifolds were connected to the vapor wells, consisting of Swagelok[®] ball valves, using Teflon tubing and Swagelok[®] fittings. Vapor samples were collected using 1-liter Summa[®] vacuum canisters. Pre-sample purging was performed using a 6-liter Summa[®] vacuum canister. The manifolds, filters, gauges, flow controllers and Summa[®] canisters were supplied by a state-certified laboratory. The flow controller was pre-set by the laboratory to allow approximately 150 milliliters per minute (mL/min) flow rate.

Before the manifold was connected to the vapor sampling well with Teflon tubing, a shut-in test was performed by opening the purge canister. At the onset of the shut-in test the initial vacuum and time was recorded on vapor sampling data sheets included as Appendix A. The shut-in test continued for a minimum of two minutes. If the vacuum pressure remained constant, the test was considered successful (leak free). No leaks were detected during the shut-in tests.

Prior to collecting samples, a purge volume of the collection manifold and Teflon[©] tubing was calculated and one volume was purged. A combined tubing and manifold length of 8 feet was assumed for the purge volume calculation of the approximately five feet bgs vapor monitoring probes, respectively. The purge volume was calculated to be approximately 478 mL which is equivalent to a drop in Summa[®] canister vacuum pressure of approximately 2.39 in. Hg for the approximately 5 feet bgs vapor monitoring probes. The purge volume was monitored by the change in pressure. The purge beginning time, initial purge canister

vacuum, end time, and final vacuum were recorded on vapor sampling data sheets included as Appendix A.

Subsequent to purging, the purge canister valve was closed and the sample canister valve opened to begin sample collection. A tracer-gas shroud was placed over the sample train and helium gas was pumped into the shroud for the duration of sample collection in order to test for leaks in the remaining fittings and the well head integrity. Helium concentrations within the shroud were monitored by utilizing a helium meter and maintaining a minimum of 20% helium in the shroud for the duration of sampling. The sample collection was monitored by change in pressure in the sample canister. The sampling start time, initial sample canister vacuum, end time, and final vacuum were recorded on the vapor sampling data sheets. Sample canister valves were closed when the remaining vacuum was approximately -5 in. Hg. Sample canisters were not allowed to reach 0 in. Hg, which would indicate that no vacuum remained in the canister. Soil vapor samples were collected into 1-Liter Summa[©] canisters at a flow rate of approximately 150 milliliters per minute. Soil vapor sampling datasheets are included as Appendix A.

During soil vapor sampling at location NMB-13, groundwater was observed in the sample tubing and therefore this sample could not be analyzed.

As stated, an additional sample was collected at the request of the ACDEH in the crawl space accessed from the basement at 1510 Oak Street. Ninyo & Moore collected an 8-hour crawl space indoor air sample (sample IA-1) in a 6-Liter Summa[©] canister using a flow controller set to approximately 12.6 milliliters per minute. The IA-1 canister was set in the crawl space at 07:30 in the morning, and was collected approximately 8-hours later at approximately 15:25, just prior to collection of the adjacent NMB-13 soil vapor sample. Because elevated concentrations of TPHg were observed during the installation of NMB-13, the IA-1 indoor air sample was removed from the 1510 Oak Street crawl space prior to opening the nearby NMB-13 well box (which was sealed during construction) in order to prevent any possible cross-contamination of the indoor air.



Subsequent to sample collection, the Summa[®] canisters were identified with the sample number, canister pressures or flow rate, location, date, time, and analytical methods, and placed into packing boxes with completed chain-of-custody documentation and transported to the analytical laboratory. Summa[®] samples were not chilled to prevent condensation of the collected gases.

4. LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS AND SCREENING LEVELS

Soil samples were analyzed by TestAmerica Laboratories Inc. (TestAmerica) of Pleasanton, California. Soil vapor samples and the crawl space indoor air sample were analyzed by McCampbell Analytical laboratory (McCampbell) in Pittsburgh, California. Soil and soil vapor analytical results were compared to the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board's (RWQCB) Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank (UST) Case Closure Policy, media-specific criteria 2 (petroleum vapor intrusion to indoor air), scenario 4. The crawl space indoor air sample result was compared to the Environmental Screening Level (ESL), for shallow soil, direct exposure human health risk levels (Table S-1); dated February 2016; established by the RWQCB.

4.1. Soil Analytical Results

Soil samples were analyzed by TestAmerica for TPHg by US EPA Method 8260B. Soil analytical results are presented in Table 1, and TestAmerica Certified Laboratory Analytical Report is presented in Appendix B. The results of the soil sample analysis include the following:

• In two of 13 soil samples (NMB-13-2.5 and NMB-13-4.0), TPHg was reported at concentrations greater than laboratory reporting limits at concentrations of 12,000 and 8,600 milligrams per kilogram (mg/Kg), respectively. The reported detections exceeded the RWQCB Low-Threat UST Case Closure Policy value of 100 mg/kg for criteria 2, scenario 4. This boring was located in the basement of the adjacent building at 1510 Oak Street and was installed in a 4 foot below grade basement slab. TPHg was not detected above the laboratory reporting limit in any of the remaining soil samples.

4.2. Soil Vapor Analytical Results

Soil vapor samples and the crawl space indoor air sample were analyzed by McCampbell for benzene, ethylbenzene and naphthalene by US EPA Method TO-15, and fixed gases (i.e., oxygen, methane and the tracer gas helium) by ASTM Method D-1946. Soil vapor analytical results are included in Table 2, and the McCampbell certified laboratory analytical report is included in Appendix B. The laboratory analytical results are discussed below.

Soil vapor analytical results were compared to the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board's Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank (UST) Case Closure Policy, media-specific criteria 2 (petroleum vapor intrusion to indoor air), scenario 4. Soil vapor and crawl space indoor air analytical results as Fixed Gases and select VOCs are presented in Table 2 and summarized below.

- The tracer gas Helium was detected in 2 of the 7 analyzed soil vapor samples (NMB-11B and NMB-14) at concentrations of 1.9 and 0.25%. Average helium concentrations within the tracer-gas shroud were documented as 27.9% and 25.0%, respectively during the sampling procedure. Calculations of percent ambient air leakage are thus 6.8% and 0.01% for samples NMB-11B and NMB-14. This indicates that the soil vapor probe assembly and/or well completion may have been slightly compromised for sample NMB-11B, and as a result the sample may have been slightly diluted. The NMB-14 sample is within acceptable limits and is considered valid. A calculated leakage of 5% or less is considered acceptable according to the California EPA/RWQCB document *Advisory: Active Soil Gas Investigations* dated July 2015.
- Oxygen was detected at concentrations below the atmospheric percentage of 20.946% in all samples. Concentrations of oxygen at levels approaching the atmospheric concentrations may be indicative of atmospheric intrusion into soil vapor samples from the surface, however, these concentrations were not observed in any samples. Oxygen was detected at concentrations above 4% in all samples except NMB-6B. Oxygen concentrations greater than 4% may be indicative of a bio-attenuation zone.
- Methane was detected at concentrations above the atmospheric percentage of 0.000179% in soil vapor probe samples NMB-3B and NMB-6B, and in the crawl space sample IA-1. Concentrations of methane at levels above that of the earth's atmosphere may be indicative of the degradation of petroleum hydrocarbons and VOCs in the subsurface. In addition, the methane concentrations are below 10% of the lower explosive limits (LEL) for methane (0.5% by volume).



- Benzene was detected in four soil vapor samples at concentrations ranging from 12 μ g/m³ (NMB-14) to 500 μ g/m³ (NMB-3B), and was several orders of magnitude below both the residential and Commercial RWQCB Low-Threat UST Case Closure Policy screening levels for benzene. Benzene was also detected in the crawl space indoor air sample IA-1 at a concentration of 1.1 μ g/m³ which is above the commercial/Industrial ESL for Indoor Air; Direct Exposure Human Health Risk Levels (Table IA-1) established by the RWQCB, February 2016.
- Ethylbenzene was detected in three samples at concentrations ranging from 15 μ g/m³ (NMB-14) to 1,500 μ g/m³ (NMB-3B), which were several orders of magnitude below the RWQCB Low-Threat UST Case Closure Policy screening levels. Ethylbenzene was not detected above the laboratory reporting limit in the crawl space indoor air sample IA-1.
- Naphthalene was detected at concentrations ranging from 8.9 μ g/m³ (NMB-9B) to 28 μ g/m³ (NMB-3B), which were several orders of magnitude below the Low Threat Closure screening levels. Naphthalene was also detected in the crawl space indoor air sample at a concentration of 0.57 μ g/m³ which is above the commercial/Industrial ESL for Indoor Air of 0.36 μ g/m³ for naphthalene.

5. SAMPLE QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL (QA/QC)

The analytical data obtained from the sampling activities were assessed for QA/QC in order to ensure that the data met the requirements for its intended use. QA/QC procedures were implemented during the sampling to assure that the project's data quality objectives (DQOs) were achieved so that the sample, analysis and reporting activities provide data that are accurate, precise, representative and legally defensible.

This project's QC/QA tasks included maintaining appropriate field documentation, and sample collection following standard environmental sampling and handling methodology, including use of all dedicated soil vapor sample train equipment, precluding decontamination between sample locations. QC samples such as duplicates and equipment blanks were not warranted for this limited investigation.

Helium was used as a tracer gas in the soil vapor sampling shroud, which completely surrounded the wellhead and soil vapor sample train. Helium was detected in just one of the analyzed soil vapor samples at 6.8% in NMB-11B which is slightly above the 5% permissible limit. This



indicates that the soil vapor sample train and all wellhead components maintained integrity during the soil vapor sampling and that no leakage of ambient air or sample dilution occurred, with the exception of NMB-11B.

Ninyo & Moore reviewed and confirmed the analytical laboratory reports. All samples were submitted in accordance with the US EPA analytical procedures and analyzed within their respective holding times.

Two soil sample extractions NMB-6B-2.5 and NMB-9B-2.5 were diluted and had elevated reporting limits due to significant carry-over from previous runs in the batch. However reporting limits were below screening levels and so this data was useful and considered valid.

Soil vapor sample NMB-6B had a surrogate recovery outside of control limits due to matrix interference, and the sample was diluted due to a cluttered chromatogram and due to high organic content. Therefore elevated reporting limits for this sample yielded an unusable result. No other significant analytical testing problems were encountered. No significant issues were identified by the labs on their internal QC samples.

Based on this review, Ninyo & Moore concludes that the projects DQOs have been achieved with the above noted qualifiers, and the reported analytical data is acceptable for use.

6. SUMMARY

On April 25, 2017, Ninyo & Moore mobilized to the Site to begin a limited soil vapor investigation with the installation of soil vapor wells NMB-3B, NMB-6B, NMB-9B, NMB-11B, and NMB-13 through NMB-15. Fourteen soil samples were collected and submitted for analysis of TPHg. Sample NMB-11B-5.0 did not retain enough soil in the sample container to perform the sample analysis. TPHg was detected at concentrations exceeding the RWQCB Low-Threat UST Case Closure Policy screening level in the two samples collected in boring NMB-13 at 2.5 and 4.0 feet below the basement slab and beneath the 1510 Oak Street building. These two samples were moist to wet due to shallow groundwater conditions



On April 27, 2017 soil vapor samples were collected from the seven soil vapor wells installed. . Due to shallow groundwater conditions, soil vapor probe NMB-13 was installed at 2.5 feet below the basement slab and, during sample collection groundwater was observed in the sample-train and as a result the sample could not be analyzed. Laboratory analyses of the remaining soil vapor samples detected low concentrations of benzene, ethylbenzene, and naphthalene above laboratory reporting limits, but below the RWQCB Low-Threat UST Case Closure Policy screening levels. Analysis of fixed gases did detect the tracer gas helium in one sample (NMB-11B) at slightly greater than permissible concentrations, indicating that the soil vapor sample train or wellhead construction contained a leak, did not maintain integrity, or the soil vapor well short-circuited with the atmosphere. In either case, minor sample dilution did occur.

The reported greater than-atmospheric concentrations of methane indicated that the breakdown of VOCs and TPHg constituents is occurring. Concentrations of methane were below 10% of the LEL for methane (equivalent to 0.5% methane by volume) which indicates that there is not a potential explosive hazard due to methane.

Indoor air samples collected from the crawl space at 1510 Oak Street indicated that benzene (reported at 1.1 μ g/m³) and naphthalene (reported at 0.57 μ g/m³) vapors exceeded their respective RWQCB Indoor Air Commercial/Industrial ESL. However, based on documented studies, the average background concentrations of benzene in outdoor urban air from eight cities indicate a benzene concentration of 1.09 μ g/m³ may be considered typical (McCarthy et. al., 2006). In addition, background indoor concentrations of naphthalene range from 0.18 to 1.7 according to a review of 21 studies performed between 1985 and 2006 (Jia and Batterman, 2010). Therefore, the concentrations of benzene and naphthalene reported in the crawl space may be related to ambient conditions.

7. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The Ninyo & Moore vapor intrusion study for the Chun facility indicates that the site meets the criteria outlined in the Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank Case Closure Policy for vapor



intrusion with the exception of the high concentrations of TPHg in the soil samples collected from NMB-13. Because these samples were likely impacted by contaminants in groundwater, the results are not representative of vadose zone soils on site.

Because of the difficulty of collecting an unsaturated soil vapor sample beneath the 1510 Oak street building, Ninyo & Moore recommends the installation of a sub-slab soil vapor probe and the collection of a sub-slab soil vapor sample in this location. We also recommend re-sampling the NMB-11B soil vapor well where detections of tracer gas exceeded permissible limits, which indicates possible sample dilution.

The soil vapor wells should be retained and resampled again in the future, if needed.

8. LIMITATIONS AND EXCEPTIONS

The environmental services described in this report have been conducted in general accordance with current regulatory guidelines and the standard-of-care exercised by environmental consultants performing similar work in the project area. No warranty, expressed or implied, is made regarding the professional opinions presented in this report. Variations in site conditions may exist and conditions not observed or described in this report may be encountered during subsequent activities. Please also note that this study did not include an evaluation of geotechnical conditions or potential geologic hazards.

Ninyo & Moore's opinions and recommendations regarding environmental conditions, as presented in this report, are based on limited subsurface assessment and chemical analysis. Further assessment of potential adverse environmental impacts from past on-site and/or nearby use of hazardous materials may be accomplished by a more comprehensive assessment. The samples collected and used for testing, and the observations made, are believed to be representative of the area(s) evaluated; however, conditions can vary significantly between sampling locations. Variations in soil, soil-gas and/or groundwater conditions will exist beyond the points explored in this evaluation.

The environmental interpretations and opinions contained in this report are based on the results of laboratory tests and analyses intended to detect the presence and concentration of specific chemical or physical constituents in samples collected from the subject site. The testing and analyses have been conducted by an independent laboratory which is certified by the State of California to conduct such tests. Ninyo & Moore has no involvement in, or control over, such testing and analysis. Ninyo & Moore, therefore, disclaims responsibility for any inaccuracy in such laboratory results.

Our conclusions, recommendations, and opinions are based on an analysis of the observed site conditions. It should be understood that the conditions of a site could change with time as a result of natural processes or the activities of man at the subject site or nearby sites. In addition, changes to the applicable laws, regulations, codes, and standards of practice may occur due to government action or the broadening of knowledge. The findings of this report may, therefore, be invalidated over time, in part or in whole, by changes over which Ninyo & Moore has no control.

This document is intended to be used only in its entirety. No portion of the document, by itself, is designed to completely represent any aspect of the project described herein. Ninyo & Moore should be contacted if the reader requires any additional information, or has questions regarding content, interpretations presented, or completeness of this document.

This report is intended exclusively for use by the Lily Chun 1991 Living Trust. Any use or reuse of the findings, conclusions, and/or recommendations of this report by parties other than the Lily Chun 1991 Living Trust is undertaken at said parties' sole risk.

We appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter report, and look forward to working with you

on future projects.

Respectfully submitted, NINYO & MOORE

Forrest McFarland, PG 7984 Senior Environmental Geologist



= Sine

Peter D. Sims Project Manager

FSM/KML/vmn

Attachments: References

Figure 1 – Site Location Map Figure 2 – Site Vicinity Map Figure 3 – Site Plan with Soil Vapor Sampling Locations Figure 4a-g – Soil Vapor Well Construction Diagrams

Table 1 – Soil Analytical Results: TPH as gasoline Table 2 – Soil Vapor Analytical Results: Benzene, Ethylbenzene and Naphthalene, and Fixed Gases Oxygen, Methane, and Helium

Appendix A – Soil Vapor Sampling Datasheets Appendix B –Laboratory Analytical Reports

Distribution: (1) Addressee (2) Lily Angela Chun 1991 Living Trust

REFERENCES

DTSC/RWQCB, 2015, Advisory – Active Soil Gas Investigations, dated July.

- Jia, Chunrong and Batterman, Stuart, 2010, A Critical Review of Naphthalene Sources and Exposures Relevant to Indoor and Outdoor Air.
- McCarthy et.al., 2006, Background Concentrations of 18 Air Toxics for North America, Michael C. McCarthy, Hilary R. Hafner & Stephen A. Montzka; Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association, 56:1, 3-11, DOI: 10.1080/10473289.2006.10464436
- Ninyo & Moore, 2017, Vapor Intrusion Assessment Work Plan Bill Chun Service Station. 2301 Santa Clara Avenue, Alameda, California; Fuel Leak Case #RO0382; dated February, 3.

RWQCB, 2012, Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank Case Closure Policy, dated August 17.





















APPENDIX A

SOIL VAPOR SAMPLING DATASHEETS

			client: Chyn			Di	ate 4/28/
A	MR-9P		Project Number:	101 896	004		/
11	MD 16		Site Location: 23	01 500	Ja Clar	a. Alarra	ed y
			Field Personnel Type of Probe and Advan	45M		J .	e .
	2730 Shadelands Dr. Walnut Cr T 925.946.3100 F 510.350.998	reek, CA 8 www.itsi.com	5	sv/HA			
		100000000000000000000000000000000000000					
	Sample ID	Sample Info / Times	Comments		Duplicate Sample ID	Duplicate Info / Times	Comments
	Canister Serial No.	6406-193					
	Flow Controller Serial No.	316.770					
	Sample Depth (Ft.)	5'					
	Tubing length	J'	-				
	Purge Volume and Rate	3" HS					
	Calculated Duration of Purge (3 tubing volumes)	3"13					
	Time Sample-Train Vacuum- Hold Test Begins	0812					
	Initial Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-29"					
C. Brank	Time Sample-Train Vacuum- Hold Test Ends	0816					
	Duration of Vacuum-Hold Test	- 1 min					i and a start of the
	Final Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-29"					
	Time Beginning of Purge	0827	-27"	-5-2	671		
	Time End of Purge	0830					
	Actual Duration of Purge	Zmin					
	Initial Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-2,71					
	Time Canister Opened	7430					
	Measured Helium % initial	_		1			
	2 min.	23.7	35 min.		2 min.	r	35 min.
	4 min.	37.1	40 min.		4 min.		40 min.
	6min.	22.5	45 min.		6min.		45 min.
	8min.	1.15	50 min.		8min.		50 min.
	10 min.		55 min.		10 min.		55 min.
	15 min.		60 min.		15 min.		60 min.
	20 min.		min.		20 min.		min.
	25 min.		min.		25 min.		min.
	30 min.		min.		30 min.		min.
	Comments		min.		Comments		min
	Time Canister Closed	0936					
	Final Canister Pressure (inches Ho)	- 54					
	(annao (18)	10-					

1	MARZI	R	Client: Project Number:	101996	004	t	Date / 4//
NMN-012			Site Location: 33	of Sart	a Clara A	we klame	eda
			Field Personnel Type of Probe and Adva	Incement Method			
	2730 Shadelands Dr. Walnut Cr T 925.946.3100 F 510,350.998	reek, CA 38 www.itsi.com		SV/H	A		
	Sample ID	Sample Info / Times	Comments		Duplicate Sample ID	Duplicate Info / Times	Comments
	Canister Serial No.	7527-	875				
Data	Flow Controller Serial No.	316-134	7				
nple [Sample Depth (Ft.)	5					
Sal	Tubing length	8'					
	Purge Volume and Rate	"S"HS					
	Calculated Duration of Purge (3 tubing volumes)	3"49					
Test	Time Sample-Train Vacuum- Hold Test Begins	8859					
PloH-	Initial Canister Vacuum (inches Ho)	- 27.5				Constanting.	Steller F.C.
ute Vacuum	Time Sample-Train Vacuum- Hold Test Ends	0359					
	Duration of Vacuum-Hold Test	Zmin					
10-Min	Final Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	~27,5					
	Time Beginning of Purge	0902	-27,	5->	-24,5	e,	
Purge	Time End of Purge	0806					
	Actual Duration of Purge	4 min					
	Initial Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-31"					
	Time Canister Opened	0706					
	Measured Helium % initial	ý	and an addition of the state of the local sector				
	2 min.	27.3	35 min.		2 min.	-	35 min.
ring	4 min.	26.7	40 min.		4 min.		40 min.
Monito	6min.	24.2	45 min.		6min.		45 min.
Gas	8min.	22.0	50 min.		8min.		50 min.
Iracer	10 min.		55 min.		10 min.		55 min.
and r	15 min.		60 min.		15 min.		60 min.
lection	20 min.		min.	-	20 min.		min.
le Col	25 min.		min.		25 min.		min.
Samp	30 min.		min.		30 min.		min.
	Comments		min.		Comments		min
	Time Canister Closed	6914					
	Final Canister Pressure (inches Ho)	-411					
	Time of Comple Collection	011					

1	NAA .	2D	Project Number:	01896	6004		Date J.
	VIND	DD	Site Location: 25	DI Sant	2 Clare,	Alamed	-
		-	Field Personnel	-SM)	/ ·	
	2730 Shadelands Dr. Walnut Cr T 925.946.3100 F 510.350.998	reek, CA 38 www.itsi.com		V/HA	4		
						-	
	Sample ID	Sample Info / Times	Comments		Duplicate Sample ID	Duplicate Info / Times	Comments
	Canister Serial No.	7531-879					
	Flow Controller Serial No.	316-1328					
	Sample Depth (Ft.)	-4'					
	Tubing length	7'					
	Purge Volume and Rate	3"H					
	Calculated Duration of Purge (3 tubing volumes)	3" A.					
100	Time Sample-Train Vacuum- Hold Test Begins	8934					
	Initial Canister Vacuum (inches Ho)	~25"	N. C. Station			The states	
	Time Sample-Train Vacuum- Hold Test Ends	0933					
	Duration of Vacuum-Hold Test	Zmin					
	Final Canister Vacuum (inches Ho)	-25"					
	Time Beginning of Purge	0935	-25	Ren .	TZZ		
	Time End of Purge	2940					
	Actual Duration of Purge	5 min					
	Initial Canister Vacuum (inches Ho)	-31					
	Time Canister Opened	D94D					
	Measured Helium % initial	Ø					
	2 min.	25.9	35 min.		2 min.	· · · · ·	35 min.
	4 min.	24.0	40 min.		4 min.		40 min.
	6min.	71.9	45 min.		6min.		45 min
100000	7 gmin.	204	50 min.	1	8min.		50 min
110571107	10 min.	201	55 min.		10 min.		55 min
000000	15 min.		60 min.	-	15 min		60 min
	20 min.		min		20 min		ot min.
	25 min.		min		25 min		min.
			min		20 min		min.
	Comments		nun.		Commente		min.
	Time Canieter Closed	A G.14	win.		Comments		min.
	Final Canister Pressure	074/					
	(inches Hg)	and "					

			Client: Char	•			ate 4/37/
	MMR-1	5	Project Number: 9	015962	204		. ,
	10/10 /	0	Site Location: Tr	mes A.	lley		
			Field Personnel Type of Probe and Advan	cement Method			
	2730 Shadelands Dr. Walnut Cr T 925.946.3100 F 510.350.998	reek, CA 38 www.itsi.com	5	U/HA			
ata	Sample ID	Sample Info / Times	Comments		Duplicate Sample ID	Duplicate Info / Times	Comments
	Canister Serial No.	7528-876	0				
	Flow Controller Serial No.	316-717					
	Sample Depth (Ft.)	51					
	Tubing length	81					
	Purge Volume and Rate	3"145					
	Calculated Duration of Purge (3 tubing volumes)	3"Hg			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
CI MA	Time Sample-Train Vacuum- Hold Test Begins	1015					
	Initial Canister Vacuum	, 214					
	Time Sample-Train Vacuum-	1017					
u-minute vac	Duration of Vacuum-Hold Test	Jmin					
	Final Canister Vacuum (inches Ho)	- 31 "		<u>.</u>			
	Time Beginning of Purge	1018	-3/		284		
	Time End of Purge	1023					
	Actual Duration of Purge	5 min					
	Initial Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-30					
	Time Canister Opened	1023					
	Measured Helium % initial	B					
	2 min.	29,9	35 min.		2 min.	·	35 min.
	4 min.	27,7	40 min.		4 min.		40 min.
	6min.	26.8	45 min.		6min.		45 min.
	8min.	25.6	50 min.		8min.		50 min.
	10 min.		55 min.		10 min.		55 min.
	15 min.	·	60 min.		15 min.		60 min.
	20 min.		min.		20 min.		min.
	25 min.		min.		25 min.		min.
	30 min.		min.		30 min.		min.
	Comments		min.		Comments		min
	Time Canister Closed	1021					
	Final Canister Pressure (inches Ho)	- ~"					
	Time of Sample Collection	1.231					
	Notes:			affen Tut 1			

