



ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING, INC.
6620 Owens Drive, Suite A • Pleasanton, CA 94588
TEL (925)734-6400 • FAX (925)734-6401
www.somaenv.com

March 8, 2016

Mr. Martin Musonge
Regional Water Quality Control Board
San Francisco Bay Region
1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400
Oakland, California 94612

RECEIVED

By Alameda County Environmental Health 1:57 pm, Mar 08, 2016

Subject: **File No. 01-0098 (MYM)**

Site Located at 2844 Mountain Boulevard, Oakland, California

Dear Mr. Musonge:

Enclosed for your review is a copy of SOMA's "First Quarter 2016 Groundwater Monitoring Report" for the subject property. It has been uploaded to the State's GeoTracker database and Alameda County's FTP site.

Thank you for your time in reviewing our report. Please do not hesitate to call me at (925) 734-6400, if you have any questions or comments.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Sepehr".

Mansour Sepehr, Ph.D., PE
Principal Hydrogeologist

cc: Mr. Tejindar Singh w/enclosure
Ms. Dilan Roe – Alameda County Env. Health



**First Quarter 2016
Groundwater Monitoring Report**

**2844 Mountain Boulevard
Oakland, California
Regional Board File Number 01-0098**

March 8, 2016

Project 5081

Prepared for

**Tejindar Singh
6400 Dublin Blvd.
Dublin, California, 94568**




ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING, INC.

6620 Owens Drive Suite A Pleasanton CA 94588 Ph: 925.734.6400 F: 925.734.6401 www.somaenv.com

PERJURY STATEMENT

Site Location: 2844 Mountain Boulevard, Oakland, California


"I declare under penalty of perjury, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document or report is true and correct to the best of my knowledge".



Tejindar Singh
6400 Dublin Boulevard
Dublin, California 94568
Responsible Party

CERTIFICATION

SOMA Environmental Engineering, Inc. has prepared this report on behalf of Tejindar Singh, property owner of 2844 Mountain Blvd., Oakland, California, to comply with requirements of the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board for the First Quarter 2016 groundwater monitoring event.



Mansour Sepehr, PhD, PE
Principal Hydrogeologist



TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATION	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	ii
LIST OF FIGURES	iii
LIST OF TABLES.....	iii
LIST OF APPENDICES	iii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Previous Activities	1
1.2 Summary of Field Activities and Laboratory Analysis	2
1.2.1 Field Activities	2
1.2.2 Laboratory Analysis	3
2. RESULTS	3
2.1 Field Measurements	3
2.2 Laboratory Analysis	3
3. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	5
4. REPORT LIMITATIONS	6

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1 Site Vicinity Map
- Figure 2 Site Map Showing Locations of Former USTs, Soil Borings, and Groundwater Monitoring Wells
- Figure 3 Groundwater Elevation Contour Map in feet, February 15, 2016
- Figure 4 Map Showing TPH-g and Benzene Concentrations in Groundwater, February 15, 2016
- Figure 5 Contour Map Showing TPH-d Concentrations in Groundwater, February 15, 2016
- Figure 6 Contour Map Showing MtBE Concentrations in Groundwater, February 15, 2016
- Figure 7 Contour Map Showing TBA Concentrations in Groundwater, February 15, 2016
- Figure 8 Contour Map Showing TAME Concentrations in Groundwater, February 15, 2016

LIST OF TABLES

- Table 1 Historical Groundwater Analytical Results

LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix A Standard Operating Procedures for Conducting Groundwater Monitoring Activities
- Appendix B Tables of Elevations and Coordinates on Wells, Field Measurements of Physical and Chemical Parameters of the Groundwater Samples and Groundwater Gradient Calculations
- Appendix C Laboratory Report and Chain of Custody Form
- Appendix D Non-Hazardous Waste Manifest

1. INTRODUCTION

SOMA Environmental Engineering, Inc. (SOMA) has prepared this report on behalf of Mr. Tejindar Singh, property owner of 2844 Mountain Blvd., Oakland, California. The site is located east of Highway 13 and west of Joaquin Miller Park (Figure 1). Former underground storage tank (UST) locations and site features are shown in Figure 2.

This report summarizes results of the First Quarter 2016 groundwater monitoring event conducted at the site on February 15, 2016. It includes physical and chemical properties measured in the field for each groundwater sample and laboratory analytical results for groundwater samples.

1.1 Previous Activities

In March 1989 soil contamination was identified during replacement of product lines. Analytical results for a soil sample collected from the southern edge of a premium unleaded tank reported total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs) as gasoline (TPH-g) concentration of 8,400 mg/kg. Samples from beneath the lines near the pump islands reported TPH concentrations of less than 100 mg/kg.

In July 1989, contaminated soil was excavated and from the area of the southern end of the premium unleaded UST disposed of. Analysis of 12 soil samples collected from the sides of the excavation reported TPH concentrations ranging between ND to 3,300 mg/kg.

In May 1990, further site investigation including installation of four monitoring wells (RS-1 through RS-4) was conducted. Analysis of soil samples collected above the water table reported TPH concentrations ranging from 1 to 240 mg/kg. Hydrocarbons were detected in groundwater samples collected from all the wells; the highest concentration was found in a sample monitoring well RS-2.

In June 1991 soil vapor extraction began in June 1991. Groundwater remediation began in October 1992. Remediation was suspended in 1992, apparently due to responsible party financial issues.

In April 1994, one 280-gallon waste oil UST was removed with approximately 280 gallons of fluid and rinsate. The site operated as a retail gasoline station. Three USTs, two pump islands and an office/garage building were among the site features. The USTs contained various grades of unleaded gasoline and diesel with storage capacities of 3,000, 4,000, and 10,000 gallons.

In 1996 free product was reported in RS-1.

In July 1998, one 4,000-gallon gasoline UST was excavated and disposed of off-site.

Between July 29 and August 18, 2011, two USTs, one 10,000 gallon and one 3,000 gallon capacity, were excavated and disposed of off-site. The site is currently fenced in, which limits public access to the property.

Further soil and groundwater investigation was conducted at the site in March 2012. In October 2012, two wells (RS-1 and RS-2) were decommissioned in anticipation of excavation activities onsite. Excavation activities commenced on October 3, 2012, and an area of approximately 1,200 square feet was excavated to a depth of 15 feet. A total of 788.65 tons of waste soil was removed and replaced with clean fill material.

On May 9 and 10, 2013, two groundwater monitoring wells (MW-1 and MW-2) and soil and groundwater borings (DPT-5/5W) were installed as approved and requested by the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board (SFBRWQCB). All site wells were surveyed by a licensed surveyor on May 28, 2013.

Based on the success of a multi-phase extraction (MPE) pilot test conducted at the site in December 2013, SFRWQCB approved an extended MPE event. This event was conducted at the site from September 17, 2014 to November 5, 2014. Details and results of this event are documented in SOMA's report dated December 12, 2014.

Based on SFRWQCB's approval, SOMA installed an additional MPE well (MW-3) on May 1, 2015, in the vicinity of historical groundwater sample T-1, where high contaminant concentrations were observed during UST removal of August 2011. An extended MPE event was conducted utilizing this well and other site wells during May and June 2015.

1.2 Summary of Field Activities and Laboratory Analysis

1.2.1 Field Activities

On February 15, 2016, five monitoring wells (RS-3, RS-4, MW-1, MW-2, and MW-3) were measured for depth to groundwater. Additional field measurements and groundwater samples were collected from RS-3, MW-1, MW-2, and MW-3. Properties measured in the field were pH, temperature, and electrical conductivity (EC). Only a grab sample could be collected from RS-4 because of accessibility issues as this well is fitted with a compression cap that could not be unscrewed. This monitoring event was conducted in accordance with procedures and guidelines of SFBRWQCB.

Figure 2 shows well locations. Appendix A details groundwater monitoring procedures followed during this event.

Purged groundwater was temporarily stored and secured on-site in 55-gallon drums. On February 22, 2016, three drums generated during the current and previous monitoring events, were transported to an appropriate disposal facility. Appendix D includes the waste manifest for groundwater removal.

1.2.2 Laboratory Analysis

Groundwater samples were submitted to a California state-certified laboratory Curtis and Tompkins Laboratories, for the following analysis:

- TPH-g (gasoline by EPA Method 8260), and TPH-d (diesel by EPA Method 8015);
- BTEX (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes), MtBE, gasoline oxygenates (by EPA Method 8260).

2. RESULTS

Results of field measurements and laboratory analyses for the groundwater monitoring event conducted on February 15, 2016 follow below.

2.1 Field Measurements

Monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, RS-3 and RS-4 were measured for depth to groundwater (Table 1). Depths to groundwater ranged from 5.40 feet in MW-3 to 8.43 feet in RS-4. Groundwater elevations ranged from 666.84 feet in RS-4 to 670.20 feet in RS-3.

Figure 3 displays the groundwater elevation map. The groundwater flows southeasterly at a gradient of 0.069 ft/ft. Since the previous monitoring event (November 2015), the groundwater flow direction has remained southeasterly and the gradient has increased. Groundwater gradient calculations are included in Appendix B.

2.2 Laboratory Analysis

Groundwater analytical data for this monitoring event is shown in Table 1. Appendix C includes the laboratory report and chain of custody form. No measurable floating product was observed during this monitoring event.

TPH-g was below laboratory-reporting limit in RS-3, RS-4, and MW-3 and was detected in MW-1 and MW-2 at 970 µg/L and 620 µg/L, respectively. Since the previous monitoring event (November 2015), TPH-g concentrations remained below laboratory-reporting limits in RS-3 and MW-3 and decreased in RS-4 and

MW-1. No comparison could be made for MW-2 due to high dilution and reporting-limits in groundwater samples from this well during the previous monitoring event. Figure 4 shows a map of TPH-g concentrations in groundwater. The TPH-g plume appears to be centered to the southwest of the pump islands in the vicinity of MW-1.

TPH-d was below laboratory-reporting limit in RS-3 and was detected in concentrations ranging from 350 µg/L in RS-4 to 3,700 µg/L in MW-1. Since the previous monitoring event (November 2015), TPH-d has decreased in RS-4 and MW-1 and increased in MW-2 and MW-3. Figure 5 shows a contour map of TPH-d concentrations in groundwater. TPH-d plume appears to be centered southwest of the pump islands in the vicinity of MW-1.

During the analysis of TPH-d, some groundwater samples exhibited chromatographic pattern that did not resemble the standard pattern for diesel. Refer to the laboratory analytical report attached in Appendix C for further clarification of diesel testing and analysis.

