



Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District

RECEIVED

1:54 pm, Feb 23, 2009

Alameda County
Environmental Health

August 2, 2007

Mr. Stephen Plunkett
Alameda County Health Division
Division of Environmental Protection
Department of Environmental Health
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Second Floor
Alameda, CA 94502

Dear Mr. Plunkett:

Subject: Groundwater Monitoring Report – May 2007
AC Transit, 1100 Seminary Ave., Oakland

AC Transit hereby submits the enclosed groundwater monitoring report for the AC Transit facility located at 1100 Seminary Avenue in Oakland. The report was prepared by our consultant, Esseltech, and contains the results of groundwater monitoring performed on May 27, 2007, from six on-site monitoring wells.

Gasoline-range hydrocarbons were detected in wells MW-1, MW-2, and MW-3 at concentrations of 1,400 ppb, 6,900 ppb, and 170 ppb, respectively. Diesel-range hydrocarbons were detected in all six wells at concentrations ranging from 45,000 ppb (MW-2) to 330 ppb (MW-11). BTEX compounds were detected to be highest in samples collected from MW-2 with concentrations at 1,800 ppb for benzene, 28 ppb for toluene, 110 ppb for ethyl benzene, and 270 ppb for total xylenes. The fuel oxygenate MTBE was not detected in any of the wells at detection limits ranging from 5.0 to 130 ppb.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document or report is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

If you have any questions or comments regarding the enclosed report, please call me at (510) 577-8869.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Chaewsky, P.E.
Environmental Engineer
enclosure



**GROUND-WATER MONITORING
IN
MAY 2007
ALAMEDA-CONTRA COSTA
TRANSIT DISTRICT FACILITY
1100 SEMINARY AVENUE
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94621**

Prepared for

**Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District
10626 International Boulevard
Oakland, California 94603**

Prepared by

**Essel Technology Services, Inc.
9778 Broadmoor Drive
San Ramon, California 94583
(925) 833-7977**

Project No. 0568

June 2007



**GROUND-WATER MONITORING
IN
MAY 2007
ALAMEDA-CONTRA COSTA
TRANSIT DISTRICT FACILITY
1100 SEMINARY AVENUE
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94621**

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District (AC Transit) has contracted with Essel Technology Services, Inc. (Essel Tech) to perform ground-water monitoring and sampling at the AC Transit Division 4 facility in Oakland, California. This report presents the results of monitoring and sampling performed in May 2007.

1.1 Site Location and Description

The Division 4 facility is located at 1100 Seminary Avenue in Oakland, California and is on the southeastern corner of the intersection of San Leandro Street and Seminary Avenue, as shown on Plate 1. The Division 4 facility is used for storage and maintenance of AC Transit buses. The facility contains a primary maintenance building that is located near the southeastern corner of the site. Other facilities include a bus washing structure, a generator building, a service building, and a lift station, which are located along the southwestern side of the property. A parking garage and transportation building are located at the northern end of the property. The site also contains underground storage tanks (USTs). The existing USTs are referred to as Tank Farm No. 1 and are located west of the present maintenance building. A second group of USTs, referred to as Tank Farm No. 2, was formerly located just north of the present maintenance building. These USTs were removed in March 2005. Another, earlier group of USTs was located east of former Tank Farm No. 2 at the eastern edge of the site. These USTs have also been removed.

Six ground-water-monitoring wells (MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, MW-9, MW-10, and MW-11) are presently located at the site. These wells were installed to monitor the ground water in the east-central portion of the site as a result of releases of fuel from the USTs formerly located at the eastern edge of the property. Well MW-1 was installed just east and upgradient of these former USTs and wells MW-2, MW-3, and MW-9 through MW-11 were installed at downgradient locations ranging from approximately 80 to 200 feet northwest to southwest of the former USTs. Plate 2 is a Site Plan that shows the relative locations of the AC Transit surface facilities, present and former USTs, and ground-water-monitoring wells.

2.0 FIELD AND LABORATORY WORK

2.1 Field Procedures

Essel Tech personnel visited the site on May 27, 2007 to measure the water level in wells MW-1 through MW-3 and MW-9 through MW-11, to measure the thickness of free petroleum product in the wells, and to purge the wells for ground-water sampling. The depth to free-phase product and to the static ground-water surface in each well was measured to the nearest 0.01-foot using an electronic oil-water interface probe. Following water-level measurements, the six wells were purged of water using a submersible pump and discharge hose. A minimum of three casing volumes of water was pumped from the six wells during this latest monitoring event. Essel Tech has been pumping 20 casing volumes of water from well MW-2 on a monthly basis. Field measurements of temperature, pH, electrical conductivity, dissolved oxygen, oxygen reduction potential, and ferrous iron were monitored during pumping. Measurements were recorded on field well-development and sampling forms, which are included in Appendix A. Field forms documenting the monthly purging of well MW-2 are also included in Appendix A.

To minimize the potential for inadvertently introducing contaminants, wells were purged in order from least contaminated to most contaminated using the analytical results from the previous monitoring event. In addition, the purge pump and attached discharge hose were cleaned before use in each well by washing the equipment in a soap solution followed by rinsing twice with clean tap water. Discharge water from well purging was directed into 55-gallon drums, which were later emptied into the maintenance building steam bay.

Essel Tech personnel collected water samples from the six wells on May 27, 2007. A clean, disposable polyethylene bailer was lowered through the air-water interface in each well and retrieved to collect the samples. The retrieved water samples were then slowly transferred from the bailer to clean, 40-milliliter volatile organic analysis (VOA) glass vials containing hydrochloric acid as a preservative; to clean, 1-liter brown glass liter bottles containing sulfuric acid as a preservative; and to clean, 1-liter plastic bottles. The various containers were filled completely to eliminate air bubbles, sealed with caps, labeled, and placed in ice storage for transport to an analytical laboratory.

