

Barney

Drogos, Donna, Env. Health

Subject: RO382 - 2301 Santa Clara
Entry Type: Phone call
Start: Wed 4/12/2006 11:04 AM
End: Wed 4/12/2006 11:04 AM
Duration: 0 hours

Sue G. Russell, City of Alameda 510.749.5834

Meeting with Wayne Chun & City of Alameda & Goldman.

Can Barney, or I attend. Barney can on Monday or Tuesday. Told he one of us would be there.

CONTACT LOG (2301 Santa Clara)

- 6/5/97 Spoke to Peter Hudson. Told him I spoke to Steve Margers. Recommended he call Mr. Margers for feedback on Feasibility Study.
- 6/5/97 Left message for Wayne Chen re my conversation w/ Steve Margers.
- 7/15/97 Peter Hudson, ENSR, 748-6700, called to say that drilling at the site will begin on Monday of next week and that they conducted vapor tests at the ^{adjacent} Howata Building & he will send a letter summarizing results.
- 9/22/97 Spoke P Hudson ENSR, got an update of latest work done will bring in copy of air study under Howata Flower Shop & other results + possibly F.S. on Wed 9/24
- 1/13/98 Spoke Dan Etheredge ENSR who inherited this site, P. Hudson no longer works there. He's not very familiar w/ site & will contact Sacramento office to get a copy of the feasibility study. He's going to update me on the tasks on ENSR's 9/24/97 Project Progress Report. He promised to get back to me w/i 2 weeks (1/27/98)
- 4/23/98 Spoke C. Martin ENSR (new PM) : expects to send out feasibility study by end of this week
- 5/6/98 Spoke A. Gibbs + J. Winn ENSR, said feasibility study would be done next week (again).

CONTACT LOG (2301 Santa Clara)

- 5/14/97 Left message for ~~Peter~~ Hudson, Fugro West, Inc., 510-748-6700, inquiring about the status of the work plan implementation since the State Trust Fund recently ^{pre-}approved the corrective action costs (per the April 30, '97 letter).
- 5/14/97 Left message for Wayne Chun, 510-432-7793, inquiring about when work plan will be implemented now that the State Trust Fund has pre-approved the corrective action costs.
- 5/15/97 Peter Hudson ⁽⁵¹⁰⁾⁷⁴⁸⁻⁶⁷⁴⁰ returned call. He said he will meet w/ Wayne Chun on site next week to get work moving. He will call me Mon of next week when he returns to town.
- 5/28/97 Peter Hudson, ENSR, 510-748-6700, left message stating that he met w/ Wayne Chun ~~last site~~ ~~there~~ today & will get started on off-site investigations, but still had some questions re the on-site investigations. He would like to meet w/ me to discuss the proposed on-site investigations.
- 5/28/97 I returned Mr. Hudson's call & requested that he get back to me w/ some proposed meeting dates.
- 6/5/97 Met w/ Wayne Chun & Peter Hudson - refer to meeting notes in file.
- 6/5/97 Spoke to Steve Margves, State Trust Fund, 916-227-0746. Discussed the need for ~~ex~~ remediation & containment. Said he would reimburse ~~total~~ Feasibility Study & the Fund would reimburse for Feasibility Study. Recommended that Peter Hudson call him while preparing Feasibility Study.

Contact Log (2301 Santa Clara)

- 9/10/96 Spoke to Wati Waziri, City of Alameda Public Works, (510) 748-4517. The depth of the storm/sewer lines along Santa Clara Avenue are at 12.5 feet bgs to bottom of utility trench. It is a 6-inch pipe, so the top of the line is at ~12' bgs.
- 9/19/96 Peter Hudson, Fuqua Inc, 415 296 1041
Called to say that wells beneath Towata Bldg wouldnt be possible due to access problems. Will instead address health issues by monitoring any vapors in the Towata Bldg. Will try + begin remediation which would encompass any potential contamination beneath the Towata Bldg, a.s.a.p after installing additional wells on site.
- 9/19/96 Spoke to Kevin Corvax, who agreed that off-site contamination in vicinity of former Skull station is not Bill Chun's responsibility.
- 11/20/96 Peter Hudson called to say that the 2nd + 3rd Qtrly reports will be in w/in next wk. The proposed work has not yet begun, due to Mr. Chun not yet approving costs. But Mr. Hudson is hoping work will begin in Dec 96. He will keep me posted.
- 2/10/97 Spoke to Wayne Chun. Work at site has not begun yet because the State Fund has not yet approved work plan. Apparently the State needs more info from Mr. Chun before approving plan. Formerly Mr. Wheeler was overseeing case. Now Mr. Margolis is at the Fund, + he will get back to Mr. Chun shortly. Mr. Chun stated that he would contact me as soon as he hears from the State.