3	1101 1 11		Client:	295100	1.8	D	ate / 4/
1	VMD-11-	rs P	roject Number: 99	DI X 76 00	7	-	
		s	Site Location: 2,5	Do Dant	Jack,	Alanseda	
		F	ield Personnel ype of Probe and Adva	ncement Method			
	2730 Shadelands Dr. Walnut Cr T 925.946.3100 F 510.350.998	reek, CA 38 www.itsi.com	2	SVIHA			
	Sample ID	Sample Info / Times	Comments		Duplicate Sample ID	Duplicate Info / Times	Comments
	Canister Serial No.	197 8-192	6				
	Flow Controller Serial No.	316-1327	The	-			
	Sample Depth (Ft.)	5'					
	Tubing length	S.					******
	Purge Volume and Rate	3"45					
	Calculated Duration of Purge (3 tubing volumes)	3-45					
	Time Sample-Train Vacuum- Hold Test Begins	1217					
	Initial Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-28	Les Magazin				
	Time Sample-Train Vacuum- Hold Test Ends	1219					
	Duration of Vacuum-Hold Test	amin					
	Final Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-28"					
	Time Beginning of Purge	1222	- 28	7-25	-		Foresting and
	Time End of Purge	1226					2
	Actual Duration of Purge	Ymis					
	Initial Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-31"					
	Time Canister Opened	1226					
	Measured Helium % initial	¢					
	2 min.	29.3	35 min.		2 min.		35 min.
	4 min.	17.7	40 min.	-	4 min.		40 min.
	6min.	26.6	45 min.		6min.		45 min.
	8min.		50 min.		8min.		50 min.
	10 min.		55 min.		10 min.		55 min.
	15 min.		60 min.		15 min.		60 min.
	20 min.		min.		20 min.		min
	25 min.		min.		25 min.		min.
	30 min.		min.		30 min.		min.
	Comments		min.		Comments		min.
	Time Canister Closed	1252					
	Final Canister Pressure (inches Hg)	-4					
	Time of Sample Collection	1750					

	AINID	/	Droiset Number	1.01 9.9	LANK		Date he		
	NMB-	17	Site Location: 2305 Santa Claude						
			Field Personnel FSM						
	2730 Shadelands Dr. Walnut C T 925.946.3100 F 510.350.998	reek, CA 88 www.itsi.com	Type of Probe and Adva	ncement Method	A				
					1				
	Sample ID	Sample Info / Times	Comments		Duplicate Sample ID	Duplicate Info / Times	Comments		
	Canister Serial No.	6204-748	-						
ata	Flow Controller Serial No.	316-848	-						
nple D	Sample Depth (Ft.)	51				35			
San	Tubing length	R'							
	Purge Volume and Rate	3"H							
	Calculated Duration of Purge (3 tubing volumes)	3 "He							
Test	Time Sample-Train Vacuum- Hold Test Begins	1283							
ploH-	Initial Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-24.5							
acuum	Time Sample-Train Vacuum- Hold Test Ends	1255							
nute V	Duration of Vacuum-Hold Test	Zmin							
10-Mir	Final Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-245	,						
	Time Beginning of Purge	1308	24.	$5 \rightarrow$	7151				
urge	Time End of Purge	1312			K/. 0				
	Actual Duration of Purge	5 m	2						
	Initial Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	~31							
	Time Canister Opened	1312							
	Measured Helium % initial	6		1					
	2 min.	26.6	35 min.		2 min.	1	35 min		
ring	4 min.	25.1	40 min.		4 min.		40 min		
Aonito	6min.	23.2	45 min.	19	6min.		45 min		
Gas	8min.		50 min.		8min.		50 min		
Iracer	10 min.		55 min.		10 min.		55 min		
and	15 min.		60 min.		15 min.		60 min		
ectior	20 min.		min.		20 min		oo uiki.		
le Col	25 min.		min.		25 min		min.		
dunec			min.		30 min		(1001.		
	Comments		min.		Comments		())()).		
	Time Canister Closed	1310					min.		
	Final Canister Pressure	~yn							
	(מוטובא הט)								

	NIKE	12	Client: CH	40189	6004		Date 7/2.2//
	1000	1)	Site Location:	515 0.	la stal	flaced	n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n
	2730 Shadelands Dr. Walnut O	reek, CA	Field Personnel Type of Probe and Adva		-A	÷	
	1 923.940.3100 P 310.330.996	56 www.itsi.com	0	111		1	
-	Sample ID	Sample Info / Times	Comments		Duplicate Sample ID	Duplicate Info / Timor	Community
	Canister Serial No.	R1214-7551					Comments
	Flow Controller Serial No.	316-1226					
	Sample Depth (Ft.)	41					
	Tubing length	41					
	Purge Volume and Rate	3"He					
	Calculated Duration of Purge	3" 40					
	Time Sample-Train Vacuum-	1505					
	Initial Canister Vacuum	-21/5					
	Time Sample-Train Vacuum-	1507					
	Duration of Vacuum-Hold Test	Zanin					
	Final Canister Vacuum	-2150	and and a second se				
	Time Beginning of Purge	1521	71	5_0	+ > 10	5	
urge	Time End of Purge	1526	£ ' ,	0		×.0	
	Actual Duration of Purge	5na	-				
-	Initial Canister Vacuum	-22"	<u> </u>				
	Time Canister Opened	1526		0,	15-70	Notes	e There
	Measured Helium % initial	Ø	1	10,10	NO ZT	+ bia	A
	2 min.	79.58	35 min.		2 min.	Va sier	35 min.
	4 min.	ZZZ	40 min.	1	4 min.	C	40 min.
	6min.		45 min.		6min.		45 min.
	8min.		50 min.		8min.		50 min.
	10 min.		55 min.		10 min.		55 min.
	15 min.	9	60 min.				60 min.
	20 min.		min.		20 min.		min.
	25 min.		min.		25 min.		
	30 min.		min.	1			min.
	Comments		min.		Comments		min.
	Time Canister Closed	1520					
	Final Canister Pressure (inches Hg)	MZG					
	Time of Commission	11 20	5	10			

-	- ^ .		Client: CHU	V	051		Date 7/27/
	A -		Project Number: 9	0 8960	104	Λ	6
			Site Location:	FSM	Street	Maneda	CTV
			Type of Probe and Advance	ement Method	1		
	2730 Shadelands Dr. Walnut Cr T 925.946.3100 F 510.350.998	eek, CA 8 www.itsi.com	Ind	oor Air	Crawl	Space S	ample
						1	
	Sample ID	Sample Info / Times	Comments		Duplicate Sample ID	Duplicate Info / Times	Comments
	Canister Serial No.	2735-55	\$				
Data	Flow Controller Serial No.	1051					
nple [Sample Depth (Ft.)	Processo and the second s					
Sai	Tubing length	~					
	Purge Volume and Rate	~					
	Calculated Duration of Purge (3 tubing volumes)	Lawrence and					
Test	Time Sample-Train Vacuum- Hold Test Begins						
ploH-n	Initial Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	~					
acuun'	Time Sample-Train Vacuum- Hold Test Ends	-					
nute V	Duration of Vacuum-Hold Test	~					
10-Mi	Final Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	Parameter					
	Time Beginning of Purge	Personal					
Purge	Time End of Purge	~					
	Actual Duration of Purge	~					
	Initial Canister Vacuum (inches Hg)	-29,5					
	Time Canister Opened	0735					
	Measured Helium % initial						
	2 min.	NA	35 min.		2 min.	r	35 min.
oring	4 min.	1	40 min.		4 min.		40 min.
Monit	6min.		45 min.		6min.		45 min.
r Gas	8min.		50 min.		8min.		50 min.
Trace	10 min.		55 min.		10 min.		55 min.
n and	15 min.		60 min.		15 min.		60 min.
Ilectio	20 min.		min.		20 min.		min.
ple Co	25 min.		min.		25 min.		min.
Sam	30 min.		min.		30 min.		min.
	Comments		min.		Comments		min.
	Time Canister Closed	1570					
	Final Canister Pressure (inches Hg)	75"					
	Time of Sample Collection	1570				The second second	
APPENDIX B

LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS





McCampbell Analytical, Inc.

"When Quality Counts"

Analytical Report

WorkOrder: 1705029

Report Created for: Ninyo & Moore

1956 Webster St. #400 Oakland, CA 94612

Project Contact: Project P.O.: Project Name:

Peter Sims 401896004 401596004; CHUN

Project Received: 05/01/2017

Analytical Report reviewed & approved for release on 05/09/2017 by:

Angela Rydelius, Laboratory Manager

The report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. The analytical results relate only to the items tested. Results reported conform to the most current NELAP standards, where applicable, unless otherwise stated in the case narrative.



1534 Willow Pass Rd. Pittsburg, CA 94565 TEL: (877) 252-9262 FAX: (925) 252-9269 www.mccampbell.com

CA ELAP 1644 ♦ NELAP 4033ORELAP



Glossary of Terms & Qualifier Definitions

 Client:
 Ninyo & Moore

 Project:
 401596004; CHUN

 WorkOrder:
 1705029

Glossary Abbreviation

%D	Serial Dilution Percent Difference
95% Interval	95% Confident Interval
DF	Dilution Factor
DI WET	(DISTLC) Waste Extraction Test using DI water
DISS	Dissolved (direct analysis of 0.45 μm filtered and acidified water sample)
DLT	Dilution Test (Serial Dilution)
DUP	Duplicate
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit
IGN1	Sample is non metalic
IGN2	Sample is metalic
ITEF	International Toxicity Equivalence Factor
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
MB	Method Blank
MB % Rec	% Recovery of Surrogate in Method Blank, if applicable
MDL	Method Detection Limit
ML	Minimum Level of Quantitation
MS	Matrix Spike
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate
N/A	Not Applicable
ND	Not detected at or above the indicated MDL or RL
NR	Data Not Reported due to matrix interference or insufficient sample amount.
PDS	Post Digestion Spike
PDSD	Post Digestion Spike Duplicate
PF	Prep Factor
RD	Relative Difference
RL	Reporting Limit (The RL is the lowest calibration standard in a multipoint calibration.)
RPD	Relative Percent Deviation
RRT	Relative Retention Time
SPK Val	Spike Value
SPKRef Val	Spike Reference Value
SPLP	Synthetic Precipitation Leachate Procedure
ST	Sorbent Tube
TCLP	Toxicity Characteristic Leachate Procedure
TEQ	Toxicity Equivalents
WET (STLC)	Waste Extraction Test (Soluble Threshold Limit Concentration)

Glossary of Terms & Qualifier Definitions

 Client:
 Ninyo & Moore

 Project:
 401596004; CHUN

 WorkOrder:
 1705029

Analytical Qualifiers

S	surrogate spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits
a2	sample diluted due to cluttered chromatogram
a3	sample diluted due to high organic content.
c2	surrogate recovery outside of the control limits due to matrix interference.

Quality Control Qualifiers



Case Narrative

Client:Ninyo & MooreProject:401596004; CHUN

Work Order: 1705029 May 11, 2017

TO-15 ANALYSIS

All summa canisters are EVACUATED 5 days after the reporting of the results. Please call or email if a longer retention time is required.

In an effort to attain the lowest reporting limits possible for the majority of the TO-15 target list, high level compounds may be analyzed using EPA Method 8260B.

Polymer (Tedlar) bags are not recommended for TO15 samples. The disadvantages are listed in Appendix B of the DTSC Active Soil Gas Advisory of July 2015.

Sample 1705029-007A could not be analyzed because it contained liquid and had a strong gasoline odor. A septa was put on the port of the sample, and liquid oozed out.