2.2 Laboratory Analyses

Essel Tech personnel prepared Chain-of-Custody forms for the ground-water samples collected and these forms accompanied the samples to the laboratory. Copies of the Chain-of-Custody forms are included in Appendix B. The water samples were delivered to McCampbell Analytical, Inc. (McCampbell) in Pittsburg, California for analysis. McCampbell analyzed the samples for total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPHg) and as diesel (TPHd) using Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) modified Method 8015C, for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX) and methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE) using EPA Method 8021B, and for nitrate (as nitrogen) and sulfate using EPA Method E300.1.

3.0 RESULTS OF MONITORING AND SAMPLING

3.1 Ground-Water Monitoring

The measured depths to the static ground-water surface in wells MW-1 through MW-3 and MW-9 through MW-11 ranged from 2.20 to 3.75 feet below the tops of the well casings on May 27, 2007. No measurable amount of free-phase petroleum product was found in well MW-2; however, oily globules were observed in the water from the well. Essel Tech used wellhead elevation data and depth-to-water measurements made on May 27 to calculate the elevation of the ground-water surface in the wells. The elevation of the ground-water surface ranged from 1.50 to 2.64 feet above mean sea level in the six wells. Based on these elevations, ground water is estimated to flow toward the west at a gradient of 0.004 (0.4-foot vertical distance per 100 feet horizontal distance). Table 1 presents data on product thickness, depth to ground water, and ground-water elevation for the six wells. Plate 3 is a contour map of the shallow ground-water surface interpreted from water-level data collected on May 27, 2007.

3.2 Laboratory Analyses

Results of laboratory analyses of water samples show, in wells MW-1 through MW-3, concentrations of TPHg, TPHd, and BTEX were notably higher during the May 2007 sampling event than during the previous sampling event in November 2006. In May 2007, well MW-2 contained the highest concentrations of TPHg (6,900 parts per billion [ppb]) and TPHd (45,000 ppb). Concentrations of TPHg and TPHd were 1,400 ppb and 4,700 ppb, respectively in well MW-1 and were 600 ppb and 620 ppb, respectively in well MW-3. No TPHg was detected in water samples from wells MW-9 through MW-11; however, TPHd was detected in samples from all three wells. The levels of TPHd in MW-9 and MW-11 were modestly higher in May 2007 than in November 2006. A concentration of 550 ppb was detected in the water sample from well MW-10 in May 2007, whereas no TPHd was detected in this well during the three previous semiannual monitoring events.

The aromatic hydrocarbons BTEX were found at generally low concentrations (4.7 to 46 ppb) in the samples from wells MW-1 and MW-3 and were found at relatively elevated levels (1,800, 28, 110, and 270 ppb, respectively) in the sample from well MW-2. Except for 1.8 ppb benzene in well MW-11, the aromatic hydrocarbons were not detected in samples from wells MW-9 through MW-11. The fuel oxygenate MTBE was not detected in any of the six wells sampled at detection limits ranging from 5.0 to 130 ppb.

As in previous monitoring events, the laboratory analytical report for the TPHg analysis indicates a significant portion of the gasoline-range hydrocarbons are unmodified or weakly modified (unweathered). The laboratory analytical report for the TPHd analysis indicates gasoline-range hydrocarbons are significant in samples from wells MW-2 and MW-3 and oil-range hydrocarbons are significant in samples from every well except MW-2. Table 2 presents the results of analyses of water samples from the six wells and Appendix B contains copies of the laboratory reports of analyses.

4.0 RECOMMENDATION


Essel Tech recommends that ground-water monitoring and sampling continue on a semiannual basis with the same laboratory protocol as performed during the present sampling event. The next monitoring event should be scheduled for November 2007.

Please call if you have any questions.

Sincerely;
Essel Technology Services, Inc.



Samhita Lahiri
Project Manager



Rodger C. Witham, P.G., C.E.G
Senior Hydrogeologist




Table 1: Well Monitoring Data

Table 2: Results of Laboratory Analyses of Ground-Water Samples

Plate 1: Site Vicinity Map

Plate 2: Site Plan

Plate 3: Ground-Water-Surface Map

Appendix A: Purging and sampling field forms

Appendix B: Chain-of-Custody Record and Laboratory Report

TABLE 1
Well Monitoring Data
Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District Facility
1100 Seminary Avenue, Oakland, California


Well Number	Date	Top of Casing	Product Thickness	Depth to Ground Water	Ground-Water Surface Elevation	Ground-Water-Surface Elevation Corrected for Product Thickness#
MW-1	10/09/05	6.25	0.00	4.75	1.50	1.50
	05/28/06		0.00	3.50	2.75	2.75
	11/13/06		0.00	4.00	2.25	2.25
	05/27/07		0.00	3.61	2.64	2.64
MW-2	10/09/05	5.53	0.083	6.91	-1.38	-1.31
	05/28/06		0.1	3.45	2.08	2.16
	11/13/06		0.0	2.60	2.93	2.93
	05/27/07		0.0	3.30	2.23	2.23
MW-3	10/09/05	4.76	0.00	3.36	1.40	1.40
	05/28/06		0.00	2.32	2.44	2.44
	11/13/06		0.00	3.00	1.76	1.76
	05/27/07		0.00	2.45	2.31	2.31
MW-9	10/09/05	5.80	0.00	4.45	1.35	1.35
	05/28/06		0.00	3.33	2.47	2.47
	11/13/06		0.00	4.35	1.45	1.45
	05/27/07		0.00	3.75	2.05	2.05
MW-10	10/09/05	4.65	0.00	3.88	0.77	0.77
	05/28/06		0.00	2.78	1.87	1.87
	11/13/06		0.00	3.70	0.95	0.95
	05/27/07		0.00	3.15	1.50	1.50
MW-11	10/09/05	4.19	0.00	3.04	1.15	1.15
	05/28/06		0.00	1.30	2.89	2.89
	11/13/06		0.00	2.30	1.89	1.89
	05/27/07		0.00	2.20	1.99	1.99

Top of casing in feet above mean sea level.
Product thickness in feet.
Depth to ground water in feet below the top of the well casing.
Ground-water surface elevation in feet above mean sea level.
#Multiply product thickness by specific gravity of 0.8 and add to ground-water surface elevation.


