

Drogos, Donna, Env. Health

Subject: RO343 - 461 8th
Entry Type: Phone call
Start: Mon 8/20/2007 10:55 AM
End: Mon 8/20/2007 10:55 AM
Duration: 0 hours

Grover Buer, T&R

he wants a mtg next week on 8th & Broadway site

Shell not going fast, owner AF Evans wants to sell, originally were going to develop

Evans did Phase 1 2005

want county to take action.

I was not aware of any development plans for site, Shell never mentioned in any of there reports.

Has Evans communicated with Shell re: development & intent to sell? No communication with Shell in years re: the site.

Told him I will not schedule a mtg with Evans & T&R if they have not yet informed Shell of their plans. Both Shell & Evans are RPs for the site, need to work together & communicate.

Requested that Evans communicate with Shell their site intensions & discuss. After this happens let me know & we can schedule a meeting with Evans & Shell both present to discuss the site & cleanup.

RP contact for Evans is Anye Spivey, 267-4696, aspivey@afevans.com

Drogos, Donna, Env. Health

Subject: RO343 _ 461 8th St, oak
Entry Type: Phone call

Start: Tue 7/27/2004 11:37 AM
End: Tue 7/27/2004 11:37 AM
Duration: 0 hours

RO343

7/22, 347p, lance schoemaker, hansen bridges, 461 8th st, oakland, rep owner of property, status update, right of entry to investigate property, wnat this as priority site, want it referred to DA

7/26, 856a, lance schoemaker, hansen bridges, 461 8th st, oakland, problems w/shell, want site referred to DA, 415-995-5817

7/27/04, 1130a, access agreement in review by shell for a year, Shell continues to access the property & monitor, he is getting QMRs, he cannot explain what the issue is regarding the access agreement being unsettled, re: sale of property why is that an issue here, property can be sold whether there is an open LUFT case or not. He agrees but cannot explain.

If they Shell continuing to performn work at site why is he requesting DA enforcement?

He said he is taking over case & really justs wants to establish agency contact. He will call me back when he has more info re: site.

11-16-98 left a mes. for Phil Briggs @ Chevron to give
me info. about the former Bletcher
Chevron Station @ 9th + Broadway, Oak.

461-8th St, Oak.

9-25-98 Spoke to Mike Prinz and informed him I ~~had~~ have a concern with the increasing conc. of TPH and BTEX in S-6. I told him that in regard to ask for further delineation. He said he will look at the most recent report & get back to me.

10-16-98 Leah Goldberg, attorney for property owner, 333 Market St, Suite 2300, S.F. 94105 415-995-5090 wants Shell to further delineate the extent of the contamination. She feels Shell is stonewalling.

10-21-98 Left mess. for Mike Prinz that I was going to get ~~the~~ monitoring wells S-5, S-6 and S-8 on a quarterly schedule. In addition I was going to ask for further delineation investigation of S-6.

Joe Neily - Cambria said he will send me a copy of Enviro's report titled "Off-site Source Investigation" dated 8-12-96. He said Shell in that report identifies Chevron as the possible source of contamination @ S-6. They claim Chevron had a station on Broadway, directly across from S-6. (Left mess. with Leah Goldberg, attorney for property owner concerning this conversation.)

W343

7/22/97 Reviewed 6/25/97 letter fm enviros. They want to implement non-purging method. **But they cannot because S-5 has FP.**

Reviewed 2/28/97 "First Q 97" report by enviros. **GW sampled on 1/22/97 flowed S at 0.004 ft/ft. S-5 still has FP (0.16').** The HC absorbent booms in S-5 were removed. When? They are doing gly pumpouts in S-5 and S-6. But did not do it this Q bec vac truck did not work right.

Phoned D. Lundquist: lm on voice mail: cannot do non-purge due to FP in S-5.

7/29/97 mess fm D. Lundquist: She interpreted the RWQCBs ltr as such: As long a particular well does not have FP, the non-purge method can be used. Moot point bec they do not purge the FP well.