Contact Log (2301 Santa Clara)

- 7/18/96 Left message for Wayne Chen; 510-432-7793 regarding the status of the workplan submitted.
- 7/22/96 Wayne Chen called & said that the workplan submitted was delayed because Bill Bassett, the Project Manager for the job, left Fugro Inc. Mr. Chen will get a schedule together & call back this week to request a specific extension for the due date.
- 7/25/96 Spoke to Peter Hudson, Fugro West, 415-296-1041. He is new project manager for site. The workplan will be submitted w/in next two weeks. Discussed required work. Emphasis of work plan:
- to better delineate product in order to know how to remediate it & determine how much of a threat it is
- to determine whether the plume is significantly migrating
- 7/25/96 Left message for Wayne Chen to let him know about my discussion w/ Peter Hudson.
- 8/30/96 Contacted Peter Hudson, Fugro West, Inc., 415-296-1041, after reviewing their Aug 14 '96 workplan. I requested that they address, if possible, investigations beneath the Towata Bldg, which is currently being used, to determine whether floating product exists beneath the bldg. Mr. Hudson said that he would look into it & check whether Towata has a basement for RA purposes. Discussed the possibility of placing a well between MW-1 & MW-2 to make up for fact that these wells are not screened properly. A study was recently conducted out at the site & all wells were sampled and analysis included HVOCs. A revision to workplan will be submitted w/in next wk

Contract Log

4/3/96

Spoke to Wayne Chen regarding his request to reduce the number of wells that need to be sampled or reduce frequency, due to cost. I agreed to let him sample wells MW-10 and MW-4 semi-annually, due to the low levels observed in these wells. Also, I agreed to semi-annual monitoring of wells MW-1 + MW-2 which most likely contain product (Wells MW-5 + MW-7 are currently not analyzed due to the free product), and Well MW-8 which whose contamination appears to be resulting, at least in part, from another source. I explained that further characterization beneath bldgs, which are active, lateral delineation, + source removal are key elements. Based on the extensive amounts of free product observed on site and adjacent to buildings, it can be assumed that there is a potential threat to human health which needs to be addressed immediately. HVOCs analysis will need to begin based on 1,2 DCA identified both on + off-site in past.

4/3/96

Spoke to Bill Barnett, Fugro, regarding above discussion. For source removal, he suggested either overexcavation or vapor extraction. He also suggested that in the next round they analyze all wells for HVOCs + then change monitoring frequency in following sampling events.

4/3/96

Spoke to Madhulla Logan, ACIDEM, re potential risks

4/3/96

Spoke to Jeff Cranberry, Shell, re 2300 Santa Clara, + he referred me to Katty Kelly, Houston, 1-800-285-3037.

4/3/96

left message for Katty Kelly re status of their response to County's Feb 20 '96 letter.