TABLE 2
RESULTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSES OF GROUND-WATER SAMPLES
Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District Facility
1100 Seminary Avenue, Oakland, California

Well No.	Date Sampled	TPHg	TPHd	TPH	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl benzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE	Nitrate	Sulfate	Dissolved Oxygen	Ferrous Iron
MW-1	10/09/05	2,800	840	NA	200	5.0	85	26	<5.0	<100	6,600	4,190	3,300
	5/29/06	1,900	580	NA	33	4.3	23	16	<5.0	<100	46,000	3,740	2,200
	11/13/06	<50	230	NA	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	180	3,000	3,270	1,200
	5/27/07	1,400	4,700	NA	46	5.5	7.4	8.8	<15	<100	7,900	120	3,270
MW-2	10/09/05	42,000	12,000	NA	19,000	<250	1,300	1,800	<250	<100	170	3,610	2,670
	5/29/06	20,000	170,000	NA	5,900	88	190	660	<170	<100	730	4,230	2,600
	11/13/06	3,000	7,200	NA	560	13	46	140	<80	150	67,000	2,040	2,000
	5/27/07	6,900	45,000	NA	1,800	28	110	270	<130	<100	200	140	3,300
MW-3	10/09/05	8,400	1,400	NA	4,500	<100	330	<100	<100	<100	4,700	3,290	230
	5/29/06	340	330	NA	6.2	1.3	<0.5	1.1	<5.0	<100	9,500	1,970	300
	11/13/06	410	170	NA	2.7	2.1	1.2	1.0	<5.0	<100	18,000	3,310	670
	5/27/07	600	620	NA	15	<0.5	15	4.7	<10	<100	10,000	720	1,570
MW-9	10/09/05	<50	87	NA	2.8	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.2	<100	180,000	2,870	300
	5/29/06	<50	1,100	NA	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	120	91,000	1,360	0.0
	11/13/06	<50	56	NA	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	170	110,000	70	1,550
	5/27/07	<50	170	NA	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	<100	110,000	1,570	1,570
MW-10	10/09/05	<50	<50	NA	0.92	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.66	<100	120,000	3,850	870
	5/29/06	<50	<50	NA	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	<100	110,000	1,590	0.0
	11/13/06	<50	<50	NA	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	<100	97,000	490	1,040
	5/27/07	<50	550	NA	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	<100	100,000	230	1,160
MW-11	10/09/05	<50	82	NA	3.0	<0.5	<0.5	0.57	0.83	<100	130,000	1,870	640
	5/29/06	<50	150	NA	2.9	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	<100	120,000	3,730	310
	11/13/06	<50	150	NA	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	<100	150,000	2,700	NM
	5/27/07	<50	330	NA	1.8	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0	<100	130,000	1,420	3,000

Results in micrograms per liter = parts per billion; detectable results are shaded.
 TPHg = total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline
 TPHd = total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel
 TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons as motor oil or unknown hydrocarbon
 MTBE = methyl tertiary butyl ether
 MCL = maximum contaminant level
 NA = not analyzed; NM = not measured
 < = less than the laboratory method detection limit




EXPLANATION

- MW-11  GROUND-WATER-MONITORING WELL LOCATION
- TF TANK FARM




Scale: 0 120 feet 240 feet



SOURCE: MAP MODIFIED FROM PLAN
SUPPLIED BY CAMERON-COLE


PROJECT NO. 05-68	DRAWN BY EC	REPORT DATE June 2007	SITE PLAN Alameda Contra Costa Transit District Facility 1100 Seminary Avenue Oakland, California	PLATE 2
ESSEL TECHNOLOGY SERVICES, INC. 9778 Broadmoor Drive San Ramon, CA 94583				

05-68 606



EXPLANATION

- MW-11 GROUND-WATER-MONITORING WELL LOCATION
- TF TANK FARM
- 2.6 LINE OF EQUAL ELEVATION OF GROUND-WATER SURFACE IN FEET ABOVE MEAN SEA LEVEL
- 2.64 ELEVATION OF GROUND-WATER SURFACE IN FEET ABOVE MEAN SEA LEVEL



SOURCE: MAP MODIFIED FROM PLAN SUPPLIED BY CAMERON-COLE

PROJECT NO. 05-68	DRAWN BY EC	REPORT DATE June 2007	GROUND-WATER-SURFACE MAP May 27, 2007 Alameda Contra Costa Transit District Facility 1100 Seminary Avenue Oakland, California	PLATE 3
ESSEL TECHNOLOGY SERVICES, INC. 9778 Broadmoor Drive San Ramon, CA 94583				

APPENDIX A

PURGING AND SAMPLING FIELD FORMS

Job Name: AC Transit – Seminary

Well Number: MW1

Job Number: 0568-May 07

Date: 5/27/07

Sampled By: S. Lahiri

Purge Volume	Development/Purge Method(s)
Casing Diameter: 2 inch <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 inch <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Swab <input type="checkbox"/> Surge <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Total Depth (TD) of casing in Feet <u>16.45</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Bail Bailer Type: Disposable
Depth to water (DTW) in Feet <u>3.61'</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Pump
Purge Volume Calculation $(16.45 - 3.61) \times 3 \times .17 = 6.54$ gallons (TD) - (DTW) x V x F = Purge Volume	Pump type: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Submersible <input type="checkbox"/> Centrifuge <input type="checkbox"/> Bladder <input type="checkbox"/> Other
Explanation	
For 2" diameter well: V=3, F= .17gallon/foot	V= well volume F= gallon of water per foot of casing

Field Parameters								
Time AM PM	Temperature °C	Conductivity µS/cm	DO (mg/L)	pH	ORP	Gallons Pumped	Fe mg/L	Water Level (TD-DTW)
	19.30	987	2.99	6.95	-45.8	1		
	19.05	1275	1.14	6.85	-70.3	3		
	19.09	1292	0.24	6.87	-76.0	4.5		
	19.16	1291	0.16	6.88	-77.9	6.0	3.27	12.84 ft
	19.19	1294	0.12	6.88	-78.6	6.5		

Total gallons pumped:

Observations during purging (well condition, turbidity, color, odor etc.)