Phoned Kevin Graves: re the non-purge policy. He said to disregard item #5 (free product).

Phoned D. Lundquist: I agree w/her interpretation of the non-purge policy (re FP). What is the date of her MW Inst rpt? 2/14/95 for S8 to S10, and GTI 1983 rpt for S4,5,6. She has those boring logs (1983) if I dont have them.

Received her fax. Has borings logs for L1 to L7 (aka S1 to S7) drilled in 1981 by GTI. Very poorly written logs. No clear indication of first and/or static water, much less well construction details. So I cannot determine if the aquifer is confined. Would like to see the rest of this report, as it is not included in our files.

Reviewed

Spoke w/DL: There is no GTI report or lab report; that is all there was. She also cannot figure out where 1st water is. Well S7 was destroyed or could not be found, as per some report she remembers reading. Probably paved over. The 12/94 logs for S8 to S10 show first water at 16, and stabilized around 25'bgs. But S10 was different; 1st water 25.5 and stabilized at 24'bgs. **She will ask her geologist 1) why we have 1st water higher than stabilized water, and 2) what kind of aquifer is this? Then get back to me.**

12/24/96 Reviewed 12/16/96 transmittal from enviros. Includes aerial photo of site from 7/19/77. The scale is way small; but I think I can see the pump islands. I wanted to compare the aerial photo to the site map from which they determined where to sample (based on location of pump islands).

Copied and mailed the chron list to Julie Walters.

1/16/97 mess fm Greg Labares From Grubb and Ellis 932-6760 x276. His client is potential buyer for 701 Bway. Does not want to buy prop if County will go after new owner. Said there was a fire in BART tube Phoned him back: referred him to lawyer Julie Walters (Is this the same client?) And Tom Peacock for ?s re "going after new owner." Gave him phone #s.

1/21/97 Reviewed 8/12/96 Off Site Invest by enviros. Chevron was at 636 Bway in 1960 (C.E. Bletcher Chevron), 1966 (Ken Betts Chevron), then gone. Enviros looked for USTs, but found none. Lm P. Briggs: asked him to check w/real estate.

2/4/97 Leah Goldberg phoned 415-995-5090 lawyer. She represents po (461-8th St.) as trust being held by Wells Fargo Bank. She has my 4/94 ltr to WFB, which was forwarded to her. She spoke w/Jeff Granberry. Shes confused abt the offsite contam. Jeff told her Id write a ltr to Chevron, asking for info. Jeff told her Chevron is the real RP. Jeff told her he thought the case was ready for closure; he left Shell on 1/27/97. He thinks there is an offsite source. She wants to know what is the next step? Mailed her copy of my 11/4/96 ltr (w/attachment): Leah Goldberg, Hanson, Bridgett, 333 Market St., Suite 2300, San Francisco CA 94105. **She wants to be on cc list for future letters.** But wait, they already are, under the Attn of Rory Campbell. I also suggested she contact Diane Lundquist of enviros.

Phoned Phil Briggs: he just spoke w/prop specialist yesterday. They had a site at 7th and Bway that was closed in 1969 or so. But no records. They reused the station # in 1969. (#9-7562) They only kept records for about 10 yrs at that time, bec there was not an environmental concern. These records are now kept virtually for life.

Reviewed 1/27/97 transmittal fm enviros. And attached 8/12/96 "Off Site Source Invest" by enviros. Still no proof that Chevron is the source.

11/4/96
con't

were optimistic doing it here. What was the FP thickness in Oct? She doesn't have data yet. Source of FP? Site history indicates Shell site was the source. They indicated it was their site, in an old letter. Also, wells S1,2,3 onsite indicated very high gw concs. Piping leak. She does not have the aerials. **Ask RP for the aerials.** But she wd expect the concs to be higher in source area than offsite. Chevron on 7th and Bway, on other side of Bway from shell. CE Bletcher was operator, address was 636 Broadway; found it in an old phone book. They did it for Shell's real estate dept. Did washing, lubrication and tune ups. 1960 and 1966. She has also researched 701-715 Bway, and found it had a bldg for about 100 yrs. So not suspected to be a source. **I'd like them to also vac out S6 while they do S5. I will also let them go semi-annual (twice per year).**

FINISHED LETTER TO RP

11/11/96 mess fm J. Walters: got my (faxed) ltr to RP. Will be in Tu, Th, out Wed.

