REPORT SUBSURFACE ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION SOIL BORINGS

at

ARCO Service Station First and Ray Streets Pleasanton, California

AGS Job No. 87065-1

Report prepared for

UNOCAL Corporation 2175 N. California Blvd. Walnut Creek, California 94596


by

William R. Short Project Geologist

Michael N. Clark

¢.E.G. 1264

July 14, 1987



July 14, 1987 AGS 87065-1

Mr. Don Terry UNOCAL Corporation 2175 N. California Blvd. Suite 650 Walnut Creek, CA 94596

Subject: Transmittal of Report No. 87065-1, Subsurface Environmental Investigation, Soil Borings at

ARCO Service Station, First and Ray Streets,

Pleasanton, California.

Mr. Terry:

This report presents the results of our limited environmental investigation at the above-referenced site. The investigation included the drilling of three soil borings and the analysis of name soil samples for potential hydrocarbon contamination.


Laboratory analyses of soil samples from the borings (B-1, B-2, and B-3) show low to relatively high concentrations of hydrocarbons. The hydrocarbon contamination appears to be derived from both gasoline and diesel. Because detectable levels of hydrocarbon contamination are present in soil at the base of the borings there is a possibility that the ground water may be affected by hydrocarbon contamination. The total depth of the borings range between 46.5 feet and 55 feet. Ground water is expected to be approximately 60 feet below the ground surface at the site.

We recommend that a ground water monitoring well be installed at the site to evaluate potential hydrocarbon contamination of the ground water as required by the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board's "Guidelines for Addressing Fuel Leaks" (September 1985). We recommend that the well be installed within 10 feet of soil containing hydrocarbon contamination in excess of 1000 parts per million (ppm).

We recommend that UNOCAL submit copies of this report to the owner of the property for their records. We further recommend that the property owner submit a copy of this report to Mr. Rick Mueller of the Pleasanton Fire Department at 44 Railroad Street, P.O. Box 520, Pleasanton, California 94566 and to Mr. Greg Zentner at the California Regional Water Quality Control Board - San Francisco Bay Region at 1111 Jackson Street, Room 6040, Oakland, CA 94607. If you have any questions regarding the content of this report, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,
Applied GeoSystems

William R. Short Project Geologist



REPORT
SUBSURFACE ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION
SOIL BORINGS

at

ARCO Service Station First and Ray Streets Pleasanton, California For: UNOCAL Corporation

INTRODUCTION

The following report describes the work performed to drill and sample three soil borings near the site of underground storage tanks at the ARCO Service Station located on the corner of First and Ray Streets in Pleasanton, California. UNOCAL contracted Applied GeoSystems to evaluate potential hydrocarbon contamination of subsurface soil prior to possible purchase of the subject station. This report describes the work elements conducted during the investigation, presents our interpretations of the data collected, and presents our conclusions and recommendations.

SITE DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

The ARCO Service Station site is located on the northwest corner of the intersection of First Street at Ray Street in Pleasanton, as shown on the Site Vicinity Map, Plate P-1. The Generalized Site Plan, Plate P-2, shows the service station property and approximate locations of the station facilities. We understand that four 12,000-gallon underground petroleum product storage tanks are buried at the site. The four petroleum product tanks are located adjacent to one another on the southern portion of the property.

It is our understanding that UNOCAL is currently considering purchase of the subject property and wishes to assess the presence and extent or absence of hydrocarbon contamination at the site. This assessment was conducted by drilling three soil borings and analyzing selected soil samples for hydrocarbon contamination concentration.

A permit was acquired from the Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District prior to drilling. A copy of the permit is included in the appendix of this report. Underground

Service Alert was contacted to locate utility lines on public property adjacent to the site prior to on site work.

FIELD WORK

A geologist from Applied GeoSystems observed drilling of soil borings B-1, B-2, and B-3 on June 30, 1987. The borings were drilled with a CME-75 truck-mounted drill rig operated by Datum Exploration, Inc. of Pittsburg, California. Steam-cleaned, 8-inch diameter, continuous flight hollow-stem augers were used to drill borings B-1 and B-2 to 46.5 feet, and B-3 to 55 feet. No ground water was encountered in any of the borings at the time of drilling. The borings were backfilled with neat cement to a few inches below grade and capped with asphalt to grade. Locations of the three borings with respect to other site features are shown on the Generalized Site Plan, Plate P-2.