Semi-clean water, NO odor of Gas

Discharge water disposal: Sanitary sewer Storm drain Drum Other Steam Bay

Well Sampling Date: 5/27/07

Time: 1930

Job Name: AC Transit – Seminary

Well Number: MW 2

Job Number: 0568-May 07

Date: 5/27/07

Sampled By: S. Lahiri

Purge Volume	Development/Purge Method(s)
Casing Diameter: 2 inch <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 inch <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Swab <input type="checkbox"/> Surge <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Total Depth (TD) of casing in Feet <u>23.40</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Bail Bailer Type: Disposable
Depth to water (DTW) in Feet <u>3 30'</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pump
Purge Volume Calculation $(23.4) - (3.3) \times 3 \times 0.17 = 10.25$ gallons (TD) - (DTW) x V x F = Purge Volume	Pump type: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Submersible <input type="checkbox"/> Centrifuge <input type="checkbox"/> Bladder <input type="checkbox"/> Other
Explanation	
For 2" diameter well: V=3, F= .17gallon/foot	V= well volume F= gallon of water per foot of casing

Field Parameters								
Time AM PM	Temperature °C	Conductivity µS/cm	DO (mg/L)	pH	ORP	Gallons Pumped	Fe mg/L	Water Level (TD-DTW)
	18.92	295	1.13	6.60	23.9	1		
	19.33	258	0.17	6.63	-27.2	2.5		
	19.00	521	0.11	6.82	-47.1	5.0		
	18.96	676	0.07	6.80	-49.2	7.5	3.3	20.10ft
	19.02	761	0.13	6.22	-52.1	10.0		
	19.07	816	0.14	6.26	-45.3	10.5		

Total gallons pumped:

Observations during purging (well condition, turbidity, color, odor etc.)

After 5 gallon water clean
 Dark color / Sweet of Gas
 Oil in water

Discharge water disposal: Sanitary sewer Storm drain Drum Other Steam Bay

Well Sampling Date: 5/27/07

Time: 1900

Job Name: AC Transit - Seminary

Well Number: MW3

Job Number: 0568-May 07

Date: 5/27/07

Sampled By: S. Lahiri

Purge Volume	Development/Purge Method(s)
Casing Diameter: 2 inch <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 inch <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Swab <input type="checkbox"/> Surge <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Total Depth (TD) of casing in Feet <u>17.3'</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Bail Bailer Type: Disposable
Depth to water (DTW) in Feet <u>2.45'</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pump
Purge Volume Calculation $(17.3) - (2.45) \times 3 \times .17 = 7.57$ gallons	Pump type: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Submersible <input type="checkbox"/> Centrifuge
$(TD) - (DTW) \times V \times F = \text{Purge Volume}$	<input type="checkbox"/> Bladder <input type="checkbox"/> Other

Explanation

For 2" diameter well: V=3, F= .17gallon/foot

V= well volume
F= gallon of water per foot of casing

Field Parameters								
Time AM PM	Temperature °C	Conductivity µS/cm	DO (mg/L)	pH	ORP	Gallons Pumped	Fe mg/L	Water Level (TD-DTW)
	22.13	152	0.67	7.00	-57.5	1		
	20.91	228	0.25	7.19	-77.9	3		
	20.63	283	0.18	7.12	-75.7	5		
	20.33	622	0.61	6.94	-55.6	7	1.51	
	20.26	1420	0.72	6.37	-52.4	8		

Total gallons pumped:

Observations during purging (well condition, turbidity, color, odor etc.)

Strong smell of Gas / water with petroleum odor
black color

Discharge water disposal: Sanitary sewer Storm drain Drum Other Steam Bay

Well Sampling Date: 5/27/07

Time: 1800

Job Name: AC Transit – Seminary

Well Number: MW-9

Job Number: 0568-May 07

Date: 5/27/07

Sampled By: S. Lahiri

Purge Volume	Development/Purge Method(s)
Casing Diameter: 2 inch <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 inch <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Swab <input type="checkbox"/> Surge <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Total Depth (TD) of casing in Feet 3.75 19.50	<input type="checkbox"/> Bail Bailer Type: Disposable
Depth to water (DTW) in Feet <u>3.75'</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Pump
Purge Volume Calculation $(19.5) - (3.75) \times 3 \times .17 = 8.03$ gallons	Pump type: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Submersible <input type="checkbox"/> Centrifuge <input type="checkbox"/> Bladder <input type="checkbox"/> Other
$(TD) - (DTW) \times V \times F = \text{Purge Volume}$	

Explanation

For 2" diameter well: V=3, F= .17gallon/foot

V= well volume

F= gallon of water per foot of casing

Field Parameters								
Time AM PM	Temperature °C	Conductivity µS/cm	DO (mg/L)	pH	ORP	Gallons Pumped	Fe mg/L	Water Level (TD-DTW)
	21.99	392	0.28	7.48	-119.	1.0		
	21.52	422	0.15	7.48	-110.2	3.0		
	20.22	967	0.19	7.44	-75.5	5.0		
	19.82	1162	0.83	7.37	-60.7	7.0	1.57	15.75ft
	19.89	1214	1.57	7.32	-54.7	7.5		
	19.92	1212	1.57	7.33	-47.1			

Total gallons pumped:

Observations during purging (well condition, turbidity, color, odor etc.)