11/12/96 phoned JW: her client wants to know if it is possible to get a ltr stating that we are not interested in pursuing owner of her site, re invest or cleanup, unless new info indicates there is/was a source onsite. So that potential buyers would be more comfortable. She could get me info that her bldg was there for xxx years. EPA and some state agencies (DTSC and RWQCB) are starting to write these "comfort" letters (or detailed prospective purchaser agreements). Saying what their position is. But the lawyers sign those docs, right? Maybe the agreements, but not the comfort letters. And they are written for contam sites, not adjacent sites. So she just wants a "comfort" letter. Referred her to Tom.

Lm for Tom: can we write such a comfort ltr?

12/24/96 Reviewed 11/29/96 QR by enviros. **GW sampled on 10/2/96 flowed south at 0.018 ft/ft.** Well S5 had 0.64 ft FP. DG well S6 is still very high: 11,000 ppb benzene and 57,000 ppb Tphg. They agreed to Q pumpout of S5 and S6. **We will start semi-annual sampling.**

Reviewed 12/3/96 letter from enviros. Includes a chron list of events from 1/10/79 thru 12/3/81, and the Emcon report dated 6/26/86.

10/29/96 spoke w/Julie Walters: Realtor for client went to BART and copied some docs. She will send me their copies. Told her it is safe to say that gw is at 24'bgs. Since the location of piping was presumed, is it possible the one boring done missed the contam? See the 8/16/94 Site Invest rpt by enviros. Note Fig 3 shows that B9 only went down to 14.5' and B5 went to 9.75'bgs. If there was a line release, maybe they missed it because 1) no borings directly SW (DG) of the "assumed location" of former islands and lines (see Fig 2), and 2) shallow depth of borings. Maybe the release went into gw and migrated along the BART tube. **We could get aerial photos to find location of former islands.**

Ask Diane Lundquist about this. Did she have aerials? Lm for DL re this. How did they come up with "assumed location" of pump islands in 8/16/94 rpt?

Also ask about the FP in S5 (and absorbent socks).

10/31/96 mess fm D. Lundquist: got the site layout in 1994 rpt from former consultant A-G and thinks it was originally from aerials. They have not changed socks in S5. They weigh the sock to determine amt FP removed. She acknowledges there is increased FP since the sock was installed. They could do a pumpout. Unknown source. Found out there was a former gas station across from S5. Maybe that is the source.

So they go there to gauge S5 but not change the sock. Why not?

11/1/96 Reviewed Julie Walters package: doc from BART dated 3/11/81, 6/23/81 (refers to Oakland Police Dept UST at 6th and Bway). Letter fm Shell dated 12/28/81 says they plan to install 36" RW to 50'bgs in sidewalk at 7th and Bway, and install 2 pumps. Ltr fm Shell dated 7/26/82 reports that no FP was removed from the RW, which is installed in front of OPD at 7th and Bway. They plan to close the RW and observation wells. Report by GTI (undated, but Fig 6 says "Water Table Gradient map fm 9/14/81"). This is good info to have in the file.

Lm for DL: got yr message. So they go there to gauge S5 but not change the sock. Why not? Esp when they see that there is >.5' of FP.

11/4/96 spoke w/DL: Her direct line is 4852. Enviros is not doing the sock changing; Blaine Tech is. But ok to speak w/her about it. What is their SOP for changing the sock? She thinks it would be better to pump it out quarterly. Socks work well w/a sheen, but maybe they

9/23/96 Julie Walters phoned. She is a lawyer for po at 7th and Broadway who wants to sell prop. Wants history of Shell site. 415-983-7731. Left mess: best way to learn site history is to do file review; please contact JB.