The direction of ground water flow was inferred to be to the northwest prior to drilling. The flow direction was inferred from the location of the site in relation to the general surface topography in the area. Boring B-1 was drilled in the inferred downgradient direction from the product tanks and within ten feet of the tank pit. Boring B-2 was drilled roughly perpendicular to

B-3 was drilled in the inferred downgradient direction from the product lines and the southern end of the product tanks.

Soil samples were collected from the boreholes with a California modified split spoon sampler. Plate P-3 gives a summary of the Unified Soil Classification System used to identify the soils.

Descriptions of earth materials encountered in borings B-1, B-2, and B-3 are presented on the Boring Logs, Plate P-4 through Plate P-9. Plate P-10 presents a geologic cross section constructed through the borings at the site; Plate P-2 shows the location of the cross section. The earth materials encountered at the site consist primarily of intervals of silty clay and gravelly clay.

spread at the site for aeration.

SOIL SAMPLING PROCEDURE

Each boring was hand augured to a depth of approximately 5 feet to confirm the absence of any underground lines or structures. Seven soil samples were collected and described from boring B-1 and nine samples each were collected and described from borings

B-2 and B-3 during drilling. These samples, labeled as indicated on the Boring Logs, were collected at 5-foot intervals from the ground surface to total depth. Soil samples were collected by advancing the boring to a point immediately above the sampling depth and then driving a modified California split spoon sampler (2.5-inch inside diameter) into the soil through the hollow center of the auger. The sampler was driven 18 inches with a standard 140 pound hammer repeatedly dropped 30 inches. number of blows to drive the sampler each 6 inches was counted and recorded to evaluate the relative consistency of soil materials. A subjective analysis for presence and degree or absence of hydrocarbon contamination was performed and the results recorded for each soil sample collected from the borings. The samples were removed from the sampler, immediately sealed in their brass sleeves with aluminum foil, plastic caps, air-tight tape, and were labeled and placed in iced storage. A Chain-of-Custody was initiated by the sampler and selected samples were delivered to Applied GeoSystems' certified laboratory for analytical testing. The completed Chain-of-Custody form and Laboratory Record-of-Analysis for the tested samples are included in the Appendix of this report.

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Nine soil samples (S-20-B1, S-35-B1, S-45-B1, S-25-B2, S-35-B2, S-45-B2, S-10-B3, S-30-B3, and S-40-B3) were analyzed for Total Volatile Hydrocarbons (TVH) and the hydrocarbon constituents

Benzene, Ethyl Benzene, Toluene, and Xylenes (BETX) using gas chromatography with photo- and flame ionization detection (EPA Method 8020). Inspection of the chromatography analyses indicated the samples consisted higher.

Toluene, and Xylenes (BETX) using gas chromatography with flame ionization detection (EPA molecular veight hydrocarbons. A representative sample was chosen to be analyzed for Total Extractable Hydrocarbons (TEH) to determine the concentration of heavier hydrocarbons in the soil. One soil sample (S-35-B1) was analyzed for Total Extractable Hydrocarbons (TEH) using gas chromatography with flame ionization detection (EPA Method 3550). Table 1 presents the results of the analyses.

TABLE 1
RESULTS OF CHEMICAL ANALYSES
OF SOIL SAMPLES
ARCO Service Station
First and Ray Streets
Pleasanton, California

Sample Number	TVH	Benzene	Ethyl Benzene	Toluene	Xylenes	WEE I
S-20-B1	281.9	17. 1	17.0	73.6	92.3	NA
s-35-B1	126.13	2.06	0.84	1.02	6.59	1325
S-45-B1	9.36	0.64	0.26	1.06	1.47	NA
S-25-B2	188.8	1,3.1	6.1	6.3	56.2	NA
s-35-B2	56.81	1.47	1.81	1.58	18.09	NA
S-45 - B2	9.09	0.07	0.18	0.26	1.30	NA
S-10-B3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
S-30 - B3	7.72	3.95	0.13	0.51	0.85	NA
S-40-B3	180.7	12.4	9.4	47.8	45.1	NA

Results in milligrams/kilogram(mg/kg)=parts per million(ppm)

TVH: Total volatile hydrocarbons TEH: Total extractable hydrocarbons

ND: Non Detectable
NA: Not Analyzed

Detection limits: 0.05 ppm (TVH - S-35-B1, S-45-B1, S-35-B2, S-45-B2, S-10-B3, B-30-B3)

0.5 ppm (TVH - S-20-B1, S-25-B2, S-40-B3)

5.0 ppm (TEH - S-35-B1)

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The analytical results of the soil samples collected from the three borings at the site indicate that low to relatively high hydrocarbon contamination levels are present adjacent to the tank pit and product lines. Inspection of the chromatograms (graphical results of the analyses) suggests that the hydrocarbon contamination is derived from a combination of two sources. One portion of the contamination appears to be derived from gasoline; the other portion appears to be derived from diesel.