Dirty / Dark water

Discharge water disposal: Sanitary sewer Storm drain Drum Other Steam Bay

Well Sampling Date: 5/27/07

Time: 1800

Job Name: AC Transit – Seminary

Well Number: MW 10

Job Number: 0568-May 07

Date: 5/27/07

Sampled By: S. Lahiri

Purge Volume	Development/Purge Method(s)
Casing Diameter: 2 inch <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 inch [] Other []	[] Swab [] Surge [] Other _____
Total Depth (TD) of casing in Feet <u>11.30'</u>	[] Bail Bailer Type: Disposable
Depth to water (DTW) in Feet <u>3.15'</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pump
Purge Volume Calculation $(11.3) - (3.15) \times 3 \times .17 = 4.15$ gallons	Pump type: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Submersible [] Centrifuge [] Bladder [] Other
$(TD) - (DTW) \times V \times F = \text{Purge Volume}$	

Explanation

For 2" diameter well: V=3, F= .17gallon/foot

V= well volume

F= gallon of water per foot of casing

Field Parameters								
Time AM PM	Temperature °C	Conductivity µS/cm	DO (mg/L)	pH	ORP	Gallons Pumped	Fe mg/L	Water Level (TD-DTW)
						144.0		
	23.09	2298	2.13	6.98	130	144.0 1 Gal		
	21.94	3093	0.51	7.05	123.6	2		
	21.28	3127	0.22	7.10	118.0	3		
	20.96	3129	0.23	7.12	111.7	4		
							1.16	8.15 ft.

Total gallons pumped:

Observations during purging (well condition, turbidity, color, odor etc.)

Semi-DARK color / No odor

Discharge water disposal: [] Sanitary sewer [] Storm drain [] Drum Other Steam Bay

Well Sampling Date: 5/27/07

Time: 12:15

Job Name: AC Transit – Seminary

Well Number: MW 11

Job Number: 0568-May 07

Date: 5/27/07

Sampled By: S. Lahiri

Purge Volume	Development/Purge Method(s)
Casing Diameter: 2 inch <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 inch <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Swab <input type="checkbox"/> Surge <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Total Depth (TD) of casing in Feet <u>13.45</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Bail Bailer Type: Disposable
Depth to water (DTW) in Feet <u>2.2</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Pump
Purge Volume Calculation $(13.45) - (2.2) \times 3 \times 17 = 5.73$ gallons (TD) – (DTW) x V x F = Purge Volume	Pump type: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Submersible <input type="checkbox"/> Centrifuge <input type="checkbox"/> Bladder <input type="checkbox"/> Other

Explanation

For 2" diameter well: V=3, F= .17gallon/foot

V= well volume

F= gallon of water per foot of casing

Field Parameters								
Time AM PM	Temperature °C	Conductivity µS/cm	DO (mg/L)	pH	ORP	Gallons Pumped	Fe mg/L	Water Level (TD-DTW)
	22.76	1023	2.53	7.09	88.0	1		
	22.94	1014	2.29	7.23	75.5	2		
	21.87	1066	1.50	7.22	63.4	3		
	21.52	1202	6.11	7.41	52.7	4.5	3.00	11.25 ft.
	21.36	1315	1.42	7.19	15.5	5.5		

Total gallons pumped:

Observations during purging (well condition, turbidity, color, odor etc.)

Discharge water disposal: Sanitary sewer Storm drain Drum Other Steam Bay

Well Sampling Date: 5/27/07

Time: 1830

APPENDIX B

CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD AND LABORATORY REPORT



McC Campbell Analytical, Inc.

"When Quality Counts"

1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701
Web: www.mcccampbell.com E-mail: main@mcccampbell.com
Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269

Essel Technology Service 9778 Broadmoore Drive San Ramon, CA 94583	Client Project ID: #0568-May 07; Seminary	Date Sampled: 05/27/07
		Date Received: 05/29/07
	Client Contact: Samhita Lahiri	Date Reported: 06/05/07
	Client P.O.:	Date Completed: 06/05/07

WorkOrder: 0705694

June 05, 2007

Dear Samhita:

Enclosed are:

- 1). the results of **6** analyzed samples from your **#0568-May 07; Seminary project,**
- 2). a QC report for the above samples
- 3). a copy of the chain of custody, and
- 4). a bill for analytical services.

All analyses were completed satisfactorily and all QC samples were found to be within our control limits.

If you have any questions please contact me. McC Campbell Analytical Laboratories strives for excellence in quality, service and cost. Thank you for your business and I look forward to working with you again.

Best regards,

Angela Rydelius, Lab Manager

0705694

40
250
500



McCAMPBELL ANALYTICAL, INC.

1534 WILLOW PASS ROAD
PITTSBURG, CA 94565-1701

Website: www.mccampbell.com Email: main@mccampbell.com
Telephone: (877) 252-9262 Fax: (925) 252-9269

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

TURN AROUND TIME

RUSH 24 HR 48 HR 72 HR 5 DAY

GeoTracker EDF PDF Excel Write On (DW)

Check if sample is effluent and "J" flag is required

Report To: *Sambhata Laksh* Bill To: *ETS*
Company: *Essel Technology Services*
E-Mail: *Essel.Tex.Services@etl.com*
Tele: *(510) 206 0270* Fax: *(925) 833 9177*
Project #: *0568 May 07* Project Name:
Project Location: *Seminory*
Sampler Signature: *SLAHRI*