Mess fm Julie Walters (lawyer): She has a few documents. It isn't clear to her whether the source was removed. Will any further invest be required? Wants assurance that her client will not need to do any invest. She is planning to do a file search. Her client's site is just south of the former Shell site. Well S-5 is just under or adjacent to her client's site. Left message: which docs does she have? What is the exact address of her client's site? If she wants assurance that her client will not have to do invest, past uses? 715 Broadway?

10/22/96 Met Julie Walters (doing file search). She said her client's site is known as 701-715 Broadway. Looked up 701 Bway on fiche; not there either. She said it's been family-owned for years, bldg built in 1904. She pointed out how Shell had a line release, but no overex. She looked at the BART reports. I asked her for copies. Did they miss the contam during their 7/94 invest? They only went down to 20' (in one boring) and got one hit of benzene out of 19 samples. Soils were fine to med grained sands, and silty sands. Did they sample the cap fringe? The 2/95 report shows the 3 onsite wells having first enc gw at 16' and 25.5'bgs. But bore logs shows 1st water at 16 and stable at 24'bgs. How can this be?

10/28/96 Spoke w/SOS: he said it is rare, but possible. GW is at 24'bgs. Also, what about the absorbent socks? No reported FP removal. Lm Julie Walters: why did she think they missed the soil contam? Bec gw was at 24'bgs and the deepest sample at 20'bgs? Soil became wet at 16'bgs, so the cap fringe was bet 16 and 24'bgs.

Reviewed 5/27/96 QR by enviros. GW sampled 4/11/96 flowed SW.

Reviewed 8/30/96 QR by enviros. GW sampled 7/11/96 flowed S-SW.

I need to phone enviros and ask about absorbent socks. How much FP they remove? How often they get checked and changed? Did we miss the contam soil? We did not sample in cap fringe. Lm for Diane Lundquist re the absorbent socks. I notice that the FP thickness has increased since we have been using socks. How much FP do they remove? How often they get checked and changed?

further define the extent of the problem.

8/25/95 Drove by the site. The downgradient adjacent property is 715 Broadway. This address does not appear on our database, nor on the Assessor's microfiche. The filing cabinet does not have anything between the 2 files next to where this address would be. Dead end again. Where is the free product coming from? I need to do a property search for that address. Phoned DA's office: lm for Eric Nunneman: can he do it?

1/30/96 phoned Eric Nunneman: can he do history of ownership for 715 Broadway?

Reviewed 11/13/95 "QM--Third and Fourth Quarter 95," by enviros. Samples taken from 3rd Q were lost due to laboratory error. GW sampled on 10/4/95 flowed S at 0.015 ft/ft. They stopped monthly gauging and evacuation of well S5 after the June 95 QS. Instead, they installed HC absorbent booms. They noticed FP entering this well during the 10/4/95 purging. GWES decreased in all wells. Max dissolved concs were 49,000 ppb TPHg and 8,400 ppb benzene (DG well S6).

5/6/96 Reviewed 3/5/96 QR by enviros. GW sampled on 1/3/96 flowed South at 0.007 ft/ft. Max concs: 52,000 ppb Tphg and 9,100 ppb benzene (DG well S6). HC booms were installed in S5 to collect FP. Question #1: WHY DIDNT THEY REMOVE THE FP FROM S5 THIS Q? SEE TABLE 1. Question #2: WHAT DOES THE dO MEAN IN TABLE 2? IS THIS DISSOLVED O2? WHAT IS THE dO METHOD? Phoned Matt Donohue from enviros: They are using absorbent socks. Maybe they need to put in a new one. I asked him to report changing of socks in Table 1. dO means dissolved oxygen. Its done in the field. Shell wants it done at all their sites. Hell have those columns eliminated until they measure the dO. No problem. He will contact BTS and ask them about the FP recovery. OK.

THERE HAS BEEN NO RESPONSE FROM ERIC NUNNEMAN RE DOING THE SITE HISTORY OF OWNERSHIP FOR ADJACENT, DG SITE 715 BROADWAY. SEE NOTES ON 1/30/96. I HAVE BEEN MEANING TO get to assessors office, but havent had a chance. Phoned Eric N: again. Left message.

