THIRD QUARTER 2005
SITE MONITORING REPORT

REDWOOD REGIONAL PARK
SERVICE YARD
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

Prepared for:

EAST BAY REGIONAL PARK DISTRICT
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

October 2005

STELLAR
ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS, INC.
GEOSCIENCE & ENGINEERING CONSULTING

STELLAR
ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS, INC.
2198 SIXTH STREET, SUITE 201·BERKELEY, CA 94710
TEL: (510)644-3123 · FAX: (510)644-3859
GEOSCIENCE & ENGINEERING CONSULTING

October 13, 2005

RECEIVED
OCTOBER 17, 2005
ALAMEDA COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

Mr. Jerry Wickham, P.G.
Hazardous Materials Specialist / Local Oversight Program
Alameda County Department of Environmental Health
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250
Alameda, California 94502

Subject: Third Quarter 2005 Site Monitoring Report
Redwood Regional Park Service Yard Site – Oakland, California
Alameda County Health Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000246

Dear Mr. Wickham:

Attached is the referenced Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. report for the underground fuel storage tank (UFST) site at the Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, located at 7867 Redwood Road, Oakland, California. This project is being conducted for the East Bay Regional Park District (EBRPD), and follows previous site investigation and remediation activities (conducted since 1993) associated with former leaking UFSTs. The key regulatory agencies for this investigation are the Alameda County Department of Environmental Health, the Regional Water Quality Control Board, and the California Department of Fish and Game.

This report summarizes groundwater and surface monitoring and sampling activities conducted between July 1 and September 30, 2005 (Third Quarter 2005). The EBRPD has previously proposed to the regulatory agencies to implement bioventing as a site corrective action, and is currently conducting planning and procurement activities. When implemented, bioventing activities will be reported in technical submittals separate from the ongoing groundwater and surface water monitoring quarterly reports; salient summary discussions will be included in the quarterly groundwater monitoring reports.

If you have any questions regarding this report, please contact Mr. Neal Fujita of the EBRPD, or contact us directly at (510) 644-3123.

Sincerely,

Bruce M. Rucker, R.G., R.E.A.
Project Manager

Richard S. Makdisi, R.G., R.E.A.
Principal

cc: Carl Wilcox, California Department of Fish and Game
John Wolfenden, Regional Water Quality Control Board
Neal Fujita, East Bay Regional Park District
Water Board "GeoTracker" and Alameda County Health "ftp" System

THIRD QUARTER 2005
SITE MONITORING REPORT

REDWOOD REGIONAL PARK
SERVICE YARD
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

Prepared for:

EAST BAY REGIONAL PARK DISTRICT
P.O. BOX 5381
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94605

Prepared by:

STELLAR ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS, INC.
2198 SIXTH STREET
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94710

October 13, 2005

Project No. 2005-02

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

Appendices

TABLES AND FIGURES

Tables **Page**

Figures **Page**

1.0 INTRODUCTION

PROJECT BACKGROUND

The subject property is the East Bay Regional Park District (EBRPD) Redwood Regional Park Service Yard located at 7867 Redwood Road in Oakland, Alameda County, California. The site has undergone site investigations and remediation since 1993 to address subsurface contamination caused by leakage from one or both of two former underground fuel storage tanks (UFSTs) that contained gasoline and diesel fuel. The Alameda County Department of Environmental Health (Alameda County Health) has provided regulatory oversight of the investigation since its inception (Alameda County Health Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000246). Other regulatory agencies with historical involvement in site review include the Regional Water Quality Control Board (Water Board) and the California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG).

OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE OF WORK

This report discusses the following activities conducted/coordinated by Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. (SES) between July 1 and September 30, 2005:

- Collecting water levels in site wells to determine shallow groundwater flow direction;
- Sampling site wells for contaminant analysis and natural attenuation indicators; and
- Collecting surface water samples for contaminant analysis.

HISTORICAL CORRECTIVE ACTIONS AND INVESTIGATIONS

Previous SES reports have provided a full discussion of previous site remediation and investigations; site geology and hydrogeology; residual site contamination; conceptual model for contaminant fate and transport; and evaluation of hydrochemical trends and plume stability. Section 7.0 (References and Bibliography) of this report provides a listing of all technical reports for the site. The following is a summary of the general phases of site work:

- An October 2000 Feasibility Study report for the site, submitted to Alameda County Health, provided detailed analyses of the regulatory implications of the site contamination and an assessment of viable corrective actions (SES, 2000d).

- Two instream bioassessment events were conducted in April 1999 and January 2000 to evaluate potential impacts to stream biota associated with the site contamination (no impacts were documented).
- Additional monitoring well installations and corrective action by ORC™ injection proposed by SES were approved by Alameda County Health in its January 8, 2001 letter to the EBRPD. Two phases of ORC™ injection were conducted—in September 2001 and July 2002.
- A total of 35 groundwater monitoring events have been conducted on a quarterly basis since project inception (November 1994), and a total of 11 groundwater monitoring wells are currently available for monitoring. Seven site wells are included in the current groundwater monitoring program (the remaining four wells are outside the contaminant plume and are currently utilized only for water level monitoring).
- A bioventing pilot test was conducted in September and October 2004 to evaluate the feasibility of this corrective action strategy, and a full-scale bioventing system design was submitted to Alameda County Health. Bioventing activities conducted to date have been discussed in bioventing-specific technical reports. The EBRPD is currently preparing a Request for Bid package for installation and operation of the system.

RELATED SITE ACTIVITIES

The EBRPD has proposed to implement bioventing as a corrective action to mitigate residual site contamination, the primary source of ongoing groundwater contamination. In May 2004, Alameda County Health approved a bioventing pilot test to evaluate the feasibility of this strategy. In June 2004, four bioventing pilot test wells (one vent well and three vapor monitoring points) were installed; soil sampling during well installations was conducted; and water levels were measured (SES, 2004f). The pilot tests results report recommended, and EBRPD has proposed, to implement full-scale bioventing as a site corrective action; the pilot tests results report included a design for the full-scale system. In May 2005, Alameda County Health requested modifications to the full-scale design (Alameda County Health, 2005). Alameda County Health subsequently approved the original design (not including the requested modifications). The EBRPD is currently preparing a Request for Bid package for installation of the system. Bioventing activities have been/will be discussed in detail in separate technical reports. No bioventing field activities were conducted in the current quarter.

SITE DESCRIPTION

Figure 1 shows the location of the project site. The site slopes to the west, from an elevation of approximately 564 feet above mean sea level (amsl) at the eastern edge of the service yard to approximately 545 feet amsl at Redwood Creek, which defines the approximate western edge of the project site with regard to this investigation. Figure 2 shows the site plan.

3-D TopoQuads Copyright © 1999 DeLorme Yarmouth, ME 04096 Source Data: USGS

750 ft Scale: 1 : 25,000 Detail: 13-0 Datum: WGS84

N

SITE LOCATION ON U.S.G.S. TOPOGRAPHIC MAP

Redwood Reg. Park Service Yard Oakland, CA	By: MJC	APRIL 2004
	Figure 1	

★ Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc.
Geoscience & Engineering Consulting

2004-02-01



Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc.
Geoscience & Engineering Consulting

SITE PLAN AND WELL LOCATIONS
Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, CA

Figure 2

by: MJC | APRIL 2005

2005-02-04

REGULATORY OVERSIGHT

The lead regulatory agency for the site investigation and remediation is Alameda County Health, with oversight provided by the Water Board. The CDFG is also involved with regard to water quality impacts to Redwood Creek. All workplans and reports are submitted to these agencies.

Historical Alameda County Health-approved revisions to the groundwater sampling program have included: 1) discontinuing hydrochemical sampling and analysis in wells MW-1, MW-3, MW-5, and MW-6; 2) discontinuing creek surface water sampling at upstream location SW-1; and 3) reducing the frequency of creek surface water sampling from quarterly to semi-annually (Alameda County Health, 1996). EBRPD has pro-actively elected not to implement the latter-approved revision due to continued concern over potential impacts to Redwood Creek.

In May 2005, Alameda County Health requested that groundwater monitoring well MW-4 be replaced due to progressive reduced groundwater entry into the well (Alameda County Health, 2005). The EBRPD is currently soliciting subcontractor bids to have that work conducted.

Since 2001, Electronic Data Format (EDF) groundwater analytical results, well construction and water level data, and site maps have been successfully uploaded to the State Water Resources Control Board's GeoTracker database, in accordance with that agency's requirements for EDF submittals. This report was also uploaded to the Alameda County Health's Electronic Upload "ftp" system.