Ground water has been measured to be approximately 55 feet below the ground surface by the Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District in the vicinity of the site. Based on the site elevation, and on the generally lower water table in the summer months, the ground water is expected to be approximately 60 feet below the ground surface at the subject site. Because detectable levels of hydrocarbon contamination are present at the base of the borings (near the ground water) there is a possibility that the ground water is affected by hydrocarbon contamination.


We recommend that a ground water monitoring well be installed at the site to evaluate potential hydrocarbon contamination of the ground water as required by the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board's "Guidelines for Addressing Fuel Leaks" (September 1985). We recommend that the well be installed within 10 feet of the soil sample containing hydrocarbon contamination in excess of 1000 parts per million (ppm).

We recommend that UNOCAL submit copies of this report to the property owner for their records. We further recommend that the property owner submit a copy of this report to Mr. Rick Mueller of the Pleasanton Fire Department at 44 Railroad Street, P.O. Box 520, Pleasanton, California 94566 and to Mr. Greg Zentner at the California Regional Water Quality Control Board - San Francisco Bay Region at 1111 Jackson Street, Room 6040, Oakland, CA 94607.

LIMITATIONS


This report was prepared in accordance with generally accepted standards of environmental geological practice in California at the time this investigation was performed. This investigation was conducted solely for the purpose of evaluating environmental conditions of the soil and ground water with respect to hydro-

carbon product contamination in the vicinity of the subject property. No soil engineering or geotechnical recommendations are implied or should be inferred. Evaluation of the geologic conditions at the site for the purpose of this investigation is made from a limited number of observation points. Subsurface conditions may vary away from the data points available. Additional work, including further subsurface investigation, can reduce the inherent uncertainties associated with this type of investigation.



Source: State of California Special Studies Zone Dublin/Livermore

7.5 Minute Quadrangle






PROJECT NO. AGS 87065-1

SITE VICINITY MAP
Arco Station
First and Ray Street
Pleasanton, California

PLATE

P-1



UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

MAJOR DIVISIONS		LTR DESCRIPTION		MAJOR DIVISIONS		LIR	DESCRIPTION	
	GM	Well-graded gravels or gravel send mixtures, little or no fines.			ML	inorganic milts and vary fine sands, rock flour, silty or		
	GRAVEL	GP	Poorly-graded gravels or gravel sand mixture, little or no fines	FIME	511.15		clayey fine mends or clayey silts with slight plasticity.	
CDARSE	GRAVELLY 501LS	GM	Silty gravels, gravel-send-clay mixtures.		CLAYS LL<50	CL	Inorganic ciaye of low to medium planticity, gravelly clays, send; clays, silty clays, lean clays.	
		ec.	Clayey gravele, gravel-send-clay			OL	Organic silts and organic milt- clays of low plasticity.	
SAINED SOILS		SW Well-graded sends or gravelly sends, little or ne fines.		GRAINED SOILS		PIR	Inorganic milts, micacoous or distansenous fine sandy or milty moils, elemtic milts.	
	SAND AMD Sandy	SP	Poorly-graded useds or gravelly sends, little or no fines.		SILTS AND CLATS	СН	Inorganic clays of high plasticity, fat clays.	
	SOILS	SM	511ty wends, send-milt mixtures.		LL<50	ОН	Organic clays of medium to high planticity.	
		SC	Clayey sends, send-clay mixtures.	HICHLY SOI	ORGANIC ILS	Pt	Peat and other highly organic soils.	

I	Depth through which sampler is driven	Sand pack
I	Relatively undisturbed sample	Bentonite annular seal
Ĭ	Missed sample	Neat cement annular seal
<u>=</u>	Ground water level observed in boring	Blank PVC
S-10	Sample number	Machine-slotted PVC

BLOW/FT. REPRESENTS THE NUMBER OF BLOWS OF A 140-POUND HAMMER PALLING 30 INCHES TO DRIVE THE SAMPLER THROUGH THE LAST 12 INCHES OF AN 18 INCH PENETRATION.

