gettler — ryan inc.
general contractors

October 13, 1989

Ms. Diane Lundquist
Shell Oil Company
Post Office Box 4023
Concord, California 94520

Reference: Former Shell Service Station
15275 Washington Avenue
San Leandro, California

Ms. Lundquist:

Enclosed is a copy of the Quarterly Report and Work Plan issued by GeoStrategies Inc., dated October 12, 1989, for the above referenced location. The Work Plan proposes the performance of the following tasks.

- Install one six-inch diameter recovery well to initiate remediation of the dissolved hydrocarbon plume beneath the site.

- Perform aquifer testing on the recovery well.

Please do not hesitate to call should you have any questions or comments.

Sincerely,

John P. Werfal
Project Manager

enclosures

1992 national avenue • hayward, california 94545-1787 • 783-7500

GeoStrategies Inc.

QUARTERLY REPORT AND WORK PLAN

JULY - SEPTEMBER 1989

Former Shell Service Station
15275 Washington Avenue
San Leandro, California

Report No. 7615-4

October 12, 1989

GeoStrategies Inc.
2140 WEST WINTON AVENUE
HAYWARD, CALIFORNIA 94545
(415) 352-4800

October 12, 1989

Gettler-Ryan Inc.
1992 National Avenue
Hayward, California 94545

Attn: Mr. John Werfal

Re: QUARTERLY REPORT AND WORK PLAN
Former Shell Service Station
15275 Washington Avenue
San Leandro, California

Gentlemen:

INTRODUCTION

This Quarterly Report and Work Plan has been prepared for the Former Shell Service Station at the above referenced location (Plate 1).

This report describes the results of the third quarterly ground-water sampling for 1989 performed by Gettler-Ryan Inc. (G-R), in accordance with the current quarterly monitoring plan for the site. In addition, a work plan is included which proposes additional field activities to be performed at the site during the next quarter. Field and chemical analytical data discussed in this report were collected between July 1 and September 30, 1989.

SITE HISTORY

In June 1985, four ground-water monitoring wells (S-1 through S-4) were installed to assess soil and ground-water conditions beneath the site. Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons calculated as Gasoline (TPH-Gasoline) concentrations in ground-water samples collected from these wells ranged from 0.52 ppm to 32.0 parts per million (ppm). Well S-3 contained approximately 0.5 feet of separate-phase product. Soil samples taken from the borings contained TPH-Gasoline concentrations ranging from none detected (ND) to 3,900 ppm. A report was prepared by EMCON Associates (EMCON) dated August 12, 1985.

In August 1986, four soil borings (S-A through S-D) were drilled within the underground gasoline storage tank complex prior to removal and replacement. TPH-Gasoline concentrations of soil samples ranged from ND to 1,700 ppm. Boring S-B was converted to a temporary tank back-fill monitoring well. Approximately 0.13 feet of separate-phase product was measured in S-B. Boring S-A was drilled adjacent to the former waste oil tank. Waste oil was not detected in analyzed soil samples. A report for this work was prepared by EMCON dated September 12, 1986.

In December 1986, one additional ground-water monitoring well (S-5) was installed adjacent to the former waste oil tank.

In June 1987, the existing underground gasoline storage tanks were removed. The temporary tank backfill well S-B was also removed. All site wells were inaccessible from June to August of 1987, due to construction activities. Monitoring wells S-2 and S-4 were destroyed during the tank removal and replacement project.

In July 1987, a work plan was prepared by Pacific Environmental Group Inc. (PACIFIC), recommending the installation of additional wells to further assess the extent of hydrocarbons in soils and groundwater.

In October 1988, a soil gas survey was conducted by Tracer Research Corporation at 15 selected off-site soil gas locations on Lewelling Boulevard and in a nearby mobile home park west and south of the site. The highest soil vapor concentrations were detected to the south of the site in Lewelling Boulevard.

In November 1988, seven ground-water monitoring wells (S-6 through S-12) were installed on and off-site. In addition, G-R began quarterly sampling of all wells at this time. TPH-Gasoline concentrations in ground-water samples ranged from ND (S-1) to 70 ppm (S-3). Benzene concentrations ranged from ND (S-1) to 4.6 ppm (S-3). TPH-Gasoline concentrations in soil samples ranged from ND (S-6-10', S-8-14', S-10-4', S-10-9', S-11-9') to 5,600 ppm (S-8-4'). Benzene concentrations ranged from ND (S-6-9', S-7-4', S-10-4', S-10-9', S-11-9') to 31 ppm (S-8-4').

In April 1989, monitoring wells S-13 through S-17 were installed by GeoStrategies, Inc. (GSI). Soil samples (S-13-5, S-14-5, S-15-5, S-16-5, and S-17-5) were analyzed for TPH-Gasoline and Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylene (BTEX). TPH-Gasoline concentrations in these samples were 31. ppm, 16. ppm, ND, 1,100. ppm and 13. ppm, respectively. Benzene concentrations for these soil samples were reported as 0.19 ppm, 0.33 ppm, ND, 3. ppm, and ND, respectively. Ground-water samples from the wells were also analyzed for TPH-Gasoline and BTEX. Wells S-1, S-6, S-7, S-8, S-11 S-12, S-15, and S-17 were all reported as ND for TPH-Gasoline. Benzene was detected in Wells S-1 (0.001 ppm), S-3 (4.4 ppm), S-5 (3.0 ppm), S-8 (0.0075 ppm), S-9 (0.47 ppm), S-13 (0.0049 ppm), S-14 (0.75 ppm), and S-16 (0.044 ppm). All these benzene concentrations were above current Department of Health Services (DHS) action levels. A report was prepared by GSI dated July 13, 1989. The locations of the new wells are shown on Plate 1.

GROUNDWATER LEVEL MONITORING

Potentiometric Data

Prior to ground-water sampling, static water-levels were measured in each well using an electric well sounder (Table 1). Static water-levels were measured from the surveyed top of well box and recorded to the nearest ±0.01 foot.

Ground-water elevation data for this quarter have been plotted and contoured and are presented as Plate 2. Water-level data used to prepare the potentiometric map for this quarter were collected on August 9, 1989.

Separate-Phase Hydrocarbon Measurements

Each well was monitored for separate-phase petroleum hydrocarbons using a portable oil-water interface probe, and measurements were obtained to the nearest ±0.01 foot. Separate-phase product was not observed in any wells during this quarter's ground-water sampling.

CHEMICAL ANALYTICAL DATA

Ground-water samples were collected from site monitoring wells by G-R on August 9 and 10, 1989. The ground-water samples were analyzed for TPH-Gasoline according to EPA Method 8015 (Modified); and BTEX according to EPA Method 8020. All samples were analyzed by International Technology (IT) Analytical Services, a California Department of Health Services State-certified Laboratory, located in San Jose, California.

A total of six wells were found to contain aromatic fractions of petroleum hydrocarbon products above established action levels set by the State of California Department of Health Services (DHS). As shown on Table 1, benzene concentrations were identified in ground-water samples above the current DHS action levels in monitoring wells S-1 (0.0007 ppm), S-3 (5.7 ppm), S-5 (1.1 ppm), S-9 (0.073 ppm), S-13 (0.0029 ppm) and S-14 (0.54 ppm). TPH-Gasoline concentrations ranged from none detected (ND) to 110. ppm. TPH-Gasoline and benzene isoconcentration maps prepared for this quarter are presented on Plates 3 and 4, respectively. Water-quality data for this quarterly report are summarized in Table 1.