2.0 PHYSICAL SETTING

This section discusses the site hydrogeologic conditions based on geologic logging and water level measurements collected at the site since September 1993. Previous SES reports have included detailed discussions of site lithologic and hydrogeologic conditions. In May 2004, Alameda County Health requested, via email, additional evaluation of site lithology—specifically, the preparation of multiple geologic cross-sections parallel to and perpendicular to the contaminant plume's long axis.

SITE LITHOLOGY

Figure 3 shows the location of geologic cross-sections. Figure 4 shows three sub-parallel geologic cross-sections (A-A' through C-C') along the long axis of the groundwater contaminant plume (i.e., along local groundwater flow direction). Figure 5 shows three sub-parallel geologic cross-sections (D-D' through F-F') roughly perpendicular to groundwater direction. In each figure, the three sub-parallel sections are presented together for ease of comparison. Due to the small scale, these sections show only lithologic conditions (i.e., soil type and bedrock depth). Additional information on water level depths, historical range of water levels, and inferred thickness of soil contamination) were presented in a previous report (SES, 2004c) for cross-section B-B'.

Shallow soil stratigraphy consists of a surficial 3- to 10-foot-thick clayey silt unit underlain by a 5- to 15-foot-thick silty clay unit. In the majority of boreholes, a 5- to 10-foot-thick clayey coarse-grained sand and clayey gravel unit that laterally grades to a clay or silty clay was encountered. This unit overlies a weathered siltstone at the base of the observed soil profile. Soils in the vicinity of MW-1 are inferred to be landslide debris.

A previous SES report (SES, 2004c) presented a bedrock surface isopleth map (elevation contours for the top of the bedrock surface) in the contaminant plume area. That isopleth map and Figures 4 and 5 indicate the following: The bedrock surface slopes steeply, approximately 0.3 feet/foot from east to west (toward Redwood Creek) in the upgradient portion of the site (from the service yard to under the entrance road), then shows a gentle east-to-west slope in the downgradient portion of the site (under the gravel parking area) toward Redwood Creek. This general gradient corresponds to the local groundwater flow direction. On the southern side of the plume area, bedrock slopes gently from south to north (the opposite of the general topographic gradient). Bedrock topography on the northern side of the plume cannot be determined from the available data.



Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc.
Geoscience & Engineering Consulting

GEOLOGIC CROSS-SECTION LOCATIONS
Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, CA

Figure 3

by: MJC | JULY 2004

2004-02-14



Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc.

Geoscience & Engineering Consulting

GEOLOGIC CROSS SECTIONS — A-A' through C-C'

Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, CA

Figure 4

by: MJC

JULY 2004

2003-02-45

Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc.

Geoscience & Engineering Consulting

GEOLOGIC CROSS SECTIONS — D-D' through F-F'

Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, CA

Figure 5

by: MJC

JULY 2004

2004-02-21

In the central and downgradient portions of the groundwater contaminant plume (under the entrance road and the parking area), the bedrock surface has local, fairly steep elevation highs and lows, expressing a hummocky surface. Bedrock elevations vary by up to 10 feet over distances of less than 20 feet in this area. Local bedrock elevation highs are observed at upgradient location BH-13 (see Cross Section F-F') and at downgradient location B15/HP-02 (see Cross-Section B-B'). Intervening elevation lows create troughs that trend north-south in the central portion of the plume and east-west in the downgradient portion of the plume.

The bedrock surface (and overlying unconsolidated sediment lithology) suggest that the bedrock surface may have at one time undergone channel erosion from a paleostream(s) flowing sub-parallel to present-day Redwood Creek. Because groundwater flows in the unconsolidated sediments that directly overlie the bedrock surface, it is likely that the hummocky bedrock surface affects local groundwater depth and flow direction. This is an important hydrogeologic control that should be considered if groundwater-specific corrective action is contemplated.

HYDROGEOLOGY

Groundwater at the site occurs under unconfined and semi-confined conditions, generally within the clayey, silty, sand-gravel zone. The top of this zone varies between approximately 12 and 19 feet below ground surface (bgs), and the bottom of the water-bearing zone (approximately 25 to 28 feet bgs) corresponds to the top of the siltstone bedrock unit. Seasonal fluctuations in groundwater depth create a capillary fringe of several feet that is saturated in the rainy period (late fall through early spring) and unsaturated during the remainder of the year. The thickness of the saturated zone plus the capillary fringe varies between approximately 10 and 15 feet in the area of contamination. Local perched water zones have been observed well above the top of the capillary fringe.

Figure 6 is a groundwater elevation map constructed from the current event monitoring well static water levels. Table 1 (in Section 3.0) summarizes current event groundwater elevation data. Appendix A contains historical groundwater elevation data. Consistent with the bedrock isopleth map showing an elevation depression in the vicinity of MW-11, historical groundwater elevations in MW-11 are generally lower than in the surrounding area. As discussed in the previous sub-section, local groundwater flow direction is likely more variable than expressed by groundwater monitoring well data, due to localized bedrock surface topography.

In the upgradient portion of the site (between well MW-1 and the former UFST source area, in landslide debris), the groundwater gradient is approximately 0.2 feet per foot. Downgradient from (west of) the UFST source area (between MW-2 and Redwood Creek), the groundwater gradient is



Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc.
Geoscience & Engineering Consulting

GROUNDWATER ELEVATION MAP–September 13, 2005
Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, CA

Figure 6

by: MJC | SEPTEMBER 2005

2005-02-16

approximately 0.1 feet per foot. The direction of shallow groundwater flow during the current event was to the west-southwest (toward Redwood Creek), which is consistent with historical site groundwater flow direction.

We assume a site groundwater velocity of 7 to 10 feet per year using general look-up tables for permeability characteristics for the site-specific lithologic data obtained from site investigations. This velocity estimate is conservatively low, but does meet minimum-distance-traveled criteria from the date when contamination was first observed in Redwood Creek (1993) relative to the time of the UST installations (late 1970s). Locally, however, the groundwater velocity could vary significantly. Calculating the specific hydraulic conductivity critical to accurately estimating site-specific groundwater velocity would require direct testing of the water-bearing zone through a slug or pumping test.

Redwood Creek, which borders the site to the west, is a seasonal creek known for the occurrence of rainbow trout. Creek flow in the vicinity of the site shows significant seasonal variation, with little to no flow during the summer and fall dry season, and vigorous flow with depths exceeding 1 foot during the winter and spring wet season. The creek is a gaining stream (i.e., it is recharged by groundwater seeps and springs) in the vicinity of the site, and discharges into Upper San Leandro Reservoir located approximately 1 mile southeast of the site. During low-flow conditions, the groundwater table is below the creek bed in most locations (including the area of historical contaminated groundwater discharge); consequently, there is little to no observable creek flow at these times.

3.0 Q3-2005 GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER MONITORING EVENT ACTIVITIES

This section presents the creek surface water and groundwater sampling and analytical methods for the most recent groundwater monitoring event (Q3 2005), conducted in September 2005. Groundwater and surface water analytical results are summarized in Section 5.0. Monitoring and sampling protocols were in accordance with the Alameda County Health-approved SES technical workplan (SES, 1998a). Current event activities included:

- Measuring static water levels and field analyzing pre-purge groundwater samples for indicators of natural attenuation (dissolved oxygen [DO], ferrous iron, and oxidation-reduction potential [ORP]) in all 11 site wells.
- Collecting post-purge groundwater samples for laboratory analysis of site contaminants from wells located within (or potentially within) the groundwater plume (MW-2, MW-4, MW-7, MW-8, MW-9, MW-10, and MW-11).
- Collecting Redwood Creek surface water samples for laboratory analysis from locations SW-2 and SW-3.

Creek sampling and groundwater monitoring/sampling was conducted on September 13, 2005. Creek sampling was conducted by the SES project manager. The locations of all site monitoring wells and creek water sampling locations are shown on Figure 2 (in Section 1.0). Well construction information and water level data are summarized in Table 1. Appendix B contains the groundwater monitoring field records for the current event.

Because it appears that the previously-injected ORC™ has been depleted, continued monitoring of the natural attenuation parameters—DO, ORP, nitrate, ferrous iron, and sulfate—is of marginal value until such time as additional corrective actions that would increase oxygen concentrations (e.g., bioventing) are implemented. Therefore, monitoring for natural attenuation parameters was discontinued following the Q3 2004 event.