DASHED LINES SEPARATING UNITS ON THE LOG REPRESENT APPROXIMATE BOUNDARIES ONLY. ACTUAL BOUNDARIES MAY BE GRADUAL. LOGS REPRESENT SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS AT THE BORING LOCATION AT THE TIME OF DRILLING ONLY.



UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM
AND SYMBOL KEY
Arco Station
First and Ray Street
Pleasanton, California

PLATE

P-3

	Blows/ Ft.	Sample No.	uscs	DESCRIPTION	WELL CONST
30 - 32 -	46	S-30	CL	Silty clay, gray-green, damp to moist, hard, medium plasticity,	
34 - 36 -	84	s-36	GC	Gravelly clay with sand, gray-green, moist, hard,	
38 -	56	S-41	−c£ −	Silty clay with some gravel, green-gray, damp, hard, medium plasticity,	
42 -					
46 - 48 -	64	S-46		Brown with green mottling, moderate product odor. Total Depth = 46.5 feet No ground water encountered at time of drilling	
50 _					
-					
-					
4					



LOG OF BORING B-1

PLATE

Arco Station First and Ray Street Pleasanton, California

P-5

PROJECT NO. AGS 87065-1

0	Blows/ Ft.	Sample No.	uscs	DESCRIPTION	CON
0 -				Asphalt (4") over road base (8")	
2-			CL	Silty clay, fill, black, dry, hard, high plasticity, slight product odor.	
4 –			GC	Gravel, fill, dry, hard,	
6-	74	S-6	CL	Silty clay with gravel, fill, black, dry, hard, medium plasticity,	
8 –	1		CL	Silty clay, black, slightly damp, stiff, medium plasticity,	
10-	9	S-11			
12-					
14-					
16 -	44	S-16		Damp, hard.	
18-	4				
20 -	61	S-21	-	Orange-brown.	
22 -					
24 -			GC	Gravelly clay, green-brown to dark brown, damp	
26 -	49	S-26			
28 -					
30 -			CL	Silty clay, gray-green, damp, medium plasticity, very stiff,	
				(Section continues downward)	



LOG OF BORING B-2

Arco Station First and Ray Street Pleasanton, California PLATE

P-6

30_	Blows/ Ft,	Sample No.	e USCS	DESCRIPTION	CONST
32_	32	S-30	CL	Silty clay, gray-green, damp, medium plasticity, very stiff,	
34_	90	S-36	GC T	Gravelly clay, gray-green, moist, hard, medium plasticity,	
36 _ 38 _	90	5-30		Silty clay, green-gray,	
40	47	S-41	<u> </u>		
42 _			CL	F Silty clay, orange-brown, damp, hard, medium plasticity,	
46_	70	S-46		With green-gray mottling.	
48_				Total Depth = 46.5 feet No ground water encountered at time of drilling.	
50_					
1					
_					
_					




LOG OF BORING

B-2

PLATE

Arco Station First and Ray Street Pleasanton, California

P-7






LOG OF BORING B-3

Arco Station First and Ray Street Pleasanton, California PLATE

P-8

PROJECT NO. AGS 87065-1







LOG OF BORING B-3

Arco Station First and Ray Street Pleasanton, California **PLATE**

P-9

PROJECT NO. AGS 87065-1





PLATE

P-10

CROSS SECTION A - A'
Arco Station
First and Ray Street
Pleasanton, California



PROJECT NO. AGS 37065-1



APPLICANT'S

SIGNATURE

ALAMEDA COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

5997 PARKSIDE DRIVE | PLEASANTON, CALIFORNIA 94566 | (415) 484-2600

FOR APPLICANT TO COMPLETE

(1)	LOCATION OF PROJECT Arco Service Station
(1)	First & Ray Streets
	Pleasanton CA
	riessmon, CA
(3)	OL SENT
(2)	CLIENT CAS CAS
	Name UNOCAL Corp Address 2176 N. Calif. Ste 650 Phone 945-7676
	Address 2116 N. Calt. Ste 650 Frione 345-1616
	City Walnut Creek Zip 94596
(3)	APPLICANT
	Namo Applied Geo Systems *
	11000
	Address 43255 Mission Blvd Phone 651-1906
	City Fremont Zip 94539
	11010017
(4)	DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT
	Water Well Construction Geotechnical X
	Cathodic Protection Well Destruction
(5)	PROPOSED WATER WELL USE
	Domestic Industrial Irrigation
	Municipal Monitoring Other 4 soil borings
	backfil w next
(6)	PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION Cement
	Drilling Method:
	Mud Rotary Air Rotary Auger X
	CableOther
	VC. 1. 000 IEST
	WELL PROJECTS
	Drill Hole Diameter In. Depth ft.
	Casing Diameter in. Number
	Surface Seal Depthft.
	Driller's License No. <u>C-57 # 480802</u>
	GEOTECHNICAL PROJECTS
	Number 4
	Dlameter 8 in. Maximum Depth 45 ft.
	