Analysis Request

Other

Comments

SAMPLE ID	LOCATION/ Field Point Name	SAMPLING		# Containers	Type Containers	MATRIX					METHOD PRESERVED								
		Date	Time			Water	Soil	Air	Sludge	Other	ICE	HCL	HNO ₃	Other					
MW9-1	MW9	5-27-07	5:26	500															
MW9-2	MW9		5:26	250															
MW9-3	MW9		↓	40															
MW9-4	MW9		↓																
MW9-5	MW9		↓																
MW3-1	MW3		5:49	500															
MW3-2	MW3		↓	250															
MW3-3	MW3		↓	40															
MW3-4	MW3		↓																
MW3-5	MW3		↓																
MW10-1	MW10		6:12	500															
MW10-2	MW10		↓	250															
MW10-3			↓	40															
MW10-4			↓	40															

BTEX & TPH as Gas (602 / 8021 + 8015) / MTBE																			
TPH as Diesel (8015)																			
Total Petroleum Oil & Grease (1664 / 5520 E/B&F)																			
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (4181)																			
EPA 502.2 / 601 / 8010 / 8021 (HVOCs)																			
MTBE / BTEX ONLY (EPA 602 / 8021)																			
EPA 505 / 608 / 8081 (CI Pesticides)																			
EPA 608 / 8082 PCB's ONLY; Aroclors / Coageners																			
EPA 507 / 8141 (NP Pesticides)																			
EPA 515 / 8151 (Acidic CI Herbicides)																			
EPA 524.2 / 624 / 8260 (VOCs)																			
EPA 525.2 / 625 / 8270 (SVOCs)																			
EPA 8270 SIM / 8310 (PAHs / PNA's)																			
CAM 17 Metals (200.7 / 200.8 / 6010 / 6020)																			
LUFT 5 Metals (200.7 / 200.8 / 6010 / 6020)																			
Lead (200.7 / 200.8 / 6010 / 6020)																			

Nitrate / Sulfate (300.1)

Filter Samples for Metals analysis: Yes / No

+
+
+

Relinquished By: <i>[Signature]</i>	Date: <i>5/27</i>	Time: <i>12:17</i>	Received By: <i>[Signature]</i>
Relinquished By:	Date:	Time:	Received By:
Relinquished By:	Date:	Time:	Received By:

ICE/C 4.6
GOOD CONDITION ✓
HEAD SPACE ABSENT ✓
DECHLORINATED IN LAB ✓
APPROPRIATE CONTAINERS ✓
PRESERVED IN LAB ✓
VOAS ✓ O&G METALS OTHER
PRESERVATION pH<2

COMMENTS:



McCAMPBELL ANALYTICAL, INC.

1534 WILLOW PASS ROAD
PITTSBURG, CA 94565-1701

Website: www.mccampbell.com Email: main@mccampbell.com
Telephone: (877) 252-9262 Fax: (925) 252-9269

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

TURN AROUND TIME

RUSH 24 HR 48 HR 72 HR 5 DAY

GeoTracker EDF PDF Excel Write On (DW)

Check if sample is effluent and "J" flag is required

Report To: *Samhita Lahiri* Bill To: _____
Company: *Essel Technology Services*

E-Mail: _____
Tele: *(510) 206 0270* Fax: *(925) 033 7977*
Project #: _____ Project Name: *Actransit*
Project Location: *Seminary*
Sampler Signature: _____

Analysis Request

Other

Comments

- BTEX & TPH as Gas (602 / 8021 + 8015) / MTBE
- TPH as Diesel (8015)
- Total Petroleum Oil & Grease (1664 / 5520 E/B&F)
- Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (418.1)
- EPA 502.2 / 601 / 8010 / 8021 (HYOCs)
- MTBE / BTEX ONLY (EPA 602 / 8021)
- EPA 505 / 608 / 8081 (CI Pesticides)
- EPA 608 / 8082 PCB's ONLY; Aroclors / Congeners
- EPA 507 / 8141 (NP Pesticides)
- EPA 515 / 8151 (Acidic CI Herbicides)
- EPA 524.2 / 624 / 8260 (VOCs)
- EPA 525.2 / 625 / 8270 (SVOCs)
- EPA 8270 SIM / 8310 (PAHs / PNA's)
- CAM 17 Metals (200.7 / 200.8 / 6010 / 6020)
- LUFT 5 Metals (200.7 / 200.8 / 6010 / 6020)
- Lead (200.7 / 200.8 / 6010 / 6020)

Nitrate / Sulfate (EPA 300-i)

Filter Samples for Metals analysis: Yes / No

SAMPLE ID	LOCATION/ Field Point Name	SAMPLING		# Containers	Type Containers	MATRIX					METHOD PRESERVED								
		Date	Time			Water	Soil	Air	Sludge	Other	ICE	HCL	HNO ₃	Other					
MW10-5	MW10	5/27/09	6:12	40															
MW11-1	MW11		6:30	500															
MW11-2	MW11			250															
MW11-3				40															
MW11-4																			
MW11-5																			
MW1-1	MW1		6:58	500															
MW1-2				250															
MW1-3				40															
MW1-4																			
MW1-5																			
MW2-1	MW2		7:15	500															
MW2-2				250															
MW2-3				40															

+
+
+

Relinquished By: *Dagmar Loh* Date: *5/29* Time: *17:17* Received By: *[Signature]*

Relinquished By: _____ Date: _____ Time: _____ Received By: _____

Relinquished By: _____ Date: _____ Time: _____ Received By: _____

ICE/° _____ COMMENTS: _____
GOOD CONDITION _____
HEAD SPACE ABSENT _____
DECHLORINATED IN LAB _____
APPROPRIATE CONTAINERS _____
PRESERVED IN LAB _____

VOAS O&G METALS OTHER
PRESERVATION pH<2

McC Campbell Analytical, Inc.