 Client:
 Ninyo & Moore

 Date Received:
 5/1/17 16:00

 Date Prepared:
 5/3/17

 Project:
 401596004; CHUN

 WorkOrder:
 1705029

 Extraction Method:
 ASTM D 1946-90

 Analytical Method:
 ASTM D 1946-90

 Unit:
 %

Atmospheric Gases					
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Col	llected Instrument	Batch ID
IA-1	1705029-008A	Indoor Air	04/27/201	7 15:20 GC26	138457
Analytes	Result		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
Oxygen	16		8.0	2.5	05/03/2017 11:02

Analyst(s): AK





 Client:
 Ninyo & Moore

 Date Received:
 5/1/17 16:00

 Date Prepared:
 5/3/17

 Project:
 401596004; CHUN

WorkOrder: 1705029 Extraction Method: ASTM D 1946-90 Analytical Method: ASTM D 1946-90 Unit: %

Atmospheric Gases						
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instru	ment	Batch ID
NMB-9B	1705029-001A	SoilGas	04/27/2017 08:36	GC26		138457
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressur	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.58	27.16					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DE	Date Analyzed
Oxygen		16		0.40	1	05/03/2017 08:16

NMB-6B	1705029-002A SoilGas	04/27/2017 09:14 GC26	138457
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure (psia)		Analyst(s)
13.97	27.93		AK
Analytes	<u>Result</u>	<u>RL</u> <u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Oxygen	1.2	0.40 1	05/03/2017 08:37

NMB-3B	1705029-003A SoilGas	04/27/2017 09:47	GC26		138457
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.71	27.38				AK
Analytes	Result		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
Oxygen	13		0.40	1	05/03/2017 08:58



 Client:
 Ninyo & Moore

 Date Received:
 5/1/17 16:00

 Date Prepared:
 5/3/17

 Project:
 401596004; CHUN

WorkOrder: 1705029 Extraction Methol: ASTM D 1946-90 Analytical Methol: ASTM D 1946-90 Unit: %

Atmospheric Gases						
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instru	ment	Batch ID
NMB-15	1705029-004A	SoilGas	04/27/2017 10:31	GC26		138457
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressur	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
12.92	25.74					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
Oxygen		15		0.40	1	05/03/2017 09:19

NMB-11B	1705029-005A SoilGas	04/27/2017 12:32	GC26		138457
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.96	27.87				AK
<u>Analytes</u>	Result		<u>RL</u> 0.40	DF 1	Date Analyzed

NMB-14	1705029-006A SoilGas	04/27/2017 13:19 G	C26	138457
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure (psia)			Analyst(s)
14.11	28.21			AK
Analytes	Result	<u>R</u>		DE Date Analyzed
Oxygen	17	0	.40 1	05/03/2017 10:01



 Client:
 Ninyo & Moore

 Date Received:
 5/1/17 16:00

 Date Prepared:
 5/3/17

 Project:
 401596004; CHUN

WorkOrder: 1705029 Extraction Method: ASTM D 1946-90 Analytical Method: ASTM D 1946-90 Unit: µL/L

Helium and Hydrogen						
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instru	ment	Batch ID
IA-1	1705029-008A	Indoor Air	04/27/2017 15:20	GC26		138459
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.13	21.00					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
Helium		ND		40	1	05/03/2017 17:00





 Client:
 Ninyo & Moore

 Date Received:
 5/1/17 16:00

 Date Prepared:
 5/3/17-5/4/17

 Project:
 401596004; CHUV

WorkOrder:	1705029
Extraction Method:	ASTM D 1946-90
Analytical Method:	ASTM D 1946-90
Unit:	%

Helium							
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instru	nent	Batch ID	
NMB-9B	1705029-001A	SoilGas	04/27/2017 08:36	GC26		138460	
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)	
13.58	27.16					AK	
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed	
Helium		ND		0.050	1	05/03/2017 15:43	

NMB-6B	1705029-002A	SoilGas	04/27/2017 09:14	GC26		138460
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.97	27.93					AK
Analytes Helium		<u>Result</u> ND		<u>RL</u> 0.050	<u>DF</u> 1	Date Analyzed 05/03/2017 15:56

NMB-3B	1705029-003A	SoilGas	04/27/2017 09:47	GC26		138460
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.71	27.38					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
Helium		ND		0.050	1	05/03/2017 16:08





 Client:
 Ninyo & Moore

 Date Received:
 5/1/17 16:00

 Date Prepared:
 5/3/17-5/4/17

 Project:
 401596004; CHUV

WorkOrder:	1705029
Extraction Method:	ASTM D 1946-90
Analytical Method:	ASTM D 1946-90
Unit:	%

Helium							
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instru	ment	Batch ID	
NMB-15	1705029-004A	SoilGas	04/27/2017 10:31	GC26		138460	
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)	
12.92	25.74					AK	
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed	
Helium		ND		0.050	1	05/03/2017 16:21	

NMB-11B	1705029-005A SoilGas	04/27/2017 12:32 GC26	138460
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure (psia)		Analyst(s)
13.96	27.87		AK
Analytes	Result	<u>RL</u> <u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Helium	1.9	1.2 25	05/04/2017 12:35

NMB-14	1705029-006A SoilGas	04/27/2017 13:19	GC26		138460
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure (psia)				Analyst(s)
14.11	28.21				AK
Analytes	Result		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
Helium	0.25		0.050	1	05/03/2017 16:47





 Client:
 Ninyo & Moore

 Date Received:
 5/1/17 16:00

 Date Prepared:
 5/2/17

 Project:
 401596004; CHUN

WorkOrder:	1705029
Extraction Method:	ASTM D 1946-90
Analytical Method:	ASTM D 1946-90
Unit:	%

Light Gases						
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrum	ent	Batch ID
IA-1	1705029-008A	Indoor Air	04/27/2017 15:20	GC26		138454
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.13	21.00					AK
Analytes Methane		<u>Result</u> 0.0075		<u>RL</u> 0.00016	<u>DF</u> 1	Date Analyzed 05/02/2017 17:59





 Client:
 Ninyo & Moore

 Date Received:
 5/1/17 16:00

 Date Prepared:
 5/2/17

 Project:
 401596004; CHUN

WorkOrder:	1705029
Extraction Method:	ASTM D 1946-90
Analytical Method:	ASTM D 1946-90
Unit:	%

Light Gases							
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrum	ent	Batch ID	
NMB-9B	1705029-001A	SoilGas	04/27/2017 08:36	GC26		138454	
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)	
13.58	27.16					AK	
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed	
Methane		ND		0.00020	1	05/02/2017 15:52	

NMB-6B	1705029-002A SoilGas	04/27/2017 09:14 GC26	138454
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure (psia)		Analyst(s)
13.97	27.93		AK
Analytes	Result	<u>RL</u> <u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Methane	0.20	0.00020 1	05/02/2017 16:13

NMB-3B	1705029-003A SoilGas	04/27/2017 09:47	GC26		138454
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.71	27.38				AK
Analytes	Result		<u>RL</u>	DE	Date Analyzed
Methane	0.0012		0.00020	1	05/02/2017 16:34



 Client:
 Ninyo & Moore

 Date Received:
 5/1/17 16:00

 Date Prepared:
 5/2/17

 Project:
 401596004; CHUN

WorkOrder:	1705029
Extraction Method:	ASTM D 1946-90
Analytical Method:	ASTM D 1946-90
Unit:	%

		Light Gases				
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrume	nt	Batch ID
NMB-15	1705029-004A	SoilGas	04/27/2017 10:31	GC26		138454
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
12.92	25.74					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
Methane		ND		0.00020	1	05/02/2017 16:55

NMB-11B	1705029-005A	SoilGas	04/27/2017 12:32	GC26		138454
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.96	27.87					AK
Analytes Methane		<u>Result</u> ND		<u>RL</u> 0.00020	<u>DF</u> 1	Date Analyzed 05/02/2017 17:16

NMB-14	1705029-006A SoilGas	04/27/2017 13:19 GC26	138454
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure (psia)		Analyst(s)
14.11	28.21		AK
Analytes	Result	<u>RL</u> DF	Date Analyzed
Methane	ND	0.00020 1	05/02/2017 17:37



Client:	Ninyo & Moore
Date Received:	5/1/17 16:00
Date Prepared:	5/9/17
Project:	401596004; CHUN

WorkOrder:	1705029
Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Unit:	$\mu g/m^{\textbf{3}}$

Volatile Organics by P&T and GC/MS

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Colle	cted Instrun	nent	Batch ID
NMB-6B	1705029-002A	SoilGas	04/27/2017 (9:14 GC10		138557
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressur	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.97	27.93					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
Benzene		ND		2000	4	05/09/2017 13:30
Ethylbenzene		ND		2000	4	05/09/2017 13:30
Naphthalene		ND		2000	4	05/09/2017 13:30
Surrogates		<u>REC (%)</u>	Qualifiers	<u>Limits</u>		
Dibromofluoromethane		25	S	70-130		05/09/2017 13:30
Toluene-d8		129		70-130		05/09/2017 13:30
4-BFB		302	S	70-130		05/09/2017 13:30
			Analytical Commen	<u>ts:</u> a2,a3,c2		



Client:	Ninyo & Moore
Date Received:	5/1/17 16:00
Date Prepared:	5/4/17-5/5/17
Project:	401596004; CHUN

WorkOrder:	1705029
Extraction Method:	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

Volatile Organic Compounds

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instru	ment	Batch ID
NMB-3B	1705029-003A	SoilGas	04/27/2017 09:47	GC43		138462
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.71	27.38					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Benzene		500		8.0	5	05/05/2017 01:41
Ethylbenzene		1500		220	5	05/05/2017 01:41
Naphthalene		28		26	5	05/05/2017 01:41
<u>Surrogates</u>		<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>		
1,2-DCA-d4		96		70-130		05/05/2017 01:41
Toluene-d8		102		70-130		05/05/2017 01:41
4-BFB		98		70-130		05/05/2017 01:41

NMB-15	1705029-004A	SoilGas	04/27/2017 10:31	GC43		138462
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	(psia)				Analyst(s)
12.92	25.74					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DE	Date Analyzed
Benzene		ND		8.0	5	05/05/2017 00:59
Ethylbenzene		ND		220	5	05/05/2017 00:59
Naphthalene		ND		26	5	05/05/2017 00:59
Surrogates		<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>		
1,2-DCA-d4		99		70-130		05/05/2017 00:59
Toluene-d8		101		70-130		05/05/2017 00:59
4-BFB		97		70-130		05/05/2017 00:59
			Analytical Comments: a	3		



Client:	Ninyo & Moore
Date Received:	5/1/17 16:00
Date Prepared:	5/4/17-5/5/17
Project:	401596004; CHUN

WorkOrder:	1705029
Extraction Method:	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

Volatile Organic Compounds

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instru	iment	Batch ID
NMB-11B	1705029-005A	SoilGas	04/27/2017 12:32	GC43		138462
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressur	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.96	27.87					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
Benzene		21		16	10	05/04/2017 22:53
Ethylbenzene		ND		440	10	05/04/2017 22:53
Naphthalene		ND		53	10	05/04/2017 22:53
Surrogates		<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>		
1,2-DCA-d4		98		70-130		05/04/2017 22:53
Toluene-d8		100		70-130		05/04/2017 22:53
4-BFB		97		70-130		05/04/2017 22:53



Ninyo & Moore
5/1/17 16:00
5/5/17
401596004; CHUN

WorkOrder:	1705029
Extraction Method:	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^{\textbf{3}}$

Volatile O	rganic	Compounds
------------	--------	-----------

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instru	nent	Batch ID
IA-1	1705029-008A	Indoor Air	04/27/2017 15:20	GC24		138468
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.13	21.00					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
Benzene		1.1		0.051	1	05/05/2017 12:30
Ethylbenzene		ND		0.70	1	05/05/2017 12:30
Naphthalene		0.57		0.080	1	05/05/2017 12:30
Surrogates		<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>		
1,2-DCA-d4		73		70-130		05/05/2017 12:30
Toluene-d8		99		70-130		05/05/2017 12:30
4-BFB		96		70-130		05/05/2017 12:30



Ninyo & Moore
5/1/17 16:00
5/4/17-5/6/17
401596004; CHUN

WorkOrder:	1705029
Extraction Method:	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

Volatile Organic Compounds

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instru	ment	Batch ID
NMB-9B	1705029-001A	SoilGas	04/27/2017 08:36	GC43		138462
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.58	27.16					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DE	Date Analyzed
Benzene		15		1.6	1	05/06/2017 03:56
Ethylbenzene		72		2.2	1	05/06/2017 03:56
Naphthalene		8.9		5.3	1	05/06/2017 03:56
<u>Surrogates</u>		<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>		
1,2-DCA-d4		95		70-130		05/06/2017 03:56
Toluene-d8		98		70-130		05/06/2017 03:56
4-BFB		106		70-130		05/06/2017 03:56

NMB-14	1705029-006A	SoilGas	04/27/2017	13:19 GC24		138462
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
14.11	28.21					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
Benzene		12		1.6	1	05/04/2017 09:42
Ethylbenzene		15		2.2	1	05/04/2017 09:42
Naphthalene		ND		5.3	1	05/04/2017 09:42
<u>Surrogates</u>		<u>REC (%)</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>	<u>Limits</u>		
1,2-DCA-d4		69	S	70-130		05/04/2017 09:42
Toluene-d8		99		70-130		05/04/2017 09:42
4-BFB		95		70-130		05/04/2017 09:42
			Analytical Comme	<u>nts:</u> c2		

Client:	Ninyo & Moore
Date Prepared:	5/3/17
Date Analyzed:	5/3/17
Instrument:	GC26
Matrix:	SoilGas
Project:	401596004; CHUN