Table 1
Groundwater Monitoring Well Construction and Groundwater Elevation Data – September 13, 2005 Monitoring Event
Redwood Regional Park Corporation Yard, Oakland, California

Well	Well Depth	Screened Interval	TOC Elevation	Groundwater Depth [(a)]	Groundwater Elevation [(b)]
MW-1	18	7 to 17	565.9	3.62	562.3
MW-2	36	20 to 35	566.5	23.05	543.5
MW-3	42	7 to 41	560.9	21.24	539.7
MW-4	26	10 to 25	548.1	13.75	534.4
MW-5	26	10 to 25	547.5	16.60	530.9
MW-6	26	10 to 25	545.6	13.37	532.2
MW-7	24	9 to 24	547.7	13.38	534.3
MW-8	23	8 to 23	549.2	12.49	536.7
MW-9	27	12 to 27	549.4	13.30	536.1
MW-10	28	13 to 28	547.3	12.65	534.7
MW-11	26	11 to 26	547.9	15.48	532.4

Notes:

(a) Depths are in feet relative to top of well casing.

(b) All elevations are relative to top of well casing, and are expressed as feet above U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) mean sea level. Elevations of wells MW-1 through MW-6 were surveyed by EBRPD relative to USGS Benchmark No. JHF-49. Wells MW-7 through MW-11 were surveyed by a licensed land surveyor using existing site wells as datum.

TOC = Top of casing.

Wells MW-1 through MW-6 are 4-inch-diameter; all other wells are 2-inch-diameter.

GROUNDWATER LEVEL MONITORING AND SAMPLING

Groundwater monitoring well water level measurements, purging, sampling, and field analyses were conducted by Blaine Tech Services under the supervision of SES personnel. Groundwater sampling was conducted in accordance with State of California guidelines for sampling dissolved analytes in groundwater associated with leaking UFSTs (State Water Resources Control Board, 1989), and followed the methods and protocols approved by Alameda County Health in the SES 1998 workplan (SES, 1998a).

As the first task of the monitoring event, static water levels were measured using an electric water level indicator. Pre-purge groundwater samples were then collected for field and laboratory analysis of natural attenuation indicators. The wells to be sampled for contaminant analyses were then purged (by bailing and/or pumping) of three wetted casing volumes. Aquifer stability parameters (temperature, pH, and electrical conductivity) were measured after each purged casing volume to ensure that representative formation water would be sampled. To minimize the

potential for cross-contamination, wells were purged and sampled in order of increasing contamination (based on the analytical results of the previous quarter).

The sampling-derived purge water and decontamination rinseate (approximately 60 gallons) from the current event was containerized in the onsite plastic tank. Purge water from future events will continue to be accumulated in the onsite tank until it is full, at which time the water will be transported offsite for proper disposal.

CREEK SURFACE WATER SAMPLING

Surface water sampling was conducted by SES on September 13, 2005. Surface water samples were collected from Redwood Creek location SW-2 (immediately downgradient of the former UFST source area and within the area of documented creek bank soil contamination), and SW-3 (approximately 500 feet downstream of the SW-2 location). In accordance with a previous SES recommendation approved by the Alameda County Health, upstream sample location SW-1 is no longer part of the surface water sampling program.

At the time of sampling, the creek was stagnant between the two sampling locations; water depth was between 2 and 6 inches. At the SW-2 location, where contaminated groundwater discharge to the creek has historically been observed, an orange algae was observed growing on the saturated portion of the creek bank. This algae likely is utilizing the petroleum as a carbon source, and therefore is a good indicator of the presence of petroleum contamination. However, neither petroleum sheen nor odor were evident on the water surface.

4.0 REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS

The following is a summary of regulatory considerations regarding surface water and groundwater contamination. There are no Alameda County Health or Water Board cleanup orders for the site, although all site work has been conducted under oversight of these agencies.

GROUNDWATER CONTAMINATION

As specified in the Water Board's San Francisco Bay Region Water Quality Control Plan (Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1986), all groundwater are considered potential sources of drinking water unless otherwise approved by the Water Board, and are also assumed to ultimately discharge to a surface water body and potentially impact aquatic organisms. While it is likely that site groundwater would satisfy geology-related criteria for exclusion as a drinking water source (excessive total dissolved solids and/or insufficient sustained yield), Water Board approval for this exclusion has not been obtained for the site. As summarized in Table 2 (in Section 5.0), site groundwater contaminant levels are compared to two sets of criteria: 1) Water Board Tier 1 Environmental Screening Levels (ESLs) for sites where groundwater <u>is</u> a current or potential drinking water source; and 2) ESLs for sites where groundwater <u>is not</u> a current or potential drinking water source.

As stipulated in the ESL document (Water Board, 2004), the ESLs are not cleanup criteria; rather, they are conservative screening-level criteria designed to be protective of both drinking water resources and aquatic environments in general. The groundwater ESLs are composed of multiple components, including ceiling value, human toxicity, indoor air impacts, and aquatic life protection. Exceedance of ESLs suggests that additional investigation and/or remediation is warranted. While drinking water standards [e.g., Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs)] are published for the site contaminants of concern, Alameda County Health has indicated that impacts to nearby Redwood Creek are of primary importance, and that site target cleanup standards should be evaluated primarily in the context of surface water quality criteria.

SURFACE WATER CONTAMINATION

As summarized in Table 2 (in Section 5.0), site surface water contaminant levels are compared to the most stringent screening level criteria published by the State of California, U.S. EPA, and U.S. Department of Energy. These screening criteria address chronic and acute exposures to aquatic life.

As discussed in the ESL document (Water Board, 2004), benthic communities at the groundwater/surface water interface (e.g., at site groundwater discharge location SW-2) are assumed to be exposed to the full concentration of groundwater contamination prior to dilution/mixing with the surface water). This was also a fundamental assumption in the instream benthic macroinvertebrate bioassessment events, which documented no measurable impacts.

Historical surface water sampling in the immediate vicinity of contaminated groundwater discharge (SW-2) has sporadically documented petroleum contamination, usually in periods of low stream flow, and generally at concentrations several orders of magnitude less than adjacent (within 20 feet) groundwater monitoring well concentrations. It is likely that mixing/dilution between groundwater and surface water precludes obtaining an "instantaneous discharge" surface water sample that is wholly representative of groundwater contamination at the discharge location. Therefore, the most conservative assumption is that surface water contamination at the groundwater/surface water interface is equivalent to the upgradient groundwater contamination (e.g., site downgradient wells MW-4, MW-7, and MW-9).

While site target cleanup standards for groundwater have not been determined, it is likely that no further action will be required by regulatory agencies when groundwater (and surface water) contaminant concentrations are all below their respective screening level criteria. Residual contaminant concentrations in excess of screening level criteria might be acceptable to regulatory agencies if a more detailed risk assessment (e.g., Tier 2 and/or Tier 3) demonstrates that no significant impacts are likely.

5.0 MONITORING EVENT ANALYTICAL RESULTS

This section presents the field and laboratory analytical results of the most recent monitoring event. Table 2 summarizes the contaminant analytical results of the current monitoring event. Figure 7 shows the current event contaminant analytical results and the inferred limits of the gasoline groundwater plume. Appendix C contains the certified analytical laboratory report and chain-of-custody record for the current event. Appendix D contains a summary of historical groundwater and surface analytical results.

CURRENT EVENT GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER RESULTS

Current quarter site groundwater maximum concentrations exceed their respective groundwater ESLs for all analytes except toluene (under the *drinking water resource is threatened* criterion) and for all contaminants except toluene and MTBE (under the *drinking water resource is not threatened* criterion). Maximum site groundwater contaminant concentrations also exceed all surface water screening levels, with the exception of toluene and MTBE.

Except for benzene and MTBE, maximum groundwater contaminant concentrations were all detected in well MW-11 (located approximately three-quarters of the distance between the former source area and the creek). Maximum benzene concentrations were detected in upgradient well MW-8, while maximum MTBE concentrations were detected in upgradient well MW-2. Elevated contaminant concentrations were also detected in downgradient wells MW-7 and MW-9. The northern and southern edges of the plume in the downgradient area of the plume appear to be well defined by wells MW-4 and MW-10. The current event contaminant plume geometry is consistent with recent historical contaminant distribution, showing the center of contaminant mass in groundwater located downgradient of the former source area.

Neither of the two surface water samples collected (SW-3 and SW-3) had detectable concentrations for any of the site contaminants analyzed.