Our microfiche does not include 715 Broadway. Maybe there is another address (on 7th St.) Must go to Assessor.

2/24/95 Phoned Diane Lundquist: they disposed soil cuttings to Redwood Landfill or B&J in Vacaville. They're contracted to do QS. Her maps show that BART tube is within gw formation, based on profile drawings BART gave to Shell. FP still being pumped out monthly fm S-5. She'll send a revised boring log for S-10. Discussed 800 Franklin St. (former Bill Louie's Auto); STID 37. This is the only site ID'd in Geo-Strategies' 6/93 Phase I that rings any bells w/me. It also has high hits (but no FP), and is next to the BART. But BART tube doesn't run directly from this site to Shell's. How to interpret? Also, we don't know the source of contam at Bill Louie's. Their consultant (Rick Haltenhoff) said that the BART tubes (35') are well below gw (22'). But Diane doesn't think so. Strange. Suggested she may want to peruse this file. She'll check w/Lyn Walker of Shell. (I should have asked for soil disposal doc.)

3/7/95 Reviewed 1/5/95 QR by enviros. GW sampled on 10/24/94 flowed E-SE at 0.06 ft/ft; this is 90 degrees away from the Bay. S5 still has FP; up to .56' FP 4th Q 1994; the FP was sampled and found to be gasoline, with ND diesel or motor oil. S6 had 2,936 ppb TPHg and 1,184 ppb benzene; this is a significant decrease since July. Did GWE change? We need tabulated TOC, DTW, and GWE data. Also, can they get QRs to me in a more timely manner? Let's say within 4-6 wks from date of QS? This was about 10-11 wks.

Phoned enviros: lm for DL.

3/8/95 spoke w/D. Lundquist: SB log is for 2/14/95 QR. Next QS is in April. She'll include tabulated TOC/DTW/GWE info. Asked her to submit QRs within 8 wks from event date, rather than 10-11 wks. She spoke w/Lyn re 800 Franklin St. Data demonstrates that Shell is not the source of contam. So how will it help them to review that other case? She'll send soil disposal doc.

8/7/95 Reviewed 6/2/95 QR by enviros. GW sampled on 4/20/95 flowed SW at 0.009 ft/ft. Well S-5 had 0.33'FP. S-6 had increased concs: 56,000 ppb TPHg and 15,000 ppb benzene; its GWE also increased this Q by approx 0.5 feet. This is the most DG well (and offsite). What is the source of FP in S5? They concluded that it's from another source, and that potential sources were ID'd in GSI's Phase I dated 6/30/93. This Phase I did not identify any particular source, esp. South of the site. So it's rather abstract for them to say it's "another source." Ill do a drive-by, and if I dont find anything, I may ask for copies of the aerial photos referred to in the Phase I. I can also ask RP to