WorkOrder:	1705029
BatchID:	138457
Extraction Method:	ASTM D 1946-90
Analytical Method:	ASTM D 1946-90
Unit:	%
Sample ID:	MB/LCS-138457

QC Summary Report for ASTM D1946-90

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
Oxygen	ND	0.554	0.20	0.70	-	79	70-130

QA/QC Officer



Client:	Ninyo & Moore
Date Prepared:	5/3/17
Date Analyzed:	5/3/17
Instrument:	GC26
Matrix:	Soilgas
Project:	401596004; CHUN

WorkOrder:	1705029
BatchID:	138460
Extraction Method:	ASTM D 1946-90
Analytical Method:	ASTM D 1946-90
Unit:	%
Sample ID:	MB/LCS-138460

QC Summary Report for ASTM D1946-90

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
Helium	ND	0.0846	0.025	0.10	-	85	60-140

_____QA/QC Officer Page 20 of 27

Client:	Ninyo & Moore
Date Prepared:	5/2/17
Date Analyzed:	5/2/17
Instrument:	GC26
Matrix:	SoilGas
Project:	401596004; CHUN

WorkOrder:	1705029
BatchID:	138454
Extraction Method:	ASTM D 1946-90
Analytical Method:	ASTM D 1946-90
Unit:	%
Sample ID:	MB/LCS-138454

QC Summary Report for ASTM D1946-90

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
Methane	ND	0.00723	0.00010	0.010	-	72	70-130

QA/QC Officer

Client:	Ninyo & Moore
Date Prepared:	5/4/17 - 5/5/17
Date Analyzed:	5/4/17 - 5/5/17
Instrument:	GC24
Matrix:	Indoor Air
Project:	401596004; CHUN

WorkOrder:	1705029
BatchID:	138468
Extraction Method:	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$
Sample ID:	MB/LCS-138468

QC Summary Report for TO15

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
Acetone	ND	11.4	6.0	12	-	95	60-140
Acrolein	ND	12.0	0.58	11.65	-	103	60-140
Acrylonitrile	ND	13.2	0.22	11	-	120	60-140
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	ND	14.2	0.42	21	-	68	60-140
Benzene	ND	18.5	0.032	16	-	116	60-140
Benzyl chloride	ND	21.0	0.53	26.5	-	79	60-140
Bromodichloromethane	ND	38.4	0.0070	35	-	110	60-140
Bromoform	ND	59.1	1.1	52.5	-	113	60-140
Bromomethane	ND	22.2	0.39	19.5	-	114	60-140
1,3-Butadiene	ND	12.6	0.22	11	-	115	60-140
2-Butanone (MEK)	ND	17.0	7.5	15	-	113	60-140
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND	9.81	6.2	15.5	-	63	60-140
Carbon Disulfide	ND	17.2	0.32	16	-	107	60-140
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	18.1	0.0064	32	-	57, F2	60-140
Chlorobenzene	ND	26.7	0.47	23.5	-	113	60-140
Chloroethane	ND	16.1	0.27	13.5	-	119	60-140
Chloroform	ND	23.6	0.025	24.5	-	96	60-140
Chloromethane	ND	9.64	0.21	10.5	-	92	60-140
Cyclohexane	ND	18.4	1.8	17.5	-	105	60-140
Dibromochloromethane	ND	53.7	0.87	43.5	-	123	60-140
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	57.7	0.050	49	-	118	60-140
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	46.0	0.0078	39	-	118	60-140
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	36.8	0.61	30.5	-	121	60-140
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	36.4	0.61	30.5	-	119	60-140
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	36.2	0.030	30.5	-	119	60-140
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	22.6	0.50	25	-	90	60-140
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	22.6	0.41	20.5	-	110	60-140
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	ND	15.6	0.0041	20.5	-	76	60-140
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	22.4	0.10	20	-	112	60-140
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	21.4	0.40	20	-	107	60-140
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	21.1	0.40	20	-	106	60-140
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	26.1	0.0047	23.5	-	111	60-140
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	25.8	0.12	23	-	112	60-140
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	21.6	0.12	23	-	94	60-140
1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane	ND	33.1	0.71	35.5	-	93	60-140
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	ND	20.4	0.42	21	-	97	60-140
1,4-Dioxane	ND	23.7	0.018	18.5	-	128	60-140

Client:	Ninyo & Moore
Date Prepared:	5/4/17 - 5/5/17
Date Analyzed:	5/4/17 - 5/5/17
Instrument:	GC24
Matrix:	Indoor Air
Project:	401596004; CHUN

WorkOrder:	1705029
BatchID:	138468
Extraction Method:	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$
Sample ID:	MB/LCS-138468

QC Summary Report for TO15

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
Ethyl acetate	ND	18.6	0.92	18.5	-	100	60-140
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND	15.9	0.42	21	-	76	60-140
Ethylbenzene	ND	25.1	0.44	22	-	114	60-140
4-Ethyltoluene	ND	29.0	0.50	25	-	116	60-140
Freon 113	ND	39.3	0.78	39	-	101	60-140
Heptane	ND	22.0	2.1	21	-	105	60-140
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	66.1	1.1	54	-	122	60-140
Hexane	ND	17.9	1.8	18	-	99	60-140
2-Hexanone	ND	14.6	0.42	21	-	70	60-140
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	ND	22.6	0.42	21	-	107	60-140
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	14.7	0.37	18.5	-	79	60-140
Methylene chloride	ND	17.4	0.88	17.5	-	100	60-140
Methyl methacrylate	ND	23.2	0.42	20.8	-	112	60-140
Naphthalene	ND	64.6	0.050	53	-	122	60-140
Propene	ND	ND	8.8	8.5	-	86	60-140
Styrene	ND	24.8	0.43	21.5	-	115	60-140
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	38.6	0.0070	35	-	110	60-140
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	43.2	0.0070	35	-	124	60-140
Tetrachloroethene	ND	39.2	0.069	34.5	-	114	60-140
Tetrahydrofuran	ND	14.3	0.60	15	-	95	60-140
Toluene	ND	21.6	0.38	19	-	114	60-140
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	50.6	0.75	37.5	-	135	60-140
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	26.4	0.55	27.5	-	96	60-140
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	31.4	0.0055	27.5	-	114	60-140
Trichloroethene	ND	30.8	0.027	27.5	-	112	60-140
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	28.5	0.57	28.5	-	100	60-140
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	29.3	0.50	25	-	117	60-140
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	28.5	0.50	25	-	114	60-140
Vinyl Acetate	ND	21.6	1.8	18	-	120	60-140
Vinyl Chloride	ND	14.6	0.013	13	-	113	60-140
Xylenes, Total	ND	85.1	1.3	66	-	129	60-140
Surrogate Recovery							
1,2-DCA-d4	73.96	71.5		100	74	72	70-130
Toluene-d8	98.85	99.2		100	99	99	70-130
4-BFB	95.35	97.1		100	95	97	70-130

QA/QC Officer

McCampbell Analytical, Inc.



1534 Willow Pass Rd

CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD

Page 1 of 1

Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 (925) 252-9262					WorkOrder: 1705029 Clie			Clien	tCode:	NMO						
		WaterTrax	WriteOn	EDF	E	xcel		EQuIS	🖌 Email	[HardCo	ру	ThirdPa	arty	J-fla	эg
Report to:						Bill	to:				F	Reque	ested TAT:		5 days;	
Peter Sims Ninyo & Moor 1956 Webste Oakland, CA (510) 633-5640	re r St. #400 94612 9 FAX: (510) 633-564	Email: p cc/3rd Party: PO: 4 ProjectNo: 4 6	sims@ninyoar 01896004 01596004; CH	ndmoore.com UN	Bill to: Accounts Payable Ninyo & Moore 1956 Webster St. #400 Oakland, CA 94612				1	Date Received: Date Logged:			05/01/2 05/02/2	2017 2017		
									Requeste	ed Tests	(See lege	nd be	elow)			
Lab ID	Client II)	Matrix	Collection Date	Hold	1	2	3	4 5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1705029-001	NMB-9E		SoilGas	4/27/2017 08:36			А	А		A		A	A		<u> </u>	1
1705029-002	NMB-6E		SoilGas	4/27/2017 09:14			А	А		А		А	А			
1705029-003	NMB-3E		SoilGas	4/27/2017 09:47			А	А		А		А	А			
1705029-004	NMB-15		SoilGas	4/27/2017 10:31			А	А		А		А	Α	-		
1705029-005	NMB-11	3	SoilGas	4/27/2017 12:32			А	Α		Α		Α	Α			
1705029-006	NMB-14		SoilGas	4/27/2017 13:19			А	А		Α		Α	A		1	
1705029-007	NMB-13		SoilGas	4/27/2017 15:28			А	Α		Α		Α	А			

А

Test Legend:

1705029-008

1	ATMOSPHERICGAS_A(%)
5	LG_SUMMA_INDOOR(%)
9	TO15-8260_SOIL(UG/M3)

IA-1

2	ATMOSPHERICGAS_SG(%)
6	LG_SUMMA_SOILGAS(%)

10

Indoor Air

3	HELIUM_LC_SOILGAS(%)
7	TO15_SCAN-SIM_Indoor(ug/m3)
11	

А

А

А

4	HELIUM+HYDROGEN_Tedlar
8	TO15_Scan-SIM_SOIL(UG/M3)
12	

Prepared by: Jena Alfaro

The following SampID: 008A contains testgroup TO15_INDOOR.; The following SampIDs: 001A, 002A, 003A, 004A, 005A, 006A, 007A contain testgroup TO15He_O2_CO2_Ch4_SG.

4/27/2017 15:20

Comments: Jennifer is the PM

> NOTE: Soil samples are discarded 60 days after results are reported unless other arrangements are made (Water samples are 30 days). Hazardous samples will be returned to client or disposed of at client expense.



WORK ORDER SUMMARY

Client Name	e: NINYO & I	MOORE		Project	4015960	04; CHUN			Wor	k Order: 1705029		
Client Conta Contact's Ei	mail: psims@niny	yoandmoore.com		Comme	nts: Jennifer is	s the PM		QC Level: LEV Date Logged: 5/2/				
		WaterTrax	WriteOn		Excel]Fax 🖌 Email	HardC	opy ThirdPart	y 🗌	I-flag		
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	Test Name		Containers /Composites	Bottle & Preservative	De- chlorinated	Collection Date & Time	TAT	Sediment Hold SubOut Content		
1705029-001A	NMB-9B	SoilGas	TO15 w/ Helium	, O2, CO2 and Methane	1	1L Summa		4/27/2017 8:36	5 days			
1705029-002A	NMB-6B	SoilGas	TO15 w/ Helium	, O2, CO2 and Methane	1	1L Summa		4/27/2017 9:14	5 days			
1705029-003A	NMB-3B	SoilGas	TO15 w/ Helium	, O2, CO2 and Methane	1	1L Summa		4/27/2017 9:47	5 days			
1705029-004A	NMB-15	SoilGas	TO15 w/ Helium	, O2, CO2 and Methane	1	1L Summa		4/27/2017 10:31	5 days			
1705029-005A	NMB-11B	SoilGas	TO15 w/ Helium	, O2, CO2 and Methane	1	1L Summa		4/27/2017 12:32	5 days			
1705029-006A	NMB-14	SoilGas	TO15 w/ Helium	, O2, CO2 and Methane	1	1L Summa		4/27/2017 13:19	5 days			
1705029-007A	NMB-13	SoilGas	TO15 w/ Helium	, O2, CO2 and Methane	1	1L Summa		4/27/2017 15:28	5 days			
1705029-008A	IA-1	Indoor Air	TO15 for Indoor	Air (Scan-SIM)	1	6L Summa		4/27/2017 15:20	5 days			
			ASTM D1946-90 <methane_4></methane_4>	0 (CO, CO2 and CH4)					5 days			
			ASTM D1946-90 Hydrogen) <heli< td=""><td>) (Helium &/or um_3></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>5 days</td><td></td></heli<>) (Helium &/or um_3>					5 days			
			ASTM D1946-90	0 (N2, O2) <oxygen></oxygen>					5 days			

NOTES: - STLC and TCLP extractions require 2 days to complete; therefore, all TATs begin after the extraction is completed (i.e., One-day TAT yields results in 3 days from sample submission).

- MAI assumes that all material present in the provided sampling container is considered part of the sample - MAI does not exclude any material from the sample prior to sample preparation unless requested in writing by the client.