The following BTEX concentrations were observed during this monitoring event:

- All BTEX analytes were below laboratory-reporting limits in RS-3, RS-4, and MW-3; toluene was below laboratory-reporting limit in all groundwater samples.
- Benzene was detected in MW-1 and MW-2 at 3.20 µg/L and 32 µg/L and was below laboratory-reporting limits in other groundwater samples. Since the previous monitoring event (November 2015) benzene has decreased in MW-1, increased in MW-2, and remained below laboratory-reporting limit in other groundwater samples. Figure 4 shows a map of benzene concentrations in groundwater. The benzene plume appears to be centered to the south of the pump islands in the vicinity of MW-2.
- Ethylbenzene was detected in MW-1 and MW-2 at 27 µg/L and 8.20 µg/L, respectively and was below laboratory-reporting limits in other groundwater samples. Since the previous monitoring event (November 2015) ethylbenzene has decreased in RS-4 and MW-1. No comparison could be made for MW-2 due to high dilution and reporting-limits in groundwater samples from this well during the previous monitoring event.
- Total xylenes were detected in MW-1 only at 11 µg/L and were below laboratory-reporting limits in all other groundwater samples. Since the previous monitoring event (November 2015), total xylenes have increased in MW-1.

Methyl tertiary-butyl ether (MtBE) concentrations ranged from 5.40 µg/L in RS-3 to 180 µg/L in MW-2. Since the previous monitoring event (November 2015), MtBE has decreased in RS-4, MW-1, and MW-2 and increased in RS-3 and MW-3. Figure 6 shows a contour map of MtBE concentrations in groundwater. The MtBE plume appears to be centered in the vicinity of the pump islands around MW-2.

Tertiary-butyl alcohol (TBA) was below laboratory-reporting limit in RS-3 and MW-3. Detectable TBA concentrations ranged from 270 µg/L in RS-4 to 26,000 µg/L in MW-2. Since the previous monitoring event (November 2015), TBA decreased in RS-4, MW-1, and MW-2. Figure 7 shows a contour map of TBA concentrations in groundwater. The highest TBA concentration was detected in the vicinity of the pump islands around MW-2 and was significantly higher than the concentrations in other wells.

Tertiary amyl methyl ether (TAME) was below laboratory-reporting limit in RS-3 and RS-4. Detectable TAME concentrations ranged from 3.20 µg/L in MW-3 to 15 µg/L in MW-2. Since the previous monitoring event (November 2015), TAME has decreased in MW-1 and MW-2 and increased in MW-3. Figure 8 shows a contour map of TAME concentrations in groundwater. The highest TAME concentrations were detected in the vicinity of the pump islands around MW-2.

Historical site data indicates that elevated levels of MtBE and TBA was detected in soil and groundwater samples collected at depths ranging up to 48 feet bgs (Deeper Water Bearing Zone). The results of the historical site investigation have shown elevated levels of MtBE in off-site area in DPT-3 (9,800 µg/L-deeper WBZ) and DPT-4 (2,600 µg/L-shallow WBZ) in shallow and especially in the deeper water bearing zone. As mentioned in the previous paragraphs of this report, current groundwater monitoring event also showed elevated levels of TBA in the shallow perched zone (Table 2). A workplan was submitted to the SFRWQCB in December 2015 to conduct additional site investigation in order to verify the current extent of MtBE and TBA in the subsurface.

3. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusions and recommendations based on results of First Quarter 2016 groundwater monitoring are summarized below.

- The groundwater flows southeasterly across the site.
- No free/floating product was observed in any monitoring wells during this monitoring event.
- The highest TPH-g TPH-d concentrations were detected to the southwest of the pump islands around MW-1. The highest benzene, MtBE, TBA, and TAME concentrations were detected in the vicinity of pump islands around MW-2. TBA concentrations in MW-2 were significantly higher than detectable TBA concentrations in other wells.
- Since the previous monitoring event in November 2015, TPH-g remained below laboratory-reporting limits in RS-3 and MW-3 and decreased in RS-4 and MW-1; TPH-d decreased in RS-4 and MW-1 and increased in MW-2 and MW-3; benzene decreased in MW-1, increased in MW-2; MtBE

decreased in RS-4, MW-1, and MW-2 and increased in RS-3 and MW-3; TBA decreased in RS-4, MW-1, and MW-2; and TAME decreased in MW-1 and MW-2 and increased in MW-3.

- SOMA will continue conducting quarterly groundwater monitoring events at the site.

Based on SFRWQCB's approval of the additional investigation workplan, SOMA is currently working on obtaining required permits from the City of Oakland and the Alameda County in order to implement the workplan. Upon completion of field work, SOMA will prepare a report of detailing field activities, results, and recommendations.

4. REPORT LIMITATIONS

This report is the summary of work done by SOMA, including observations and descriptions of site conditions. It includes analytical results produced by Curtis and Tompkins, Laboratories for the current groundwater monitoring event. Quantities and locations of wells were selected to provide the required information, but may not be completely representative of entire site conditions. All conclusions and recommendations are based on results of laboratory analysis. Conclusions beyond those specifically stated in this document should not be inferred from this report.


SOMA warrants that services were provided in accordance with generally accepted environmental engineering and consulting practices at the time of this sampling.

Figures



approximate scale in feet
0 100 200

Figure 1: Site Vicinity Map



approximate scale in feet



Figure 2: Site Map Showing Locations of Former USTs, Soil Borings, and Groundwater Monitoring Wells





Figure 3: Groundwater Elevation Contour Map in feet, February 15, 2016





- 0.0 TPH-g Concentrations (ug/L)
- 0.0 Benzene Concentrations (ug/L)
- ▼ Groundwater monitoring wells
- X Decommissioned Monitoring Wells
- ◊ Confirmation soil samples
- Confirmation groundwater samples
- (●) CPT/MIP/DPT borings (2012)
- Shallow Soil Borings (2012)
- ⊕ DPT Boring (2013)


approximate scale in feet



Figure 4: Map Showing TPH-g and Benzene Concentrations in Groundwater, February 15, 2016



ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING, INC.






Figure 5: Contour Map Showing TPH-d Concentrations in Groundwater, February 15, 2016




Figure 6: Contour Map Showing MtBE Concentrations in Groundwater, February 15, 2016




Figure 7: Contour Map Showing TBA Concentrations in Groundwater, February 15, 2016





Figure 8: Contour Map Showing TAME Concentrations in Groundwater, February 15, 2016



TAME ug/L



Tables

Table 1
Historical Groundwater Analytical Results
2844 Mountain Boulevard, Oakland, CA

Monitoring Well	Date	Casing Elevation (Ft.)	Depth to Top Fluid (Ft.)	Depth to Groundwater (Ft.)	Free-Product Thickness	Groundwater Elevation	TPH-g µg/L	TPH-d µg/L	TPH-mo µg/L	Benzene µg/L	Toluene µg/L	Ethylbenzene µg/L	Xylenes µg/L	MtBE µg/L	TBA µg/L	TAME µg/L
RS-1	5/1/90	675.63	7.20	7.20	0.00	668.43	2,700	-	-	370	420	40	320	-	-	-
	5/1/91	675.63	8.35	8.35	0.00	667.28	1,300	-	-	580	130	62	240	-	-	-
	10/1/91	675.63	10.22	10.22	0.00	665.41	1,100	-	-	140	100	45	210	-	-	-
	1/1/92	675.63	8.06	8.06	0.00	667.57	1,700	-	-	9.9	31	9.7	170	-	-	-
	1/1/93	675.63	5.30	5.30	0.00	670.33	3,700	-	-	650	9.2	51	170	-	-	-
	8/1/93	675.63	8.56	8.56	0.00	667.07	900	-	-	14	0.6	2.1	8	-	-	-
	11/1/93	675.63	8.44	8.44	0.00	667.19	1,400	-	-	9.6	ND	0.9	5	-	-	-
	1/1/94	675.63	6.88	6.88	0.00	668.75	4,200	-	-	95	3.1	58	130	-	-	-
	5/1/94	675.63	7.87	7.87	0.00	667.76	7,500	-	-	270	11	37	96	-	-	-
	8/1/94	675.63	16.28	16.28	0.00	659.35	130	-	-	12	0.5	2.6	5	-	-	-
	11/1/94	675.63	8.02	8.02	0.00	667.61	270	-	-	4.7	0.7	0.6	15	-	-	-
	2/1/95	675.63	6.51	6.51	0.00	669.12	12,000	-	-	81	2.3	1	12	-	-	-
	6/1/95	675.63	7.34	7.34	0.00	668.29	37,000	-	-	460	ND	ND	ND	63,000	-	-
	11/1/95	675.63	8.71	8.71	0.00	666.92	ND	-	-	660	16	140	330	31,000	-	-
	2/1/96	675.63	6.95	6.95	0.00	668.68	66,000	-	-	110	ND	12	21	84,000	-	-
	9/18/96	675.63	8.44	8.52	0.08	667.17	1 INCH FLOATING PRODUCT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	12/11/96	675.63	6.42	6.62	0.20	669.17	79,000	-	-	4,000	37,000	8,000	45,000	220,000	-	-
	2/21/97	675.63	6.88	6.92	0.04	668.74	1/2 INCH FLOATING PRODUCT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	5/28/97	675.63	7.88	7.96	0.08	667.73	156,000	-	-	9,400	51,000	7,000	45,000	112,000	-	-
	9/2/97	675.63	8.34	8.38	0.04	667.28	1/2 INCH FLOATING PRODUCT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	11/24/97	675.63	6.98	7.00	0.02	668.65	1/4 INCH FLOATING PRODUCT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2/25/98	675.63	3.51	3.52	0.01	672.12	1/8 INCH FLOATING PRODUCT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	5/27/98	675.63	7.31	7.31	0.00	668.32	40,000	-	-	2,200	4,000	2,300	19,000	350,000	-	-
	9/16/98	675.63	8.10	8.10	0.00	667.53	62,000	-	-	2,400	2,300	2,100	14,000	250,000	-	-
	11/23/98	675.63	7.10	7.10	0.00	668.53	99,000	-	-	2,600	5,800	2,500	18,000	130,000	-	-
	2/23/99	675.67	4.82	4.87	0.05	670.84	5/8 INCH FLOATING PRODUCT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	5/5/99	675.67	6.86	6.90	0.04	668.80	FLOATING PRODUCT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	8/24/99	675.67	7.87	7.90	0.03	667.80	FLOATING PRODUCT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2/8/12	675.67	6.80	6.80	0.00	668.87	60,000 x	8,200 x	<936	790	<6.4	2,000	430	65,000	41,000	5,100
	5/4/12	675.67	6.57	6.57	0.00	669.10	18,000	10,000	NA	600	<36	2,000	870	22,000	11,000	1,800
	8/6/12	675.67	7.61	7.61	0.00	668.06	16,000	12,000	NA	940	<130	2,000	560	42,000	35,000	3,400
Well Destroyed October 1, 2012																
RS-2	5/1/90	689.00	7.06	7.06	0.00	681.94	23,000	-	-	7,200	4,800	300	3,300	-	-	-
	5/1/91	689.00	7.14	7.14	0.00	681.86	26,000	-	-	14,000	1,800	750	2,900	-	-	-
	10/1/91	688.89	8.84	8.84	0.00	680.05	13,000	-	-	4,300	910	300	2,300	-	-	-
	1/1/92	688.89	7.34	7.34	0.00	681.55	8,300	-	-	1,800	920	140	1,700	-	-	-
	1/1/93	688.89	4.10	4.10	0.00	684.79	41,000	-	-	7,000	210	1,200	4,200	-	-	-
	8/1/93	688.89	7.32	7.32	0.00	681.57	19,000	-	-	5,300	62	810	1,600	-	-	-
	11/1/93	688.89	7.34	7.34	0.00	681.55	9,300	-	-	2,400	3.90	46	800	-	-	-
	1/1/94	688.89	5.52	5.52	0.00	683.37	30,000	-	-	4,900	ND	880	2,600	-	-	-
	5/1/94	675.25	6.40	6.40	0.00	668.85	120,000	-	-	3,300	330	ND	2,200	-	-	-
	8/1/94	675.25	9.82	9.82	0.00	675.25	510	-	-	7.30	3.80	3.50	32	-	-	-
	11/1/94	675.25	9.82	9.82	0.00	665.43	620	-	-	6.60	3.90	1.10	47	-	-	-