Quality Control

Quality Control (QC) samples for this quarter's ground-water sampling included a trip blank, field blank, and a duplicate sample. The trip blank was prepared in the IT Laboratory using organic-free water to evaluate laboratory handling and analytical procedures. The field blank was prepared in the field using laboratory furnished organic-free water to assess sampling procedures. The duplicate sample was collected as a split (second sample) to quantitatively assess laboratory procedures and analytical results. G-R Sampling Protocol are presented in Appendix A. The G-R Ground-water Sampling Report, Chain-of-Custody forms and IT Laboratory chemical analytical reports for this quarter groundwater sampling are presented in Appendix B.

Historical chemical analytical data and historical ground-water monitoring data were compiled and included in the January to March, 1989 Groundwater Sampling Report. These data will be included in the January to March, 1990 Groundwater Sampling Report.

SUMMARY

A summary of activities and findings associated with this quarterly report are present below:

- Water levels were measured in selected monitoring wells on August 8, 1989 (Table 1). A potentiometric map was constructed from static water level elevation data (Plate 2). Approximate ground-water gradient is 0.004 and is in a southwesterly direction.

- TPH-Gasoline concentrations in ground-water samples ranged from ND to 110. ppm.

- Benzene concentrations were detected at or above the current DHS action levels in Wells S-1 (0.0007 ppm), S-3 (5.7 ppm), S-5 (1.1 ppm), S-9 (0.073 ppm), S-13 (0.0029 ppm), and S-14 (0.54 ppm).

- Toluene was detected above DHS action levels in Wells S-3 (5.7 ppm) and S-14 (0.14 ppm). Ethylbenzene was detected above the DHS action level in Well S-3 (3.2 ppm).

PLANNED SITE ACTIVITIES

The following monitoring activities are planned for the fourth quarter, October through December 1989, at the site:

- All scheduled wells will be sampled and analyzed for TPH-Gasoline according to EPA Method 8015 (Modified); and BTEX according to EPA Method 8020.

- Ground-water levels will be measured bimonthly (every two weeks) and selected data will be used to prepare a potentiometric map across the site. The local shallow ground-water gradient will be calculated.

- Ground-water chemical data will be used to construct isoconcentration maps for TPH and benzene.

WORK PLAN

Technical Approach

GSI has reviewed available field and chemical data for this site. Based on our review, we recommend the installation of one 6-inch diameter recovery well to evaluate aquifer characteristics and begin interim remediation at the site. The proposed recovery well will be installed so that the well screen is fully penetrating the shallow aquifer. The anticipated depth of the recovery well based on available geologic data is approximately 35 feet below existing grade. The location of the proposed interim remediation well is shown on Plate 1. Soil samples will be collected for lithologic description, field head-space analysis using an organic vapor monitor (OVM) and for chemical analysis on selected soil samples. As a minimum, soil samples will be collected at five-foot intervals. Additional samples may be collected for lithologic description, physical testing, and/or chemical analysis.

We recommend that a step-drawdown test and 24-hour constant-rate discharge pump test be performed on the interim recovery well after the well is properly developed. Field Data collected during these tests will be evaluated and specific aquifer characteristics will be calculated. Hydraulic properties will be assessed to estimate the effectiveness of the test well for interim remediation and potential long-term cleanup. The results of the pumping tests will also be used to determine the need for additional remedial action, if necessary.

Time-series ground-water sampling will be performed before and after the constant-rate test. The test well will be sampled prior to testing, 15 days after testing, and 30 days after testing. Ground-water samples will be analyzed in a State-certified laboratory for TPH-Gasoline according to EPA Method 8015 (Modified), and BTEX according to EPA Method 8020. After time-series sampling has been completed, the newly installed test well should be sampled according to the site-specific monitoring schedule along with existing wells.

The rationale for the above proposed scope of work is based on the following criteria:

- Historically, separate phase product has been identified in existing monitoring well S-3. Product thickness measurements have ranged from 0.0 feet to 0.52 feet (measurements taken from G-R historical monitoring data through August 1989). Therefore, site specific hydrogeologic parameters need to be defined so that the hydrocarbon plume configuration can be estimated for the selection and implementation for the appropriate remedial action.

- Ground-water samples were collected from site monitoring wells by G-R on August 9 and 10, 1989. Benzene concentrations detected were identified in monitoring wells S-1 (0.0007 ppm), S-3 (5.7 ppm), S-5 (1.1 ppm), S-9 (0.073 ppm), S-13 (0.0029 ppm) and S-14 (0.54 ppm).

- Dissolved petroleum hydrocarbons have migrated off-site (Plates 3 and 4). Since the shallow aquifer appears to be composed of primarily silty sand and sandy silts, relative hydraulic conductivities appear low. This infers a retarded transport of hydrocarbons. This should allow time to install an interim remedial system that will evaluate the potential to recover dissolved phase hydrocarbons migrating off-site.

GSI recommends that the proposed interim recovery well screened interval be installed a minimum of three-foot above the observed equilibrated water level to accommodate for separate-phase product (if present), and potential diurnal and seasonal groundwater fluctuations. Notwithstanding, the well screen will be emplaced so that the well design is compatible with subsurface geologic conditions. No well screen will be installed that potentially could permit cross-contamination of adjacent aquifers.

Attached to this work plan are the procedures, protocols, and methods that will be used to investigate this site. Additional scopes of work, if necessary, will follow the procedures described in this work plan, or shall be added as appropriate addendum.

If you have any questions, please call.

GeoStrategies Inc. by,

Timothy J. Walker
Geologist

Jeffrey L. Peterson
Senior Hydrogeologist
R.E.A. 1021

REGISTERED GEOLOGIST
CHRISTOPHER M. PALMER
№ 1262
CERTIFIED
ENGINEERING
GEOLOGIST
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Christopher M. Palmer
C.E.G. 1262, R.E.A. 285

TJW/JLP/kjj

Plate 1. Site Plan
Plate 2. Potentiometric Map
Plate 3. TPH Isoconcentration Map
Plate 4. Benzene Isoconcentration Map
Appendix A - Field Methods and Procedures
Appendix B - Gettler-Ryan Inc. Groundwater Sampling Report

TABLE 1

GROUND-WATER ANALYSIS DATA

WELL NO	SAMPLE DATE	ANALYSIS DATE	TPH (PPM)	BENZENE (PPM)	TOLUENE (PPM)	ETHYLBENZENE (PPM)	XYLENES (PPM)	WELL ELEV (FT)	STATIC WATER ELEV (FT)	PRODUCT THICKNESS (FT)	DEPTH TO WATER (FT)
S-1	10-Aug-89	11-Aug-89	ND	0.0007	ND	ND	ND	21.55	13.62	----	7.93
S-3	09-Aug-89	15-Aug-89	110.	5.7	5.7	3.2	19.	21.14	13.22	----	7.92
S-5	09-Aug-89	15-Aug-89	5.1	1.1	ND	0.27	0.4	21.41	13.13	----	8.28
S-6	10-Aug-89	11-Aug-89	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	22.02	13.48	----	8.54
S-7	10-Aug-89	11-Aug-89	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	21.47	13.29	----	8.18
S-8	09-Aug-89	11-Aug-89	ND	0.0006	ND	ND	ND	20.72	12.93	----	7.79
S-9	09-Aug-89	16-Aug-89	0.52	0.073	ND	0.04	ND	20.96	13.14	----	7.82
S-10	09-Aug-89	11-Aug-89	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	20.86	12.92	----	7.94
S-11	09-Aug-89	11-Aug-89	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	21.26	12.61	----	8.65
S-12	09-Aug-89	11-Aug-89	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	21.05	12.73	----	8.32
S-13	09-Aug-89	11-Aug-89	0.11	0.0029	ND	ND	ND	20.57	12.57	----	8.00