S							СН	Alt	NС	F C	USI	101	DYI	RECORE	
McCai	mpk	ell /	Analytica	I, Inc.	TUR	N ARO	UND TI	ME:	RUSH		1 Day		2 Day 🖵] 3 Day 📑 5	DAY
1534 Willow Pass Rd. / Pittsburg, Ca. 94565-1701						racker E	DF 🔲		pdf Ç]	ED			EQuIS 🛄 🛛 10	DAY
www.mccampbell.com / main@mccampbell.com					UST (Clean Ut	Fund Pro	oject []; Clai	m #					
				4. 0	2.2	Analysis	Requ	lested			Heli	ium Sl	hroud SN#		
Company: Miniscond Maple				5	20	0	<u>ا</u> ــا			110	Oth	er:			
1956 (sek	o rea	St.			dx	- Cha	e, circl	nL/	10		11	Not	es: Ple	ase Specify units	if different than
Cakland	C	A	9 BMail: PSims 2:	Singe and mosta	1-5	hyd A	than ease	ircle	19	c, natic	13	uL/L	Leak	check default is	IPA.
Tele: (56)343-3000	,	94612	Fax: ()	CHILM	Ne	nald	ne, E O (pl	ase c		Joran 13 Aron	¥		Fix	ed ges sh	telan
Project #: 981 596009	fente (Tec. L	P. Alainel	CITCIN	g/m?	For	fetha e, C((ple	k (%	non n/g/n	-und			In 20 p	lease
Sampler Signature:	ht	N	ne	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5 (ug	F H	12, N tylen	, N2	Chec	rA, ane) ic an	Mer	Mo	tuiv	/	
	Colle	ection	1 5		-0-I	e. 4P	S: CC Acet	s: 02	eak (oeth phati	Da .			Can	nister
Field Sample ID			Conjeton SNH	Sampler Kit SN#	s by by T		d Gas lene,	I Ga		Influr Ali		gas	00r	Fressure	er vacuum
(Location)	Date	Time	Callister SIN#		VOC 8010	LEEL	Fixed Ethy	Fixe	Helin	Leak APH	Othe	Soil	Inde	Initial	Final
	411	dar	1401-760	211.775		X		++	X		X	X		-29	- 5
NMB-JB	7/27/17	2036	1517 875	316-1247		X		++	X		X	X		-31	~4
NMB-6B	-	5114	1221-017	216-13:28		X		++	V		X	X		-31	-4
NM 13-3B		0111	1731 017	316-717		X	-		X		X	X		-30	- 5
NM D-UB	+	1727	1978-1926	216-1327		X			X		X	X		-31	-4
NMAZIH	+	1319	6204. 745	316- 845		X			X		X	X		- 31	-4
NMB-13#		1528	R1218-2551	316 -1336		X			X		X	X		- 29	-26
TAN	V	1520	2735-558	1051		X			X		X		\times	~29,5	- 5
													[
* 1001	2:	West	r or Possil	le TPH in	h to	ich ic	in ja	120	2 4	8, 9	10	5	surg	ma Cize	lister_
			5-1-17 14	20			1								
Relinquished By:	Date:	Time:	Received By:	17	Tem	p (°C) :		N	Work (Order #:				•	
Relinquished By:	Date:	Time:	Received By:		Con	dition:									
11119	5-1-10	160	115		Cust	tody Sea	als Intact	?: Ye	s	No_	N	None_			
Refinquished By:	Date:	Time:	Received By:		Ship	ped Via	1:								



Sample Receipt Checklist

Client Name:				Date and Time Received	5/1/2017 16:00								
Project Name:	401596004; CHON			Date Logged: Received by:	Jena Alfaro								
WorkOrder №:	1705029 Matrix: Indoor Air/SoilGas			Logged by:	Jena Alfaro								
Carrier:	Bernie Cummins (MAI Courier)												
	mation												
Chain of custody	present?	Yes		No 🗌									
Chain of custody	signed when relinquished and received?	Yes	✓	No 🗌									
Chain of custody	agrees with sample labels?	Yes	✓	No 🗌									
Sample IDs note	d by Client on COC?	Yes		No 🗌									
Date and Time of	collection noted by Client on COC?	Yes	✓	No 🗌									
Sampler's name	noted on COC?	Yes	✓	No 🗌									
Sample Receipt Information													
Custody seals int	act on shipping container/cooler?	Yes		No 🗌	NA 🗹								
Shipping containe	er/cooler in good condition?	Yes	✓	No 🗌									
Samples in prope	er containers/bottles?	Yes	✓	No 🗌									
Sample containe	rs intact?	Yes	✓	No 🗌									
Sufficient sample	volume for indicated test?	Yes	✓	No 🗌									
	Sample Preservation	on and	Hold Time (I	HT) Information									
All samples recei	ved within holding time?	Yes		No									
Sample/Temp Bl	ank temperature		Temp:		NA 🗹								
Water - VOA vial	s have zero headspace / no bubbles?	Yes		No 🗌	NA 🗹								
Sample labels ch	ecked for correct preservation?	Yes	✓	No									
pH acceptable up	oon receipt (Metal: <2; 522: <4; 218.7: >8)?	Yes		No 🗌	NA 🗹								
Samples Receive	ed on Ice?	Yes		No 🖌									
UCMR3 Samples	:												
Total Chlorine	ested and acceptable upon receipt for EPA 522?	Yes		No 🗌	NA 🗹								
Free Chlorine t 300.1, 537, 539	ested and acceptable upon receipt for EPA 218.7,)?	Yes		No 🗌	NA 🗹								

Comments:



THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

ANALYTICAL REPORT

TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.

TestAmerica Pleasanton 1220 Quarry Lane Pleasanton, CA 94566 Tel: (925)484-1919

TestAmerica Job ID: 720-79144-1

Client Project/Site: Chun Revision: 1

For:

Ninyo & Moore 1956 Webster Street Suite 400 Oakland, California 94612

Attn: Mr. Peter D. Sims

IIL

Authorized for release by: 5/17/2017 3:10:51 PM

Paloma Duong, Project Manager I (925)484-1919 paloma.duong@testamericainc.com

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.

..... Links **Review your project** results through **Total**Access Have a Question? Ask-The Expert Visit us at: www.testamericainc.com

Table of Contents

Cover Page	1
Table of Contents	2
Definitions/Glossary	3
Case Narrative	4
Detection Summary	5
Client Sample Results	6
QC Sample Results	19
QC Association Summary	21
Lab Chronicle	22
Certification Summary	25
Method Summary	26
Sample Summary	27
Chain of Custody	28
Receipt Checklists	30

Definitions/Glossary

Glossarv

Glossary		 3
Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.	
¤	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis	
%R	Percent Recovery	E
CFL	Contains Free Liquid	5
CNF	Contains No Free Liquid	
DER	Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)	
Dil Fac	Dilution Factor	
DL	Detection Limit (DoD/DOE)	
DL, RA, RE, IN	Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample	
DLC	Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)	8
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin)	
LOD	Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE)	9
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)	
MDA	Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)	
MDC	Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)	
MDL	Method Detection Limit	
ML	Minimum Level (Dioxin)	
NC	Not Calculated	
ND	Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)	
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit	
QC	Quality Control	
RER	Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)	
RL	Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)	
RPD	Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points	
TEF	Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)	
TEQ	Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)	

Job ID: 720-79144-1

Laboratory: TestAmerica Pleasanton

Narrative

Job Narrative 720-79144-1

Case Narrative

Comments

No additional comments.

Receipt

The samples were received on 4/26/2017 12:00 PM; the samples arrived in good condition, properly preserved and, where required, on ice. The temperature of the cooler at receipt was 4.2° C.

Receipt Exceptions

Sample NMB-11B-5.0 was received with no soil in the core-n-one. Sample analysis was canceled.

GC/MS VOA

Method 8260B: Elevated reporting limits are provided for the following samples: NMB-9B-2.5 (720-79144-3) and NMB-6B-2.5 (720-79144-5). Original analysis had significant carryover from the previous runs in the batch. The second analysis had a poor purge with no reportable data.

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

Detection Summary

Client: Ninyo & Moore Project/Site: Chun

Client Sample ID: NMB-13-2.5						Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-1				
Analyte Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	Result	Qualifier	RL 2300000	MDL	Unit ug/Kg	Dil Fac D Method 10000 8260B	Prep Type Total/NA			
C5-C12										
Client Sample ID: NMB-1	3-4.0					Lab Sample ID:	Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-2			
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac D Method	Prep Type			
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) C5-C12	8600000		2300000		ug/Kg	10000 8260B	Total/NA			
Client Sample ID: NMB-9	B-2.5					Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-3				
No Detections.										
Client Sample ID: NMB-9	B-5.0					Lab Sample ID:	720-79144-4			
No Detections.										
Client Sample ID: NMB-6	B-2.5					Lab Sample ID:	720-79144-5			
No Detections.										
Client Sample ID: NMB-6	B-5.0					Lab Sample ID:	720-79144-6			
No Detections.										
Client Sample ID: NMB-3	B-2.5					Lab Sample ID:	720-79144-7			
No Detections.										
Client Sample ID: NMB-3	B-5.0					Lab Sample ID:	720-79144-8			
No Detections.										
Client Sample ID: NMB-14	4-2.5					Lab Sample ID:	720-79144-9			
No Detections.										
Client Sample ID: NMB-1	4-5.0					Lab Sample ID: 7	20-79144-10			
No Detections.										
Client Sample ID: NMB-1	1B-2.5					Lab Sample ID: 7	720-79144-11			
No Detections.										
Client Sample ID: NMB-1	5-2.5					Lab Sample ID: 7	720-79144-13			
No Detections.										
Client Sample ID: NMB-1	5-5.0					Lab Sample ID: 7	720-79144-14			
No Detections.										

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

TestAmerica Pleasanton

Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-1 Matrix: Solid 5 6

Client Sample ID: NMB-13-2.5

Date Collected: 04/25/17 08:50 Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)									
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) -C5-C12	12000000	Quaimer	2300000		ug/Kg		04/26/17 23:00	04/28/17 19:11	10000
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene	101		66 - 148				04/26/17 23:00	04/28/17 19:11	10000

2 Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-2 Matrix: Solid 4 D Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 100000

6

Client Sample ID: NMB-13-4.0 Date Collected: 04/25/17 09:05

Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)										
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) -C5-C12	8600000		2300000		ug/Kg		04/26/17 23:00	04/28/17 19:42	10000	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	103		66 - 148				04/26/17 23:00	04/28/17 19:42	10000	

2 Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-3 Matrix: Solid 4 2 <u>Prepared 04/26/17 23:00</u> Analyzed Dil Fac 100 05/02/17 12:05 100 6

Client	Sample	ID:	NMB-9B-2.5

Date Collected: 04/25/17 09:50 Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Method: 8260B - Volatile Org Analyte	j <mark>anic Compo</mark> Result	unds (GC/ Qualifier	<mark>MS)</mark> RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) -C5-C12	ND		22000		ug/Kg		04/26/17 23:00	05/02/17 12:05	100
Surrogate 4-Bromofluorobenzene		Qualifier	Limits 66 - 148				Prepared 04/26/17 23:00	Analyzed 05/02/17 12:05	Dil Fac 100
Matrix: Solid

5

6

Client Sample ID: NMB-9B-5.0

Date Collected: 04/25/17 10:05 Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Method: 8260B - Volatile Orga	nic Compo	unds (GC/N	/IS)						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
C5-C12	ND		210		ug/Kg		04/26/17 23:00	04/28/17 19:30	1
Surrogate 4-Bromofluorobenzene	%Recovery 97	Qualifier	Limits 45 - 131				Prepared 04/26/17 23:00	Analyzed 04/28/17 19:30	Dil Fac

RL

22000

Limits

66 - 148

MDL Unit

ug/Kg

D

Prepared

Prepared

Analyte

-C5-C12 Surrogate Analyzed

Analyzed

04/26/17 23:00 05/02/17 12:36

04/26/17 23:00 05/02/17 12:36

Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-5 Matrix: Solid Dil Fac 100

Dil Fac

100

5
6
8
9
13

TestAmerica Pleasanton

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Result Qualifier

ND

%Recovery Qualifier

98

Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)

4-Bromofluorobenzene

Matrix: Solid

5

6

Client Sample ID: NMB-6B-5.0

Date Collected: 04/25/17 10:40 Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Method: 8260B - Volatile Orga	nic Compo	unds (GC/I	MS)						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
C5-C12	ND		210		ug/Kg		04/26/17 23:00	04/28/17 20:28	1
Surrogate 4-Bromofluorobenzene	%Recovery 100	Qualifier	Limits 45 - 131				Prepared 04/26/17 23:00	Analyzed 04/28/17 20:28	Dil Fac

Matrix: Solid

5 6

Client Sample ID: NMB-3B-2.5

Date Collected: 04/25/17 11:00 Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Method: 8260B - Volatile Orga	nic Compo	unds (GC/I	MS)						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier		MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
	ND		220		uy/ng		04/20/17 23:00	04/20/17 20:56	1
Surrogate 4-Bromofluorobenzene	%Recovery 98	Qualifier	Limits 45 - 131				Prepared 04/26/17 23:00	Analyzed 04/28/17 20:56	Dil Fac