Table 1
Historical Groundwater Analytical Results
2844 Mountain Boulevard, Oakland, CA

Monitoring Well	Date	Casing Elevation (Ft.)	Depth to Top Fluid (Ft.)	Depth to Groundwater (Ft.)	Free-Product Thickness	Groundwater Elevation	TPH-g µg/L	TPH-d µg/L	TPH-mo µg/L	Benzene µg/L	Toluene µg/L	Ethylbenzene µg/L	Xylenes µg/L	MtBE µg/L	TBA µg/L	TAME µg/L	
RS-2 cont.	2/1/95	675.25	4.81	4.81	0.00	670.44	22,000	-	-	228	80	2	463	-	-	-	
	6/1/95	675.25	5.80	5.80	0.00	669.45	49,000	-	-	1,300	160	200	1,600	71,000	-	-	
	11/1/95	675.25	7.64	7.64	0.00	667.61	ND	-	-	670	25	150	360	65,000	-	-	
	2/1/96	675.25	4.69	4.69	0.00	670.56	75,000	-	-	1,400	170	59	460	71,000	-	-	
	9/18/96	675.25	7.34	7.34	0.00	667.91	6,300	-	-	2,000	48	350	570	160,000	-	-	
	12/11/96	675.25	5.08	5.08	0.00	670.17	16,000	-	-	2,000	840	200	3,200	180,000	-	-	
	2/21/97	675.25	5.42	5.42	0.00	669.83	22,000	-	-	2,100	1,300	600	5,100	56,000	-	-	
	5/28/97	675.25	6.40	6.40	0.00	668.85	156,000	-	-	4,200	89	1,000	6,900	390,000	-	-	
	9/2/97	675.25	6.93	6.93	0.00	668.32	<50	-	-	1,300	25	360	1,400	180,000	-	-	
	11/24/97	675.25	5.93	5.93	0.00	669.32	<50	-	-	600	ND	ND	ND	610,000	-	-	
	2/25/98	675.25	4.59	4.59	0.00	670.66	11,000	-	-	1,100	<50	320	2,400	330,000	-	-	
	5/27/98	675.25	5.61	5.61	0.00	669.64	13,000	-	-	2,000	150	600	2,700	380,000	-	-	
	9/16/98	675.25	6.84	6.84	0.00	668.41	11,000	-	-	1,600	20	1,600	1,600	280,000	-	-	
	11/23/98	675.25	6.24	6.24	0.00	669.01	12,000	-	-	1,200	84	<5	960	140,000	-	-	
	2/23/99	675.28	4.62	4.62	0.00	670.66	8,800	-	-	1,500	650	640	1,500	450,000	-	-	
	5/5/99	675.28	7.55	7.55	0.00	667.73	29,000	-	-	2,000	1,300	500	3,700	270,000	-	-	
	8/24/99	675.28	6.62	6.62	0.00	668.66	12,000	-	-	1,900	20	370	980	340,000	-	-	
	2/8/12	675.28	5.52	5.52	0.00	669.76	18,000 x	6,800 x	<378	540	<6.4	120	710	2,800	64,000	420	
	5/4/12	675.28	5.18	5.18	0.00	670.10	16,000	13,000	NA	690	23	460	1,140	6,800	21,000	960	
	8/6/12	675.28	6.33	6.33	0.00	668.95	11,000	10,000	NA	810	<25	210	473	3,300	18,000	580	
Well Destroyed October 1, 2012																	
RS-3	5/1/90	670.00	6.00	6.00	0.00	664.00	330	-	-	2	1	1	150	-	-	-	
	5/1/91	670.00	6.76	6.76	0.00	663.24	ND	-	-	0.40	ND	0.80	8	-	-	-	
	10/1/91	670.00	8.98	8.98	0.00	661.02	ND	-	-	ND	ND	ND	ND	-	-	-	
	1/1/92	670.00	6.81	6.81	0.00	663.19	ND	-	-	2.20	7.20	0.60	4	-	-	-	
	1/1/93	670.00	4.05	4.05	0.00	665.95	ND	-	-	ND	ND	ND	ND	-	-	-	
	8/1/93	670.00	7.19	7.19	0.00	662.81	ND	-	-	30	6	2.40	5	-	-	-	
	11/1/93	670.00	7.12	7.12	0.00	662.88	ND	-	-	4.80	0.40	0.60	2	-	-	-	
	1/1/94	670.00	5.42	5.42	0.00	664.58	330	-	-	25	3.20	3.90	12	-	-	-	
	5/1/94	676.20	5.78	5.78	0.00	670.42	670	-	-	34	4	28	70	-	-	-	
	8/1/94	676.20	5.86	5.86	0.00	670.34	ND	-	-	ND	ND	ND	ND	-	-	-	
	11/1/94	676.20	5.08	5.08	0.00	671.12	69	-	-	2.50	3.10	1	4	-	-	-	
	2/1/95	676.20	4.51	4.51	0.00	671.69	ND	-	-	0.30	0.40	ND	1	-	-	-	
	6/1/95	676.20	5.29	5.29	0.00	670.91	ND	-	-	ND	ND	ND	ND	66	-	-	
	11/1/95	676.20	7.10	7.10	0.00	669.10	ND	-	-	ND	ND	ND	ND	44	-	-	
	2/1/96	676.20	4.48	4.48	0.00	671.72	120	-	-	ND	ND	ND	ND	110	-	-	
	9/18/96	676.20	6.92	6.92	0.00	669.28	1,000	-	-	13	8.60	10	17	33	-	-	
	12/11/96	676.20	4.90	4.90	0.00	671.30	85	-	-	20	2	<0.5	14	4,700	-	-	
	2/21/97	676.20	4.94	4.94	0.00	671.26	120	-	-	5	2	2	6	850	-	-	
	5/28/97	676.20	7.92	7.92	0.00	668.28	<50	-	-	6	<0.5	<0.5	<2	2,400	-	-	
	9/2/97	676.20	6.60	6.60	0.00	669.60	<50	-	-	0.90	<0.5	<0.5	<2	8,600	-	-	
	11/24/97	676.20	5.89	5.89	0.00	670.31	140	-	-	13	2	1	12	3,600	-	-	
	2/25/98	676.20	4.29	4.29	0.00	671.91	<50	-	-	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	4	850	-	-	
	5/27/98	676.20	5.01	5.01	0.00	671.19	<50	-	-	7	<0.5	<0.5	11	940	-	-	

Table 1
Historical Groundwater Analytical Results
2844 Mountain Boulevard, Oakland, CA

Monitoring Well	Date	Casing Elevation (Ft.)	Depth to Top Fluid (Ft.)	Depth to Groundwater (Ft.)	Free-Product Thickness	Groundwater Elevation	TPH-g µg/L	TPH-d µg/L	TPH-mo µg/L	Benzene µg/L	Toluene µg/L	Ethylbenzene µg/L	Xylenes µg/L	MtBE µg/L	TBA µg/L	TAME µg/L
RS-3 cont.	9/16/98	676.20	6.21	6.21	0.00	669.99	<50	-	-	2	2	10	670	-	-	-
	11/24/98	676.20	5.58	5.58	0.00	670.62	85	-	-	9	23	<0.5	19	180	-	-
	2/24/99	676.23	4.30	4.30	0.00	671.93	<50	-	-	<0.5	0.90	<0.5	<1.0	150	-	-
	5/5/99	676.23	4.92	4.92	0.00	671.31	<50	-	-	1	2	1	6	130	-	-
	8/24/99	676.23	6.64	6.64	0.00	669.59	80	-	-	0.80	<0.5	0.60	<1	300	-	-
	2/8/12	676.23	5.72	5.72	0.00	670.51	130 x	<42	<94	<0.13	0.59	2.90	18.1	7.9	<1.5	<0.17
	5/4/12	676.23	5.25	5.25	0.00	670.98	<50	330 Y	NA	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	10	18	2.4
	8/6/12	676.23	6.65	6.65	0.00	669.58	<50	390 Y	NA	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	13	<10	3.2
	3/29/13	676.23	6.01	6.01	0.00	670.22	<50	90 Y	NA	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	3.6	<10	<0.5
	6/6/13	676.08	6.45	6.45	0.00	669.63	<50	66 Y	NA	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.5	<10	<0.5
	9/4/13	676.08	6.91	6.91	0.00	669.17	<50	170 Y	NA	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<10	<0.5
	12/30/13	676.08	7.21	7.21	0.00	668.87	<50	61 Y	NA	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	21	680	0.64
	3/10/14	676.08	5.68	5.68	0.00	670.40	<50	<50	NA	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	14	320	0.61
	6/3/14	676.08	6.72	6.72	0.00	669.36	<50	<50	NA	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	41	490	1.70
	8/27/14	676.08	7.10	7.10	0.00	668.98	<50	120 Y	NA	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	27	<10	1.20
	11/13/14	676.08	6.53	6.53	0.00	669.55	<50*	58 Y	NA	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	19	<10	0.60
post-MPE	2/12/15	676.08	5.95	5.95	0.00	670.13	<50	56 Y	NA	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	19	<10	<0.5
	5/13/15	676.08	6.93	6.93	0.00	669.15	<50	<50	NA	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	4.6	<10	<0.5
	6/22/15	676.08	8.87	8.87	0.00	667.21	<50	<50	NA	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<10	<0.5
	8/12/15	676.08	7.79	7.79	0.00	668.29	<50	<52	NA	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.57	<10	<0.5
	11/12/15	676.08	7.85	7.85	0.00	668.23	<50	<49	NA	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.10	<10	<0.5
	2/15/16	676.08	5.88	5.88	0.00	670.20	<50	<49	NA	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	5.40	<10	<0.5
RS-4	5/1/90	675.38	8.34	8.34	0.00	667.04	440	-	-	9	11	9	49	-	-	-
	5/1/91	675.38	9.50	9.50	0.00	665.88	ND	-	-	8	4	3	5	-	-	-
	10/1/91	675.38	10.82	10.82	0.00	664.56	830	-	-	280	120	24	170	-	-	-
	1/1/92	675.38	9.31	9.31	0.00	666.07	620	-	-	34	8.30	2.10	21	-	-	-
	1/1/93	675.38	6.89	6.89	0.00	668.49	150	-	-	32	1.70	5.80	13	-	-	-
	8/1/93	675.38	9.68	9.68	0.00	665.70	ND	-	-	0.90	0.70	ND	0	-	-	-
	11/1/93	675.38	9.83	9.83	0.00	665.55	ND	-	-	ND	ND	ND	ND	-	-	-
	1/1/94	675.38	8.17	8.17	0.00	667.21	ND	-	-	1.70	ND	0.81	2	-	-	-
	5/1/94	675.38	8.69	8.69	0.00	666.69	ND	-	-	ND	ND	ND	1	-	-	-
	8/1/94	675.38	9.04	9.04	0.00	666.34	420	-	-	6.50	4.10	1.90	40	-	-	-
	11/1/94	675.38	8.00	8.00	0.00	667.38	130	-	-	4.10	0.70	1.70	8	-	-	-
	2/1/95	675.38	7.93	7.93	0.00	667.45	ND	-	-	6	1.20	3.50	13	-	-	-
	6/1/95	675.38	8.61	8.61	0.00	666.77	ND	-	-	ND	ND	ND	ND	69	-	-
	11/1/95	675.38	10.43	10.43	0.00	664.95	ND	-	-	ND	ND	ND	ND	47	-	-
	2/1/96	675.38	7.44	7.44	0.00	667.94	960	-	-	ND	ND	0.60	ND	80	-	-
	9/18/96	675.38	9.58	9.58	0.00	665.80	<50	-	-	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2	200	-	-
	12/11/96	675.38	7.50	7.50	0.00	667.88	75	-	-	<0.5	0.60	<0.5	<0.5	104	-	-
	2/21/97	675.38	8.26	8.26	0.00	667.12	<50	-	-	1	1	<0.5	1	190	-	-
	5/28/97	675.38	8.92	8.92	0.00	666.46	<50	-	-	6	<0.5	<0.5	<2	110	-	-
	9/2/97	675.38	9.39	9.39	0.00	665.99	100	-	-	3	<0.5	<0.5	<2	39	-	-
	11/24/97	675.38	8.22	8.22	0.00	667.16	41	-	-	<0.5	2	<0.5	<2	210	-	-