TPH = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline
PPM = parts per million
ND = None Detected
SF = Field Blank
SD = Duplicate Sample

CURRENT DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES ACTION LEVELS

Benzene 0.0007 ppm
Toluene 0.100 ppm
Xylenes 0.620 ppm
Ethylbenzene 0.680 ppm

Note: 1. For chemical parameter detection limits, refer to I.T. laboratory reports in Appendix B
2. Water level elevations referenced to mean sea level
3. Depth to water measured on August 9, 1989

Project No. 7615-4

TABLE 1

GROUND-WATER ANALYSIS DATA

WELL NO	SAMPLE DATE	ANALYSIS DATE	TPH (PPM)	BENZENE (PPM)	TOLUENE (PPM)	ETHYLBENZENE (PPM)	XYLENES (PPM)	WELL ELEV (FT)	STATIC WATER ELEV (FT)	PRODUCT THICKNESS (FT)	DEPTH TO WATER (FT)
S-14	09-Aug-89	16-Aug-89	1.8	0.54	0.14	0.042	0.05	20.44	12.86	----	7.58
S-15	09-Aug-89	12-Aug-89	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	22.22	13.74	----	8.48
S-16	10-Aug-89	12-Aug-89	ND	0.0006	ND	ND	ND	21.82	13.46	----	8.36
S-17	09-Aug-89	12-Aug-89	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	20.95	12.82	----	8.13
SD-5	09-Aug-89	15-Aug-89	4.3	0.96	0.027	0.23	0.32	----	----	----	----
SF-3	09-Aug-89	14-Aug-89	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	----	----	----	----
TB	09-Aug-89	12-Aug-89	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	----	----	----	----

ILLUSTRATIONS



GeoStrategies Inc.

Site Plan
Former Shell Service Station
15275 Washington Avenue
San Leandro, California

PLATE
1

JOB NUMBER	REVIEWED BY RG/CEG	DATE	REVISED DATE	REVISED DATE
7615	CWP CEG 1262	9/89		



GeoStrategies Inc.

Potentiometric Map
Former Shell Service Station
15275 Washington Avenue
San Leandro, California

PLATE
2

JOB NUMBER	REVIEWED BY RG/CEG	DATE	REVISED DATE	REVISED DATE
7615	CWP CEG 1262	9/89		



GeoStrategies Inc.

TPH Isoconcentration Map
Former Shell Service Station
15275 Washington Avenue
San Leandro, California

PLATE
3

JOB NUMBER	REVIEWED BY RG/CEG	DATE	REVISED DATE	REVISED DATE
7615	CWP CEG 1262	9/89		



GeoStrategies Inc.

Benzene Isoconcentration Map
Former Shell Service Station
15275 Washington Avenue
San Leandro, California

PLATE
4

JOB NUMBER	REVIEWED BY RG/CEG	DATE	REVISED DATE	REVISED DATE
7615		9/89		

APPENDIX A

METHODS AND PROCEDURES

FIELD METHODS AND PROCEDURES

EXPLORATION DRILLING

Mobilization

Prior to any drilling activities, GSI will verify that necessary drilling permits have been secured.

Utility locations will be located and drilling will be conducted so as not to disrupt activities at a project site. GSI will obtain and review available public data on subsurface geology and if warranted, the location of wells within a half-mile of the project site will be identified. Drillers will be notified in advance so that drilling equipment can be inspected prior to performing work.

Drilling

The subsurface investigations are typically performed to assess the lateral and vertical extent of petroleum hydrocarbons present in soils and ground water. Drilling methods will be selected to optimize field data requirements as well as be compatible with known or suspected subsurface geologic conditions.

Monitoring wells are installed using a truck-mounted hollow-stem auger drill rig or mud-rotary drill rig. Typically, the hollow-stem rig is used for wells up to 100 feet, if subsurface conditions are favorable. Wells greater than 100-feet deep are typically drilled using mud-rotary techniques. When mud rotary drilling is used, an electric log will be performed for additional lithological information. Also during mud rotary drilling, precautions will be taken to prevent mud from circulating contaminants by using a conductor casing to seal off contaminated zones. Samples will be collected for lithologic logging by continuous chip, and where needed by drive sample or core as specified by the supervising geologist.

Soil Sampling

Shallow soil borings will be drilled using a truck-mounted hollow-stem auger drilling rig, unless site conditions favor a different drilling method. Drilling and sampling methods will be consistent with ASTM Method D-1452-80. The auger size will be a minimum 6-inch nominal outside-diameter (O.D). No drilling fluids will be used during this drilling method. The augers and other tools used in the bore hole will be steam cleaned before use and between borings to minimize the possibilities of cross-contamination between borings.

Soil samples are typically collected at 5-foot intervals as a minimum from ground surface to total depth of boring. Additional soil samples will be collected based on significant lithologic changes and/or potential chemical content. Soil samples from each sampling interval will be lithologically described by a GSI geologist (Figure 1). Soil colors will be described using the Munsell Color Chart. Rock units will be logged using appropriate lithologic terms, and colors described by the G.S.A. Rock Color Chart.

Head-space analyses will be performed to check for the evidence of volatile organic compounds. Head-space analyses will be performed using an organic vapor analyzer; either an OVA, HNU, or OVM. Organic vapor concentrations will be recorded on the GSI field log of boring (Figure 1). The selection of soil samples for chemical analysis are typically based on the following criteria:

1) Soil discoloration
2) Soil odors
3) Visual confirmation of chemical in soil
4) Depth with respect to underground tanks (or existing grade)
5) Depth with respect to ground water
6) OVA reading

Soil samples (full brass liners) selected for chemical analysis are immediately covered with aluminum foil and the liner ends are capped to prevent volatilization. The samples are labeled and entered onto a Chain-of-Custody form, and placed in a cooler on blue ice for transport to a State-certified analytical laboratory.

Soil cuttings are stockpiled on-site. Soils are sampled and analyzed for site-specific chemical parameters. Disposition of soils is dependent of chemical analytical results of the samples.

Soil Sampling - cont.

Soil borings not converted to monitoring wells will be backfilled (sealed) to ground surface using either a neat cement or cement-bentonite grout mixture. Backfilling will be tremied by continuously pumping grout from the bottom to the top of the boring where depth exceeds 20' or as required by local permit requirements.

All field and office work, including exploratory boring logs, are prepared under the direction of a registered geologist.

Monitoring Well Installation

Monitoring well casing and screen will be constructed of Schedule 40, flush-joint threaded polyvinylchloride (PVC). The well screen will be factory mill-slotted unless additional open area is required (eg. conversion to an extraction well in a low-yield aquifer). The screen length will be placed adjacent to the aquifer material to a minimum of 2-feet above encountered water. No screen shall be placed in a borehole that potentially creates hydraulic interconnection of two or more aquifer units. Screen slot size and well sand pack will be compatible with encountered aquifer materials, as confirmed by sieve analysis.

Monitoring wells will be completed below grade (Figure 2) unless special conditions exist that require above-grade completion design. In the event a monitoring well is required in an aquifer unit beneath an existing aquifer, the upper aquifer will be sealed off by installing a steel conductor casing with an annular neat cement or cement-bentonite grout seal. This seal will be continuously tremied pumped from the bottom of the annulus to ground surface.