QUALITY CONTROL SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Laboratory QC samples (e.g., method blanks, matrix spikes, surrogate spikes, etc.) were analyzed by the laboratory in accordance with requirements of each analytical method. All laboratory QC

sample results and sample holding times were within the acceptance limits of the methods (see Appendix C).

Table 2
Groundwater and Surface Water Sample
Analytical Results – September 13, 2005
Redwood Regional Park Corporation Yard, Oakland, California

Location	Contaminant						
	TVHg	**TEHd**	**Benzene**	**Toluene**	**Ethyl-benzene**	**Total Xylenes**	**MTBE**
GROUNDWATER SAMPLES							
MW-2	<50	*<50*	**2.5**	*<0.5*	*<0.5*	*<1.0*	**23**
MW-4	*<50*	*<50*	*<0.5*	*<0.5*	*<0.5*	*<1.0*	*<2.0*
MW-7	**7,600**	**2,700**	**110**	*<1.0*	**310**	**54.2**	*<4.0*
MW-8	**5,600**	**1,200**	**270**	6.6	**400**	**390**	*<20*
MW-9	**3,600**	**1,200**	**250**	*<0.5*	**330**	**35.7**	*<2.0*
MW-10	87	*<50*	**5**	*<0.5*	3.6	*< 1.0*	*<2.0*
MW-11	**12,000**	**3,100**	**220**	*<1.0*	**840**	**762**	*<4.0*
Groundwater ESLs [(a)]	100 / 500	100 / 640	1.0 / 46	40 / 130	30 / 290	13 / 13	5 / 1,800
REDWOOD CREEK SURFACE WATER SAMPLES							
SW-2	*<50*	*<50*	*<0.5*	*<0.5*	*<0.5*	*<1.0*	*<2.0*
SW-3	*<50*	*<50*	*<0.5*	*<0.5*	*<0.5*	*<1.0*	*<2.0*
Surface Water Screening Levels [(a, b)]	500	100	46	130	290	13	8,000

Notes:

[(a)] Water Board Environmental Screening Levels (drinking water resource threatened/not threatened) (Water Board, 2004).

[(b)] Lowest of chronic and acute surface water criteria published by the State of California, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, or U.S. Department of Energy.

MTBE = methyl *tertiary*-butyl ether
TVHg = total volatile hydrocarbons - gasoline range
TEHd = total extractable hydrocarbons - diesel range

All concentrations expressed in µg/L (equivalent to parts per billion).

Samples in **bold-face type** exceed the ESL and/or surface water screening levels.



Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc.
Geoscience & Engineering Consulting

ANALYTICAL RESULTS AND GASOLINE PLUME—SEPTEMBER 2005
Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, CA

Figure 7

by: MJC | SEPTEMBER 2005

2005-02-17

6.0 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND PROPOSED ACTIONS

The following conclusions and proposed actions are based on the findings of the current event activities, as well as on salient historical findings.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

- Groundwater sampling has been conducted on an approximately quarterly basis since November 1994 (34 events in the initial site wells). A total of 11 site wells are available for monitoring; 7 of the available wells are currently monitored for contamination.
- Site contaminants of concern include gasoline, diesel, BTEX, and MTBE. Current groundwater concentrations exceed regulatory screening levels for groundwater and surface water.
- The primary environmental risk is discharge of contaminated groundwater to the adjacent Redwood Creek. A stream bioassessment concluded that there were no direct impacts to the surface water benthic community; however, groundwater contamination is sporadically detected in surface water samples, and there is historical visual evidence of plume discharge at the creek/groundwater interface. Surface water samples have sporadically exceeded surface water ESL criteria for gasoline, diesel, and benzene, and generally only under low creek flow conditions. An in-stream bioassessment evaluation in 1999-2000 determined no impacts to the benthic macroinvertebrate community.
- The existing well layout adequately constrains the lateral extent of groundwater contamination, and the vertical limit is very likely the top of the near-surface (25 to 28 feet) siltstone bedrock. The saturated interval extends approximately 12 to 15 feet from top of bedrock through the capillary fringe. Groundwater elevations fluctuate seasonally, creating a capillary fringe that varies seasonally in thickness.
- The groundwater contaminant plume has become disconnected from its original source, but continues to be fed from the residual hydrocarbon concentrations in the soil. The groundwater plume has migrated well beyond the former source area (represented by well MW-2) toward Redwood Creek. The plume of groundwater contamination above screening levels appears to be approximately 120 feet long and approximately 50 feet wide. The zone of greatest contamination (greater than 10,000 µg/L TPH) is an approximately 20- to 30-foot-wide by 50-foot-long area extending from mid-plume well MW-8 to the most downgradient wells MW-7 and MW-9.

- The contaminant plume is neither stable nor reducing, as groundwater contaminant concentrations fluctuate seasonally, and the center of mass of the contaminant plume (represented by maximum concentrations) has alternated between mid-plume and downgradient wells in recent history. While recent groundwater contaminant concentrations are at or near sitewide historical maxima, there is no indication that maximum site groundwater concentrations are increasing, suggesting that "worst case" contaminant concentrations may have been reached.
- A two-phase ORC™ injection corrective action program was implemented at the site. In September 2001, approximately 3,000 pounds of ORC™ was injected into 44 boreholes over a 4,400-square foot area of the maximum groundwater contamination. In June 2002, approximately 1,000 pounds of ORC™ was injected in 30 boreholes over a smaller area that showed residual high contaminant concentrations following the initial injection phase. The ORC™ was injected over the full saturated interval (including the capillary fringe). The findings indicate that the corrective action was partially effective in reducing the lateral extent of the groundwater contaminant plume; however, initial contaminant reductions were followed by rebounding to pre-injection concentrations. The data suggest that site conditions support aerobic biodegradation when not limited by oxygen concentrations, notably on the plume margins and upgradient former source area, but not along the centerline of the contaminant plume.
- A September 2003 exploratory borehole program confirmed that sorbed-phase contamination in the seasonally-unsaturated zone is a primary source of long-term contaminant contribution to the groundwater plume. Reduction/removal of this contamination will be necessary to eliminate continued discharge of contaminated groundwater to Redwood Creek and ultimately obtain site closure.
- Soil bioventing is to be applied at the site to mitigate the residual unsaturated zone hydrocarbon contamination that continues to provide contaminant input to the groundwater. The bioventing project is currently under review and procurement by the EBRPD following approval of the remedy by Alameda County Health.

PROPOSED ACTIONS

The EBRPD proposes to implement the following actions to address regulatory concerns:

- Continue the quarterly program of creek and groundwater sampling and reporting.
- Continue to inform regulators of site progress and seek their concurrence with proposed actions.
- Install the proposed bioventing system as a corrective action to move the site toward closure.

- Decommission well MW-4 and install a replacement well.
- Continue to evaluate analytical results (and bioventing contaminant removal data) in the context of hydrochemical trends, impacts of groundwater contamination on Redwood Creek, and effectiveness of the corrective action.
- Continue to make required Electronic Data Format uploads to the Water Board's GeoTracker database, and upload an electronic copy of technical reports to Alameda County Health's "ftp" system.

7.0 REFERENCES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY

Alameda County Health, 2005. Letter responding to October 2004 SES full-scale bioventing system design. May 31.

Parsons Engineering Science (Parsons), 1998. Quarterly Progress Report 11, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. January 28.

Parsons, 1997a. Quarterly Progress Report 7, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. January 31.

Parsons, 1997b. Quarterly Progress Report 8 and Annual Summary Assessment, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. April 4.

Parsons, 1997c. Quarterly Progress Report 9, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. June 30.

Parsons, 1997d. Quarterly Progress Report 10, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. September 22.

Parsons, 1996a. Quarterly Progress Report 5, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. June 6.

Parsons, 1996b. Quarterly Progress Report 6, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. September 24.

Parsons, 1995a. Quarterly Progress Report 2, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. March 8.

Parsons, 1995b. Quarterly Progress Report 3, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. June 23.

Parsons, 1995c. Quarterly Progress Report 4 and Annual Summary Assessment (November 1994 - August 1995), Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. November 13.

Parsons, 1994a. Creek and Soil Sampling at Redwood Regional Park, Oakland, California. March 2.

Parsons, 1994b. Creek Surface Water at Redwood Regional Park, Oakland, California. May 13.

Parsons, 1994c. Workplan for Groundwater Characterization Program at East Bay Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. August 17.

Parsons, 1994d. Quarterly Progress Report 1, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. December 28.

Parsons, 1993a. Closure of Underground Fuel Storage Tanks and Initial Site Characterization at Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. December 16.

