

Schultz, Robert, Env. Health

20-255

Subject: Mike Greenberg
Entry Type: Phone call
Company: URS

Start: Wed 10/13/2004 9:04 AM
End: Wed 10/13/2004 9:04 AM
Duration: 0 hours

message to Eva, sent to me on Friday

ARCO 608
Hesperian San Lorenzo
874-3088
wants to chat

Phone log ~~to~~ #779

2/27/97

msg from Shaw Barakani re: site status
& scheduling a meeting time April 28-May 2.
Left msg on SD's VM that week of
the 7/5-7/9 would see better but could
schedule 4/29-4/31 but there is
a possibility I would need to cancel.

3/4/97

Scheduled meeting w/ Alco & Shawn Barakani
on 4/29/97 @ 10AM

Left msg w/ Craig Mayfield of Zone 7
to call - Can someone from Zone 7 attend.

6/23/97

Returned Shaw Barakani's call. He said
per Ravi's voice mail msg that Ravi had
indicated that AlCo could issue a letter to
ALCO re: the risk assessment. I told Shaw that
AlCo would absolutely do that but we
would need a toxicologist/risk assessor
to sign off on it that would be either Ravi
or AlCo's current risk assessor (M. Logan). If
M. Logan issued the letter, she would want
to review the risk assessment 1st. Told Shaw
to address a letter to AlCo & Ravi/RWQCB
asking for ^{requested} letter. I told him I would
coordinate getting the sign off from Ravi or
M. Logan.

Phone Log # 479

01/31/97

Spoke w/ Show Harakani ^{w/PEB} Re: status of site.
Mike Whelan has been transferred to Oregon
but is still managing the 17601 Kesperian Blvd
site. He will be coming down to San Jose
on 2/27 to meet w/PEB to discuss this site.
We agreed this would be a good time
to meet to discuss strategies since RWQCB's
1995 interim guidance.

I told him that things are changing all
the time, but I think we would need
some legislation to actually be passed before
we could pursue closure.

He said ARCO is looking into requesting
the destruction of all domestic wells &
pay for destruct & compensate for loss.

Told him I would contact June 4

ARCO is planning on vacating this
property in 3 years & plans to do some
O&D that time.

He does not believe Groundwater study
has been very effective since ^{was} O&D has
been limited compared to size of plume. Also,
conc. are so low, it's hard to


Still planning on submitting RITFS revision;
wants our input on dw idea & take on
current regulatory trend.

Phone Log

4/6/95 Keith Winemiller w/Pacific left a msg re: domestic irrigation wells.

Pacific sent a letter out to residents in Feb. w/a contract for them to sign agreeing not to pump. To date,

6/25/95 Debra Meser w/PEG called to say that the w/p would be in our office by Wed (6/28) & they will start ORC install w/in 10 days of our approval. She wanted to inform me that they are proposing to shut-off the GWES - I told her I would need some time to think about this & indicated I would do my best to get through the w/p by July 7th.

8/25/95 ~~Debra Meser~~ Meser called to suggest they submit the final draft of the R/FS after pilot study instead of this & argued. It makes more sense to have results from the pilot study before submitting a final draft. 

12/14/95 D. Meser left msg on V.M. ORC was not placed in MW-5 because it is dry most of the year. Should be receiving next QMR w/in 2 wks

PHONOLOG (17601 Hearstian)

3/29/94

I spoke to Shaw Garabki, Pacific Environmental Group, Inc (408) 441-7500 and he said that the feasibility study showed that the other remedial options, such as air sparging was not effective. Therefore, they are working on preparing a report, modeling bioattenuation w/ Ravis' consent, according to Mr. Garabki. He stated that they are planning to meet w/ the County after completing this modeling. He will fax me info on their intentions.