The monitoring well sand pack will be placed adjacent to the entire screened interval and will extend a recommended minimum distance of 2-feet above the top of the screen. No sand pack will be placed that interconnects two or more aquifer units. A minimum 2-foot bentonite pellet or bentonite slurry seal will be placed above the sand pack. Sand pack, bentonite, and cement seal levels will be confirmed by sounding the annulus with a calibrated weighted tape. The remaining annular space above the bentonite seal will be grouted with a bentonite-cement mixture and will be tremie-pumped from the bottom of the annular space to the ground surface. The bentonite content of the grout will not exceed 5 percent by weight. A field log of boring and a field well completion form will be prepared by GSI for each well installed.

Decontamination of drilling equipment before drilling and between wells will consist of steam cleaning, and/or Alconox wash.

Well Development

Monitoring wells will be developed using a submersible pump, bladder pump or bailer. All well developing equipment will be decontaminated prior to development using a steam cleaner and/or Alconox detergent wash. Wells will be developed until discharge water is visibly clear and free of sediment. The adequacy of well development will be assessed by the GSI geologist. Indicator parameters (pH, specific conductance, and temperature) will be monitored and recorded during well development. Field instrument calibrations will be performed according to manufacturer's specifications.

Well Surveying

Monitoring wells will be surveyed to obtain top of box elevations to the nearest ±0.01 foot. Water level measurements will be recorded to the nearest ±0.01 foot and referenced to mean sea level (MSL). If additional wells are required, then existing and newly installed wells are surveyed relative to MSL.

GROUND-WATER SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS

Quality Assurance/Quality Control Objectives

The sampling and analysis procedures employed by Gettler-Ryan Inc. (G-R) for ground-water sampling and monitoring follow specific Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) guidelines. Quality Assurance objectives have been established by G-R to develop and implement procedures for obtaining and evaluating water quality and field data in an accurate, precise, and complete manner so that sampling procedures and field measurements provide information that is comparable and representative of actual field conditions. Quality Control (QC) is maintained by G-R by using specific field protocols and requiring the analytical laboratory to perform internal and external QC checks. It is the goal of G-R to provide data that are accurate, precise, complete, comparable, and representative. The definitions for accuracy, precision, completeness, comparability, and representativeness are as follows:

- Accuracy - the degree of agreement of a measurement with an accepted referenced or true value.

- Precision - a measure of agreement among individual measurements under similar conditions. Usually expressed in terms of the standard deviation.

- Completeness - the amount of valid data obtained from a measurement system compared to the amount that was expected to meet the project data goals.

- Comparability - expresses the confidence with which one data set can be compared to another.

- Representativeness - a sample or group of samples that reflects the characteristics of the media at the sampling point. It also includes how well the sampling point represents the actual parameter variations which are under study.

As part of the G-R QA/QC program, applicable federal, state, and local reference guidance documents are followed. The procedures outlined in these regulations, manuals, handbooks, guidance documents, and journals are incorporated into the G-R sampling procedures to assure that; (1) ground-water samples are properly collected, (2) ground-water samples are identified, preserved, and transported in a manner such that they are representative of field conditions, and (3) chemical analysis of samples are accurate and reproducible.

Guidance and Reference Documents Used to Collect Groundwater Samples

These documents are used to verify Gettler-Ryan Inc. sampling procedures and consistent with current regulatory guidance. If site specific work and sampling plans are required, those plans will be developed from these documents.

U.S.E.P.A. - 330/9-51-002	NEIC Manual for Groundwater/Subsurface Investigation at Hazardous Waste Sites
U.S.E.P.A. - 530/SW611	Procedures Manual for Groundwater Monitoring at Solid Waste Disposal Facilities (August, 1977)
U.S.E.P.A. - 600/4-79-020	Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes (1983)
U.S.E.P.A. - 600/4-82-029	Handbook for Sampling and Sample Preservation of Water and Wastewater (1982)
U.S.E.P.A. - 600/4-82-057	Test Methods for Organic Chemical Analysis of Municipal and Industrial Wastewater (July, 1982)
U.S.E.P.A. - SW-846#, 3rd Edition	Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste - Physical/Chemical Methods (November, 1986)
40 CFR 136.3e,Table II (Code of Federal Regulations)	Required Containers, Preservation Techniques, and Holding Times
Resources Conservation and Recover Act (OSWER 9950.1)	Groundwater Monitoring Technical Enforcement Guidance Document (September, 1986)
California Regional Water Quality Control Board (Central Valley Region)	A Compilation of Water Quality Goals (September, 1988); Updates (October, 1988)
California Regional Water Quality Control Board (North Coast, San Francisco Bay, and Central Valley)	Regional Board Staff Recommendations for Initial Evaluations and Investigation of Underground Tanks: Tri-Regional Recommendations (June, 1988)

gettler — ryan inc. (415) 783-7500
general and environmental contractors

Guidance and Reference Documents Used to Collect Groundwater Samples (cont.)

Regional Water Quality Control Board (Central Valley Region)	Memorandum: Disposal, Treatment, and Refuse of Soils Contaminated with Petroleum Fractions (August, 1986)
State of California Department of Health Services	Hazardous Waste Testing Laboratory Certification List (March, 1987)
State of California Water Resources Control Board	Leaking Underground Fuel Tank (LUFT) Field Manual (May, 1988), and LUFT Field Manual Revision (April, 1989)
State of California Water Resources Control Board	Title 23, (Register #85.#33-8-17-85), Subchapter 16: Underground Tank Regulations; Article 3, Sections 2632 and 2634; Article 4, Section 2647 (October, 1986)
Alameda County Water District	Groundwater Protection Program: Guidelines for Groundwater and Soil Investigations at Leaking Underground Fuel Tank Sites (November, 1988)
American Public Health Association	Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewaters, 16th Edition
Analytical Chemistry (journal)	Principles of Environmental Analysis, Volume 55, Pages 2212-2218 (December, 1983)
Santa Clara Valley Water District	Guidelines for Preparing or Reviewing Sampling Plans for Soil and Groundwater Investigation of Fuel Contamination Sites (January, 1989)
Santa Clara Valley Water District	Investigation and Remediation at Fuel Leak sites: Guidelines for Investigation and Technical Report Preparation (March 1989)
American Petroleum Institute	Groundwater Monitoring & Sample Bias; API Publication 4367, Environmental Affairs Department, June 1983
Site Specific (as needed)	General and specific regulatory documents as required.

Because ground-water samples collected by G-R are analyzed to the parts per billion (ppb) range for many compounds, extreme care is exercised to prevent contamination of samples. When volatile or semi-volatile organic compounds are included for analysis, G-R sampling crew members will adhere to the following precautions in the field:

1. A clean pair of new, disposable gloves are worn for each well being sampled.

2. When possible, samples are collected from known or suspected wells that are least contaminated (i.e. background) followed by wells in increasing order of contamination.

When known or potential organic compounds are being sampled for, the following additional precautions are taken:

1. All sample bottles and equipment are kept away from fuels and solvents. When possible, gasoline (used in generators) is stored away from bailers, sample bottles, purging pumps, etc.

2. Bailers are made of Teflon or Stainless Steel. Other materials such as plastic may contaminate samples with phthalate esters which interfere with many Gas Chromatography (GC) analyses.