Parsons, 1993b. Workplan for Site Characterization at East Bay Regional Park District, Redwood Regional Park Corporation Yard, Oakland, Alameda County, California. September 3.

Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region (Water Board), 2004. Screening for Environmental Concerns at Sites with Contaminated Soil and Groundwater. February.

Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region (Water Board), 1995. *San Francisco Bay Region Water Quality Control Plan.*

State Water Resources Control Board, 1989. Leaking Underground Fuel Tank Field Manual: Guidelines for Site Assessment, Cleanup, and Underground Storage Tank Closure. State of California Leaking Underground Fuel Tank Task Force. October.

Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. (SES), 2005a. Fourth Quarter 2004 Groundwater Monitoring and Annual Summary Report, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. January 24.

Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. (SES), 2005b. First Quarter 2005 Groundwater Monitoring Report, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. March 31.

Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. (SES), 2005C. Second Quarter 2005 Groundwater Monitoring Report, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. July 12.

SES, 2004a. Year 2003 Annual Summary Report, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. January 15.

SES, 2004b. Bioventing Feasibility Letter Report - Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. February 6.

SES, 2004c. First Quarter 2004 Site Monitoring Report, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. April 14.

SES, 2004d. Second Quarter 2004 Site Monitoring Report, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. July 16.

SES, 2004e. Third Quarter 2004 Site Monitoring Report, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. October 12.

SES, 2004f. Bioventing Pilot Test Results Report, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. October 29.

SES, 2003a. Year 2002 Annual Summary Report, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. January 27.

SES, 2003b. First Quarter 2003 Site Monitoring Report, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. May 5.

SES, 2003c. Second Quarter 2003 Site Monitoring Report, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. July 29.

SES, 2003d. Third Quarter 2003 Site Monitoring Report, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. October 3.

SES, 2003e. Letter to Alameda County Health Care Services Agency proposing bioventing as a corrective action remedy at Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. November 6.

SES, 2002a. First Quarter 2002 Site Monitoring Report, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. April 16.

SES, 2002b. Second Quarter 2002 Site Monitoring Report, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. July 23.

SES, 2002c. Third Quarter 2002 Site Monitoring Report, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. October 14.

SES, 2001a. Monitoring Well Installation and Site Monitoring Report, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. February 8.

SES, 2001b. Site Monitoring Report, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. May 4.

SES, 2001c. Well Installation, Site Monitoring, and Corrective Action Report, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. October 26.

SES, 2000a. Site Monitoring Report, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. April 21.

SES, 2000b. Workplan for Groundwater Monitoring Well Installations, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. October 19.

SES, 2000c. Site Monitoring Report, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. October 19.

SES, 2000d. Site Feasibility Study Report, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. October 20.

SES, 1999a. Workplan for Subsurface Investigation, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. April 8.

SES, 1999b. Residual Contamination Investigation and Remedial Action Assessment Report, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. June 9.

SES, 1998a. Workplan for Continued Site Investigation and Closure Assessment, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. October 9.

SES, 1998b. Site Investigation and Closure Assessment Report, Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland, California. December 4.

8.0 LIMITATIONS

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of the East Bay Regional Park District, its authorized representatives, and the regulatory agencies. No reliance on this report shall be made by anyone other than those for whom it was prepared.

The findings and conclusions presented in this report are based on the review of previous investigators' findings at the site, as well as onsite activities conducted by SES since September 1998. This report provides neither a certification nor guarantee that the property is free of hazardous substance contamination. This report has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted methodologies and standards of practice. The SES personnel who performed this limited remedial investigation are qualified to perform such investigations and have accurately reported the information available, but cannot attest to the validity of that information. No warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the findings, conclusions, and recommendations included in the report.

The findings of this report are valid as of the present. Site conditions may change with the passage of time, natural processes, or human intervention, which can invalidate the findings and conclusions presented in this report. As such, this report should be considered a reflection of the current site conditions as based on the investigation and remediation completed.

APPENDIX A

Historical Groundwater Monitoring Well Water Level Data

HISTORICAL GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS IN MONITORING WELLS

REDWOOD REGIONAL PARK SERVICE YARD

7867 REDWOOD ROAD, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

Well I.D.	MW-1	MW-2	MW-3	MW-4	MW-5	MW-6	MW-7	MW-8	MW-9	MW-10	MW-11
TOC Elevation	565.90	566.50	560.90	548.10	547.50	545.60	547.70	549.20	549.40	547.30	547.90
Date Monitored	Groundwater Elevations (feet above mean sea level)										
September 18, 1998	563.7	544.2	540.8	534.5	531.1	545.6					
April 6, 1999	565.2	546.9	542.3	535.6	532.3	532.9					
December 20, 1999	562.9	544.7	541.5	534.9	531.2	532.2					
September 28, 2000	562.8	542.7	538.3	532.2	530.9	532.0					
January 11, 2001	562.9	545.1	541.7	535.0	531.2	532.3	534.9	538.1			
April 13, 2001	562.1	545.7	541.7	535.1	531.5	532.4	535.3	539.8			
September 1, 2001	560.9	542.0	537.7	533.9	530.7	531.8	534.0	535.6			
December 17, 2001	562.2	545.2	542.2	534.8	531.4	532.4	534.8	538.4	534.6	535.7	535.2
March 14, 2002	563.0	547.1	542.2	535.5	532.4	533.3	535.7	541.8	535.0	537.6	536.6
June 18, 2002	562.1	544.7	541.1	534.6	531.2	532.2	534.8	537.9	534.7	535.6	535.3
September 24, 2002	561.4	542.2	537.3	533.5	530.6	531.8	533.5	535.5	535.3	533.8	531.7
December 18, 2002	562.4	545.0	542.0	534.8	531.5	532.5	534.6	537.1	536.5	535.2	532.8
March 27, 2003	562.6	545.7	541.7	534.8	531.6	532.4	535.1	539.9	537.2	536.2	533.6
June 19, 2003	562.3	544.9	541.5	534.8	531.3	532.3	534.9	538.2	536.9	535.7	533.2
September 10, 2003	561.6	542.1	537.9	533.8	530.8	531.9	533.7	535.6	535.6	534.1	531.9
December 10, 2003	562.4	542.7	537.6	533.7	530.9	531.9	533.7	535.2	535.5	533.8	531.7
March 18, 2004	563.1	546.6	541.9	535.0	531.7	532.4	535.2	540.9	537.4	536.6	533.8
June 17, 2004	562.1	544.3	540.7	534.3	531.0	532.1	534.6	537.4	536.5	535.1	532.7
September 21, 2004	561.5	541.1	536.5	533.1	530.5	531.6	533.1	534.7	532.7	533.2	533.2
December 14, 2004	562.2	545.3	541.7	534.7	531.4	532.2	534.6	540.4	536.7	535.5	532.9
March 16, 2005	563.8	547.3	541.7	535.3	532.4	532.8	535.6	541.8	538.0	537.1	534.2
June 15, 2005	562.9	545.9	541.6	535.0	531.7	532.5	535.0	540.0	535.0	536.1	535.6
September 13, 2005	562.3	543.5	539.7	534.4	530.9	532.2	534.3	536.7	536.1	534.7	532.4

TOC = Top of well Casing

APPENDIX B

Groundwater Monitoring
Field Documentation

WELLHEAD INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Date 9/13/05 Client Stellar

Site Address Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland

Job Number 050913-WC-1 Technician Will

Well ID	Well Inspected - No Corrective Action Required	Water Bailed From Wellbox	Wellbox Components Cleaned	Cap Replaced	Debris Removed From Wellbox	Lock Replaced	Other Action Taken (explain below)	Well Not Inspected (explain below)
MW-1	✓							
MW-2								
MW-3								
MW-4								
MW-5								
MW-6								
MW-7								
MW-8								
MW-9								
MW-10								
MW-11	✓							

NOTES: all wells look good.