Matrix: Solid

Client Sample ID: NMB-3B-5.0

Date Collected: 04/25/17 11:10 Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Method: 8260B - Volatile Orga	nic Compo	unds (GC/	MS)						
Analyte C5-C12	Result ND	Qualifier	RL 210	MDL	Unit ug/Kg	D	Prepared 04/26/17 23:00	Analyzed 04/28/17 22:51	Dil Fac 1
Surrogate 4-Bromofluorobenzene	%Recovery 102	Qualifier	Limits 45 - 131				Prepared 04/26/17 23:00	Analyzed 04/28/17 22:51	Dil Fac

Matrix: Solid

5 6

Client Sample ID: NMB-14-2.5

Date Collected: 04/25/17 11:30 Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Method: 8260B - Volatile Orga	nic Compo	unds (GC/I	NS)						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
C5-C12	ND		220		ug/Kg		04/26/17 23:00	04/28/17 23:20	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
4-Bromotiuorobenzene	98		45 - 131				04/26/17 23:00	04/28/17 23:20	1

Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-10

Client Sample ID: NMB-14-5.0

Date Collected: 04/25/17 11:40 Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Method: 8260B - Volatile Orga	nic Compo	unds (GC/I	NS)						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
C5-C12	ND		200		ug/Kg		04/26/17 23:00	04/28/17 23:49	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene	100		45 - 131				04/26/17 23:00	04/28/17 23:49	1

5

6

Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-11

Client Sample ID: NMB-11B-2.5

Date Collected: 04/25/17 12:10 Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Method: 8260B - Volatile Orga	nic Compo	unds (GC/	MS)						
Analyte C5-C12	Result ND	Qualifier	RL 200	MDL	Unit ug/Kg	<u>D</u>	Prepared 04/26/17 23:00	Analyzed 04/29/17 00:17	Dil Fac
Surrogate 4-Bromofluorobenzene	%Recovery 82	Qualifier	Limits 45 - 131				Prepared 04/26/17 23:00	Analyzed 04/29/17 00:17	Dil Fac

Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-13

Client Sample ID: NMB-15-2.5

Date Collected: 04/25/17 14:00 Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Method: 8260B - Volatile Orga	nic Compo	unds (GC/I	MS)						
Analyte C5-C12	Result ND	Qualifier	RL 210	MDL	Unit ug/Kg	D	Prepared 04/26/17 23:00	Analyzed 04/29/17 00:46	Dil Fac
Surrogate 4-Bromofluorobenzene	%Recovery 94	Qualifier	Limits 45 - 131				Prepared 04/26/17 23:00	Analyzed 04/29/17 00:46	Dil Fac

5

6

Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-14

Client Sample ID: NMB-15-5.0 Date Collected: 04/25/17 14:15

Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Method: 8260B - Volatile Orga	nic Compo	unds (GC/I	MS)						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
C5-C12	ND		190		ug/Kg		04/26/17 23:00	04/29/17 01:15	1
Surrogate 4-Bromofluorobenzene	%Recovery 93	Qualifier	Limits 45 - 131				Prepared 04/26/17 23:00	Analyzed 04/29/17 01:15	Dil Fac

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 720-22 Matrix: Solid	2053/9									•	Clie	nt Sam	ple ID: Metho Prep Type: T	d Blank
Analysis Batch: 222053													Thep Type. I	
		ΜВ	МВ											
Analyte	Re	sult	Qualifier		RL		MDL	Unit		D	Р	repared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)		ND		25	5000			ug/Kg				-	04/28/17 12:33	100
-C5-C12														
		MВ	MB											
Surrogate	%Reco	very	Qualifier	Limi	ts						Pi	repared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene		98		66 - 1	148					-			04/28/17 12:33	100
											_			<u> </u>
Lab Sample ID: LCS 720-2	22053/13								Cli	ent	Sar	nple ID	: Lab Control	Sample
Matrix: Solid Analysis Patch: 222052													Prep Type: 1	otal/NA
Analysis Batch. 222055				Snike		LCS	LCS						%Rec	
Analyte				Added		Result	Qua	lifier	Unit		D	%Rec	Limits	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)				100000		98700			ug/Kg		_		71_134	
-C5-C12									5.5				-	
	201	109												
Surrogate	%Recoverv	Qua	, alifier	Limits										
4-Bromofluorobenzene	100			66 - 148										
Lab Sample ID: LCSD 720-	-222053/14							C	lient S	Sam	ple	ID: Lab	Control Sam	ple Dup
Matrix: Solid													Prep Type: T	otal/NA
Analysis Batch: 222053														
				Spike		LCSD	LCS	D					%Rec.	RPD
Analyte				Added		Result	Qua	lifier	Unit		D	%Rec	Limits RP	D Limit
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)				100000		102000			ug/Kg			102	71 - 134	4 20
-C5-C12														
	LCSD	LCS	SD											
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qua	alifier	Limits										
4-Bromofluorobenzene	101			66 - 148										
Lab Sample ID: MR 720-22	2080/11											nt Sam	nlo ID: Motho	d Blank
Matrix: Solid	.2000/11										Cile	int Sam	Pron Type: T	
Analysis Batch: 222080													гтер туре. т	Utal/NA
Analysis Baten. 222000		ΜВ	МВ											
Analyte	Re	sult	Qualifier		RL		MDL	Unit		D	Pr	epared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
C5-C12		ND			250			ug/Kg				-	04/28/17 18:31	1
		MP	MR											
Surrogata	%Poco		NID Qualifior	Limi	te						D	ronarod	Analyzod	Dil Eac
4-Bromofluorobenzene	/////////	98	Quanner	45 1	131					-	FI	epareu	$-\frac{110192e0}{04/28/17.18.31}$	
				10-1									0 // 20/ 11 10:01	,
Lab Sample ID: LCS 720-2	22080/9								Cli	ent	Sar	nple ID	: Lab Control	Sample
Matrix: Solid												•	Prep Type: T	otal/NA
Analysis Batch: 222080														
				Spike		LCS	LCS	;					%Rec.	
Analyte				Added		Result	Qua	lifier	Unit		D	%Rec	Limits	
C5-C12				1000		1040			ug/Kg			104	61 - 128	
	LCS	LCS	5											
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qua	alifier	Limits										
4-Bromofluorobenzene	101			45 - 131										

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 720 Matrix: Solid	-222080/10						C	lient Sa	mple	ID: Lat	Control Prep Ty	Sampl be: To	e Dup tal/NA
Analysis Batch: 222080													
-				Spike	LCSD	LCS	D				%Rec.		RPD
Analyte				Added	Result	Qua	lifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit
C5-C12				1000	976			ug/Kg		98	61 - 128	6	20
	1000	100	20										
Surrogato	%Pecoverv	0	blifior	l imite									
4-Bromofluorobenzene		Que		45 131									
	50			40 - 101									
Lab Sample ID: MB 720-22	22215/4								Clie	ent San	nole ID: M	ethod	Blank
Matrix: Solid											Prep Tv	oe: To	tal/NA
Analysis Batch: 222215													
,		MB	MB										
Analyte	Re	sult	Qualifier	RL		MDL	Unit		р р	repared	Analyz	zed	Dil Fac
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) -C5-C12		ND		25000			ug/Kg]			05/02/17	09:00	100
		ΜВ	МВ										
Surrogate	%Reco	verv	Qualifier	Limits					P	repared	Analva	zed	Dil Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene		97		66 - 148							05/02/17	09:00	100
Matrix: Solid Analysis Batch: 222215 Analyte				Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qua	lifier		<u>D</u>	%Rec	%Rec. Limits	pe: To	tal/NA
-C5-C12				100000	97000			uy/ky		90	71-134		
	LCS	LCS	6										
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qua	alifier	Limits									
4-Bromofluorobenzene	99			66 - 148									
Lab Sample ID: LCSD 720	222245/8						· ·	liont Sa	mnlo		Control	Samo	
Matrix: Solid	-222213/0							ment Ja	inpie	ID. La	Dron Tv		
Analysis Batch: 222215											перту	Je. 10	
Analysis Daten. 222210				Spike	LCSD	LCS	D				%Rec.		RPD
Analyte				Added	Result	Qua	lifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) -C5-C12				100000	98000			ug/Kg		98	71 - 134	0	20
	LCSD	LCS	SD										
Surrogate	%Recoverv	Qua	 alifier	Limits									
4-Bromofluorobenzene	98			66 - 148									

Prep Type

Total/NA

Total/NA

Total/NA

Total/NA

Total/NA

Prep Type

Total/NA

Matrix

Solid

Solid

Solid

Solid

Solid

Matrix

Solid

Analysis Batch: 222053

Client Sample ID

Lab Control Sample

Client Sample ID

NMB-9B-5.0

NMB-6B-5.0

NMB-3B-2.5

NMB-3B-5.0

NMB-14-2.5

NMB-14-5.0

NMB-11B-2.5

NMB-15-2.5

NMB-15-5.0

Method Blank

Lab Control Sample

Lab Control Sample Dup

Lab Control Sample Dup

NMB-13-2.5

NMB-13-4.0

Method Blank

GC/MS VOA

Lab Sample ID

MB 720-222053/9

Lab Sample ID

720-79144-4

720-79144-6

720-79144-7

720-79144-8

720-79144-9

720-79144-10

720-79144-11

720-79144-13

720-79144-14

MB 720-222080/11

LCS 720-222080/9

LCS 720-222053/13

LCSD 720-222053/14

Analysis Batch: 222080

720-79144-1

720-79144-2

Method	Prep Batch	_
8260B	222105	5
8260B	222105	
8260B		
8260B		
8260B		
		0
Method	Prep Batch	0
8260B	222105	
8260B	222105	9
8260B	222105	
8260B		
8260B		
8260B		

LCSD 720-222080/10 Prep Batch: 222105

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
720-79144-1	NMB-13-2.5	Total/NA	Solid	5035	
720-79144-2	NMB-13-4.0	Total/NA	Solid	5035	
720-79144-4	NMB-9B-5.0	Total/NA	Solid	5035	
720-79144-6	NMB-6B-5.0	Total/NA	Solid	5035	
720-79144-7	NMB-3B-2.5	Total/NA	Solid	5035	
720-79144-8	NMB-3B-5.0	Total/NA	Solid	5035	
720-79144-9	NMB-14-2.5	Total/NA	Solid	5035	
720-79144-10	NMB-14-5.0	Total/NA	Solid	5035	
720-79144-11	NMB-11B-2.5	Total/NA	Solid	5035	
720-79144-13	NMB-15-2.5	Total/NA	Solid	5035	
720-79144-14	NMB-15-5.0	Total/NA	Solid	5035	

Analysis Batch: 222215

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
720-79144-3	NMB-9B-2.5	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	222245
720-79144-5	NMB-6B-2.5	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	222245
MB 720-222215/4	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	
LCS 720-222215/7	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	
LCSD 720-222215/8	Lab Control Sample Dup	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	

Prep Batch: 222245

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
720-79144-3	NMB-9B-2.5	Total/NA	Solid	5035	
720-79144-5	NMB-6B-2.5	Total/NA	Solid	5035	

Prep Type

Total/NA

Total/NA

Prep Type

Total/NA

Total/NA

Prep Type

Total/NA

Total/NA

Prep Type

Total/NA

Total/NA

Client Sample ID: NMB-13-2.5 Date Collected: 04/25/17 08:50 Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Batch

Туре

Prep

Client Sample ID: NMB-13-4.0 Date Collected: 04/25/17 09:05 Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Analysis

Batch

Туре

Prep

Client Sample ID: NMB-9B-2.5 Date Collected: 04/25/17 09:50 Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Analysis

Batch

Туре

Prep

Client Sample ID: NMB-9B-5.0 Date Collected: 04/25/17 10:05 Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Analysis

Batch

Туре

Prep

Analysis

Batch

5035

8260B

Batch

5035

8260B

Batch

5035

8260B

Batch

5035

8260B

Method

Method

Method

Method

Run

Run

Run

Run

720-79144-1	ample ID:	Lab S			
Matrix: Solid					
			Prepared	Batch	ilution
	Lab	Analyst	or Analyzed	Number	Factor
	TAL PLS	MJK	04/26/17 23:00	222105	
	TAL PLS	BAJ	04/28/17 19:11	222053	10000
720 70444 2	omple ID	l ah S			
Matrix: Solid		Lau S			
			Prepared	Batch	Dilution
	Lab	Analyst	or Analyzed	Number	Factor
	TAL PLS	MJK	04/26/17 23:00	222105	
	TAL PLS	BAJ	04/28/17 19:42	222053	10000
720-79144-3 Matrix: Solid	ample ID:	Lab S			
			Prepared	Batch	Dilution
	Lab	Analyst	or Analyzed	Number	Factor
	TAL PLS	JRM	04/26/17 23:00	222245	
	TAL PLS	JRM	05/02/17 12:05	222215	100
720-79144-4	ample ID:	Lab S			
Matrix: Solid	•				
			Prepared	Batch	Dilution
	Lab	Analyst	or Analyzed	Number	Factor
			04/26/17 22:00	000405	
	TAL PLS	MJK	04/20/17 23.00	222105	
	TAL PLS TAL PLS	MJK A1C	04/28/17 19:30	222105 222080	1

