From: <u>Mary Rose Cassa</u>
To: <u>George Lockwood</u>

Cc: <u>Drogos, Donna, Env. Health</u>

Subject: Re: RO382 - Petition - letter from SWRCB

Date: Monday, September 28, 2009 10:04:21 AM

Attachments: 2301 Santa Clara Avenue.pdf

Rb2 comments attached.

* * * * *

State furlough 1st, 2nd, and 3rd Fridays of the month August 1, 2009-June 30, 2010 * * * * *

Mary Rose Cassa, PG Senior Engineering Geologist Toxics Cleanup Division San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board 1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400 Oakland, CA 94612 510-622-2447

>>> George Lockwood 9/2/2009 3:04 PM >>> Mary Rose,

FYI

>>> Mary Rose Cassa 8/31/2009 2:35 PM >>> George,

I'd appreciate receiving copies of the documents Donna has requested.

mary Rose

On 08/26/09 ACEH received a letter from you regarding a petition for case closure for RO382, Bill Chun Service Station. The letter requires ACEH to submit documents with 10 or 12 days "of the date of this letter," however your letter is undated. Please clarify the date of this letter so that response timeframes can be determined.

Additionally, your letter requests that we prepare a response to the petition you received however, a copy of the petition was not included with the letter. Also, the letter indicates an unidentified "Enclosure" is included with the letter, however no enclosure was included with the original letter sent to the Health Care Agency Director (ACEH's cc: copy was w/o enclosure). Please provide us with a copy of the petition and the enclosure that was included with the letter.

Lastly, your letter is addressed to the Director of the Health Care Agency for the entire County of Alameda (hospitals, MediCal, welfare, etc.) rather than the Director of Environmental Health (ACEH, the department with the LOP contract) and sent to an address different from Environmental Health. Can you adjust your department contact for petitions to Ariu Levi, Director Environmental Health at ACEH? This will ensure that correspondence & attachments requiring response from ACEH is received by us directly and without delays in mail forwarding.

Thanks, Donna

LOP Program Manager Alameda County Environmental Health 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway Alameda, CA 94502

510-567-6721

donna.drogos@acgov.org<BLOCKED::blocked::mailto:donna.drogos@acgov.org>

Online case files are available at the website below

http://www.acgov.org/aceh/index.htm > blocked::blocked::http://www.acgov.org/aceh/index.htm >

Confidentiality Notice: This email and any attachments thereto may contain private, confidential, and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, copying, or distribution of this email (or any attachments thereto) by other than the County of Alameda or the intended recipient is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately and permanently delete the original and any copies of this email and any attachments thereto.

9

California Regional Water Quality Control Board

San Francisco Bay Region

Linda S. Adams
Secretary for
Environmental Protection

1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400, Oakland, California 94612 (510) 622-2300 • Fax (510) 622-2460 http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sanfranciscobay

Arnold Schwarzenegger
Governor

TO: George Lockwood, P.E.

CHIEF. UST CLEANUP UNIT

STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD

FROM: Mary Rose Cassa, P.G.

Senior Engineering Geologist SAN FRANCISCO BAY

REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD

CC: Donna Drogos, P.E.

donna.drogos@acgov.org

ALAMEDA COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

Wayne and Lily Chun Bill Chun Service Station 2301 Santa Clara Avenue Alameda, CA 94510

DATE: September 28, 2009

SUBJECT: RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR CASE CLOSURE REVIEW

FUEL LEAK CASE NO. RO0000382; GEOTRACKER GLOBAL ID T0600100980 – BILL CHUN SERVICE STATION, 2301 SANTA CLARA

AVENUE, ALAMEDA COUNTY

This memo responds to your August 25, 2009, letter requesting the Regional Water Board's records and response to the subject petition. We have no records for this local-lead case. Based on the information provided and limited review by staff of information available on GeoTracker, the petition for case closure does not appear to have merit. Several elements for low-risk closure appear to exist (the site is characterized, the dissolve plume appears to be stable or decreasing, no sensitive receptors, no risk to human health or the environment); however, the residual concentrations are so high that a large, substantial source still appears to remain, which will inhibit natural attenuation from occurring or at least slow down the natural biodegradation process to an unacceptable time frame. Furthermore, high concentrations are found on the adjacent property. The ease and cost of source removal, as proposed earlier, will have more environmental benefit than leaving the source in place will have. Sites should be left to natural attenuation processes as a remedial action only after complete site characterization and adequate source removal.

File No: 01-1063 (MRC)

California Environmental Protection Agency