PHONE LOG

- 10/25/95 Wayne Chen - stated that the recently identified waste oil OST will be removed by Subsurface Environmental → Contact: Elaine (415) 863-8160.
- 10/25/95 Elaine - will submit permit w/in next week. I stated that a deposit would be required since already LOP.
- 12/5/95 Bill Bassett → Fugro → report/recommendations will be submitted w/in 2 wks.
- 1/9/96 Bill Bassett → report is on its way w/in next wk. They have already begun product removal & amount of product at the site has been significantly reduced. They are finding 1,2-DCA exceeding State & Federal MCLs in groundwater locations & will analyze for 80/10 in all wells in future. Fugro suggests that the former Sheep site at 2300 Santa Clara is contributing to observed contaminant plume.
- 1/16/96 Bill Bassett, 415 - 296 - 1041
Report will be in early next wk. There is some uncertainty about implementing mitigation control due to an apparent off site plume impacting the site. Discussed RBC/CBS interim guidelines.
- 2/28/96 Spoke to Bill Bassett re County recent letter & Subsurface's intended response. Discussed the fact that g.w. modeling is not needed at this time & the fact that only a portion of the RA can be done until better characterization of plume is achieved. Fugro still feels that plume on Towata property may be coming from another source, possibly 2314 Santa Clara. I told him that we don't have enough info to be sure of that. No files on 2314 Santa Clara in our office.

PHONE LOG

10/19/95

Bill Bassett, Fugro, 415 296-1041

Called me back to update me on work at site. They performed 1st phase of proposed investigations, which was the emplacement of hydroponches. As the work plan states, if the hydroponch did not determine limit of groundwater plume, then further work would need to be done to characterize. There is one area that has not yet been fully characterized. This area is across the street to the south of the site^{upgradient}. A hydroponch placed there identified elevated levels and shown indicating that the former Shell station at that location could be a contributing source. (Another possibility could be some area of depression). The address of this former Shell site is 2300 Santa Clara. Taps on this site was obtained from the Fire Dept. Four USTs were installed at the site on Aug 9 1922 (each was a capacity of 290 gallons). These tanks were ~~some~~ apparently removed and replaced on Jan 6 1939 w/ 3 1,000-gallon USTs, one 550-gallon UST and one 110-gallon UST. The USTs were apparently removed in 1950. Mr. Bassett feels that delineation should be completed before implementing the proposed VES/air sparging for containment, because this may draw other ^{potential} plumes from off site. Fugro already compared fuel types from 2300 Santa Clara and 2301 Santa Clara. The fuel at 2300 Santa Clara is distinctly older w/ less BTEX. Another potential contributing source is 2305 Santa Clara where elevated levels were identified. It is currently a —

Flower Shop & a gas tank was removed from there several years ago.

Free product removal has been conducted for the last 4 weeks from 2 wells. The product thickness appears to be reducing. Fugro is now going there once a week for product removal.

Fugro will prepare a report documenting findings of hydrofracture work for County review prior to conducting any further work out at site.

The Utility lines were investigated & doesn't appear to be acting as conduit

10/19/95 - hooked up info on 2305 Santa Clara in our files. Soil samples from the tank removal did not identify any TPH₉ or BTEX.

PHONE LOG

- 5/09/95 Wayne Chen (916) 432-7793 Mr. Chen called to say that he is working on w.p. but a little behind schedule.
- 5/11/95 Wayne Chen requested a 30 day ext. of workplan, which main plan shall be submitted by end of May 1995.
- 5/15/95 Wayne Chen requested an additional 2 week extension w.p. to June 19 95 due to difficulty w/ bid waiver.
- 8/29/95 Mr. Chen called to request an extension for implementing w.p. They are having difficulty w/ Steve Margolis, State Trust Fund, in getting their w.p. to be acceptable to State. Steve Margolis' phone # is (916) 227-0746. Fugro West is consultant & Bill Bassett is contact there at (415) 296-1041. Mr. Chen also requested that on future letters, Ovorum be taken out of address and Bill Chen's Service Station be put in.
- 8/29/95 Spoke to Bill Bassett & Steve Margolis.
- 9/1/95 Bill Bassett stated that w.p., including containment, will probably be approved by Trust Fund by next wk. Then they have to go thru design and permitting. Implementation of w.p. will most likely be underway w/in 6 to 8 wks.
- 10/12/95 Left message for Wayne Chen re status of proposed work at the site and requested that a schedule of work be submitted.
- 10/19/95 - Left message for Bill Bassett. Returned his call re schedule of work.

PHONE LOA (2301 Santa Clara)

(510) 432-7793

12/27/93

Left message for Wayne Chun re overdue workplan and his earlier statement that he'd write a letter requesting a specific amount of days for an extension. - J.S.