3. Volatile organic ground-water samples are collected so that air passage through the sample does not occur or is minimal (to prevent volatiles from being stripped from the samples): sample bottles are filled by slowly running the sample down the side of the bottle until there is a positive convex meniscus over the neck of the bottle; the Teflon side of the septum (in cap) is positioned against the meniscus, and the cap screwed on tightly; the sample is inverted and the bottle lightly tapped. The absence of an air bubble indicates a successful seal; if a bubble is evident, the cap is removed, more sample is added, and the bottle is resealed.

4. Extra Teflon seals are brought into the field in case seals are difficult to handle and/or are dropped. Dropped seals are considered contaminated and are not used. When replacing seals or if seals become flipped, care is taken to assure that the Teflon seal faces down.

Sample analysis methods, containers, preservatives and holding times are shown on Table 1.

Laboratory and field handling procedures of samples are monitored by including QC samples for analysis with every submitted sample lot from a project site. QC samples may include any combination of the following:

A. Trip Blank: Used for purgeable organic compounds only; QC samples are collected in 40 milliliter (ml) samples vials filled in the analytical laboratory with organic-free water. Trip blanks are sent to the project site, and travel with project site samples. Trip blanks are not opened, and are returned from a project site with the project site samples for analysis.

B. Field Blank: Prepared in the field using organic-free water. These QC samples accompany project site samples to the laboratory and are analyzed for specific chemical parameters unique to the project site where they were prepared.

C. Duplicates: Duplicated samples are collected "second samples" from a selected well and project site. They are collected as either split samples or second-run samples collected from the same well.

D. Equipment Blank: Periodic QC sample collected from field equipment rinsate to verify decontamination procedures.

The number and types of QC samples are determined as follows:

A. Up to 2 wells - Trip Blank Only

B. 2 to 5 Wells - 1 Field Blank and 1 Trip Blank

C. 5 to 10 Wells - 1 Field blank, 1 Trip Blank, and 1 Duplicate

D. More than 10 Wells - 1 Field Blank, 1 Trip Blank, and 1 Duplicate per each 12 wells

E. If sampling extends beyond one day, quality control samples will be collected for each day.

SAMPLE COLLECTION

This section describes the routine procedures followed by G-R while collecting ground-water samples for chemical analysis. These procedures include decontamination, water-level measurements, well purging, physical parameter measurements, sample collection, sample preservation, sample handling, and sample documentation. Critical sampling objectives for G-R are to:

1. Collect ground-water samples that are representative of the sampled matrix and,

2. Maintain sample integrity from the time of sample collection to receipt by the analytical laboratory.

Sample analyses methods, containers, preservation, and holding times are presented in Table 1.

Decontamination Procedures

All physical parameter measuring and sampling equipment are decontaminated prior to sample collection using Alconox or equivalent detergent followed by steam cleaning with deionized water. Any sampling equipment surfaces or parts that might absorb specific contaminants, such as plastic pump valves, impellers, etc., are cleaned in the same manner.

Sample bottles, bottle caps, and septa used for sampling volatile organics are thoroughly cleaned and prepared in the laboratory. Sample bottles, bottle caps, and septa are protected from all potential chemical contact before actual usage at a sample location.

During field sampling, equipment placed in a well are decontaminated before purging or sampling the next well. The equipment are decontaminated by cleaning with Alconox or equivalent detergent followed by steam cleaning with deionized water.

Water-Level Measurements

Prior to purging and sampling a well, the static-water levels are measured in all wells at a project site using an electric sounder and/or calibrated portable oil-water interface probe (Figure 3). Both static water-level and separate-phase product thickness are measured to the nearest ± 0.01 foot. The presence of separate-phase product is confirmed using a clean, acrylic or polyvinylchloride (PVC) bailer, measured to the nearest ± 0.01 foot with a decimal scale tape.

Water-Level Measurements (continued)

The monofilament line used to lower the bailer is replaced between wells with new line to preclude the possibility of cross-contamination. Field observations (e.g. well integrity, product color, turbidity, water color, odors, etc.) are noted on the G-R Well Sampling Field Data Sheet shown in Figure 3. Before and after each use, the electric sounder, interface probe and bailer are decontaminated by washing with Alconox or equivalent detergent followed by rinsing with deionized water to prevent cross-contamination.

As mentioned previously, water-levels are measured in wells with known or suspected lowest dissolved chemical concentrations to the highest dissolved concentrations.

Well Purging

Before sampling occurs, well casing storage water and interstitial water in the artificial sand pack will be purged using (1) a positive displacement bladder pump constructed of inert, non-wetting, Teflon and stainless steel, (2) a pneumatic-airlift pumping system, (3) a centrifigal pumping system, or (4) a Teflon or Stainless steel bailer (Figure 4). Methods of purging will be assessed based on well size, location, accessibility, and known chemical conditions. Individual well purge volumes are calculated from borehole volumes which take into account the sand packed interval in the well annular space. As a general rule, a minimum of 3 and a maximum of 10 borehole volumes will be purged. Wells which dewater or demonstrate slow recharge periods (i.e. low-yield wells) during purging activities may be sampled after fewer purging cycles. If a low-yield (low recovery) well is to be sampled, sampling will not take place until at least 80 percent of the previously measured water column has been replaced by recharge, or as per local requirements. Physical parameter measurements (temperature, pH, and specific conductance) are closely monitored throughout the well purging process and are used by the G-R sampling crew as indicators for assessing sufficient purging. Purging is continued until all three physical parameters have stabilized. Specific conductance (conductivity) meters are read to the nearest ± 10 umhos/cm, and are calibrated daily. pH meters are read to the nearest ± 0.1 pH units and are calibrated daily. Temperature is read to the nearest 0.1 degree F. Calibration of physical parameter meters will follow manufacturers specifications. Monitoring wells will be purged according to the protocol presented in Figure 4. Collected field data during purging activities will be entered on the G-R Well Sampling Field Data Sheet shown in Figure 3. Copies of the G-R Field Data Sheets will be reviewed by the G-R Sampling Manager for accuracy and completeness.

DOCUMENTATION

Sample Container Labels

Each sample container will be labeled by an adhesive label, noted in permanent ink immediately after the sample is collected. Label information will include:

Sample point designation (i.e. well number or code)

Sampler's identification

Project number

Date and time of collection

Type of preservation used

Well Sampling Data Forms

In the field, the G-R sampling crew will record the following information on the Well Sampling Data Sheet for each sample collected:

Project number

Client

Location

Source (i.e. well number)

Time and date

Well accessibility and integrity

Pertinent well data (e.g. depth, product thickness, static water-level, pH, specific conductance, temperature)

Calculated and actual purge volumes

Chain-of-Custody

A Chain-of-Custody record (Figure 5) shall be completed and accompany every sample and every shipment of samples to the analytical laboratory in order to establish the documentation necessary to trace sample possession from time of collections. The record will contain the following information:

- Sample or station number or sample identification (ID)
- Signature of collector, sampler, or recorder
- Date and time of collection
- Place of collection
- Sample type
- Signatures of persons involved in chain of possession
- Inclusive dates of possession

Samples shall always be accompanied by a Chain-of-Custody record. When transferring the samples, the individual relinquishing and receiving the samples will sign, date, and note the time on the Chain-of-Custody record. G-R will be responsible for notifying the laboratory coordinator when and how many samples will be sent to the laboratory for analysis, and what types of analyses shall be performed.

