

## **Wickham, Jerry, Env. Health**

---

**Subject:** RO0340 SFPUC Sunol Yard  
**Status:** Not Started  
**Percent Complete:** 0%  
**Total Work:** 0 hours  
**Actual Work:** 0 hours  
**Owner:** Wickham, Jerry, Env. Health

Paul Mazzowick called on 1/23/2007. He has two offices; my letter just caught up with him. Report was due on 1/17/2007. He wanted to let me know that they will address the letter but will need some time. I indicated that the schedule should not be an issue.

Grteg Sutter of SF Water Department called on 3/1/2007. He works at the Sunol plant 925-862-5503. Will need to send me comments with a well survey. They own all the property within 2,000 feet of the site. I went over the three comments with him. The shed is still in place so contamination is probably still there. The Filtration Gallery is no longer used. The Water Temple would not have any water except there is a leaking valve that can't be completely shut off.

## Schultz, Robert, Env. Health

---

**Subject:** Randall Smith  
**Entry Type:** Phone call  
**Company:** San Francisco Public Utilities Commission

**Start:** Mon 6/21/2004 11:48 AM  
**End:** Mon 6/21/2004 11:55 AM  
**Duration:** 7 minutes

**Contacts:** Randall Smith

RO340

6/21/04 505 Paloma Way (pump station and maintenance yard)  
closure request report submitted 1/03, tanks pulled early/mid '90s

Randall will pull together a summary of site work performed to date and include reference (or photocopies) of key summary figures (x-sect, isocons).

SFWD - Pump Station  
505 Paloma Wy  
Sausal

8-7-02

MEMO to file:

I spoke today with Randall Smith (SFPUC) and on 8-2-02 with Melissa Tramblesse (Weiss) re: the need for SVOC analyses of samples collected during this project.

I agreed that SVOC need not be sought except in those samples where TPH-D or O&G ~~etc~~ were detected first.

SOS

MEMO to FIG:

650/871-2010 phone  
650/377-7890 pager

I spoke today with Paul Mazza (SFWD) regarding the two SFWD subsites at this location (Sunol Maintenance Yard; Pump Station). He was under the impression that the "hall was our court", and my impression was the opposite.

There do appear to be some outstanding issues, which, I reminded him, were discussed in 1998 with SFWD's John Mundy:

1) [REDACTED]

- Was the storage shed removed as planned, and if so, was additional impacted soil excavated? What happened with this soil?
- What happened to the oil-impacted stockpile that was to be bio remediated?

2) [REDACTED]

- GW investigation was never performed

He said he would get in contact with Thoir (SFWD's) environmental consultant. I asked that the consultant contact me so that we can get together to discuss this case.

SFWD Sunol Yard  
SOS Palomares Way  
Sunol

8-7-91

MEMO to file:

Jeff Ludlow of Harding Lawson called 7/30;  
I returned his call today. He said he had received  
a copy of my July 26 letter to SF Health Dept.  
He said that he expected to submit the requested  
addendum by weeks end (Aug 9).

SOS

SFWD  
SOS Paloma Bay  
Sunol

memo to file:

7-24-91

I called and left a message for Lamy James regarding the status of the Sunol Yard cleanup project and the whereabouts of the consultant's PSA addendum.

SOS

SFWID  
505 Paloma Way  
Suno1

MEMO to file:

5-16-91

I spoke with Jeff Ludlow of Harding Lawson Assoc. regarding his response to the March 1, 1991 letter from this office which requested additional info and clarification. We discussed that, during our prior conversation a month to 6 weeks ago, we compromised on point #4 of the March 1 letter to the degree that it would be possible to collect and analyze only one sample from the proposed downgradient well, provided no "hits" are observed in the field during boring advancement. This single sample would be collected from the GW/ vadose zone interface.

I inquired about the response due March 31. He said that SFPUC said they had no funding to pay for the response, and did not give him the "go ahead" to respond. He feels the project will proceed to be implemented, but doesn't know when.

I then spoke to Larry James of SFPUC in Moccasin regarding the funding issue. He said the funding issue had just been solved, and that a response to the March 1 letter should be expected in the next couple of weeks.

SOS

SOS Paloma Wy  
Sunol

memo to file:

12/26/90

I left a message for Larry James of SFPUC regarding the \$1244 deposit for this Dept's oversight of the H2O investigation. The phone #'s that I left the noted messages are 209-989-2431 which is a Hetch-Hetchy office, and 415-923-6381, in San Francisco.

Mr. James called me back this afternoon. He indicated that he will have the consultant (Harding Lawson Assoc?) pay the \$1244 deposit. He indicated that, with the S.F. Govt red tape, this would be the easiest way of remitting the \$\$ and still retain the appropriate internal records.

SOS

SFWD Sunol Yard  
505 Paloma Way

MEMO to file

10-31-90

Jeff Ludlow of Harding Lawson Assoc. called today on behalf of the noted facility. I granted an extension to the due date for submittal of the PSA proposal, which was to be submitted Nov. 1, 1990. Mr. Ludlow indicated that the proposal would be submitted by Monday, Nov. 5, 1990.

SOS

11-14-90

I spoke with Mr. Larry James, of S.F. PUC, regarding the submittal of \$1244 to cover costs of oversight of the most recent project at the 505 Paloma Way site. He was informed of the Dept.'s Deposit/Refund system. He was looking for an "invoice" from us. I explained that, in my recollection, an "invoice" had been provided to an RP only once in the past. He explained that a letter requesting \$\$ would suffice as an invoice. I directed him to the Oct. 3, 1990 letter from this office.