WELL GAUGING DATA

Project # 050913-WC-1 Date 9/13/05 Client Stellar

Site Redwood Regional Park Service Yard, Oakland

Well ID	Well Size (in.)	Sheen / Odor	Depth to Immiscible Liquid (ft.)	Thickness of Immiscible Liquid (ft.)	Volume of Immiscibles Removed (ml)	Depth to water (ft.)	Depth to well bottom (ft.)	Survey Point: TOB or TOC	
MW-1	4					3.62	19.20		g.o.
MW-2	4					23.05	38.95		
MW-3	4					21.24	45.14		g.o.
MW-4	4					13.75	26.41		
MW-5	4					16.60	2701		g.o
MW-6	4					13.37	27.45		g.o.
MW-7	2					13.38	25.39		
MW-8	2					12.49	22.14		
MW-9	2					13.30	30.25		
MW-10	2					12.65	28.28		
MW-11	2					15.48	26.25		

WELL MONITORING DATA SHEET

Project #: 050913-WC-1	Client: Stellar
Sampler: WC	Date: 9/13/05
Well I.D.: MW-2	Well Diameter: 2 3 (4) 6 8 ____
Total Well Depth (TD): 38.95	Depth to Water (DTW): 23.05
Depth to Free Product:	Thickness of Free Product (feet):
Referenced to: (PVC) Grade	D.O. Meter (if req'd): YSI HACH
DTW with 80% Recharge [(Height of Water Column x 0.20) + DTW]:	

Purge Method: Bailer; Disposable Bailer; Positive Air Displacement; Electric Submersible (S); Waterra; Peristaltic; Extraction Pump; Other_____

Sampling Method: Bailer; Disposable Bailer (S); Extraction Port; Dedicated Tubing; Other: _____

1 Case Volume	Specified Volumes	Calculated Volume
10.3 (Gals.) X	3	= 30.9 Gals.

Well Diameter	Multiplier	Well Diameter	Multiplier
1"	0.04	4"	0.65
2"	0.16	6"	1.47
3"	0.37	Other	radius^2 * 0.163

Time	Temp (°F or °C)	pH	Cond. (mS or µS)	Turbidity (NTUs)	Gals. Removed	Observations
0919	60.7	7.1	841	57	11	clear/odor
0920 well dewatered @			15 gallons / DTW = 35.71			
1238	60.0	7.4	873	50	—	clear

Did well dewater? (Yes) No — Gallons actually evacuated: 11

Sampling Date: 9/13/05 — Sampling Time: 1240 — Depth to Water: 24.05

Sample I.D.: MW-2 — Laboratory: Kiff CalScience Other C&T

Analyzed for: (TPH-G) (BTEX) (MTBE) (TPH-D) Oxygenates (5) Other:

EB I.D. (if applicable): @ Time — Duplicate I.D. (if applicable):

Analyzed for: TPH-G BTEX MTBE TPH-D Oxygenates (5) Other:

D.O. (if req'd):	Pre-purge:	mg/L	Post-purge:	mg/L	
O.R.P. (if req'd):	Pre-purge:	mV	Post-purge:	mV	

WELL MONITORING DATA SHEET

Project #: 050913-WC-1	Client: Stellar
Sampler: WC	Date: 9/13/05
Well I.D.: MW-4	Well Diameter: 2 3 (4) 6 8 ____
Total Well Depth (TD): 26.41	Depth to Water (DTW): 13.75
Depth to Free Product:	Thickness of Free Product (feet):
Referenced to: (PVC) Grade	D.O. Meter (if req'd): YSI HACH
DTW with 80% Recharge [(Height of Water Column x 0.20) + DTW]:	

Purge Method: Bailer; Disposable Bailer; Positive Air Displacement; (Electric Submersible); Waterra; Peristaltic; Extraction Pump; Other_____

Sampling Method: Bailer; (Disposable Bailer); Extraction Port; Dedicated Tubing; Other: _____

4.2 (Gals.) X	3	= 24.6 Gals.
1 Case Volume	Specified Volumes	Calculated Volume

Well Diameter	Multiplier	Well Diameter	Multiplier
1"	0.04	4"	0.65
2"	0.16	6"	1.47
3"	0.37	Other	radius^2 * 0.163

Time	Temp (°F or °C)	pH	Cond. (mS or µS)	Turbidity (NTUs)	Gals. Removed	Observations
0939	59.3	7.8	766	31	9	clear
0940	well dewatered @ 10 gallons					DTW=23.86
1213	58.2	9.2	778	22	—	clear

Did well dewater? (Yes) No Gallons actually evacuated: 10

Sampling Date: 9/13/05 Sampling Time: 1215 Depth to Water: 20.40

Sample I.D.: MW-4 Laboratory: Kiff CalScience Other CET

Analyzed for: (TPH-G) ~~BTEX~~ ~~MTBE~~ (TPH-D) Oxygenates (5) Other:

EB I.D. (if applicable): @ Time Duplicate I.D. (if applicable):

Analyzed for: TPH-G BTEX MTBE TPH-D Oxygenates (5) Other:

D.O. (if req'd):	Pre-purge:	mg/L	Post-purge:	mg/L	
O.R.P. (if req'd):	Pre-purge:	mV	Post-purge:	mV	

WELL MONITORING DATA SHEET

Project #: 050913wc1	Client: Stellar
Sampler: WC	Date: 9/13/05
Well I.D.: MW-7	Well Diameter: (2) 3 4 6 8 ____
Total Well Depth (TD): 25.39	Depth to Water (DTW): 13.38
Depth to Free Product:	Thickness of Free Product (feet):
Referenced to: PVC Grade	D.O. Meter (if req'd): YSI HACH
DTW with 80% Recharge [(Height of Water Column x 0.20) + DTW]:	

Purge Method: Bailer; (Disposable Bailer); Positive Air Displacement; Electric Submersible; Waterra; Peristaltic; Extraction Pump; Other_____

Sampling Method: Bailer; (Disposable Bailer); Extraction Port; Dedicated Tubing; Other:_____

1.9 (Gals.) X 3 = 5.7 Gals.
1 Case Volume; Specified Volumes; Calculated Volume

Well Diameter	Multiplier	Well Diameter	Multiplier
1"	0.04	4"	0.65
2"	0.16	6"	1.47
3"	0.37	Other	radius² * 0.163

Time	Temp (°F or °C)	pH	Cond. (mS or (uS))	Turbidity (NTUs)	Gals. Removed	Observations
1155	593	7.2	892	359	1.9	grey/odor
1159	594	7.1	881	287	3.8	↓
1202	594	7.1	877	246	5.7	↓

Did well dewater? Yes (No) Gallons actually evacuated: ~~4.9~~ 5.7

Sampling Date: 9/13/05 Sampling Time: 1207 Depth to Water:

Sample I.D.: MW-7 Laboratory: Kiff CalScience Other C&T

Analyzed for: (TPH-G) BTEX MTBE (TPH-D) Oxygenates (5) Other:

EB I.D. (if applicable): @ Time Duplicate I.D. (if applicable):

Analyzed for: TPH-G BTEX MTBE TPH-D Oxygenates (5) Other:

D.O. (if req'd):	Pre-purge:	mg/L	Post-purge:	mg/L
O.R.P. (if req'd):	Pre-purge:	mV	Post-purge:	mV

WELL MONITORING DATA SHEET

Project #: 050913-WC1	Client: Stellar
Sampler: WC	Date: 9/13/05
Well I.D.: MW-6	Well Diameter: (2) 3 4 6 8 ____
Total Well Depth (TD): 22.14	Depth to Water (DTW): 12.49
Depth to Free Product:	Thickness of Free Product (feet):
Referenced to: (PVC) Grade	D.O. Meter (if req'd): YSI HACH
DTW with 80% Recharge [(Height of Water Column x 0.20) + DTW]:	

Purge Method: Bailer; (Disposable Bailer); Positive Air Displacement; Electric Submersible; Waterra; Peristaltic; Extraction Pump; Other_____

Sampling Method: Bailer; (Disposable Bailer); Extraction Port; Dedicated Tubing; Other: _____

1.5 (Gals.) X 3 = 4.5 Gals.
1 Case Volume | Specified Volumes | Calculated Volume

Well Diameter	Multiplier	Well Diameter	Multiplier
1"	0.04	4"	0.65
2"	0.16	6"	1.47
3"	0.37	Other	radius² * 0.163

Time	Temp (°F or °C)	pH	Cond. (mS or µS)	Turbidity (NTUs)	Gals. Removed	Observations
1025	58.4	6.7	868	354	1.5	odor/cloudy/grey
1030	58.3	6.7	879	413	3	↓
1033	58.2	6.8	899	509	4.5	↓

Did well dewater? Yes (No) Gallons actually evacuated: 4.5

Sampling Date: 9/13/05 Sampling Time: 1038 Depth to Water:

Sample I.D.: MW-6 Laboratory: Kiff CalScience Other C&T

Analyzed for: (TPH-G) (BTEX) (MTBE) (TPH-D) Oxygenates (5) Other:

EB I.D. (if applicable): @ Time Duplicate I.D. (if applicable):

