

Detterman, Mark, Env. Health

From: Detterman, Mark, Env. Health
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2012 1:45 PM
To: 'PDKing0000@aol.com'
Subject: RE: Cal Linen Thought

Paul,
I would (ACEH would) be in concurrence with an additional sampling event to help resolve anomalous data. DTSC guidelines also mention that multiple events are preferred over time; this would fit that model. Please use this email as documentation of ACEH concurrence. I will revise the due date for the subsurface investigation to March 16, 2012, understanding this to be a limited additional scope (existing four vapor wells within the building).

Let me know if this is incorrect, or if you have further thoughts.
Best,

Mark Detterman
Senior Hazardous Materials Specialist, PG, CEG
Alameda County Environmental Health
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway
Alameda, CA 94502
Direct: 510.567.6876
Fax: 510.337.9335
Email: mark.detterman@acgov.org

PDF copies of case files can be downloaded at:

<http://www.acgov.org/aceh/lop/ust.htm>

From: PDKing0000@aol.com [<mailto:PDKing0000@aol.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2012 6:52 AM
To: Detterman, Mark, Env. Health
Subject: Re: Cal Linen Thought

Hi Mark,

I've been staring at the data and it occurs to me we have one sampling event for the new vapor wells, with the sampling having occurred in July 2011. I recommend that we re-sample the vapor wells next week using procedures set forth in the vapor well installation work plan and see how the air results compare. I will then release the report with the two vapor well sampling events and the offsite upgradient investigation results with recommendations.

Do I need to provide anything more formal than this e-mail, or can I simply reference in the report that following review of initial sample results from the vapor wells and the offsite upgradient subsurface investigation that we discussed a second sampling event for the vapor wells?

Paul

In a message dated 1/27/2012 10:55:10 Pacific Standard Time, Mark.Detterman@acgov.org writes:

Just a quick note on yesterday's discussion. In regards to the vapor point anomalies, if the bentonite seal was the issue as mentioned, perhaps it was not the length of the sampled zone, but that the sample also included the (presumed) granular base below the concrete pad? Something to think about...

Best,

Mark Detterman

Senior Hazardous Materials Specialist, PG, CEG

Alameda County Environmental Health

1131 Harbor Bay Parkway

Alameda, CA 94502

Direct: 510.567.6876

Fax: 510.337.9335

Email: mark.detterman@acgov.org

PDF copies of case files can be downloaded at:

<http://www.acgov.org/aceh/lop/ust.htm>

=