Table 1
Historical Groundwater Analytical Results
2844 Mountain Boulevard, Oakland, CA

Monitoring Well	Date	Casing Elevation (Ft.)	Depth to Top Fluid (Ft.)	Depth to Groundwater (Ft.)	Free-Product Thickness	Groundwater Elevation	TPH-g µg/L	TPH-d µg/L	TPH-mo µg/L	Benzene µg/L	Toluene µg/L	Ethylbenzene µg/L	Xylenes µg/L	MtBE µg/L	TBA µg/L	TAME µg/L
RS-4 cont.	2/25/98	675.38	7.19	7.19	0.00	668.19	<50	-	-	3	<0.5	<0.5	<1	5,600	-	-
	5/27/98	675.38	8.40	8.40	0.00	666.98	<50	-	-	<0.5	<0.5	<1	2,400	-	-	
	9/16/98	675.38	9.26	9.26	0.00	666.12	<50	-	-	<0.5	<0.5	<1	230	-	-	
	11/24/98	675.38	8.50	8.50	0.00	666.88	<50	-	-	2	<0.5	<0.5	100	-	-	
	2/24/99	675.42	7.20	7.20	0.00	668.22	<50	-	-	2	3	0.80	5	670	-	-
	5/5/99	675.42	8.37	8.37	0.00	667.05	100	-	-	<0.5	<0.5	<1	440	-	-	
	8/24/99	675.42	8.36	8.36	0.00	667.06	<50	-	-	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<500	-	-	
	2/8/12	675.42	8.11	8.11	0.00	667.31	140,000	130,000 x	<9,360	120	2,600	4,700	28,200	28,000	100,000	1,800
	5/4/12	675.42	8.31	8.31	0.00	667.11	67,000	12,000 Y	NA	61	900	2,100	9,700	32,000	69,000	1,700
	8/6/12	675.42	9.01	9.01	0.00	666.41	49,000	8,900	NA	<130	350	1,700	8,100	19,000	90,000	1,300
	3/29/13	675.42	8.49	8.49	0.00	666.93	14,000	14,000	NA	<100	<100	440	1,340	14,000	110,000	590
	6/6/13	675.27	8.48	8.48	0.00	666.79	12,000	7,200	NA	11	<3.6	420	886	16,000	66,000	970
	9/4/13	675.27	9.39	9.39	0.00	665.88	20,000	5,100	NA	<100	<100	660	2,830	18,000	75,000	1,200
	12/30/13	675.27	9.57	9.57	0.00	665.70	<13,000	9,900	NA	<130	<130	150	16,000	37,000	1,100	
	3/10/14	675.27	7.65	7.65	0.00	667.62	<10,000	3,700	NA	<100	<100	<100	11,000	38,000	640	
	6/3/14	675.27	9.27	9.27	0.00	666.00	<3,600	4,400	NA	<36	<36	40	<36	3,700	27,000	260
	8/27/14	675.27	9.43	9.43	0.00	665.84	2,500	4,700	NA	<20	<20	40	<20	2,100	28,000	150
	11/13/14	675.27	9.56	9.56	0.00	665.71	2,200*	3,500	NA	<20	<20	<20	36	11,000	15,000	910
	2/12/15	675.27	8.03	8.03	0.00	667.24	<1,300	1,900	NA	<13	<13	<13	<13	500	14,000	25
	5/13/15	675.27	9.05	9.05	0.00	666.22	<1,300	1,100	NA	<13	<13	<13	<13	460	25,000	21
	6/22/15	675.27	10.62	10.62	0.00	664.65	<1,300	770	NA	<13	<13	<13	<13	5,900	7,900	500
	8/12/15	675.27	9.93	9.93	0.00	665.34	320	1,300	NA	<1.3	<1.3	1.3	1.7	230	6,400	18
	11/12/15	675.27	9.58	9.58	0.00	665.69	170	440	NA	<0.5	<0.5	1.4	0.55	12	1,400	0.66
	2/15/16	675.27	8.43	8.43	0.00	666.84	<100	350 Y	NA	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	8.80	270	<1.0
MW-1	6/6/13	674.92	6.03	6.03	0.00	668.89	<17,000	13,000	NA	930	370	470	1,760	55,000	32,000	7,200
	9/4/13	674.92	7.10	7.10	0.00	667.82	<50,000	13,000	NA	2,000	<500	1,400	4,200	70,000	48,000	7,700
	12/30/13	674.92	7.27	7.27	0.00	667.65	34,000	13,000	NA	920	1,000	1,300	4,900	43,000	4,500	
	3/10/14	674.92	5.51	5.51	0.00	669.41	<20,000	11,000	NA	720	<200	890	1,970	25,000	30,000	2,600
	6/3/14	674.92	6.74	6.74	0.00	668.18	8,900	7,400	NA	350	<83	550	1,420	11,000	28,000	1,300
	8/27/14	674.92	7.23	7.23	0.00	667.69	8,100	12,000	NA	640	<63	610	720	8,400	23,000	1,500
	11/13/14	674.92	7.36	7.36	0.00	667.56	7,400*	7,900	NA	270	<63	360	880	6,100	12,000	910
	2/12/15	674.92	5.80	5.80	0.00	669.12	4,300	11,000	NA	200	<25	200	350	3,400	18,000	500
	5/13/15	674.92	7.00	7.00	0.00	667.92	2,700	7,100	NA	150	<8.3	170	76	1,000	12,000	150
	6/22/15	674.92	12.11	12.11	0.00	662.81	<1,300	2,600	NA	<13	<13	<13	<13	4,800	17,000	450
	8/12/15	674.92	8.25	8.25	0.00	666.67	2,000	8,100	NA	31	<8.3	27	46	530	10,000	57
	11/12/15	674.92	7.79	7.79	0.00	667.13	2,500	5,100	NA	16	<5.0	34	6.9	120	6,200	13
	2/15/16	674.92	5.94	5.94	0.00	668.98	970	3,700	NA	3.20	<2.5	27	11	75	4,100	7.40
MW-2	6/6/13	675.02	6.70	6.70	0.00	668.32	16,000	5,400	NA	910	<130	610	2,290	59,000	64,000	7,700
	9/4/13	675.02	7.79	7.79	0.00	667.23	<25,000	3,900	NA	860	<250	710	1,580	32,000	31,000	4,600
	12/30/13	675.02	8.05	8.05	0.00	666.97	<13,000	6,300	NA	180	<130	330	18,000	53,000	1,800	

Table 1
Historical Groundwater Analytical Results
2844 Mountain Boulevard, Oakland, CA