4/13/94

Spoke to Deborah Moser, Kelly, and Kuita, Pacific Environmental, regarding an update on the homeowners and RIFS. The homeowners will continue to not use their well water, except for 642 Hacienda, 17371 & 17372 Via Magdalena. There are two homeowners that will ~~not~~ allow no longer allow groundwater sampling: 642 Hacienda and 17371 Via Magdalena. Although contaminant levels have been established from some of these wells in past, the Risk Assessment has apparently shown it not to be harmful for homeowners to use. 633 Hacienda has not yet responded to renewing the decontamination agreement. According to Deborah Moser, the groundwater modeling will be conducted in two phases: 1) Fate & Transport; 2) Simulation of Potential Remedial Alternatives (such as sparging, extraction, etc). They will complete 1st phase by end of May '94. They wish to meet w/ County after 1st phase, tentatively scheduled for July 8 '94, to recommend RIFS. A bullet schedule will be sent for approval. Plan to finalize RIFS by Nov '94

Phone Conversation

2/10/93

Kelly Brown, Pacific Environ. (408) 441-7500
and Juliet Shin

RE: 17601 Superior Blvd, San Lorenzo

I asked Mr. Brown how soil samples will be selected for analysis. He said that at least one soil sample from each of the off site ~~wells~~ borings will be analyzed and, for on site wells, the PID and odor detection will be used to determine whether the collected soil samples will be analyzed.

I asked why only a few neighboring wells were being used to determine the effectiveness of the air sparging/vapor extraction wells. He said that if the radius of influence goes beyond the observation wells used, then they can extrapolate the information they obtain. He stated that, generally, air sparging wells have a lateral influence of $\sim 1.5'$ for every $1'$ drop it

is drilled, so it probably will not exceed a capture zone radius of 9'.

Additionally, I asked Mr. Brown what they would do in case the soils outside of the channel deposits are found to be contaminated. He stated that these would be clays, and the investigation / cleanup methods currently proposed for the channel deposits would not work on these clay soils. (i.e. it is uncertain what would be done, but would be difficult to cleanup). I reminded Mr. Brown to send follow-up letters to homeowners. He stated that homeowners are still responding to their January letters, so he will wait one to two weeks before sending out follow-up letters.

From: Juliet Shui

To: Mike Whelan, ARCO

(415) 571-2449

RE: 17601 Husperian Blvd., S.C.

I
Mr. Shui said that either Feb 4 or
5th would be a good day for
Ravi and I to meet w/ ARCO
and consultants.

Mr. Whelan gave schedule for
Site:

Monitoring report → Feb 1 '93

RAP → March 1 '93

Full design of
Remediation System → April 1 '93

System Permitted → May 1 '93

Contract to Consultant → June 1 '93

Construction of System → July 4 '93

Startup → Aug 1 '93

Mr. Whelan said that lead was
analyzed for and not detected
in sample from pump test.

~~The~~ ~~State~~ I stated that Rich Hiett
should be copied for Sam Berninger
and that Eddy So,
who will be replaced for
Hayward assignment, will be
copied presently for Hayward
Peters.

1 hour Conversation 12/9/92

From: Kelly Brown, Pacific Environmental
To: Juliet Shin

RE: 17601 Hispanian - ARCO site in S.L.

Mr. Brown gave the following dates for a meeting between ARCO and County:
Jan 20, 21, or 22 '93 or Feb 3, 4, or 5 '93.
The County is to get back to him w/ an answer on the most convenient date of those listed above that we can meet.

Also, Mr. Brown asked whether the County approved of the letters ARCO had written to homeowners. Ms. Shin said yes, so he stated that he would go ahead and mail them to the homeowners.

Mr. Brown called later in the day to request the County's permission to conduct monitoring of the domestic wells 2 weeks early since they wish to synchronize the monitoring events →

Phone Conversation 11/30/92

From: Juliet Stein

To: Kelly Brown Pacific Env., (408) 441-7500

RE: 17601 Husperian Blvd., San Lorenzo
ARCO

Ms. Stein asked when Mr. Brown expected to have the RI/FS and RISK Assessment completed. Mr. Brown stated that the ~~RI/FS~~^{RISK ASSESSMENT} should be done sometime in the 1st quarter of '93 and the RI/FS sometime later.

Mr. Brown expressed again his wish to meet w/ the County and RWQCB to discuss scheduling of proposed work. Ms. Stein stated that she's available for every day in Dec '92 except Christmas.

Mr. Brown stated that he would call back w/ a date & then we could determine whether anyone of concern would be available on that date.