Analyzed for: TPH-G BTEX MTBE TPH-D Oxygenates (5) Other:

D.O. (if req'd):	Pre-purge:	mg/L	Post-purge:	mg/L
O.R.P. (if req'd):	Pre-purge:	mV	Post-purge:	mV

WELL MONITORING DATA SHEET

Project #: 050913-WC-1	Client: Stellar
Sampler: WC	Date: 9/13/05
Well I.D.: MW-9	Well Diameter: (2) 3 4 6 8 ____
Total Well Depth (TD): 30.25	Depth to Water (DTW): 13.30
Depth to Free Product:	Thickness of Free Product (feet):
Referenced to: (PVC) Grade	D.O. Meter (if req'd): YSI HACH
DTW with 80% Recharge [(Height of Water Column x 0.20) + DTW]:	

Purge Method: Bailer; (Disposable Bailer); Positive Air Displacement; Electric Submersible; Waterra; Peristaltic; Extraction Pump; Other_____

Sampling Method: Bailer; (Disposable Bailer); Extraction Port; Dedicated Tubing; Other: _____

2.7 (Gals.) X 3 = 8.1 Gals.
1 Case Volume | Specified Volumes | Calculated Volume

Well Diameter	Multiplier	Well Diameter	Multiplier
1"	0.04	4"	0.65
2"	0.16	6"	1.47
3"	0.37	Other	radius² * 0.163

Time	Temp (°F or °C)	pH	Cond. (mS or µS)	Turbidity (NTUs)	Gals. Removed	Observations
1105	58.8	7.4	767	673	2.7	odor/cloudy
1110	58.8	7.1	789	668	5.4	↓
1114	58.6	7.0	817	660	8.1	↓

Did well dewater? Yes (No) Gallons actually evacuated: 8.1

Sampling Date: 9/13/05 Sampling Time: 1119 Depth to Water:

Sample I.D.: MW-9 Laboratory: Kiff CalScience Other C&T

Analyzed for: (TPH-G) (BTEX) (MTBE) (TPH-D) Oxygenates (5) Other:

EB I.D. (if applicable): @ Time Duplicate I.D. (if applicable):

Analyzed for: TPH-G BTEX MTBE TPH-D Oxygenates (5) Other:

D.O. (if req'd):	Pre-purge:	mg/L	Post-purge:	mg/L	
O.R.P. (if req'd):	Pre-purge:	mV	Post-purge:	mV	

WELL MONITORING DATA SHEET

Project #: 050913-WC-1	Client: Stellar
Sampler: WC	Date: 9/13/05
Well I.D.: MW-10	Well Diameter: (2) 3 4 6 8 ____
Total Well Depth (TD): 28.29	Depth to Water (DTW): 12.65
Depth to Free Product:	Thickness of Free Product (feet):
Referenced to: PVC Grade	D.O. Meter (if req'd): YSI HACH
DTW with 80% Recharge [(Height of Water Column x 0.20) + DTW]:	

Purge Method: Bailer; (Disposable Bailer); Positive Air Displacement; Electric Submersible; Waterra; Peristaltic; Extraction Pump; Other_____

Sampling Method: Bailer; (Disposable Bailer); Extraction Port; Dedicated Tubing; Other: _____

2.5 (Gals.) X 3 = 7.5 Gals.
1 Case Volume | Specified Volumes | Calculated Volume

Well Diameter	Multiplier	Well Diameter	Multiplier
1"	0.04	4"	0.65
2"	0.16	6"	1.47
3"	0.37	Other	radius² * 0.163

Time	Temp (°F or °C)	pH	Cond. (mS or µS)	Turbidity (NTUs)	Gals. Removed	Observations
1000	59.8	7.8	760	191	2.5	
1005	59.4	7.9	781	316	5	
1010	59.1	7.9	798	550	7.5	

Did well dewater? Yes (No) Gallons actually evacuated: 7.5

Sampling Date: 9/13/05 Sampling Time: 1015 Depth to Water:

Sample I.D.: MW-10 Laboratory: Kiff CalScience Other C&T

Analyzed for: (TPH-G) BTEX (MTBE) TPH-D Oxygenates (5) Other:

EB I.D. (if applicable): @ Time Duplicate I.D. (if applicable):

Analyzed for: TPH-G BTEX MTBE TPH-D Oxygenates (5) Other:

D.O. (if req'd):	Pre-purge:	mg/L	Post-purge:	mg/L	
O.R.P. (if req'd):	Pre-purge:	mV	Post-purge:	mV	

WELL MONITORING DATA SHEET

Project #: 050913-WC-1	Client: Stellar
Sampler: WL	Date: 9/13/05
Well I.D.: MW-11	Well Diameter: ② 3 4 6 8 ____
Total Well Depth (TD): 26.25	Depth to Water (DTW): 15.48
Depth to Free Product:	Thickness of Free Product (feet):
Referenced to: PVC Grade	D.O. Meter (if req'd): YSI HACH
DTW with 80% Recharge [(Height of Water Column x 0.20) + DTW]:	

Purge Method: Bailer; Disposable Bailer; Positive Air Displacement; Electric Submersible; Waterra; Peristaltic; Extraction Pump; Other_____

Sampling Method: Bailer; Disposable Bailer; Extraction Port; Dedicated Tubing; Other: _____

1.7 (Gals.) X 3 = 5.1 Gals.
1 Case Volume | Specified Volumes | Calculated Volume

Well Diameter	Multiplier	Well Diameter	Multiplier
1"	0.04	4"	0.65
2"	0.16	6"	1.47
3"	0.37	Other	radius² * 0.163

Time	Temp (°F or °C)	pH	Cond. (mS or µS)	Turbidity (NTUs)	Gals. Removed	Observations
1127	59.2	6.8	1140	289	1.7	grey/light sheen/odor
1131	59.2	6.8	1132	338	3.4	↓
1135	59.4	6.7	1121	417	5.1	↓

Did well dewater? Yes (No) Gallons actually evacuated: 5.1

Sampling Date: 9/13/05 Sampling Time: ~~0949~~ 1140 Depth to Water:

Sample I.D.: MW-11 Laboratory: Kiff CalScience Other C&T

Analyzed for: (TPH-G) (BTEX) (MTBE) (TPH-D) Oxygenates (5) Other:

EB I.D. (if applicable): @ Time Duplicate I.D. (if applicable):

Analyzed for: TPH-G BTEX MTBE TPH-D Oxygenates (5) Other:

D.O. (if req'd):	Pre-purge:	mg/L	Post-purge:	mg/L	
O.R.P. (if req'd):	Pre-purge:	mV	Post-purge:	mV	

APPENDIX C

Analytical Laboratory Report and Chain-of-Custody Record

Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd., Analytical Laboratories, Since 1878
2323 Fifth Street, Berkeley, CA 94710, Phone (510) 486-0900

ANALYTICAL REPORT

Prepared for:

Stellar Environmental Solutions
2198 6th Street
Suite 201
Berkeley, CA 94710

Date: 26-SEP-05
Lab Job Number: 181851
Project ID: 2005-02
Location: Redwood Regional Park

This data package has been reviewed for technical correctness and completeness. Release of this data has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or the Manager's designee, as verified by the following signatures. The results contained in this report meet all requirements of NELAC and pertain only to those samples which were submitted for analysis.

Reviewed by: _____
Project Manager

Reviewed by: _____
Operations Manager

Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd.

CASE NARRATIVE

Laboratory number:	**181851**
Client:	**Stellar Environmental Solutions**
Project:	**2005-02**
Location:	**Redwood Regional Park**
Request Date:	**09/13/05**
Samples Received:	**09/13/05**

This hardcopy data package contains sample and QC results for nine water samples, requested for the above referenced project on 09/13/05. The samples were received cold and intact.

TPH-Purgeables and/or BTXE by GC (EPA 8015B and EPA 8021B):
High surrogate recovery was observed for trifluorotoluene (PID) in MW-7 (lab # 181851-005), due to interference from coeluting hydrocarbon peaks; the corresponding bromofluorobenzene (PID) surrogate recovery was within limits. High surrogate recovery was observed for trifluorotoluene (FID) in MW-9 (lab # 181851-007), due to interference from coeluting hydrocarbon peaks; the corresponding bromofluorobenzene (FID) surrogate recovery was within limits. No other analytical problems were encountered.

TPH-Extractables by GC (EPA 8015B):
No analytical problems were encountered.