Monitoring Well	Date	Casing Elevation (Ft.)	Depth to Top Fluid (Ft.)	Depth to Groundwater (Ft.)	Free-Product Thickness	Groundwater Elevation	TPH-g µg/L	TPH-d µg/L	TPH-mo µg/L	Benzene µg/L	Toluene µg/L	Ethylbenzene µg/L	Xylenes µg/L	MtBE µg/L	TBA µg/L	TAME µg/L
MW-2 cont.	3/10/14	675.02	6.08	6.08	0.00	668.94	14,000	11,000	NA	210	<130	360	700	15,000	40,000	1,800
	6/3/14	675.02	7.54	7.54	0.00	667.48	<7,100	6,200	NA	170	<71	310	150	8,000	29,000	920
	8/27/14	675.02	7.90	7.90	0.00	667.12	3,400	5,000	NA	100	<8.3	120	88	2,300	25,000	310
	11/13/14	675.02	8.12	8.12	0.00	666.90	1,000*	4,700	NA	120	<8.3	11	<8.3	4,000	22,000	460
	2/12/15	675.02	6.33	6.33	0.00	668.69	<4,200	5,400	NA	98	<42	58	<42	6,300	42,000	610
	5/13/15	675.02	7.72	7.72	0.00	667.30	<2,000	4,900	NA	86	<20	45	<20	870	34,000	96
	6/22/15	675.02	11.30	11.30	0.00	663.72	<2,000	3,300	NA	<20	<20	<20	<20	3,400	18,000	460
	8/12/15	675.02	8.86	8.86	0.00	666.16	<2,000	2,800 Y	NA	<20	<20	<20	<20	470	23,000	31
	11/12/15	675.02	8.30	8.30	0.00	666.72	<2,000	1,800	NA	<20	<20	<20	<20	340	37,000	25
	2/15/16	675.02	6.67	6.67	0.00	668.35	620	1,900	NA	32	<2.0	8.20	<2.0	180	26,000	15
MW-3 Post-MPE	5/13/15	675.58	6.60	6.60	0.00	668.98	<50	7,000	NA	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.75	160	380	8.4
	6/22/15	675.58	14.31	14.31	0.00	661.27	<100	650 Y	NA	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	190	17	6.3
	8/12/15	675.58	7.80	7.80	0.00	667.78	<170	410 Y	NA	<1.7	<1.7	<1.7	<1.7	590	41	20
	11/12/15	675.58	7.78	7.78	0.00	667.80	<50	220 Y	NA	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	67	<10	1.70
	2/15/16	675.58	5.40	5.40	0.00	670.18	<50	370 Y	NA	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	140	<10	3.20
ESLs (µg/L)	Ground-water Vapor Intrusion						100	100	100	1.00	40	30	20	5.00	12	NL
							NV	NV	NV	27	95,000	310	37,000	9,900	NV	NL

Note:

< : Below Laboratory Reporting Limit (Method Detection Limit)

x : Does not match pattern of reference Gasoline standard/ Not typical of diesel standard pattern (possibly fuel lighter than diesel)

* : Laboratory instruments for EPA8260 were down. Therefore, TPH-g was analyzed by EPA8015B instead of EPA8260 for samples collected on 11/13/2014

ESL: Environmental Screening Level by California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Region

December 2013 (Table-F1a, groundwater is a current or potential drinking water source)

NL: Not Listed

NV: No Value

Appendix A

Standard Operating Procedures for Conducting Groundwater Monitoring Activities

Standard Operating Procedures for Conducting Groundwater Monitoring Activities

Water Level Measurements

Prior to measurement of groundwater depth at each monitoring well, equalization with the surrounding aquifer must be achieved. Initially, the well cap is removed and the pressure is allowed to dissipate, creating a more stable water table level within the well. After about 10-15 minutes, once the water level in the well stabilizes, the depth to groundwater in each monitoring well is measured from the top of the casing to the nearest 0.01 foot using an electric sounder.

Purging and Field Measurements

Prior to sample collection, each monitoring well is purged using a battery-operated, 2-inch-diameter pump (Model ES-60 DC). To ensure that final samples are in equilibrium with, and representative of, the surrounding groundwater, during purging several samples are taken for field measurements of pH, temperature and electrical conductivity (EC). These parameters are measured with a Hanna pH, conductivity, and temperature meter. Equipment is calibrated on-site using standard solutions and procedures provided by the manufacturer.

The pH of groundwater has an effect on the activity of microbial populations in the groundwater. The groundwater temperature affects the metabolic activity of bacteria. The groundwater EC is directly related to the concentration of total dissolved solids (TDS) in solution.

Purging continues until these parameters stabilize or three casing volumes are purged.

Sampling

For sampling purposes, after purging a disposable polyethylene bailer is used to collect sufficient samples from each monitoring well for laboratory analyses. Groundwater samples are transferred to 40-mL VOA vials and preserved with hydrochloric acid. The vials are sealed to prevent air bubbles from forming within the headspace. For TPH-d and TPH-mo analysis, groundwater samples are collected using 1-L, amber, nonpreserved glass containers. Samples are placed in an ice-filled cooler and maintained at 4°C. A chain of custody form for all samples is prepared to accompany the samples, which are promptly delivered to a California state-certified analytical laboratory.

Appendix B

Tables of Elevations and Coordinates on Wells,
Field Measurements of Physical and Chemical
Parameters of the Groundwater Samples
and Groundwater Gradient Calculations

DATE: 5/28/2013
JOB# 13004

**TABLE OF ELEVATIONS & COORDINATES
ON MONITORING WELLS**

SOMA ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING
2844 MOUNTAIN BLVD
OAKLAND, CA 94602

HORIZONTAL CONTROL: CALIFORNIA COORDINATE SYSTEM ZONE 3, NAD83.

ELLIPSOID: WGS 1984

EPOCH: NAD 83 (2011) 2010.0000

GEOID MODEL: GEOID12A

VERTICAL CONTROL: BENCH MARK: CITY OF OAKLAND BM 2806

CINCH NAIL IN SOUTHWESTERLY CURB OF MOUNTAIN BLVD, 150' SOUTHEASTERLY FROM THE CENTERLINE OF KEARNEY AVE EXTENDED. NORTHING 2,122,547.687', EASTING 6,070,956.301' ELEVATION= 674.892' NAVD 88 DATUM



EQUIPMENT USED: TRIMBLE GPS-R8 & TS S6, TOPCON AT-G2 LEVEL

**EDGIS LAND SURVEYING
LAND SURVEYING AND MAPPING**
1374 Garland Avenue, Clovis, CA 93612
Phone (559) 803-2679
email: edgis@aol.com

DATE: 5/16/2015
JOB# 15006

TABLE OF ELEVATIONS & COORDINATES ON MONITORING WELLS

SOMA ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING
2844 MOUNTAIN BLVD
OAKLAND, CA 94602

HORIZONTAL CONTROL:

SURVEY BASED ON PREVIOUS SURVEY BY EDGIS LAND SURVEYING DATED: 5/28/2013
COORDINATE VALUES ARE BASED ON THE CALIFORNIA COORDINATE SYSTEM, ZONE 3, NAD83.

VERTICAL CONTROL: BENCH MARK: CITY OF OAKLAND BM 2806

CINCH NAIL IN SOUTHWESTERLY CURB OF MOUNTAIN BLVD, 150' SOUTHEASTERLY FROM THE CENTERLINE OF KEARNEY AVE EXTENDED. NORTHING 2,122,547.687', EASTING 6,070,956.301' ELEVATION= 674.892' NAVD 88 DATUM



EQUIPMENT USED: TRIMBLE TS S6, TOPCON AT-G2 LEVEL

**EDGIS LAND SURVEYING
LAND SURVEYING AND MAPPING**
2519 Shaw Ave., Ste. 111
Fresno, CA 93711
Phone (559) 803-2679
Email: edgis@aol.com



ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING, INC

Well No.: RS-3
Casing Diameter: 3 inches
Depth of Well: 24.99 feet
Top of Casing Elevation: 676.08 feet
Depth to Groundwater: 5.88 feet
Groundwater Elevation: 670.20 feet
Water Column Height: 19.11 feet
Purged Volume: 12 gallons

Project No.: 5081
Address: 2844 Mountain Blvd.
Oakland, CA
Date: February 15, 2016
Sampler: Lizzie Hightower

Purging Method: Bailer Pump

Sampling Method: Bailer Pump

Color: Yes No Describe: _____

Sheen: Yes No Describe: _____

Odor: Yes No Describe: _____

Field Measurements:

Time	Vol (gallons)	pH	Temp (°C)	E.C. (µs/cm)
12:04	Started purging well			
12:05	3	7.09	20.4	663
12:06	6	7.04	19.2	651
12:07	9	7.01	18.7	652
12:08	12	7.00	18.6	647
12:13	Sampled			

Notes:



ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING, INC

Well No.: RS-4
Casing Diameter: 4 inches
Depth of Well: 25.54 feet
Top of Casing Elevation: 675.27 feet
Depth to Groundwater: 8.43 feet
Groundwater Elevation: 666.84 feet
Water Column Height: 17.11 feet
Purged Volume: — gallons

Not purged

Purging Method: Bailer Pump

Sampling Method: Bailer Pump

Color: Yes No Describe: _____

Sheen: Yes No Describe: _____

Odor: Yes No Describe: Petro odor

Field Measurements:

Time	Vol (gallons)	pH	Temp (°C)	E.C. (µs/cm)
13.53	Grab Sample			

Notes:



ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING, INC

Well No.: MW-1
Casing Diameter: 4 inches
Depth of Well: 19.75 feet
Top of Casing Elevation: 674.92 feet
Depth to Groundwater: 5.94 feet
Groundwater Elevation: 668.98 feet
Water Column Height: 13.81 feet
Purged Volume: 12 gallons

Project No.: 5081
Address: 2844 Mountain Blvd.
Oakland, CA
Date: February 15, 2016
Sampler: Lizzie Hightower

Purging Method: Bailer Pump

Sampling Method: Bailer Pump

Color: Yes No Describe: _____

Sheen: Yes No Describe: _____

Odor: Yes No Describe: Petro Odor

Field Measurements:

Time	Vol (gallons)	pH	Temp (°C)	E.C. (µs/cm)
13:00	Started purging well			
13:01	3	7.28	19.3	696
13:02	6	7.06	19.1	696
13:03	9	7.05	18.8	698
13:04	12	7.06	18.8	721
13:09	Sampled			

Notes:



ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING, INC

Well No.: MW-2
Casing Diameter: 4 inches
Depth of Well: 19.74 feet
Top of Casing Elevation: 675.02 feet
Depth to Groundwater: 667 feet
Groundwater Elevation: 668.35 feet
Water Column Height: 13.07 feet
Purged Volume: 12 gallons

Project No.: 5081
Address: 2844 Mountain Blvd.
Oakland, CA
Date: February 15, 2016
Sampler: Lizzie Hightower

Purging Method: Bailer

Pump

Sampling Method: Bailer

Pump

Color: Yes No

Describe: _____

Sheen: Yes No

Describe: _____

Odor: Yes No

Describe: Petroleum odor

Field Measurements:

Time	Vol (gallons)	pH	Temp (°C)	E.C. (µs/cm)
13:28	Started purging well			
13:29	3	7.33	19.9	745
13:30	6	7.23	19.7	740
13:31	9	7.21	19.4	743
13:32	12	7.23	19.3	738
13:37	Sampled			

Notes:



ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING, INC

Well No.: MW-3
Casing Diameter: 4 inches
Depth of Well: 24.73 feet
Top of Casing Elevation: 675.58 feet
Depth to Groundwater: 5.40 feet
Groundwater Elevation: 670.18 feet
Water Column Height: 19.33 feet
Purged Volume: 12 gallons

Project No.: 5081
Address: 2844 Mountain Blvd.
Oakland, CA
Date: February 15, 2016
Sampler: Lizzie Hightower

Purging Method: Bailer Pump

Sampling Method: Bailer Pump

Color: Yes No Describe: _____

Sheen: Yes No Describe: _____

Odor: Yes No Describe: _____

Field Measurements:

Time	Vol (gallons)	pH	Temp (°C)	E.C. (µs/cm)
12.31	Started purging well			
12.32	3	7.79	19.3	704
12.33	6	7.60	19.4	699
12.34	9	7.55	19.4	688
12.35	12	7.51	19.3	680
12.40	Sampled			

Notes:



EPA On-line Tools for Site Assessment Calculation

Hydraulic Gradient -- Magnitude and Direction

Gradient Calculation from fitting a plane to as many as thirty points

$$a x_1 + b y_1 + c = h_1$$

$$a x_2 + b y_2 + c = h_2$$

$$a x_3 + b y_3 + c = h_3$$

...

$$a x_{30} + b y_{30} + c = h_{30}$$


where (x_i, y_i) are the coordinates of the well and

h_i is the head

$i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 30$

The coefficients a, b, and c are calculated by a least-squares fitting of the data to a plane

The gradient is calculated from the square root of $(a^2 + b^2)$ and the angle from the arctangent of a/b or b/a depending on the quadrant



Inputs

Example Data Set 1	Example Data Set 2	<input type="button" value="Calculate"/>	<input type="button" value="Clear"/>
<input type="button" value="Save Data"/>	<input type="button" value="Recall Data"/>	<input type="button" value="Go Back"/>	
Site Name	2844 Mountain Blvd., Oakla		
Date	February 15, 2016 <input type="button" value="Current Date"/>		
Calculation basis	Head <input type="button" value="▼"/>		
Coordinates	ft <input type="button" value="▼"/>		
I.D.	x-coordinate	y-coordinate	head ft <input type="button" value="▼"/>
1) RS-3	6071215.111	2122442.671	670.20
2) RS-4	6071195.458	2122379.324	666.84
3) MW-1	6071174.931	2122404.178	668.98
4) MW-2	6071186.39	2122393.492	668.35
5) MW-3	6071190.453	2122428.874	670.18
6)			
7)			
8)			
9)			
10)			
11)			

12)			
13)			
14)			
15)			
16)			
17)			
18)			
19)			
20)			
21)			
22)			
23)			
24)			
25)			
26)			
27)			
28)			
29)			
30)			

Results

Number of Points Used in Calculation	5
Max. Difference Between Head Values	1.024
Gradient Magnitude (i)	0.06886
Flow direction as degrees from North (positive y axis)	153.0
Coefficient of Determination (R^2)	0.988

WCMS

Last updated on 2/21/2016

Appendix C

Laboratory Report and Chain of Custody Form



Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd., Analytical Laboratories, Since 1878

2323 Fifth Street, Berkeley, CA 94710, Phone (510) 486-0900

**Laboratory Job Number 274230
ANALYTICAL REPORT**

SOMA Environmental Engineering Inc. Project : 5081
6620 Owens Dr. Location : 2844 Mountain Blvd., Oakland
Pleasanton, CA 94588 Level : II

<u>Sample ID</u>	<u>Lab ID</u>
RS-3	274230-001
RS-4	274230-002
MW-1	274230-003
MW-2	274230-004
MW-3	274230-005

This data package has been reviewed for technical correctness and completeness. Release of this data has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or the Manager's designee, as verified by the following signature. The results contained in this report meet all requirements of NELAC and pertain only to those samples which were submitted for analysis. This report may be reproduced only in its entirety.

Signature: 

Date: 02/23/2016

Tracy Babjar
Project Manager
tracy.babjar@ctberk.com
(510) 204-2226

CA ELAP# 2896, NELAP# 4044-001

CASE NARRATIVE

Laboratory number: 274230
Client: SOMA Environmental Engineering Inc.
Project: 5081
Location: 2844 Mountain Blvd., Oakland
Request Date: 02/16/16
Samples Received: 02/16/16

This data package contains sample and QC results for five water samples, requested for the above referenced project on 02/16/16. The samples were received cold and intact.

TPH-Extractables by GC (EPA 8015B):

No analytical problems were encountered.

Volatile Organics by GC/MS (EPA 8260B):

High recoveries were observed for isopropyl ether (DIPE) and ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE) in the BS for batch 232308; the associated RPDs were within limits, and these high recoveries were not associated with any reported results. RS-4 (lab # 274230-002) was diluted due to foaming. No other analytical problems were encountered.

CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Page 1 of 1

Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd

Analytical Laboratory Since 1878

2323 Fifth Street

Berkeley, CA 94710

(510)486-0900 Phone

(510)486-0532 Fax

Project No: 5081

LOGIN # 274230

Sampler: Lizzie Hightower

Project Name: 28

Report To: Joyce Bobek

Project Name: 2844 Mountain Blvd., Oakland

Company : SOMA Environmental

Turnaround Time: Standard

Telephone: 925-734-6400

Fax: 925-734-6401

Notes: EDF OUTPUT REQUIRED

RELINQUISHED BY:

E. H. Aylor 21/6/16
08:30 DATE/TIME

Kirk Keith 2/16/16 11:10 DATE/TIME

 2/16/16 @ 1715
DATE/TIME

RECEIVED BY

Paul. Smith 2/16/16 8:30
DATE/TIME

 2/16/68 @ 11:12
DATE/TIME

 2/16/16 @ 155
DATE/TIME

COOLER RECEIPT CHECKLIST



Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd.

Login # 274230 Date Received 02/16/16 Number of coolers 1
 Client SOMA Project 5031

Date Opened 02/16 By (print) SC (sign) SL JH
 Date Logged in 1 By (print) GJN (sign) MMT

1. Did cooler come with a shipping slip (airbill, etc) YES NO
 Shipping info _____

2A. Were custody seals present? YES (circle) on cooler on samples NO
 How many _____ Name _____ Date _____

2B. Were custody seals intact upon arrival? YES NO N/A

3. Were custody papers dry and intact when received? YES NO

4. Were custody papers filled out properly (ink, signed, etc)? YES NO

5. Is the project identifiable from custody papers? (If so fill out top of form) YES NO

6. Indicate the packing in cooler: (if other, describe) _____

Bubble Wrap Foam blocks Bags None
 Cloth material Cardboard Styrofoam Paper towels

7. Temperature documentation: * Notify PM if temperature exceeds 6°C

Type of ice used: Wet Blue/Gel None Temp(°C) _____

Temperature blank(s) included? Thermometer# _____ IR Gun# _____

Samples received on ice directly from the field. Cooling process had begun

8. Were Method 5035 sampling containers present? YES NO
 If YES, what time were they transferred to freezer? _____

9. Did all bottles arrive unbroken/unopened? YES NO

10. Are there any missing / extra samples? YES NO

11. Are samples in the appropriate containers for indicated tests? YES NO

12. Are sample labels present, in good condition and complete? YES NO

13. Do the sample labels agree with custody papers? YES NO

14. Was sufficient amount of sample sent for tests requested? YES NO

15. Are the samples appropriately preserved? YES NO N/A

16. Did you check preservatives for all bottles for each sample? YES NO N/A

17. Did you document your preservative check? (pH strip lot# _____) YES NO N/A

18. Did you change the hold time in LIMS for unpreserved VOAs? YES NO N/A

19. Did you change the hold time in LIMS for preserved terracores? YES NO N/A

20. Are bubbles > 6mm absent in VOA samples? YES NO N/A

21. Was the client contacted concerning this sample delivery? YES NO

If YES, Who was called? _____ By _____ Date: _____

COMMENTS



Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd.

Detections Summary for 274230

Results for any subcontracted analyses are not included in this summary.

Client : SOMA Environmental Engineering Inc.
Project : 5081
Location : 2844 Mountain Blvd., Oakland

Analyte	Result	Flags	RL	Units	Basis	IDF	Method	Prep Method
MTBE	5.4		0.50	ug/L	As Recd	1.000	EPA 8260B	EPA 5030B

Analyte	Result	Flags	RL	Units	Basis	IDF	Method	Prep Method
Diesel C10-C24	350	Y	52	ug/L	As Recd	1.000	EPA 8015B	EPA 3520C
tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)	270		20	ug/L	As Recd	2.000	EPA 8260B	EPA 5030B
MTBE	8.8		1.0	ug/L	As Recd	2.000	EPA 8260B	EPA 5030B

Analyte	Result	Flags	RL	Units	Basis	IDF	Method	Prep Method
Diesel C10-C24	3,700		52	ug/L	As Recd	1.000	EPA 8015B	EPA 3520C
Gasoline C7-C12	970		250	ug/L	As Recd	5.000	EPA 8260B	EPA 5030B
tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)	4,100		50	ug/L	As Recd	5.000	EPA 8260B	EPA 5030B
Methyl tert-Amyl Ether (TAME)	7.4		2.5	ug/L	As Recd	5.000	EPA 8260B	EPA 5030B
MTBE	75		2.5	ug/L	As Recd	5.000	EPA 8260B	EPA 5030B
Benzene	3.2		2.5	ug/L	As Recd	5.000	EPA 8260B	EPA 5030B
Ethylbenzene	27		2.5	ug/L	As Recd	5.000	EPA 8260B	EPA 5030B
m,p-Xylenes	11		2.5	ug/L	As Recd	5.000	EPA 8260B	EPA 5030B

Analyte	Result	Flags	RL	Units	Basis	IDF	Method	Prep Method
Diesel C10-C24	1,900		52	ug/L	As Recd	1.000	EPA 8015B	EPA 3520C
Gasoline C7-C12	620		200	ug/L	As Recd	4.000	EPA 8260B	EPA 5030B
tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)	26,000		400	ug/L	As Recd	40.00	EPA 8260B	EPA 5030B
Methyl tert-Amyl Ether (TAME)	15		2.0	ug/L	As Recd	4.000	EPA 8260B	EPA 5030B
MTBE	180		2.0	ug/L	As Recd	4.000	EPA 8260B	EPA 5030B
Benzene	32		2.0	ug/L	As Recd	4.000	EPA 8260B	EPA 5030B
Ethylbenzene	8.2		2.0	ug/L	As Recd	4.000	EPA 8260B	EPA 5030B

Client Sample ID : MW-3

Laboratory Sample ID :

274230-005

Analyte	Result	Flags	RL	Units	Basis	IDF	Method	Prep Method
Diesel C10-C24	370	Y	52	ug/L	As Recd	1.000	EPA 8015B	EPA 3520C
Methyl tert-Amyl Ether (TAME)	3.2		0.50	ug/L	As Recd	1.000	EPA 8260B	EPA 5030B
MTBE	140		1.0	ug/L	As Recd	2.000	EPA 8260B	EPA 5030B

Y = Sample exhibits chromatographic pattern which does not resemble standard

Page 2 of 2

21.0

Total Extractable Hydrocarbons

Lab #:	274230	Location:	2844 Mountain Blvd., Oakland
Client:	SOMA Environmental Engineering Inc.	Prep:	EPA 3520C
Project#:	5081	Analysis:	EPA 8015B
Matrix:	Water	Sampled:	02/15/16
Units:	ug/L	Received:	02/16/16
Diln Fac:	1.000	Prepared:	02/17/16
Batch#:	232165	Analyzed:	02/18/16

