## Wickham, Jerry, Env. Health

From: Christine Noma [CNoma@wendel.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 07, 2010 2:41 PM

To: Wickham, Jerry, Env. Health

Cc: john.garrett@conocophillips.com; Les Hausrath; Massey, Andrew J., County Counsel; Reiter,

Robert Dean, County Counsel

**Subject:** 76 Conoco Phillips Service Station - County of Alameda/City of PleasantonTranportation

Corridor

## Dear Mr. Wickham,

Recently, on behalf of Alameda County (Public Works Department) we sent to the City of Pleasanton a copy of the Revised Corrective Action Plan. We thought it would be helpful for you to know the City of Pleasanton's viewpoint with respect to the intended uses of the corridor property and the potential impact of the proposed remediation plans. Below is the response from the City of Pleasanton confirming its intended future use of the property. However, if you have any questions about this issue, please feel free to call or send over an email. We are looking forward to receiving the draft Remedial Action Plan from Conoco's consultant on the 20th.

Regards, Chris Noma

## Christine K. Noma | Wendel Rosen Black & Dean LLP

Direct: 510.622.7634 | Fax: 510.808.4679 1111 Broadway, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor | Oakland, CA 94607

cnoma@wendel.com
www.wendel.com

From: Jonathan Lowell [mailto:jlowell@ci.pleasanton.ca.us]

Sent: Tuesday, December 07, 2010 1:16 PM

To: Les Hausrath

Cc: Massey, Andrew J., County Counsel

Subject: County of Alameda/City of PleasantonTranportation Corridor

Dear Les:

Thank you for your letters of November 9 and December 6, 2010.

The City of Pleasanton's plans for the corridor property adjacent to the Conoco site continue to be for surface parking and or public trail uses. Therefore, it is appropriate for the Remedial Action Plan (RAP) being prepared by Delta to assume these uses as it considers different levels or types of remediation. And, this information should be factored in as you discuss the issue of indemnity with Conoco. The City Engineer has reviewed the proposed remediation infrastructure to be located in Segments 7-10 and does not see a conflict between it and how the City eventually intends to use the property.

I hope the above information is useful to you. Let's talk when the RAP is completed.

Best regards,

Jonathan

Jonathan P. Lowell City Attorney City of Pleasanton 123 Main Street P.O. Box 520 Pleasanton, California 94566

Phone: (925) 931-5015 Fax: (925) 931-5482

ilowell@ci.pleasanton.ca.us

## CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:

This e-mail message is confidential, is intended only for the named recipient(s) above, and may contain information that is privileged, attorney work product or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you have received this message in error, or are not a named recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this e-mail message from your computer. Thank you.

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: As required by U.S. Treasury Regulations governing tax practice, you are hereby advised that any written tax advice contained herein was not written or intended to be used (and cannot be used) by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding penalties that may be imposed under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code.

📥 Thank you for considering the environment before printing this e-mail.