(7)	ESTIMATED STARTING DATE 7/1/87 a ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE 7/2/87
. , ,	ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE 7/2/97
(B)	I hereby agree to comply with all requirements of
,	this permit and Alameda County Ordinance No. 73-68.

C00	~~~	100	1100
(H-())+-((II -	11.1	1151
, ,,,	Y	<u> </u>	

PERMIT NU	MBER	8/1	52					
LOCATION	NUMBER							
				4.	,			
Approved_	Crais	na.	Mi	miell	// Date_	30	Jun	87
	Craig	A. M	ayfie	d d				

PERMIT CONDITIONS

Circled Permit Requirements Apply

(A) GENERAL

- A permit application should be submitted so as to arrive at the Zone 7 office five days prior to proposed starting date.
- 2. Notify this office (484-2600) at least one day prior to starting work on permitted work and before placing well seals.
- 3. Submit to Zone 7 within 60 days after completion of permitted work the original Department of Water Resources Water Well Drillers Report or equivalent for well projects, or bore hole logs and location sketch for geotechnical projects. Permitted work is completed when the last surface seal is placed or the last boring is completed.
- 4. Permit is void if project not begun within 90 days of approval date.
- B. WATER WELLS, INCLUDING PIEZOMETERS
 - Minimum surface seal thickness is two inches of cement grout placed by tremie, or equivalent.
 - Minimum seal depth is 50 feet for municipal and industrial wells or 20 feet for domestic, irrigation, and monitoring wells unless a lesser depth is specially approved.
- © GEOTECHNICAL. Backfill bore hole with compacted cuttings or heavy bentonite and upper two feet with compacted material.
- D. CATHODIC. FILL hole above anode zone with concrete placed by tremie, or equivalent.
- E. WELL DESTRUCTION. See attached.
- * Applied Geo Systems Representative:

Mr. Glenn Dembroff

a Starting date: 30 Jun 87 according to Mr. Bill


25-87 Short by phone 29 Jun 87

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

SAMPLER (signat	2 Shut			Applied G	ieoSyste.	ms	
Phone: 651-				43253 Mission Blvd. Suite B		14530 47	5; 651-19
LABORATORY:	l Gan Systan	1 5		Shipper		.	
				Address			
Attention:	IME: 48 how	خ		Service Used			
Phone No. 63	51-1906						
Relinquished by:	(signatures)		Rece	ved by: (signatures)		Date	Time
Millio R.	Shoot						
			Rece	ved for laboratory by:		7-1-47	9:00A
LABORATORY S	HOULD SIGN UPO	N RECEIPT	AND	RETURN A COPY OF THE Y RESULTS	IS FORM V	VITH THE	
Sample No.	Site Identification	Date Sampled		Analyses Requested		ie Condit on Receip	
S-28-B1	87065-1	6-30-8	?	TVH & BETX		CEP	
S-35-BI						1	
S-45-B1						_	
S-25-BZ							
S-35-BZ			_				
S-45-BZ			_			-	
S = 30 = B3		_					
S-10-13 S-30-13 S-40-13	<u> </u>	V				V	
		-					
		+					
		-					
	·						

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

		•					•			
SAMPLEB (sign	/// /// / \			Applied Ge	oSyste	ms				
	51-1906			43255 Mission Blvd. Suite B Fremont. CA 94539 (415) 651-1906						
LABORATORY				SHIPPING INFORMATION:		337 111370	77 1700			
Applia	ed GeoSus	tems		Shipper						
70				Address						
				Date Shipped						
TURNAROUND	TIME: 1 wee	k		Service Used						
	Bill Short			Airbill No	Cooler No) <u> </u>				
Phone No.	651-1906									
	(signatures)	<i>f</i>	Rece	ived by:(signatures)		Date	Time			
				ived for laboratory by:		7-1-87				
Sample	SHOULD SIGN UP			RETURN A COPY OF THIS Y RESULTS Analyses	—	ITH THE				
No.	Identification	Sampled		Requested	Upc	n Receip	t			
S-35-BI	87065-1	6-30-	 87	TEH	I	CED				
			_							
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
										