Field ID: RS-3 Lab ID: 274230-001
 Type: SAMPLE

Analyte	Result	RL
Diesel C10-C24	ND	49
Surrogate		
o-Terphenyl	101	67-136

Field ID: RS-4 Lab ID: 274230-002
 Type: SAMPLE

Analyte	Result	RL
Diesel C10-C24	350 Y	52
Surrogate		
o-Terphenyl	102	67-136

Field ID: MW-1 Lab ID: 274230-003
 Type: SAMPLE

Analyte	Result	RL
Diesel C10-C24	3,700	52
Surrogate		
o-Terphenyl	87	67-136

Y= Sample exhibits chromatographic pattern which does not resemble standard

ND= Not Detected

RL= Reporting Limit

Total Extractable Hydrocarbons

Lab #:	274230	Location:	2844 Mountain Blvd., Oakland
Client:	SOMA Environmental Engineering Inc.	Prep:	EPA 3520C
Project#:	5081	Analysis:	EPA 8015B
Matrix:	Water	Sampled:	02/15/16
Units:	ug/L	Received:	02/16/16
Diln Fac:	1.000	Prepared:	02/17/16
Batch#:	232165	Analyzed:	02/18/16

Field ID: MW-2 Lab ID: 274230-004
 Type: SAMPLE

Analyte	Result	RL
Diesel C10-C24	1,900	52
Surrogate		
o-Terphenyl	104	67-136

Field ID: MW-3 Lab ID: 274230-005
 Type: SAMPLE

Analyte	Result	RL
Diesel C10-C24	370 Y	52
Surrogate		
o-Terphenyl	100	67-136

Type: BLANK Lab ID: QC823692

Analyte	Result	RL
Diesel C10-C24	ND	50
Surrogate		
o-Terphenyl	110	67-136

Y= Sample exhibits chromatographic pattern which does not resemble standard

ND= Not Detected

RL= Reporting Limit

Batch QC Report

Total Extractable Hydrocarbons

Lab #:	274230	Location:	2844 Mountain Blvd., Oakland
Client:	SOMA Environmental Engineering Inc.	Prep:	EPA 3520C
Project#:	5081	Analysis:	EPA 8015B
Matrix:	Water	Batch#:	232165
Units:	ug/L	Prepared:	02/17/16
Diln Fac:	1.000	Analyzed:	02/18/16

Type: BS Lab ID: QC823693

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits
Diesel C10-C24	2,500	2,427	97	60-121
Surrogate				
o-Terphenyl	119	67-136		


Type: BSD Lab ID: QC823694

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Lim
Diesel C10-C24	2,500	2,282	91	60-121	6	32
Surrogate						
o-Terphenyl	108	67-136				


RPD= Relative Percent Difference

Page 1 of 1


5.0




— \\Lims\\gdrive\\ezchrom\\Projects\\GC17A\\Data\\049a021, A




—\\Lims\\gdrive\\ezchrom\\Projects\\GC17A\\Data\\049a022, A



— \Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC17A\Data\049a023, A



— \\Lims\\gdrive\\ezchrom\\Projects\\GC17A\\Data\\049a024, A



— \\Lims\\gdrive\\ezchrom\\Projects\\GC17A\\Data\\049a016, A

Purgeable Organics by GC/MS

Lab #:	274230	Location:	2844 Mountain Blvd., Oakland
Client:	SOMA Environmental Engineering Inc.	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	5081	Analysis:	EPA 8260B
Field ID:	RS-3	Batch#:	232286
Lab ID:	274230-001	Sampled:	02/15/16
Matrix:	Water	Received:	02/16/16
Units:	ug/L	Analyzed:	02/20/16
Diln Fac:	1.000		

Analyte	Result	RL
Gasoline C7-C12	ND	50
tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)	ND	10
Isopropyl Ether (DIPE)	ND	0.50
Ethyl tert-Butyl Ether (ETBE)	ND	0.50
Methyl tert-Amyl Ether (TAME)	ND	0.50
Ethanol	ND	1,000
MTBE	5.4	0.50
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.50
Benzene	ND	0.50
Toluene	ND	0.50
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.50
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.50
m,p-Xylenes	ND	0.50
o-Xylene	ND	0.50

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Dibromofluoromethane	90	80-128
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	92	75-139
Toluene-d8	94	80-120
Bromofluorobenzene	99	80-120

ND= Not Detected

RL= Reporting Limit

Purgeable Organics by GC/MS

Lab #:	274230	Location:	2844 Mountain Blvd., Oakland
Client:	SOMA Environmental Engineering Inc.	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	5081	Analysis:	EPA 8260B
Field ID:	RS-4	Batch#:	232286
Lab ID:	274230-002	Sampled:	02/15/16
Matrix:	Water	Received:	02/16/16
Units:	ug/L	Analyzed:	02/20/16
Diln Fac:	2.000		

Analyte	Result	RL
Gasoline C7-C12	ND	100
tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)	270	20
Isopropyl Ether (DIPE)	ND	1.0
Ethyl tert-Butyl Ether (ETBE)	ND	1.0
Methyl tert-Amyl Ether (TAME)	ND	1.0
Ethanol	ND	2,000
MTBE	8.8	1.0
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	1.0
Benzene	ND	1.0
Toluene	ND	1.0
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	1.0
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.0
m,p-Xylenes	ND	1.0
o-Xylene	ND	1.0

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Dibromofluoromethane	92	80-128
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	90	75-139
Toluene-d8	95	80-120
Bromofluorobenzene	103	80-120

ND= Not Detected

RL= Reporting Limit

Purgeable Organics by GC/MS

Lab #:	274230	Location:	2844 Mountain Blvd., Oakland
Client:	SOMA Environmental Engineering Inc.	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	5081	Analysis:	EPA 8260B
Field ID:	MW-1	Batch#:	232286
Lab ID:	274230-003	Sampled:	02/15/16
Matrix:	Water	Received:	02/16/16
Units:	ug/L	Analyzed:	02/21/16
Diln Fac:	5.000		

Analyte	Result	RL
Gasoline C7-C12	970	250
tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)	4,100	50
Isopropyl Ether (DIPE)	ND	2.5
Ethyl tert-Butyl Ether (ETBE)	ND	2.5
Methyl tert-Amyl Ether (TAME)	7.4	2.5
Ethanol	ND	5,000
MTBE	75	2.5
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	2.5
Benzene	3.2	2.5
Toluene	ND	2.5
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	2.5
Ethylbenzene	27	2.5
m,p-Xylenes	11	2.5
o-Xylene	ND	2.5

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Dibromofluoromethane	91	80-128
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	87	75-139
Toluene-d8	94	80-120
Bromofluorobenzene	98	80-120

ND= Not Detected

RL= Reporting Limit

Purgeable Organics by GC/MS

Lab #:	274230	Location:	2844 Mountain Blvd., Oakland
Client:	SOMA Environmental Engineering Inc.	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	5081	Analysis:	EPA 8260B
Field ID:	MW-2	Units:	ug/L
Lab ID:	274230-004	Sampled:	02/15/16
Matrix:	Water	Received:	02/16/16

Analyte	Result	RL	Diln Fac	Batch#	Analyzed
Gasoline C7-C12	620	200	4.000	232286	02/21/16
tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)	26,000	400	40.00	232308	02/22/16
Isopropyl Ether (DIPE)	ND	2.0	4.000	232286	02/21/16
Ethyl tert-Butyl Ether (ETBE)	ND	2.0	4.000	232286	02/21/16
Methyl tert-Amyl Ether (TAME)	15	2.0	4.000	232286	02/21/16
Ethanol	ND	4,000	4.000	232286	02/21/16
MTBE	180	2.0	4.000	232286	02/21/16
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	2.0	4.000	232286	02/21/16
Benzene	32	2.0	4.000	232286	02/21/16
Toluene	ND	2.0	4.000	232286	02/21/16
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	2.0	4.000	232286	02/21/16
Ethylbenzene	8.2	2.0	4.000	232286	02/21/16
m,p-Xylenes	ND	2.0	4.000	232286	02/21/16
o-Xylene	ND	2.0	4.000	232286	02/21/16

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	Diln Fac	Batch#	Analyzed
Dibromofluoromethane	95	80-128	4.000	232286	02/21/16
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	93	75-139	4.000	232286	02/21/16
Toluene-d8	95	80-120	4.000	232286	02/21/16
Bromofluorobenzene	100	80-120	4.000	232286	02/21/16

ND= Not Detected

RL= Reporting Limit

Page 1 of 1

10.0

Purgeable Organics by GC/MS

Lab #:	274230	Location:	2844 Mountain Blvd., Oakland
Client:	SOMA Environmental Engineering Inc.	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	5081	Analysis:	EPA 8260B
Field ID:	MW-3	Units:	ug/L
Lab ID:	274230-005	Sampled:	02/15/16
Matrix:	Water	Received:	02/16/16

Analyte	Result	RL	Diln Fac	Batch#	Analyzed
Gasoline C7-C12	ND	50	1.000	232286	02/20/16
tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)	ND	10	1.000	232286	02/20/16
Isopropyl Ether (DIPE)	ND	0.50	1.000	232286	02/20/16
Ethyl tert-Butyl Ether (ETBE)	ND	0.50	1.000	232286	02/20/16
Methyl tert-Amyl Ether (TAME)	3.2	0.50	1.000	232286	02/20/16
Ethanol	ND	1,000	1.000	232286	02/20/16
MTBE	140	1.0	2.000	232308	02/22/16
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.50	1.000	232286	02/20/16
Benzene	ND	0.50	1.000	232286	02/20/16
Toluene	ND	0.50	1.000	232286	02/20/16
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.50	1.000	232286	02/20/16
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.50	1.000	232286	02/20/16
m,p-Xylenes	ND	0.50	1.000	232286	02/20/16
o-Xylene	ND	0.50	1.000	232286	02/20/16

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	Diln Fac	Batch#	Analyzed
Dibromofluoromethane	95	80-128	1.000	232286	02/20/16
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	90	75-139	1.000	232286	02/20/16
Toluene-d8	95	80-120	1.000	232286	02/20/16
Bromofluorobenzene	97	80-120	1.000	232286	02/20/16

ND= Not Detected

RL= Reporting Limit

Page 1 of 1

11.0

Batch QC Report

Purgeable Organics by GC/MS

Lab #:	274230	Location:	2844 Mountain Blvd., Oakland
Client:	SOMA Environmental Engineering Inc.	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	5081	Analysis:	EPA 8260B
Matrix:	Water	Batch#:	232286
Units:	ug/L	Analyzed:	02/20/16
Diln Fac:	1.000		

