

Plunkett, Steven, Env. Health

From: Barclay, Diane [Diane.Barclay@stantec.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 30, 2009 11:00 AM
To: Plunkett, Steven, Env. Health
Cc: Lathrop, Shelby S (Shaw Environmental, Inc.); Shook, Laura; Jonas, Mark; rkitay@aquascienceengineers.com; Eric Holm
Subject: Progress on Harrison Street Commingled Plume, Oakland

Hello Steven,

I left you a phone message yesterday, and am sending this email to keep you updated regarding the commingled plume on Harrison Street in Oakland. We had a productive meeting at the end of last week with the consultants for the other two properties, and we agreed on the final technical allocation and the conceptual cost sharing agreement. The complete commingled plume fund application is being finalized and sent to all parties for review by next week. This will involve some legal review, so I can't predict exactly when the application will be submitted; however, things look very promising for the agreement to go forward.

We established that ConocoPhillips will be the lead. Until the agreement is signed and in place, each property owner is proceeding with their own consultant. As the consultant for the lead, I will be coordinating the submittals to you and communication with you. I understand two documents are due this year, and wanted to discuss the following:

- 1) A coordinated monitoring report due October 15, 2009. Coordinated monitoring is scheduled for August 3. Stantec will submit one report incorporating the data collected by each consultant at their site. After the sites are in the fund, we expect that this task will be given to one consultant to be determined later.
- 2) We received your letter dated July 24. We are already on a joint semiannual monitoring schedule during the 1st and 3rd quarters, so I believe the intent of the letter has been met. We checked the quarters of highest concentration for 800 Harrison for the years when more than semiannual monitoring was done, and the quarter with the highest concentrations was the third quarter, which according to the letter validates our first and third quarter monitoring schedule. The other two consultants will also perform this analysis for their sites, but for now I will assume that we will continue on our first and third quarter schedule.
- 3) Your letter of June 12, 2009 requested a feasibility study and CAP by September 30, 2009. During our meeting, it was suggested that there are data gaps at the sites. Would it be acceptable to submit a Site Conceptual Model on September 30 as an initial coordination of the respective site investigations, and to identify data gaps to be addressed prior to CAP preparation? Stantec would coordinate this document and submit on behalf of all three sites.

We look forward to working together and with you to streamline the remediation at these sites.

Thanks,
Diane

Diane Barclay, PG, CEG, CHG

Principal Geologist
Stantec
3017 Kilgore Road Suite 100
Rancho Cordova CA 95670
Ph: (916) 384-0744
Fx: (916) 861-0430
Cell: (916) 812-3608
diane.barclay@stantec.com
stantec.com

The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

 Please consider the environment before printing this email.