- 9/8/94 spoke w/Lyn Walker. We'll either do HP or MWS; probably MWS. He may sample the FP to see if it's old or new. I'll run MapInfo. He'll talk to WFB re access for MW installation. He left a mess. for SSchulman last wk. Maybe write another letter. How many MWS would be ok? 3 wells: either near B3, B5, B7-B1, OR near B9, B4-6, B5. Lyn on vacation thru 9/20.
- 10/6/94 Reviewed 10/4/94 QR by enviros. GW sampled on 7/21/94 flowed E to SE (perpendicular to the Bay). Strange. Well s-5 had FP again (.47'), and is being vaccuumed out monthly. Well S-6 had decreasing concs: 44,000 TPHg and 8,200 benzene. Well S-4 was redeveloped in July; the total well depth increased from 16.5' to 28.7'bgs after development. It was ND.
- Reviewed 10/3/94 Work Plan by enviros. 3 permanent MWS onsite. Wrote acceptance letter.
- 11/14/94 Phoned L. Walker for update. Mess fm D. Lundquist. Will drill in next 2-3 wks; will let me know when.
- 11/28/94 mess fm DL: will drill Dec 7 and 8.
- 12/12/94 D. Lundquist phoned. Wants to sample the 3 new MWS at same time as the existing 3 MWS. left mess: OK.
- 12/22/94 Reviewed 12/14 ltr fm Enviros. All 6 MWS (3 new and 3 old MWS) will be sampled in 1/95.
- 2/24/95 Reviewed 2/14/95 "Site Invest. Report and QM Report" by enviros. ~~The 3 new MWS are S-8, S-9, and S-10.~~ The borings were ND for TPHg and BTEX except 760 ppm TPHg in S-10 at 11.5'bgs, and some benzene at that depth (and also in S-8 at 21.5'). MWS sampled on 12/22/94 flowed SW at .007 ft/ft, and had 1' FP in 5, and up to 32,000 ppb TPHg and up to 7,000 ppb benzene (S-6), as well as hits in the 3 new wells. The on-site wells have a lot lower hits than the off-site wells. They conclude that it appears that off-site sources are contributing to the FP plume. Questions: 1) was the 4 yd³ of soil generated from drilling in 12/94 disposed yet? concs? 2) is there another source for the offsite gw contam? check dep ref list. Since DTW is 22-24 ft, it's unlikely that it's traveling in the utilities, right? except BART tunnels; these ARE deep enough. Checked Dep Ref list: can't find anything that may be a source. 3) page 3 says that gw first encountered at 16' in S-8 and S-9, but first water at 25' in S-10. But the borings logs all have first water at 16' and stabilized at 25'. How could it have been first at 16' and stabilized at 25' anyway?

- 5/9/94 discussed w/Tom the possibility of bringing this to Panel Review in June.
- 6/1/94 left mess L. Walker
- 6/2/94 Received fax fm Shell. Received message fm Schulman: agreement is being reviewed by their lawyers. She should be able to move ahead mid next week.
- 6/6/94 wrote letter to RP. **Whose property is S-4 on? Why is it dry? Is it obstructed?** Phoned enviros. Spoke w/John Werfal. Approx. .3' FP in S-5 last time they sampled.
- 6/7/94 mess fm D. Lundquist: S-5 had .35' FP last time they sampled. **When was that?**
- 6/30/94 message fm L. Walker and D. Lundquist: will begin work on 7/6.
- 7/7/94 site visit for Geoprobng.
- 7/20/94 Reviewed the 7/6/94 QR by enviros. GWEs measured on 4/25/94, but flow direction is uncertain; looks anomalous. **The static water elevations in Table 1 were miscalculated.** S-5 had .35' (4.2") of FP. approx. 36 gal of gw and FP were removed from S-5 by Crosby & Overton on 1/25/94. **(This date must be a typo. Where are the dates and amounts of FP removed? Why doesn't Table 2 reflect the monthly gauging in S-5?)** Started it in April, then May & June data next QR. Pg 3 should be April 25. S-5 is gauged and evacuated monthly. S-4 is still inaccessible due to fence. S-6 had 61,000 ppb TPH-g and 16,000 ppb benzene (fairly consistent concs); this is the well farthest from the site. When will they get onsite gw samples?
- 7/21/94 spoke w/D. Lundquist. She'd gonna redevelop S-4; it should be approx 30' deep, not 17', as reported. It's more accessible. She'll call w/soil results.
- 9/2/94 Reviewed 8/16/94 "Site Invest." by enviros. **No gw data bec. they encountered refusal at depths ranging fm 9-20.5' bgs.** Soil hits were fairly low except 410 ppm TPH-d (B7 below dispenser at 14'bgs). Must phone/write RPs and ask for onsite gw invest.
- 9/8/94 spoke w/Lyn Walker. ~~We'll either do HP or MWS; probably MWS. He may sample the FP to see if it's old or new.~~

entry. Phoned Lyn Walker. PO wants \$6 million insurance aggregate for consultant (or anyone who wants to enter site). Most consultants have up to \$5 mil insurance. Shell is self-insured up to \$10 mil; their deductible is \$10 mil. Remember that AlCo did not request the Nov 93 wp; Shell self-initiated it. Note that WFBank is not on our list as RP. WFB probably knows this, and may be stalling the work with their unusual requirement **because they don't want to be drawn in as a RP**. I should discuss this case w/Tom and possibly Gil. Lyn will send me copies of Shell's right of entry proposal, and of WFB's right of entry proposal.