→

~~Monday - 1/27/92~~

Monday - 1/27/92

Kelly Brown

Dept. M&A

When do you expect RIFS &
Risk Assessment to be completed?

- 1st qtr of '93 for Risk Assessment
RIFS → latter later

Revised schedule proposed

Work of
Jan 4 - Jan 6 -

12/7/92

Called Kelly Brown again
to see if he set date. He suggested
Jan 6th, 1993. After speaking to Ravi
Arumuthulani, I suggested some
time in the last 2 weeks of January ¹⁹⁹³
He said he would get back to us.

IMPORTANT MESSAGE

FOR Pam E.

DATE 4-22-92 TIME 10:29 A.M.

M. Kelly Brown

OF Pacific Environ

PHONE 408 984 6536

TELEPHONED	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PLEASE CALL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CAME TO SEE YOU	<input type="checkbox"/>	WILL CALL AGAIN	<input type="checkbox"/>
WANTS TO SEE YOU	<input type="checkbox"/>	RUSH	<input type="checkbox"/>
RETURNED YOUR CALL	<input type="checkbox"/>	SPECIAL ATTENTION	<input type="checkbox"/>

MESSAGE Arco St. #608

Left msg - re: missing documents

He said he'd fax - however, @

10 of 4:05 p.m. 4/24

SIGNED na

LITHO IN U.S.A.

TOPS FORM 3002S

IMPORTANT MESSAGE AGF

FOR PLG

DATE 7/17 TIME 11:45 A.M.

M. Ron Robles

OF VANTURE PROPERTIES

PHONE 408 463 0852

TELEPHONED	<input type="checkbox"/>	PLEASE CALL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CAME TO SEE YOU	<input type="checkbox"/>	WILL CALL AGAIN	<input type="checkbox"/>
WANTS TO SEE YOU	<input type="checkbox"/>	RUSH	<input type="checkbox"/>
RETURNED YOUR CALL	<input type="checkbox"/>	SPECIAL ATTENTION	<input type="checkbox"/>

MESSAGE Ret'd call 7/17

SIGNED _____

LITHO IN U.S.A.

TOPS FORM 3002S

IMPORTANT MESSAGE

FOR P.E.
DATE 4/28 TIME 3:15 A.M. P.M.
M Joe Muehlack
OF Arco Station #608
PHONE 510 283-7077
AREA CODE NUMBER EXTENSION

TELEPHONED	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PLEASE CALL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CAME TO SEE YOU	<input type="checkbox"/>	WILL CALL AGAIN	<input type="checkbox"/>
WANTS TO SEE YOU	<input type="checkbox"/>	FLUSH	<input type="checkbox"/>
RETURNED YOUR CALL	<input type="checkbox"/>	SPECIAL ATTENTION	<input type="checkbox"/>

MESSAGE RE: Testing Soil
found contamination in soil
borings in vicinity of former
sump. The full report
will be available within ~ two
weeks

SIGNED Sms
LITHO IN U.S.A.

IMPORTANT MESSAGE

FOR R.W. PAM
 DATE 4/13 TIME 9:45 ^{AM} P.M.
 M Kelly Brown
 OF PACIFIC ENVIRONMENTAL
 PHONE 408-984-6536

TELEPHONED	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PLEASE CALL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CAME TO SEE YOU	<input type="checkbox"/>	WILL CALL AGAIN	<input type="checkbox"/>
WANTS TO SEE YOU	<input type="checkbox"/>	RUSH	<input type="checkbox"/>
RETURNED YOUR CALL	<input type="checkbox"/>	SPECIAL ATTENTION	<input type="checkbox"/>

MESSAGE 17601 HEYERMAN S. LORENZO
ARCO SITE RE:
LETTERS TO GO OUT TO
NEIGHBORS IN THE AREA
OF PLUME. DID YOU
HAVE COMMENTS ON THE
LETTER. PW 4-13-98

SIGNED
 LITHO IN U.S.A.