TABLE 1

SAMPLE ANALYSIS METHODS, CONTAINERS, PRESERVATIONS, AND HOLDING TIMES

Parameter	Analytical Method	Reporting Units	Container	Preservation	Maximum Holding Time
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (gasoline)	EPA 8015 (modified)	mg/l ug/l	40 ml. vial glass, Teflon	cool, 4 C HC1 to pH<2	14 days (maximum)
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes (BTEX)	EPA 8020	mg/l ug/l mg/l	50 ml. vial glass, Teflon lined septum 1 l glass, Teflon	cool, 4 C HC1 to pH<2	7 days (w/o preservative) 14 days (w preservative)
Oil & Grease	SM 503E	ug/l	lined septum	H2SO4 to pH<2	28 days (maximum)
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (Diesel)	EPA 8015 (modified)	mg/l ug/l	40 ml. vial glass, Teflon lined septum	cool, 4 C	14 days (maximum)
Halogented Volatile Organics (chlorinated solvents)	8010	mg/l ug/l	40 ml. vial glass, Teflon lined septum	cool, 4 C	14 days (maximum)
Non chlorinated solvents	8020	mg/l ug/l	40 ml. vial glass, Teflon lined septum	cool, 4 C HCl to pH<2	14 days (maximum)
Volatile Organics	8240	mg/l ug/l	40 ml. vial glass, Teflon lined septum	cool, 4 C	14 days (maximum)
Semi-Volatile Organics	8270	mg/l ug/l	40 ml. vial glass, Teflon lined septum	cool , 4 C	14 days (maximum)
Specific Conductance (Field test)		umhos/cm			
pH (Field test)		pH units			
Temperature (Field test)		Deg F			

GeoStrategies Inc.

FIELD EXPLORATORY BORING LOG

Field location of boring:	Project No.:	Date:
	Client:	
	Location:	
	City:	
	Logged by:	Driller:
Drilling method:	Casing installation data:	
Hole diameter:	Top of Box Elevation:	Datum:

Boring No:

Sheet of

Water Level			
Time			
Date			

PID (ppm)	Blows/ft. or Pressure (psi)	Type of Sample	Sample Number	Depth (ft.)	Sample	Well Detail	Soil Group Symbol (USCS)	Description

Remarks:

FIGURE 1

WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL



A Total Depth of Boring _____ ft.

B Diameter of Boring _____ _____ in.
Drilling Method _____

C Top of Box Elevation _____ ft.
☐ Referenced to Mean Sea Level
☐ Referenced to Project Datum

D Casing Length _____ ft.
Material _____

E Casing Diameter _____ in.

F Depth to Top Perforations _____ ft.

G Perforated Length _____ ft.
Perforated Interval from _____ to _____ ft.
Perforation Type _____
Perforation Size _____ in.

H Surface Seal from _____ to _____ ft.
Seal Material _____

I Backfill from _____ to _____ ft.
Backfill Material _____

J Seal from _____ to _____ ft.
Seal Material _____

K Gravel Pack from _____ to _____ ft.
Pack Material _____

L Bottom Seal _____ ft.
Seal Material _____

M _____
_____

GeoStrategies Inc.

Well Construction Detail

WELL NO.

JOB NUMBER | REVIEWED BY RG/CEG | DATE | REVISED DATE | REVISED DATE

FIGURE 2

GETTLER-RYAN INC.

General and Environmental Contractors

WELL SAMPLING FIELD DATA SHEET

COMPANY _____ JOB # _____

LOCATION _____ DATE _____

CITY _____ TIME _____

Well ID. _____ Well Condition _____

Well Diameter _____ in. Hydrocarbon Thickness _____ ft.

Total Depth _____ ft.

Depth to Liquid- _____ ft.

Volume Factor (VF)			
	2" = 0.17	6" = 1.50	12" = 5.80
	3" = 0.38	8" = 2.60	
	4" = 0.66	10" = 4.10	

(# of casing volumes) _____ x _____ x(VF) _____ = (Estimated Purge Volume) _____ gal.

Purging Equipment _____

Sampling Equipment _____

Starting Time _____ Purging Flow Rate _____ gpm.

(Estimated Purge Volume) _____ gal. / (Purging Flow Rate) _____ gpm. = (Anticipated Purging Time) _____ min.

Time	pH	Conductivity	Temperature	Volume

Did well dewater? _____ If yes, time _____ Volume _____

Sampling Time _____ Weather Conditions _____

Analysis _____ Bottles Used _____

Chain of Custody Number _____

COMMENTS _____

FOREMAN _____ ASSISTANT _____

FIGURE 3

Monitoring Well Sampling Protocol Schematic

Sampling Crew Reviews Project Sampling Requirments/Schedule

Field Decontemination and Instrumentation Calibration

Check Integrity of Well (Inspect for Well Damage)

Measure and Record Depth to Water and Total Well Depth (Electric Well Sounder)

Check for Floating Product (Oil/Water Interface Probe)

Floating Product Present

Confirm Product Thickness (Acrylic or PVC Bailer)

Collect Free-Product Sample

Dissolved Product Sample Not Required

Record Data on Field Data Form

Floating Product Not Present

Purge Volume Calculation

$V = (r/12)^2 h(\_\_\_\_ \text{ \# vol})(7.48) = \_\_\_\_\_$ /gallons

V = Purge volume (gallons)
= 3.14159
h = Height of Water Column (feet)
r = Borehole radius (inches)

Evacuate water from well equal to the calculated purge volume while monitoring groundwater stabilization indicator parameters (pH, conductivity, temperature) at intervals of one casing volume.

Well Dewaters after One Purge Volume (Low yield well)

Well Recharges to 80% of Initials Measured Water Column Height in Feet within 24 hrs. of Evacuation.

Measure Groundwater Stability Indicator Parameters (pH, Temp., Conductivity)

Collect Sample and Complete Chain-of-Custody

Preserve Sample According to Required Chemical Analysis

Transport to Anayltical Laboratory

Well Readily Recovers

Record Groundwater Stability Indicator Parameters from each Additional Purge Volume
Stability indicated when the following criteria are met:

pH: ± 0.1 pH units
Conductivity: ± 10%
Temperature: 1.0 degree F

Groundwater Stability Achieved

Collect Sample and Complete Chain-of-Custody

Preserve Sample According to Required Chemical Analysis

Transport to Analytical Laboratory

Groundwater Stability Not Achieved

Continue Purging Until Stability is Achieved

Collect Sample and Complete Chain-of-Custody

Preserve Sample According to Required Chemical Analysis

Transport to Analytical Laboratory

FIGURE 4

Getter - Ryan Inc. ENVIRONMENTAL DIVISION

Chain of Custody

COMPANY _____ JOB NO. _____

JOB LOCATION _____

CITY _____ PHONE NO. _____

AUTHORIZED _____ DATE _____ P.O. NO. _____

SAMPLE ID	NO. OF CONTAINERS	SAMPLE MATRIX	DATE/TIME SAMPLED	ANALYSIS REQUIRED	SAMPLE CONDITION LAB ID

RELINQUISHED BY: _____ RECEIVED BY: _____

RELINQUISHED BY: _____ RECEIVED BY: _____

RELINQUISHED BY: _____ RECEIVED BY LAB: _____

DESIGNATED LABORATORY: _____ DHS #: _____

REMARKS: _____

DATE COMPLETED _____ FOREMAN _____

FIGURE 5

APPENDIX B
GETTLER-RYAN INC.
GROUNDWATER SAMPLING REPORT

gettler — ryan inc.