1534 Willow Pass Rd
Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701
(925) 252-9262

CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD

WorkOrder: 0705694

ClientID: ETSR

EDF Excel Fax Email HardCopy ThirdParty

Report to:

Samhita Lahiri
Essel Technology Service
9778 Broadmoore Drive
San Ramon, CA 94583

Email: esseltekservices@aol.com
TEL: (925) 833-799 FAX: (925) 833-797
ProjectNo: #0568-May 07; Seminary
PO:

Bill to:

Sher Guha
Essel Technology Service
9778 Broadmoore Drive
San Ramon, CA 94523

Requested TAT: 5 days

Date Received 05/29/2007

Date Printed: 05/29/2007

Sample ID	ClientSampID	Matrix	Collection Date	Hold	Requested Tests (See legend below)												
					1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
0705694-001	MW-9	Water	05/27/07	<input type="checkbox"/>	C	A	A	B									
0705694-002	MW-3	Water	05/27/07	<input type="checkbox"/>	C	A		B									
0705694-003	MW-10	Water	05/27/07	<input type="checkbox"/>	C	A		B									
0705694-004	MW-11	Water	05/27/07	<input type="checkbox"/>	C	A		B									
0705694-005	MW-1	Water	05/27/07	<input type="checkbox"/>	C	A		B									
0705694-006	MW-2	Water	05/27/07	<input type="checkbox"/>	C	A		B									

Test Legend:

1	300_1_W	2	G-MBTEX_W	3	PREFD REPORT	4	TPH(D)_W	5	
6		7		8		9		10	
11		12							

Prepared by: Maria Venegas

Comments:

NOTE: Samples are discarded 60 days after results are reported unless other arrangements are made. Hazardous samples will be returned to client or disposed of at client expense.



Sample Receipt Checklist

Client Name: **Essel Technology Service**

Date and Time Received: **05/29/07 1:49:34 PM**

Project Name: **#0568-May 07; Seminary**

Checklist completed and reviewed by: **Maria Venegas**

WorkOrder N°: **0705694** Matrix Water

Carrier: Client Drop-In

Chain of Custody (COC) Information

- Chain of custody present? Yes No
- Chain of custody signed when relinquished and received? Yes No
- Chain of custody agrees with sample labels? Yes No
- Sample IDs noted by Client on COC? Yes No
- Date and Time of collection noted by Client on COC? Yes No
- Sampler's name noted on COC? Yes No

Sample Receipt Information

- Custody seals intact on shipping container/cooler? Yes No NA
- Shipping container/cooler in good condition? Yes No
- Samples in proper containers/bottles? Yes No
- Sample containers intact? Yes No
- Sufficient sample volume for indicated test? Yes No

Sample Preservation and Hold Time (HT) Information

- All samples received within holding time? Yes No
- Container/Temp Blank temperature Cooler Temp: 4.6°C NA
- Water - VOA vials have zero headspace / no bubbles? Yes No No VOA vials submitted
- Sample labels checked for correct preservation? Yes No
- TTLC Metal - pH acceptable upon receipt (pH<2)? Yes No NA

Client contacted:

Date contacted:

Contacted by:

Comments:



McC Campbell Analytical, Inc.

"When Quality Counts"

1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701
Web: www.mcccampbell.com E-mail: main@mcccampbell.com
Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269

Essel Technology Service 9778 Broadmoore Drive San Ramon, CA 94583	Client Project ID: #0568-May 07; Seminary	Date Sampled: 05/27/07
		Date Received: 05/29/07
	Client Contact: Samhita Lahiri	Date Extracted: 05/31/07-06/02/07
	Client P.O.:	Date Analyzed 05/31/07-06/02/07

Gasoline Range (C6-C12) Volatile Hydrocarbons as Gasoline with BTEX and MTBE*

Extraction method SW5030B

Analytical methods SW8021B/8015Cm

Work Order: 0705694

Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	TPH(g)	MTBE	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	DF	% SS
001A	MW-9	W	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1	101
002A	MW-3	W	600,a	ND<10	15	ND	15	4.7	1	111
003A	MW-10	W	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1	100
004A	MW-11	W	ND	ND	1.8	ND	ND	ND	1	95
005A	MW-1	W	1400,a,h	ND<15	46	5.5	7.4	8.8	1	94
006A	MW-2	W	6900,a,h	ND<130	1800	28	110	270	10	100

Reporting Limit for DF =1; ND means not detected at or above the reporting limit	W	50	5.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1	µg/L
	S	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	mg/Kg

* water and vapor samples and all TCLP & SPLP extracts are reported in ug/L, soil/sludge/solid samples in mg/kg, wipe samples in µg/wipe, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples in mg/L.

cluttered chromatogram; sample peak coelutes with surrogate peak.

+The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McC Campbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation: a) unmodified or weakly modified gasoline is significant; b) heavier gasoline range compounds are significant(aged gasoline?); c) lighter gasoline range compounds (the most mobile fraction) are significant; d) gasoline range compounds having broad chromatographic peaks are significant; biologically altered gasoline?; e) TPH pattern that does not appear to be derived from gasoline (stoddard solvent / mineral spirit?); f) one to a few isolated non-target peaks present; g) strongly aged gasoline or diesel range compounds are significant; h) lighter than water immiscible sheen/product is present; i) liquid sample that contains greater than ~1 vol. % sediment; j) reporting limit raised due to high MTBE content; k) TPH pattern that does not appear to be derived from gasoline (aviation gas). m) no recognizable pattern; n) TPH(g) range non-target isolated peaks subtracted out of the TPH(g) concentration at the client's request; p) see attached narrative.



QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR E300.1

W.O. Sample Matrix: Water

QC Matrix: Water

WorkOrder: 0705694

EPA Method E300.1	Extraction E300.1			BatchID: 28312					Spiked Sample ID: N/A			
	Analyte	Sample	Spiked	MS	MSD	MS-MSD	LCS	LCSD	LCS-LCSD	Acceptance Criteria (%)		
	mg/L	mg/L	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	MS / MSD	RPD	LCS/LCSD	RPD
Nitrate as N	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	91.7	95.5	4.06	N/A	N/A	85 - 115	15
Nitrate as NO3 ⁻	N/A	4.4	N/A	N/A	N/A	91.7	95.5	4.06	N/A	N/A	85 - 115	15
Sulfate	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	110	108	1.21	N/A	N/A	85 - 115	15
%SS:	N/A	0.10	N/A	N/A	N/A	99	100	0.0905	N/A	N/A	90 - 115	10

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions:
NONE

BATCH 28312 SUMMARY

Sample ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Sample ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed
0705694-001C	05/27/07	05/29/07	05/29/07 6:15 PM	0705694-001C	05/27/07	05/29/07	05/30/07 7:20 PM
0705694-002C	05/27/07	05/29/07	05/29/07 6:44 PM	0705694-003C	05/27/07	05/29/07	05/29/07 7:13 PM
0705694-003C	05/27/07	05/29/07	05/30/07 8:17 PM	0705694-004C	05/27/07	05/29/07	05/29/07 7:42 PM
0705694-004C	05/27/07	05/29/07	05/30/07 9:15 PM				

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.

% Recovery = 100 * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).

MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or %RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.

N/A = not applicable to this method.

NR = analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2x spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.



QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8021B/8015Cm

W.O. Sample Matrix: Water

QC Matrix: Water

WorkOrder: 0705694

Analyte	EPA Method SW8021B/8015Cm		Extraction SW5030B			BatchID: 28349			Spiked Sample ID: 0705693-014A			
	Sample	Spiked	MS	MSD	MS-MSD	LCS	LCSD	LCS-LCSD	Acceptance Criteria (%)			
	µg/L	µg/L	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	MS / MSD	RPD	LCS/LCSD	RPD
TPH(btex _f)	ND	60	107	99.3	7.08	75.8	91.9	19.3	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
MTBE	ND	10	86	87.8	2.07	71.2	74.1	4.00	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Benzene	ND	10	84.9	85.2	0.360	87.8	102	14.6	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Toluene	ND	10	84.2	88.3	4.83	88.1	101	14.1	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Ethylbenzene	ND	10	83.5	91.5	9.14	90.2	104	14.0	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Xylenes	ND	30	82	85.7	4.37	103	117	12.1	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
%SS:	91	10	97	104	6.33	92	98	6.25	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions:
NONE

BATCH 28349 SUMMARY

Sample ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Sample ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed
0705694-001A	05/27/07	06/01/07	06/01/07 12:43 AM	0705694-002A	05/27/07	06/02/07	06/02/07 12:46 AM
0705694-003A	05/27/07	05/31/07	05/31/07 7:02 AM	0705694-004A	05/27/07	05/31/07	05/31/07 7:35 AM
0705694-005A	05/27/07	05/31/07	05/31/07 8:07 AM	0705694-006A	05/27/07	05/31/07	05/31/07 3:13 AM

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.

% Recovery = 100 * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).

MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or %RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.

£ TPH(btex) = sum of BTEX areas from the FID.

cluttered chromatogram; sample peak coelutes with surrogate peak.



QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR E300.1

W.O. Sample Matrix: Water

QC Matrix: Water

WorkOrder: 0705694

EPA Method E300.1	Extraction E300.1			BatchID: 28351					Spiked Sample ID: N/A			
	Analyte	Sample	Spiked	MS	MSD	MS-MSD	LCS	LCSD	LCS-LCSD	Acceptance Criteria (%)		
	mg/L	mg/L	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	MS / MSD	RPD	LCS/LCSD	RPD
Nitrate as N	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	89.1	92.1	3.24	N/A	N/A	85 - 115	15
Nitrate as NO3 ⁻	N/A	4.4	N/A	N/A	N/A	89.1	92.1	3.24	N/A	N/A	85 - 115	15
Sulfate	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	99	106	7.16	N/A	N/A	85 - 115	15
%SS:	N/A	0.10	N/A	N/A	N/A	100	102	1.24	N/A	N/A	90 - 115	10

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions:
NONE

BATCH 28351 SUMMARY

Sample ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Sample ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed
0705694-005C	05/27/07	05/29/07	05/30/07 10:49 AM	0705694-005C	05/27/07	05/29/07	05/30/07 9:43 PM
0705694-006C	05/27/07	05/29/07	05/30/07 10:20 AM				

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.

% Recovery = 100 * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).

MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or %RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.

N/A = not applicable to this method.

NR = analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2x spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.



QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8015C

W.O. Sample Matrix: Water

QC Matrix: Water

WorkOrder: 0705694

Analyte	EPA Method SW8015C		Extraction SW3510C			BatchID: 28350			Spiked Sample ID: N/A			
	Sample	Spiked	MS	MSD	MS-MSD	LCS	LCSD	LCS-LCSD	Acceptance Criteria (%)			
	µg/L	µg/L	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	MS / MSD	RPD	LCS/LCSD	RPD
TPH(d)	N/A	1000	N/A	N/A	N/A	104	105	0.994	N/A	N/A	70 - 130	30
%SS:	N/A	2500	N/A	N/A	N/A	89	90	1.37	N/A	N/A	70 - 130	30

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions:
NONE

BATCH 28350 SUMMARY

Sample ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Sample ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed
0705694-001B	05/27/07	05/29/07	05/31/07 8:21 PM	0705694-002B	05/27/07	05/29/07	05/31/07 6:00 AM
0705694-003B	05/27/07	05/29/07	06/01/07 2:16 AM	0705694-004B	05/27/07	05/29/07	05/31/07 10:33 AM
0705694-005B	05/27/07	05/29/07	06/02/07 8:22 AM	0705694-006B	05/27/07	05/29/07	05/31/07 10:07 PM

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.

% Recovery = 100 * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).

MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or %RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.

N/A = not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.

NR = analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2x spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.