			_	·						
	_									
			_							
			_							
		 			·					
			_							
			_							
										
			_							
			_							
										



RECORD OF ANALYSIS

Date 7-7-87

Applied GeoSystems 43255 Mission Blvd. Fremont, CA. 94539

Attention: William R. Short

Date Received: 7-1-87 Laboratory# 8707S011

Date Analyzed: 7-1-87

Procedure:

The soil samples referenced on the attached Chain-of-Custody were analyzed for the presence and concentration of Benzene, Ethyl-Benzene, Toluene, and Xylenes (BETX) and for Total Volatile Hydrocarbons (TVH) by EPA method 8020. The samples were concentrated on a Tekmar LSC-2 and ALS automatic sampler prior to injection into a 5890 Hewlett Packard gas chromatograph fitted with a Photo-Ionization detector (PID) and a Flame Ionization detector (FID). The limit of detection for these samples is 0.05 milligrams/kilogram (parts per million = ppm).


The results are presented in the table below:

SAMPLE	SITE	BENZENE	ETHYL BENZENE	TOLUENE	TOTAL XYLENES	TVH
S-35-B1	87065-1		0.84	1.02	6.59	126.13
S-45-B1	87065-1		0.26	1.06	1.47	9.36
S-35-B2	87065-1		1.81	1.58	18.09	56.81
S-45-B2	87065-1		0.18	0.26	1.30	9.09
S-10-B3	87065-1		ND	ND	ND	ND
S-30-B3	87065-1		0.13	0.51	0.85	7.72

Results in milligrams/kilogram (parts per million = ppm). ND=Non Detectable - Less than 0.05 milligrams/kilogram (ppm).

Tia Tran, Chemist

Applied GeoSystems is a State of California, Department of Health Services Certified Hazardous Waste Testing Laboratory (No. 153).



RECORD OF ANALYSIS

Date 7-7-87

Applied GeoSystems 43255 Mission Blvd. Fremont, CA. 94539

Attention: William R. Short

Date Received: 7-1-87 Laboratory# 8707S010

Date Analyzed: 7-1-87

Procedure:

The soil samples referenced on the attached Chain-of-Custody were analyzed for the presence and concentration of Benzene, Ethyl-Benzene, Toluene, and Xylenes (BETX) and for Total Volatile Hydrocarbons (TVH) by EPA method 8020. The samples were concentrated on a Tekmar LSC-2 and ALS automatic sampler prior to injection into a 5890 Hewlett Packard gas chromatograph fitted with a Photo-Ionization detector (PID) and a Flame Ionization detector (FID). The limit of detection for these samples is 0.5 milligrams/kilogram (parts per million = ppm).

The results are presented in the table below:

SAMPLE	SITE	BENZENE	ETHYL BENZENE	TOLUENE	TOTAL XYLENES	<u>TVH</u>
S-20-B1	87065-1	17.1	17.0	73.6	92.3	281.9
S-25-B2	87065-1	13.1	6.1	6.3	56.2	188.8
S-40-B3	87065-1	12.4	9.4	47.8	45.1	180.7

Results in milligrams/kilogram (parts per million = ppm).

Tia Tran, Chemist

Applied GeoSystems is a State of California, Department of Health Services Certified Hazardous Waste Testing Laboratory (No. 153).

RECORD OF ANALYSIS

Date 7-7-87

Applied GeoSystems 43255 Mission Blvd. Fremont, CA. 94539

Attention: William R. Short

Date Received: 7-1-87
Date Analyzed: 7-7-87

Laboratory# 8707DS04

Procedure:

The soil sample was analyzed for high boiling point hydrocarbons by EPA method 3550 for soil extraction. The sample was injected into a 5890 Hewlett Packard gas chromatograph fitted with a Flame Ionization detector (FID). The limit of detection for this sample is 5 milligrams/kilogram (parts per million = ppm).

The results are presented in the table below:

SAMPLE	SITE	TOTAL EXTRACTABLE HYDROCARBONS
S-35-B1	87065-1	1320

Results in milligrams/kilogram (parts per million = ppm).

Tia Tran, Chemist

Applied GeoSystems is a State of California, Department of Health Services Certified Hazardous Waste Testing Laboratory (No. 153).