September 7, 1989

GROUNDWATER SAMPLING REPORT

Referenced Site: Former Shell Service Station
15275 Washington Avenue
San Leandro, California

Sampling Date: August 9 and 10, 1989

This report presents the results of the quarterly groundwater sampling and analytical program conducted by Gettler-Ryan Inc. on August 9 and 10, 1989 at the referenced location. The site, located on the northwest corner of Washington Avenue and Lewelling Boulevard, is no longer an operating service station. The former station had underground storage tanks which contained petroleum products.

There are currently six groundwater monitoring wells on site and nine off site at the locations shown on the attached site map. Prior to sampling, all wells were inspected for total well depth, water levels, and presence of separate phase product using an electronic interface probe. A clean acrylic bailer was used to visually confirm the presence and thickness of separate phase product. Groundwater depths ranged from 7.58 to 8.65 feet below grade. Separate phase product was not observed in any monitoring wells.

The wells were then were purged and sampled. Standard sampling procedure calls for a minimum of four case volumes to be purged from each well. Each well was purged while pH, temperature, and conductivity measurements were monitored for stability. In cases where a well dewatered or less than four case volumes were purged, groundwater samples were obtained after the physical parameters had stabilized. The purge water was contained in drums for proper disposal. Details of the final well purging results are presented on the attached Table of Monitoring Data.

Samples were collected, using Teflon bailers, in properly cleaned and laboratory prepared containers. All sampling equipment was thoroughly cleaned after each well was sampled and steam cleaned upon completion of work at the site. The samples were labeled, stored on blue ice, and transported to the laboratory for analysis. A field blank (SF-3) and a trip blank, supplied by the laboratory, were included and analyzed to assess quality control. A duplicate sample (SD-5), was submitted without well designation, to assess laboratory performance. Analytical results for the blanks are included in the Certified Analytical Report (CAR's). Chain of custody records were established noting sample identification numbers, time, date, and custody signatures.

1992 national avenue • hayward, california 94545-1787 • (415) 783-7500

The samples were analyzed at International Technology Corporation - Santa Clara Valley Laboratory located at 2055 Junction Avenue, San Jose, California. The laboratory is assigned a California DHS-HMTL Certification number of 137. The results are presented as a Certified Analytical Report, a copy of which is attached to this report.

Tom Paulson
Sampling Manager

attachments

TABLE OF MONITORING DATA
GROUNDWATER WELL SAMPLING REPORT

WELL I.D.	S-1	S-3	S-5 SD-5	S-6	S-7	S-8
Casing Diameter (inches)	3	3	4	3	3	3
Total Well Depth (feet)	20.0	15.1	18.4	24.8	20.6	24.3
Depth to Water (feet)	7.93	7.92	8.28	8.54	8.18	7.79
Free Product (feet)	none	none	none	none	none	none
Reason Not Sampled	----	----	----	----	----	----
Calculated 4 Case Vol.(gal.)	18.4	10.9	26.7	24.6	18.8.	29.1
Did Well Dewater?	no	yes	no	yes	yes	no
Volume Evacuated (gal.)	24.0	11.0	33.5	12.0	11.5	33.0
Purging Device	Suction	Suction	Suction	Suction	Suction	Suction
Sampling Device	Bailer	Bailer	Bailer	Bailer	Bailer	Bailer
Time	11:04	15:55	15:31	10:44	10:05	14:11
Temperature (F)*	68.9	68.9	67.4	68.2	68.5	67.9
pH*	7.27	6.72	6.87	7.44	7.17	7.19
Conductivity (umhos/cm)*	1100	1131	1448	1055	1283	1576

* Indicates Stabilized Value

TABLE OF MONITORING DATA
GROUNDWATER WELL SAMPLING REPORT

WELL I.D.	S-9	S-10	S-11	S-12	S-13	S-14
Casing Diameter (inches)	3	3	3	3	3	3
Total Well Depth (feet)	17.9	18.1	24.6	24.1	24.0	20.1
Depth to Water (feet)	7.82	7.94	8.65	8.32	8.00	7.58
Free Product (feet)	none	none	none	none	none	none
Reason Not Sampled	----	----	----	----	----	----
Calculated 4 Case Vol.(gal.)	15.4	15.4	24.2	24.0	24.1	19.0
Did Well Dewater?	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes
Volume Evacuated (gal.)	10	8	14	12	30	12
Purging Device	Suction	Suction	Suction	Suction	Suction	Suction
Sampling Device	Bailer	Bailer	Bailer	Bailer	Bailer	Bailer
Time	13:34	12:37	11:01	10:34	10:02	11:35
Temperature (F)*	70.7	66.4	68.1	68.2	67.7	68.3
pH*	6.97	7.09	7.44	7.45	7.29	7.27
Conductivity (umhos/cm)*	1570	935	1132	1201	1418	1262

* Indicates Stabilized Value

TABLE OF MONITORING DATA
GROUNDWATER WELL SAMPLING REPORT

WELL I.D.	S-15	S-16	S-17
Casing Diameter (inches)	3	3	3
Total Well Depth (feet)	23.3	19.6	24.4
Depth to Water (feet)	8.48	8.36	8.13
Free Product (feet)	none	none	none
Reason Not Sampled	----	----	----
Calculated 4 Case Vol.(gal.)	22.6	21.9	24.7
Did Well Dewater?	no	no	no
Volume Evacuated (gal.)	28	30	34
Purging Device	Suction	Suction	Suction
Sampling Device	Bailer	Bailer	Bailer
Time	14:50	11:26	12:17
Temperature (F)*	68.3	65.4	67.3
pH*	7.59	7.21	7.41
Conductivity (umhos/cm)*	956	1220	1151

* Indicates Stabilized Value



GeoStrategies Inc.

Site Plan
Former Shell Service Station
15275 Washington Avenue
San Leandro, California

PLATE
1

JOB NUMBER	REVIEWED BY TG/CEG	DATE	REVISED DATE	REVISED DATE
615		6/89		

INTERNATIONAL TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION

ANALYTICAL SERVICES

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Gettler-Ryan
1992 National Avenue
Hayward, CA 94545
ATTN: John Werfal

Date: August 23, 1989

Work Order Numbers: S9-08-136, S9-08-137

P.O. Number: MOH890501A

This is the Certificate of Analysis for the following samples:

Client Project ID:	G-R #3615 Shell, 15275 Washington/Lewelling San Leandro, CA
Date Received by Lab:	8/10/89
Number of Samples:	18
Sample Type:	Water

The method of analysis for low boiling hydrocarbons is taken from EPA Methods 8015, 8020 and 5030. The sample is examined using the purge and trap technique. Final detection is by gas chromatography using a flame ionization detector as well as a photoionization detector. The result for total low boiling hydrocarbons is calculated as gasoline and includes benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene and xylenes.