Matrix: Solid

Matrix: Solid

Client Sample ID: NMB-6B-2.5 Date Collected: 04/25/17 10:30 Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00 Batch Batch Dilution Batch Prepared Method Prep Type Туре Run Factor Number or Analyzed Analyst Lab Total/NA Prep 5035 222245 04/26/17 23:00 JRM TAL PLS TAL PLS Total/NA 8260B 100 222215 05/02/17 12:36 JRM Analysis

Client Sample ID: NMB-6B-5.0 Date Collected: 04/25/17 10:40 Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

_	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	5035			222105	04/26/17 23:00	MJK	TAL PLS
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	222080	04/28/17 20:28	A1C	TAL PLS

TestAmerica Pleasanton

Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-6

Client Sample ID: NMB-3B-2.5

Date Collected: 04/25/17 11:00

Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

Lab Sample ID: 720-79144-7

	5
	8
	Q

Matrix: Solid

ab	Sample	ID:	720-79144-9
			Matrix: Solid

Γ	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared			
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab	
Total/NA	Prep	5035			222105	04/26/17 23:00	MJK	TAL PLS	
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	222080	04/28/17 20:56	A1C	TAL PLS	
Client Sam	ple ID: NM	B-3B-5.0					Lab S	Sample ID): 720-79144-8
Date Collecte	d: 04/25/17 1 d: 04/26/17 1	1:10							Matrix: Solid
	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared			
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab	
Total/NA	Prep	5035			222105	04/26/17 23:00	MJK	TAL PLS	
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	222080	04/28/17 22:51	A1C	TAL PLS	
Client Sam	ple ID: NM	B-14-2.5					Lab S	Sample ID): 720-79144-9
Date Collecte	d: 04/25/17 1	1:30						•	Matrix: Solid
Date Receive	d: 04/26/17 1	2:00							
Γ	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared			
Prep Type	Type	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab	
Total/NA	Prep	5035			222105	04/26/17 23:00	MJK	TAL PLS	
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	222080	04/28/17 23:20	A1C	TAL PLS	
Client Sam Date Collecte Date Receive	ple ID: NM d: 04/25/17 1 d: 04/26/17 1	B-14-5.0 1:40 2:00					Lab Sa	ample ID:	720-79144-10 Matrix: Solid
Γ	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared			
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab	
Total/NA	Prep	5035			222105	04/26/17 23:00	MJK	TAL PLS	
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	222080	04/28/17 23:49	A1C	TAL PLS	
Client Sam Date Collecte Date Receive	ple ID: NM d: 04/25/17 1 d: 04/26/17 1	B-11B-2.5 2:10 2:00					Lab Sa	ample ID:	720-79144-11 Matrix: Solid
Г	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared			
Prep Type	Type	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab	
Total/NA	Prep	5035			222105	04/26/17 23:00	MJK	TAL PLS	
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	222080	04/29/17 00:17	A1C	TAL PLS	
Client Sam	ple ID: NM	B-15-2.5					Lab Sa	ample ID:	720-79144-13
Date Collecte Date Receive	d: 04/25/17 1 d: 04/26/17 1	4:00 2:00						-	Matrix: Solid
	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Proparad			

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	5035			222105	04/26/17 23:00	MJK	TAL PLS
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	222080	04/29/17 00:46	A1C	TAL PLS

Matrix: Solid

Client Sample ID: NMB-15-5.0

Date Collected: 04/25/17 14:15 Date Received: 04/26/17 12:00

_	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	5035			222105	04/26/17 23:00	MJK	TAL PLS
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	222080	04/29/17 01:15	A1C	TAL PLS

Laboratory References:

TAL PLS = TestAmerica Pleasanton, 1220 Quarry Lane, Pleasanton, CA 94566, TEL (925)484-1919

Client: Ninyo & Moore Project/Site: Chun

10

Laboratory: TestAmerica Pleasanton

Unless otherwise noted, all analytes for this laboratory were covered under each accreditation/certification below.

Authority	Program		EPA Regi	ion Identification N	umber Expiration Date
California	State Prog	ram	9	2496	01-31-18
Analysis Method	Prep Method	Matrix	A	nalvte	

Client: Ninyo & Moore Project/Site: Chun

5
8
9
11
12
12

	Mathead December 1 an	Protocol	I I
wethod	Method Description	Protocol	Laboratory
8260B	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL PLS

Protocol References:

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

Laboratory References:

TAL PLS = TestAmerica Pleasanton, 1220 Quarry Lane, Pleasanton, CA 94566, TEL (925)484-1919

Sample Summary

Matrix

Solid

Client: Ninyo & Moore Project/Site: Chun

Client Sample ID

NMB-13-2.5

NMB-13-4.0

NMB-9B-2.5

NMB-9B-5.0

NMB-6B-2.5

NMB-6B-5.0

NMB-3B-2.5

NMB-3B-5.0

NMB-14-2.5

NMB-14-5.0

NMB-15-2.5

NMB-15-5.0

NMB-11B-2.5

Lab Sample ID

720-79144-1

720-79144-2

720-79144-3

720-79144-4

720-79144-5

720-79144-6

720-79144-7

720-79144-8

720-79144-9

720-79144-10

720-79144-11

720-79144-13

720-79144-14

TestAmerica Job ID: 720-79144-1

04/25/17 08:50 04/26/17 12:00

04/25/17 09:05 04/26/17 12:00

04/25/17 09:50 04/26/17 12:00

04/25/17 10:05 04/26/17 12:00

04/25/17 10:30 04/26/17 12:00

04/25/17 10:40 04/26/17 12:00

04/25/17 11:00 04/26/17 12:00

04/25/17 11:10 04/26/17 12:00

04/25/17 11:30 04/26/17 12:00

04/25/17 11:40 04/26/17 12:00

04/25/17 12:10 04/26/17 12:00

04/25/17 14:00 04/26/17 12:00

04/25/17 14:15 04/26/17 12:00

Received

Collected

1
5
8
9
12
13

TestAmerica P	leasanton
---------------	-----------

See Terms and Conditions on reverse	Report. Report	A Solution Day Day Day Day Day By S/S/17	Credit Card Y/N: If yes, please call with payment information ASAP T	PO#: 401896024 Temp: (1.2 "	CICZ Head Space:	Project Info. Sample Receipt Project Name/ #: # of Containers:	NHB-14-50 V 1140 50 -	NMB-14-2.5 130 50 -	NMB-3B-50 1 110 50 -	NM13-3B-2.5 1100 20 -	NHB-68-52 100 50 -	NM & -6B-7,5 1030 50	Mr-13-913.50 1025 50 ~	NMB-78-2,5 0950 50 -	NMB-13-4.0 1 10905 50 -	NMB-13-2.5 1/25/208505> -	THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING Address. 196 C: MS S. MS Address. 196 C: MS STE ST. DAK amall: PS: AS C D. AV: A May Street ST. DAK Sample D Bab Time Mar Present Volatile Organics GC/MS (VOCs) Date Time Mar Present
Company	Printed Name Date	Signature	Company t	Minto + Masic	Seman Mc Land Habit	1) Kellinduistied by:		XX	X	χ	XXXX TPH	X	X	X	X	X	HVOCs by [] EPA 8260B HVOCs by [] EPA 8260B EPA 8260B: X Gas [] BTEX IPH; [] 5 Oxygenates [] DCA, EDB[] Ethanol TEPH EPA 8015B [] Silica Gel [] Diesel [] Motor Oil [] Other SemiVolatile Organics GC/MS [] EPA 8270C PNA/PAH's by [] 8270C [] Oil and Grease [] Petroleum (EPA 1664/9071) [] Total Pestucides [] EPA 8081
Company	Printed Name Date	Signature Time	Company	Printed Name /Date /	Signature U/2.00/	2) Relinquisticed by:					(x 37.62 0my						PCBs □ EPA 8082 CAM17 Metals (EPA 6010/7470/7471) Analysis (EPA 6010/7470/7471) Metals: □ 60108 □200 7 □ Lead □ UFT □RCRA □ Other:
Company	Printed Name	3) Received by: Signature	Company	Printed Name	2 Signature		9144 Chain of Custody										pH □ 9040 □ SM4500 □ Spec Cond. □ Alkalinity □ TSS □ SS □ SS □ TDS Anions □ Cl □ SO4 □ NO3 □ F □ Perchlorate by EPA 314.0 0 □ Turbidity □ Turbidity
Rev 10/2012	Date	Time		Date	Time		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		<u>vi</u>	Ra	ঀৡ	28,0	of 30	20	W	ال	Number of Containers 5/17/2017

Reference #: 17554

See Terms and Conditions on reverse	Report. Routine Level 3 Level 4 EDD EDF Special Instructions / Comments: Global ID	Y/N: If yes, please call with payment information ASAP T 10 A 3 A Day Day Day Day Day Day Day Day Day Day Day	PO# 4018=16 ετε Υ Temp: Credit Card	CHUN Head Space:	Project Info. Sample Receipt				142-12-20 1 125-20	1140-15-7- 11220 SO	NR6-118-2,5 4/35/11/210 50 -	Attn: Perer S	TOSTAMONICO
Company	Signature Time S	1) Revelved by:	Ninger Hack	Formative Mit Time S Formant Mestarland Whichio	1) Relinquisked by:	IPa			×`y		×.	□ EPA 8200B HVOCs by □ EPA 8260B EPA 8260B. ○ Soxygenates □ DCA, EDB□ Ethanof □ 5 Oxygenates □ DCA, EDB□ Ethanof TEPH EPA 8015B □ Silica Gei □ Diesel □ Motor Oil □ Other SemiVolatile Organics GC/MS □ EPA 8270C PNA/PAH's by □ 8270C □ 8270C SIM Oil and Grease □ Petroleum (EPA 1654/9071) □ Total Pesticides □ EPA 8081 PCBs □ EPA 8082	TESTAMERICA Pleasanton Chai 1220 Quarry Lane • Pleasanton C/ Phone: (920) 84919 • Fax.
Compańy	Signature Time) Received by: (2. Co	hinted Name "Date"	Signatule & Time	Relinquished by have							CAM17 Metals (EPA 6010/7470/7471) Metals: □ 6010B □200 7 □ Lead □ LUFT □RCRA □ Other: Metals: □ 6020 □ 200.8 (ICP-MS)' □ W E.T (STLC) □ W E.T (DI) □ TCLP Hex. Chrom by □ EPA 7196 □ or EPA 7199 □ PH □ 9040	Reference # A 94566-4756
Company Rev.	Signature Tim Printed Name Da	3) Received by:	Printed Name Da	Signature Tim	3) Relinquished by:							□ SM4500 □ Spec. Cond. □ Alkalinity □ TSS □ SS □ TDS Anions : □ Cl □ SO4 □ NO3 □ F □ Br □ NO2 □ PO4 □ Perchlorate by EPA 314 0 COD □ EPA 410 4 □ SM5220D □ Turbidity	# <u>17554/</u> 25√/Z Page_2 of_2
10/2012	O U	the state of the state of the state of the	ē				Pag	e 29 on	,39N	NIN	i w	Number of Containers	5/17/2017

Login Sample Receipt Checklist

Client: Ninyo & Moore

Login Number: 79144 List Number: 1 Creator: Mullen, Joan

Question	Answer	Comment
Radioactivity wasn't checked or is = background as measured by a survey meter.</td <td>N/A</td> <td></td>	N/A	
The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact.	N/A	
Sample custody seals, if present, are intact.	N/A	
The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with.	True	
Samples were received on ice.	True	
Cooler Temperature is acceptable.	True	
Cooler Temperature is recorded.	True	
COC is present.	True	
COC is filled out in ink and legible.	True	
COC is filled out with all pertinent information.	True	
Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC?	True	
There are no discrepancies between the containers received and the COC.	True	
Samples are received within Holding Time (excluding tests with immediate HTs)	True	
Sample containers have legible labels.	True	
Containers are not broken or leaking.	True	
Sample collection date/times are provided.	True	
Appropriate sample containers are used.	True	
Sample bottles are completely filled.	True	
Sample Preservation Verified.	N/A	
There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested MS/MSDs	True	
Containers requiring zero headspace have no headspace or bubble is <6mm (1/4").	True	
Multiphasic samples are not present.	True	
Samples do not require splitting or compositing.	True	
Residual Chlorine Checked.	N/A	

Job Number: 720-79144-1

List Source: TestAmerica Pleasanton