2/9/94

Wayne Chun, (510) 432-7793, & Felicit Shiu spoke to Mr. Chun. He stated that there has been a slight delay in submittal of the workplan because they incorporated some info from the last daily report. He said the work plan should be completed by end of this wk.

2/28/94

Mr. Chun ^{(510) 432-7793} called to say that he is doubtful of the proposals for 3 addit. wells in the newly prepared workplan, & is going to have his attorney review it before submitting it. The workplan will be submitted w/in next 2 wks. - J.S.

4/1/94

Wayne Chun called & said that he had difficulties accepting the workplan prepared by his consultant. Needs time to discuss plan w/ attorney on Wednesday. Left him message requesting that he discuss any concerns of workplan w/ me. (The workplan submitted is overdue).

4/15/94

Spoke to Wayne Chun. He said that he would submit timetable w/in 2 wks outlining his plans for another workplan to be submitted, the bidding process, & implementation.

6/3/94

Wayne Chun, (510) 432-7793, & Felicit Shiu I inquired about status of addendum to w.p. Mr. Chun stated that he was not aware of requested addendum to plan. The due date is June 5-94. I asked him to get back to me to let me know when it will be submitted, or when we will give him assurance that selected items will be addressed.

12/9/94

Stephen Bandman, Fugro West, Inc. (415) 296-1041
Called to check status of overdue daily and report documenting recent work. Mr. Bandman stated that the report, and daily monitoring report would be submitted w/in 2 to 3 weeks.

Phone conversation

12/30/92

From: Juliet Stein

To: Michael O'Neill, ESE, Inc.

RE: 2301 Santa Clara, Alameda

Mr. O'Neill stated that approximately 50 yd³ of soil resulted from past tank removal and was stored on site. He said that ESE went ahead and collected 3 soil samples from the pile w/ a shovel (?) and composited them & had ~~it~~ the composite sample analyzed at a lab. He said that no contaminants were detected. I reminded him that soils must be disposed of off site.

I reminded him that wells need to be screened at least 10 feet below water level and adequately above water level. I stated that the work plan stated that the borings would only go down to 20 feet bgs even though the water table is as deep as 15 feet bgs. Mr. O'Neill stated that they would screen 10 feet below water table.

I stated that it appeared that diesel analysis was not really necessary since there were only gasoline tanks at the site. Mr. O'Neill stated that he's not certain of that, & besides, no additional analysis has to be done to analyze for diesel. He stated that lead would be included in analysis.

Mr. O'Neill stated that they use dust tape w/out followup.

Phone Conversation

11/4/92

From: Wang Chun, Responsible Party (510) 432-7793

To: Juliet Stein

RE: Bill Chun's Service St., Alameda, STID 3838
2301 Santa Clara

Mr. Chun called to get an idea of what sort of guidelines the agencies use to regulate and require underground storage tank releases. He wanted to know what specific concentrations are used for gasoline threshold limits in soil. Mrs. Stein explained that generally the RWQCB requires that soil & groundwater investigations be conducted when TPH concentrations exceed 100ppm in native soils collected beneath the UST. However, if shallow groundwater exists at the site, then concentrations may be lower to prompt groundwater investigations.

Mrs. Stein gave Mr. Chun the phone # to call for a copy of the Tri-Valley Regional guidelines and told Mr. Chun that she would send him a copy of Article II of Title 23, Calif. Code of Regulations.

Mr. Chun asked that it be sent to:

Ted Chun
740 Canterbury Ave.
Livermore, CA 94550

Mr. Chun also asked what they could do about excavated soil, which is currently stockpiled & covered. Mrs. Stein stated that they could notify BAAQMD and create soils.

Phone Conversation

11/19/92

From: Wayne Chun, Bill Chun Service St.
(510) 432-7793

To: Juliet Stein

RE: STID 3838, 2301 Santa Clara, Alameda

Mr. Chun called to request an extension for the submittal of the PSA. Mr. Chun stated they received the proposals but they want a chance to review them, and to have their attorney review them. Mr. Chun requested a 30-day extension from today's date. Ms. Stein granted the extension.