Reviewed and Approved

Michael E. Dean
Project Manager

MED/gg
3 Pages Following - Tables of Results

IT ANALYTICAL SERVICES
SAN JOSE, CA

Date: August 23, 1989
Client Project ID: G-R #3615 Shell, 15275 Washington/Lewelling, San Leandro, CA
Work Order Number: S9-08-136, S9-08-137

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Sample Date	Date Analysis Completed	Sample Condition on Receipt
S9-08-136-01	S-1	8/10/89	8/11/89	Cool, pH≤2
S9-08-136-02	S-3	8/9/89	8/15/89	Cool, pH≤2
S9-08-136-03	S-5	8/9/89	8/15/89	Cool, pH≤2
S9-08-136-04	S-6	8/10/89	8/11/89	Cool, pH≤2
S9-08-136-05	S-7	8/10/89	8/11/89	Cool, pH≤2
S9-08-136-06	S-8	8/9/89	8/11/89	Cool, pH≤2

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons - Modified E.P.A. Methods 8015, 8020

ND = None Detected

Results - Milligrams per Liter

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Low Boiling Hydrocarbons (calculated as Gasoline)	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl Benzene	Xylenes (total)
S9-08-136-01	S-1	ND	0.0007	ND	ND	ND
Detection Limit		0.05	0.0005	0.001	0.001	0.003
S9-08-136-02	S-3	110.	5.7	5.7	3.2	19.
Detection Limit		10.	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.6
S9-08-136-03	S-5	5.1	1.1	ND	0.27	0.4
Detection Limit		2.5	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.2
S9-08-136-04	S-6	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Detection Limit		0.05	0.0005	0.001	0.001	0.003
S9-08-136-05	S-7	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Detection Limit		0.05	0.0005	0.001	0.001	0.003
S9-08-136-06	S-8	ND	0.0006	ND	ND	ND
Detection Limit		0.05	0.0005	0.001	0.001	0.003

Date: August 23, 1989
Client Project ID: G-R #3615 Shell, Work Order Number: S9-08-136, S9-08-137
15275 Washington/Lewelling, San Leandro, CA

IT ANALYTICAL SERVICES
SAN JOSE, CA

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Sample Date	Date Analysis Completed	Sample Condition on Receipt
S9-08-136-07	S-9	8/9/89	8/16/89	Cool, pH≤2
S9-08-136-08	S-10	8/9/89	8/11/89	Cool, pH≤2
S9-08-136-09	S-11	8/9/89	8/11/89	Cool, pH≤2
S9-08-136-10	S-12	8/9/89	8/11/89	Cool, pH≤2
S9-08-136-11	S-13	8/9/89	8/11/89	Cool, pH≤2
S9-08-136-12	S-14	8/9/89	8/16/89	Cool, pH≤2

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons - Modified E.P.A. Methods 8015, 8020

ND = None Detected

Results - Milligrams per Liter

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Low Boiling Hydrocarbons (calculated as Gasoline)	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl Benzene	Xylenes (total)
S9-08-136-07	S-9	0.52	0.073	ND	0.04	ND
Detection Limit		0.50	0.005	0.01	0.01	0.03
S9-08-136-08	S-10	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Detection Limit		0.05	0.0005	0.001	0.001	0.003
S9-08-136-09	S-11	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Detection Limit		0.05	0.0005	0.001	0.001	0.003
S9-08-136-10	S-12	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Detection Limit		0.05	0.0005	0.001	0.001	0.003
S9-08-136-11	S-13	0.11	0.0029	ND	ND	ND
Detection Limit		0.05	0.0005	0.001	0.001	0.003
S9-08-136-12	S-14	1.8	0.54	0.14	0.042	0.05
Detection Limit		0.25	0.002	0.005	0.005	0.02

Date: August 23, 1989
Client Project ID: G-R #3615 Shell, Work Order Number: S9-08-136, S9-08-137
15275 Washington/Lewelling, San Leandro, CA

IT ANALYTICAL SERVICES
SAN JOSE, CA

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Sample Date	Date Analysis Completed	Sample Condition on Receipt
S9-08-137-01	S-15	8/9/89	8/12/89	Cool, pH<2
S9-08-137-02	S-16	8/10/89	8/12/89	Cool, pH<2
S9-08-137-03	S-17	8/9/89	8/12/89	Cool, pH<2
S9-08-137-04	SD-5	8/9/89	8/15/89	Cool, pH<2
S9-08-137-05	SF-3	8/9/89	8/14/89	Cool, pH<2
S9-08-137-06	Trip Blank	8/9/89	8/12/89	Cool, pH<2

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons - Modified E.P.A. Methods 8015, 8020

ND = None Detected

Results - Milligrams per Liter

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Low Boiling Hydrocarbons (calculated as Gasoline)	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl Benzene	Xylenes (total)
S9-08-137-01	S-15	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Detection Limit		0.05	0.0005	0.001	0.001	0.003
S9-08-137-02	S-16	ND	0.0006	ND	ND	ND
Detection Limit		0.05	0.0005	0.001	0.001	0.003
S9-08-137-03	S-17	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Detection Limit		0.05	0.0005	0.001	0.001	0.003
S9-08-137-04	SD-5	4.3	0.96	0.027	0.23	0.32
Detection Limit		1.0	0.01	0.001	0.02	0.003
S9-08-137-05	SF-3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Detection Limit		0.05	0.0005	0.001	0.001	0.003
S9-08-137-06	Trip Blank	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Detection Limit		0.05	0.0005	0.001	0.001	0.003

Gettler - Ryan Inc. — Environmental Division — Chain of Custody

COMPANY Shell Oil Company — JOB NO. 00461

JOB LOCATION 15375 Washington Ave / Kenneth Blvd.

CITY San Leandro, CA — PHONE NO. (415) 783-7500

AUTHORIZED John Kuffel — DATE 8/10/89 — P.O. NO. 3613

	SAMPLE ID	NO. OF CONTAINERS	SAMPLE MATRIX	DATE/TIME SAMPLED	ANALYSIS REQUIRED	SAMPLE CONDITION LAB ID
01	S-1	3	Liquid	8-10 / 1104	TPH (EPA 418.1)	cool/ok
02	S-3			8-9 / 1555		
03	S-5			8-9 / 1531		
04	S-6			8-10 / 1044		
05	S-7			↓ / 1005		
06	S-8			8-9 / 1411		Bubble
07	S-9			/ 1334		
08	S-10			/ 1237		
09	S-11			/ 1101		
10	S-12			/ 1034		
11	S-13			/ 1002		
12	S-14	↓	↓	↓ / 1135	↓	

RELINQUISHED BY: Shelby J. Dye 8/10/89 1707 — RECEIVED BY:

RELINQUISHED BY: — RECEIVED BY:

RELINQUISHED BY: — RECEIVED BY LAB: 8/10/89 17:07

DESIGNATED LABORATORY: IT SCV — DHS #: 137

REMARKS: Normal TAT Results due 8/24/89

DATE COMPLETED August 10, 1989 — FOREMAN Shelby J Dye

ORIGINAL

Geller - Ryan Inc. — 59-08-137 — ENVIRONMENTAL DIVISION — 1413 Chain of Custody

COMPANY Shell Oil Company — JOB NO. 6/899

JOB LOCATION 15775 Hesperian Blvd/Lewelling Blvd

CITY San Leandro, CA — PHONE NO. (415) 789-7500

AUTHORIZED John Werfal — DATE 8/10/89 — P.O. NO. 3615

SAMPLE ID	NO. OF CONTAINERS	SAMPLE MATRIX	DATE/TIME SAMPLED	ANALYSIS REQUIRED	SAMPLE CONDITION LAB ID
01 S-15	3	Liquid	8-9 / 1450	TPH (Gas) + BTXE	Cool/Ice
02 S-16			8-10 / 1126		
03 S-17			8-9 / 1217		
04 SD-5			"		
05 SF-3			"		
06 Trip Blank	2		"		

RELINQUISHED BY: Phillip J. Rye 8/10/89 1707

RELINQUISHED BY:

RELINQUISHED BY:

RECEIVED BY:

RECEIVED BY:

RECEIVED BY LAB: 8/10/89 17:07

DESIGNATED LABORATORY: IT SCV — DHS #: 137

REMARKS: Normal TAT Results due 8/24/89

DATE COMPLETED August 10, 1989 — FOREMAN Phillip J. Rye

ORIGINAL