Type: BS Lab ID: QC824175

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits
tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)	62.50	50.92	81	32-155
Isopropyl Ether (DIPE)	12.50	11.84	95	57-128
Ethyl tert-Butyl Ether (ETBE)	12.50	11.52	92	62-120
Methyl tert-Amyl Ether (TAME)	12.50	11.56	92	69-120
MTBE	12.50	10.91	87	65-120
1,2-Dichloroethane	12.50	11.24	90	74-133
Benzene	12.50	11.43	91	80-123
Toluene	12.50	12.04	96	80-121
1,2-Dibromoethane	12.50	10.93	87	80-120
Ethylbenzene	12.50	12.45	100	80-123
m,p-Xylenes	25.00	25.03	100	80-126
o-Xylene	12.50	11.79	94	80-126

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Dibromofluoromethane	90	80-128
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	88	75-139
Toluene-d8	94	80-120
Bromofluorobenzene	99	80-120

Type: BSD Lab ID: QC824176

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Lim
tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)	62.50	43.85	70	32-155	15	33
Isopropyl Ether (DIPE)	12.50	12.08	97	57-128	2	20
Ethyl tert-Butyl Ether (ETBE)	12.50	11.64	93	62-120	1	20
Methyl tert-Amyl Ether (TAME)	12.50	11.36	91	69-120	2	20
MTBE	12.50	10.68	85	65-120	2	22
1,2-Dichloroethane	12.50	11.31	91	74-133	1	20
Benzene	12.50	11.15	89	80-123	2	20
Toluene	12.50	11.71	94	80-121	3	20
1,2-Dibromoethane	12.50	10.72	86	80-120	2	20
Ethylbenzene	12.50	12.51	100	80-123	1	21
m,p-Xylenes	25.00	24.85	99	80-126	1	21
o-Xylene	12.50	11.74	94	80-126	0	20

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Dibromofluoromethane	93	80-128
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	88	75-139
Toluene-d8	93	80-120
Bromofluorobenzene	99	80-120

RPD= Relative Percent Difference

Page 1 of 1

12.0

Batch QC Report
Purgeable Organics by GC/MS

Lab #:	274230	Location:	2844 Mountain Blvd., Oakland
Client:	SOMA Environmental Engineering Inc.	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	5081	Analysis:	EPA 8260B
Type:	BLANK	Diln Fac:	1.000
Lab ID:	QC824177	Batch#:	232286
Matrix:	Water	Analyzed:	02/20/16
Units:	ug/L		

Analyte	Result	RL
Gasoline C7-C12	ND	50
tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)	ND	10
Isopropyl Ether (DIPE)	ND	0.50
Ethyl tert-Butyl Ether (ETBE)	ND	0.50
Methyl tert-Amyl Ether (TAME)	ND	0.50
Ethanol	ND	1,000
MTBE	ND	0.50
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.50
Benzene	ND	0.50
Toluene	ND	0.50
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.50
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.50
m,p-Xylenes	ND	0.50
o-Xylene	ND	0.50

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Dibromofluoromethane	89	80-128
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	93	75-139
Toluene-d8	94	80-120
Bromofluorobenzene	102	80-120

ND= Not Detected

RL= Reporting Limit

Page 1 of 1

13.0

Batch QC Report

Purgeable Organics by GC/MS

Lab #:	274230	Location:	2844 Mountain Blvd., Oakland
Client:	SOMA Environmental Engineering Inc.	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	5081	Analysis:	EPA 8260B
Matrix:	Water	Batch#:	232286
Units:	ug/L	Analyzed:	02/20/16
Diln Fac:	1.000		

Type: BS Lab ID: QC824178

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits
Gasoline C7-C12	1,000	1,086	109	76-120

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Dibromofluoromethane	92	80-128
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	90	75-139
Toluene-d8	95	80-120
Bromofluorobenzene	99	80-120

Type: BSD Lab ID: QC824179

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD Lim
Gasoline C7-C12	1,000	1,180	118	76-120	8 20

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Dibromofluoromethane	92	80-128
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	90	75-139
Toluene-d8	95	80-120
Bromofluorobenzene	99	80-120

RPD= Relative Percent Difference

Page 1 of 1

14.0

Batch QC Report

Purgeable Organics by GC/MS

Lab #:	274230	Location:	2844 Mountain Blvd., Oakland
Client:	SOMA Environmental Engineering Inc.	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	5081	Analysis:	EPA 8260B
Matrix:	Water	Batch#:	232308
Units:	ug/L	Analyzed:	02/22/16
Diln Fac:	1.000		

Type: BS Lab ID: QC824251

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits
tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)	62.50	51.73	83	32-155
Isopropyl Ether (DIPE)	12.50	16.08	129 *	57-128
Ethyl tert-Butyl Ether (ETBE)	12.50	15.37	123 *	62-120
Methyl tert-Amyl Ether (TAME)	12.50	13.46	108	69-120
MTBE	12.50	13.57	109	65-120
1,2-Dichloroethane	12.50	13.89	111	74-133
Benzene	12.50	14.01	112	80-123
Toluene	12.50	12.55	100	80-121
1,2-Dibromoethane	12.50	11.59	93	80-120
Ethylbenzene	12.50	12.60	101	80-123
m,p-Xylenes	25.00	25.01	100	80-126
o-Xylene	12.50	12.43	99	80-126

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Dibromofluoromethane	103	80-128
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	90	75-139
Toluene-d8	91	80-120
Bromofluorobenzene	101	80-120

Type: BSD Lab ID: QC824252

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Lim
tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)	62.50	43.11	69	32-155	18	33
Isopropyl Ether (DIPE)	12.50	15.42	123	57-128	4	20
Ethyl tert-Butyl Ether (ETBE)	12.50	14.77	118	62-120	4	20
Methyl tert-Amyl Ether (TAME)	12.50	12.69	101	69-120	6	20
MTBE	12.50	12.92	103	65-120	5	22
1,2-Dichloroethane	12.50	13.18	105	74-133	5	20
Benzene	12.50	13.33	107	80-123	5	20
Toluene	12.50	12.05	96	80-121	4	20
1,2-Dibromoethane	12.50	10.88	87	80-120	6	20
Ethylbenzene	12.50	11.97	96	80-123	5	21
m,p-Xylenes	25.00	23.52	94	80-126	6	21
o-Xylene	12.50	11.80	94	80-126	5	20

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Dibromofluoromethane	104	80-128
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	90	75-139
Toluene-d8	91	80-120
Bromofluorobenzene	102	80-120

*= Value outside of QC limits; see narrative

RPD= Relative Percent Difference

Batch QC Report
Purgeable Organics by GC/MS

Lab #:	274230	Location:	2844 Mountain Blvd., Oakland
Client:	SOMA Environmental Engineering Inc.	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	5081	Analysis:	EPA 8260B
Type:	BLANK	Diln Fac:	1.000
Lab ID:	QC824253	Batch#:	232308
Matrix:	Water	Analyzed:	02/22/16
Units:	ug/L		

Analyte	Result	RL
Gasoline C7-C12	NA	
tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)	ND	10
Isopropyl Ether (DIPE)	ND	0.50
Ethyl tert-Butyl Ether (ETBE)	ND	0.50
Methyl tert-Amyl Ether (TAME)	ND	0.50
Ethanol	ND	1,000
MTBE	ND	0.50
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.50
Benzene	ND	0.50
Toluene	ND	0.50
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.50
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.50
m,p-Xylenes	ND	0.50
o-Xylene	ND	0.50

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Dibromofluoromethane	105	80-128
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	90	75-139
Toluene-d8	92	80-120
Bromofluorobenzene	102	80-120

NA= Not Analyzed


ND= Not Detected

RL= Reporting Limit

Data File: \\gcmsserver\DD\chem\MSWD10.i\022016.b\JBK21TWH.D
Date : 21-FEB-2016 00:10
Client ID: DYNH P&T
Sample Info: S,274230-003

Page 2

Instrument: MSWD10.i
Operator: WOA
Column diameter: 2.00
Column phase:



Client ID: DYNH P&T

Sample Info: S,274230-004


Instrument: MSW0A10.i

Operator: WOA

Column diameter: 2.00

\\gcmsserver\DD\chem\MSW0A10.i\022016.b\JBK22TWH.D

Column phase:



Data File: \\gcmsserver\DD\chem\MSWD10.i\022016.b\JK08TWH.D
Date : 20-FEB-2016 17:25
Client ID: DYNH P&T


Page 2

Sample Info: CCW/BS, QC824178, 232286, S28894., 01/100

Column phase:

Instrument: MSWD10.i
Operator: WOA
Column diameter: 2.00

\\gcmsserver\DD\chem\MSWD10.i\022016.b\JK08TWH.D



Appendix D

Non-Hazardous Waste Manifest

NON-HAZARDOUS WASTE MANIFEST

Please print or type (Form designed for use on elite (12 pitch) typewriter)

NON-HAZARDOUS WASTE MANIFEST		1. Generator's US EPA ID No.	Manifest Document No.	2. Page 1 of 1	
GENERATOR	3. Generator's Name and Mailing Address	DESERT PETROLEUM 2844 MOUNTAIN BLVD. OAKLAND, CA			
				SOMA	
TRANSPORTER	4. Generator's Phone ()				
FACILITY	5. Transporter 1 Company Name	6. US EPA ID Number	A. State Transporter's ID		
	INSTRAT INC		B. Transporter 1 Phone		
	7. Transporter 2 Company Name	8. US EPA ID Number	C. State Transporter's ID		
				D. Transporter 2 Phone	
	9. Designated Facility Name and Site Address	10. US EPA ID Number	E. State Facility's ID		
				F. Facility's Phone (707) 974-0804	
11. WASTE DESCRIPTION		12. Containers	13. Total Quantity	14. Unit Wt/Vol.	
a.	Non-HAZ MONITORING WELL WATER	3	DRM	140	
b.					
c.					
d.					
G. Additional Descriptions for Materials Listed Above BROWN, FINES, NO ODOR			H. Handling Codes for Wastes Listed Above		
15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information					
16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby certify that the contents of this shipment are fully and accurately described and are in all respects in proper condition for transport. The materials described on this manifest are not subject to federal hazardous waste regulations.					
Printed/Typed Name		Signature			
		Date	Month	Day	Year
17. Transporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials					Date
Printed/Typed Name JASON ANGIE		Signature			Date
		Month	Day	Year	
18. Transporter 2 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials					Date
Printed/Typed Name		Signature			Date
		Month	Day	Year	
19. Discrepancy Indication Space					
20. Facility Owner or Operator: Certification of receipt of the waste materials covered by this manifest, except as noted in item 19.					Date
Printed/Typed Name MICHAEL WHITEHEAD		Signature			Date
		Month	Day	Year	