3/31/94 Discussed strategy w/TP. We should write a new NOR, adding Wells Fargo as RP (property owner). Checked the assessor's records and got this address: Wells Fargo Bank National Association Trust, 244 California St., #500, SF 94111 (since 3/19/90).

Reviewed 3/23 letter from Shell. Spoke w/Lyn Walker. The right of entry agreement will cost the project about 4x more. Discussed the probability of adding WFB as RP. Sounds good to him. He has the following WFB address: 525 Market St., 18th Floor, PO Box 63939, SF 94163. Did WFB buy the property from Shell? Lyn doesn't know whether Shell owned or leased the property. Odessa Eddiangs from WFB sent the right of entry agreement to Shell.

4/1/94 Made about 9 phone calls to try to find the correct phone number for the Real Estate Dept. Nobody could confirm the 244 Cal. St. address. Therefore, I'll use the address Shell has. Phoned Steve Schulman to confirm the mailing address. Sent revised NOR, adding WFB as RP.

Reviewed 3/28/94 QR by enviros. S-5 had 0.18' FP on 1/25/94, which was removed.

4/4/94 Diane Lundquist phoned. Reviewed 11/2/93 wp. Wrote acceptance letter.

4/7/94 Message from S. Schulman of WFB (415-396-6741). Wants to know why they were sent a NOR. . .what changed to cause this?

4/8/94 left mess. S. Schulman, saying that it came to our attention recently that WFB is the property owner, and as per Title 23, we may name them as RPs.

5/5/94 phoned Lynn Walker re update. He hasn't heard fm WFB since he wrote Schulman a letter approx. 4/20.

Site Summary STID 4254
Former Shell Station
461-8th St.
Oakland CA 94607

WFB
TREC

Sr. Asset Mgr.
↓

begins 10/14/93: by JE

10/14/93 Spoke w/Steve Schulman of Wells Fargo Bank (Trust Dept). 415-396-6741. .prop. owner is "WFB as Trustee" . . .GSI intends to place 8 to 10 small diameter probes to depths up to 25' bgs. This will be done onsite. GSI is trying to get an access agreement w/the Bank. Perhaps the reason AlCo hasn't received a wp is because AlCo did not request this field investigation.

10/15/93 Spoke w/Diane Lundquist of Enviros (707-935-4850). Requested a workplan. Until they get an access agreement, it's hard to say where or how many borings (actually Geoprobe) they'll be doing. Therefore, wp is not hard and fast at this point. Left mess. Lynn Walker of Shell (675-6169).

10/25/93 Spoke w/Lynn Walker. . . haven't gotten access agreement. GSI wrote workplan. He wasn't aware that I did not receive wp. He'll get me a copy in about a week. Geoprobe is Shell-owned, and only on West Coast for a short while, so coordination w/property owner is important. Plan B is hydropunch.

Reviewed 10/4/93 QR by GSI. S-5 had .25' free product, which was vacuumed out. S-4 was inaccessible. S-6 had increasing concentrations.

11/4/93 Received "Workplan for Soil and GW Sampling" by enviros, dated 11/2/93. Only one sample in former UST area. How bout more?

3/14/94 Reviewed 1/11/94 QR by enviros. GW sampled on 10/20/93. S-5 had 0.23 feet FP (a slight decrease), and was vacuumed out. S-6 had 48,000 ppb TPHg and 28,000 ppb benzene (both decreases). **What happened to the Nov 93 wp? Has it been implemented?**

3/15/94 spoke w/Diane Lundquist of enviros. Property owner has not yet agreed to right of entry. She'll call Shell for status.