TOPS FORM 3002S

IMPORTANT MESSAGE

FOR PAM
 DATE 4/21 TIME 11:45 ^{AM} P.M.
 M KELLY BROWN
 OF PACIFIC ENVIRON.
 PHONE (408) 984-6536

TELEPHONED	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PLEASE CALL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CAME TO SEE YOU	<input type="checkbox"/>	WILL CALL AGAIN	<input type="checkbox"/>
WANTS TO SEE YOU	<input type="checkbox"/>	RUSH	<input type="checkbox"/>
RETURNED YOUR CALL	<input type="checkbox"/>	SPECIAL ATTENTION	<input type="checkbox"/>

MESSAGE SUSAN - can we
discuss this case at
your earliest convenience?
Thanks PAM

SIGNED
 LITHO IN U.S.A.

TOPS FORM 3002S

DATE 4/23/92CONTACT LOG

FROM: Kelly Brown AFFILIATION: Pacific Env. Group
 TITLE: _____ PHONE: (408) 984-6536
 TO: Juliet Shin AFFILIATION: Alameda County
 TITLE: _____ PHONE: (570) 271-4320
 RE: ARCO #608
STID 779, located at 17601 Hesperian Blvd,
San Lorenzo, CA

Mr. Brown was told that I would be overseeing the site. He stated that he sent this office three draft letters and a Risk Assessment for our review in March of 1992. Apparently, the site is the cause of an off-site groundwater contamination plume that has affected several nearby domestic wells. The plume exists in the upper, shallow aquifer, which is considered to be nonpotable due to high TSD. However, water from the domestic wells of concern are used for watering gardens, washing cars, etc. These domestic wells were sampled and found to contain up to 13ppb Benzene. According to Mr. Brown, Pacific Environmental Group concluded that there was zero risk to the homeowners from their wells. Mr. Brown sent letters out to the Homeowners and additionally, sent out Proposition 65 Notification letters.

See Shalit

~~Rick Gold~~

Lina Berry - Arco 17601 Hesperian
X Hacienda

Chevron(?) 17555

Offsite wells put in
quarterly Report - wants to extend
July 30, 1991 to Aug. 15th, 1991
due date

7/22/91

Lina Berry - PEG
ALCO

17601 Nesperian Blvd

San Lorenzo

progress report ← Current monitoring data
proposed add'l wells
as opposed to an "assessment" report

FUEL LEAK CASE FORM

Enter Date _____
Review Date 8/17/89
Date of Last Corr. 7/14/89
Report Date 12/1/87

Review Status C
Evaluator CMN

Sitename AREO
Street Number 17601
Street HESPERIAN BLVD
City SAN LORENZO
Zip _____
County 01
Local Agency 01200

Primary Substance 8006619
Secondary Substance 12035

Max. Soil Conc. (ppm) 2800
Max G.W. Impact (ppb) 9999999

Case Type S (G) D U
Groundwater Depth 9'
Permeability 1 2 3

Priority A-2
Rank _____
Status 5c

Date 3B 11/12/85
Date 5C 1/21/88
Date 5R _____
Date 7 _____
Date 8 _____
Date 9 _____

Interim Y (N)
Interim Date _____
Abate Method ETED

Lead Agency (L) R LI RI
UGT (Y) N
Division LPC

Enforce Type (0) 1 2 3
Enforce Date _____
Pilot Program Y (N)
RP Search (S) I R N

Comment (80 Characters) 10,000 ppm waste oil
in soil 11/85. No Rem. plu to date.

FUELLEAK CASE FORM

Review Date 7, 27, 88

Site Name Arces
Streetnumber 17601
Street Hesperia Hesperian
City San Lorenzo
County Number A 01

Priority II

Rank _____

Primary Substance ~~8006619~~ 12032

Secondary Substance ~~UA~~ 12035

Waste Oil ~~UA~~ VOC

Case Type U G D

Status I

Well Status IW

Soil Affected Y U 2800

Max. Soil Conc. (ppm) ~~97~~ ~~2800~~ ~~7500~~

Max. Residual Soil (ppm) 500

Soil Status K

Groundwater Affected Y U

Max. Groundwater Impact 999999.9

Groundwater Status N

Depth to Groundwater 11

Drinking Water Affected Y U

Drinking Water Status N

Remedial Action UK

Proof of Action Needed RA

Date of Last Corr. ~~6/27/88~~ 7/5/88

Date Case Received 12, 1, 87

Case Evaluated By 17

* Waste Oil 10,000 ppm verbal results