Chain of Custody Record

Lab job no. 050913
Date 9/13/05
Page 1 of 1

Laboratory Curtis and Tompkins, Ltd.
Address 2323 Fifth Street
Berkeley, California 94710
510-486-0900

Project Owner East Bay Regional Park District
Site Address 7867 Redwood Road
Oakland, California

Project Name Redwood Regional Park
Project Number 2005-02

Method of Shipment Hand Delivery
Shipment No. ---
Airbill No. ---
Cooler No.

Project Manager Bruce Rucker
Telephone No. (510) 644-3123
Fax No. (510) 644-3859
Samplers: (Signature)

	Field Sample Number	Location/ Depth	Date	Time	Sample Type	Type/Size of Container	Preservation: Cooler	Preservation: Chemical	Filtered	No. of Containers	TVH-G/BTEX/MTBE (8015/8021)	TEH-D	Remarks
-1	SW-3	—	9/13	800	H_2O	VOAs + 1-L glass	✓	HCl (VOAs)		3	X	X	
-2	SW-2	—	"	805	"	" "	✓	"		3	X	X	
-3	MW-2	—		1240						4	X	X	
-4	MW-4	—		1215							X	X	
-5	MW-7	—		1207							X	X	
-6	MW-8	—		1038							X	X	
-7	MW-9	—		1119							X	X	
-8	MW-10	—		1015							X	X	
-9	MW-11	—		1140							X	X	
-10	TB-091320005	—	↓	—	↓	VOA	✓	↓	HP	1			on hold

Relinquished by:	Date	Received by:	Date	Relinquished by:	Date	Received by:	Date
Signature Will Crow	9/13/05	Signature Lavanna Curtis	9/13	Signature		Signature	
Printed Will Crow	Time	Printed Lavanna Curtis	Time	Printed	Time	Printed	Time
Company Blaine Tech	2:00	Company CTT	2:00	Company		Company	

Turnaround Time: 5 Day TAT

Comments: Please provide a GeoTracker EDD as well as hard copy of report.

SW-2 + SW-3 collected by Bruce Rucker of SES

Relinquished by: Signature / Printed / Company — Date / Time

Received by: Signature / Printed / Company — Date / Time

Received: Cold Ambient Intact

2000-00-01

★ Stellar Environmental Solutions

2198 Sixth Street #201, Berkeley, CA 94710

Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd.
Analytical Laboratories, Since 1878

Curtis & Tompkins Laboratories Analytical Report

Lab #:	181851	Location:	Redwood Regional Park
Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	2005-02		
Matrix:	Water	Sampled:	09/13/05
Units:	ug/L	Received:	09/13/05

Field ID:	SW-3	Diln Fac:	1.000
Type:	SAMPLE	Batch#:	105744
Lab ID:	181851-001	Analyzed:	09/14/05

Analyte	Result	RL	Analysis
Gasoline C7-C12	ND	50	EPA 8015B
MTBE	ND	2.0	EPA 8021B
Benzene	ND	0.50	EPA 8021B
Toluene	ND	0.50	EPA 8021B
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.50	EPA 8021B
m,p-Xylenes	ND	0.50	EPA 8021B
o-Xylene	ND	0.50	EPA 8021B

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	Analysis
Trifluorotoluene (FID)	99	62-141	EPA 8015B
Bromofluorobenzene (FID)	112	78-134	EPA 8015B
Trifluorotoluene (PID)	79	67-127	EPA 8021B
Bromofluorobenzene (PID)	89	80-122	EPA 8021B

Field ID:	SW-2	Diln Fac:	1.000
Type:	SAMPLE	Batch#:	105744
Lab ID:	181851-002	Analyzed:	09/14/05

Analyte	Result	RL	Analysis
Gasoline C7-C12	ND	50	EPA 8015B
MTBE	ND	2.0	EPA 8021B
Benzene	ND	0.50	EPA 8021B
Toluene	ND	0.50	EPA 8021B
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.50	EPA 8021B
m,p-Xylenes	ND	0.50	EPA 8021B
o-Xylene	ND	0.50	EPA 8021B

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	Analysis
Trifluorotoluene (FID)	105	62-141	EPA 8015B
Bromofluorobenzene (FID)	120	78-134	EPA 8015B
Trifluorotoluene (PID)	81	67-127	EPA 8021B
Bromofluorobenzene (PID)	92	80-122	EPA 8021B

*= Value outside of QC limits; see narrative
C= Presence confirmed, but RPD between columns exceeds 40%
ND= Not Detected
RL= Reporting Limit

Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd.
Analytical Laboratories, Since 1878

Curtis & Tompkins Laboratories Analytical Report

Lab #:	181851	Location:	Redwood Regional Park
Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	2005-02		
Matrix:	Water	Sampled:	09/13/05
Units:	ug/L	Received:	09/13/05

Field ID:	MW-2	Diln Fac:	1.000
Type:	SAMPLE	Batch#:	105744
Lab ID:	181851-003	Analyzed:	09/14/05

Analyte	Result	RL	Analysis
Gasoline C7-C12	ND	50	EPA 8015B
MTBE	23	2.0	EPA 8021B
Benzene	2.5	0.50	EPA 8021B
Toluene	ND	0.50	EPA 8021B
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.50	EPA 8021B
m,p-Xylenes	ND	0.50	EPA 8021B
o-Xylene	ND	0.50	EPA 8021B

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	Analysis
Trifluorotoluene (FID)	105	62-141	EPA 8015B
Bromofluorobenzene (FID)	111	78-134	EPA 8015B
Trifluorotoluene (PID)	79	67-127	EPA 8021B
Bromofluorobenzene (PID)	88	80-122	EPA 8021B

Field ID:	MW-4	Diln Fac:	1.000
Type:	SAMPLE	Batch#:	105744
Lab ID:	181851-004	Analyzed:	09/14/05

Analyte	Result	RL	Analysis
Gasoline C7-C12	ND	50	EPA 8015B
MTBE	ND	2.0	EPA 8021B
Benzene	ND	0.50	EPA 8021B
Toluene	ND	0.50	EPA 8021B
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.50	EPA 8021B
m,p-Xylenes	ND	0.50	EPA 8021B
o-Xylene	ND	0.50	EPA 8021B

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	Analysis
Trifluorotoluene (FID)	98	62-141	EPA 8015B
Bromofluorobenzene (FID)	116	78-134	EPA 8015B
Trifluorotoluene (PID)	81	67-127	EPA 8021B
Bromofluorobenzene (PID)	90	80-122	EPA 8021B

*= Value outside of QC limits; see narrative
C= Presence confirmed, but RPD between columns exceeds 40%
ND= Not Detected
RL= Reporting Limit

Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd.
Analytical Laboratories, Since 1878

Curtis & Tompkins Laboratories Analytical Report

Lab #:	181851	Location:	Redwood Regional Park
Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	2005-02		
Matrix:	Water	Sampled:	09/13/05
Units:	ug/L	Received:	09/13/05

Field ID:	MW-7	Diln Fac:	2.000
Type:	SAMPLE	Batch#:	105744
Lab ID:	181851-005	Analyzed:	09/14/05

Analyte	Result	RL	Analysis
Gasoline C7-C12	7,600	100	EPA 8015B
MTBE	ND	4.0	EPA 8021B
Benzene	110	1.0	EPA 8021B
Toluene	ND	1.0	EPA 8021B
Ethylbenzene	310	1.0	EPA 8021B
m,p-Xylenes	49	1.0	EPA 8021B
o-Xylene	5.2 C	1.0	EPA 8021B

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	Analysis
Trifluorotoluene (FID)	85	62-141	EPA 8015B
Bromofluorobenzene (FID)	118	78-134	EPA 8015B
Trifluorotoluene (PID)	134 *	67-127	EPA 8021B
Bromofluorobenzene (PID)	97	80-122	EPA 8021B

Field ID:	MW-8	Diln Fac:	10.00
Type:	SAMPLE	Batch#:	105744
Lab ID:	181851-006	Analyzed:	09/14/05

Analyte	Result	RL	Analysis
Gasoline C7-C12	5,600	500	EPA 8015B
MTBE	ND	20	EPA 8021B
Benzene	270	5.0	EPA 8021B
Toluene	6.6	5.0	EPA 8021B
Ethylbenzene	400	5.0	EPA 8021B
m,p-Xylenes	370	5.0	EPA 8021B
o-Xylene	20	5.0	EPA 8021B

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	Analysis
Trifluorotoluene (FID)	103	62-141	EPA 8015B
Bromofluorobenzene (FID)	100	78-134	EPA 8015B
Trifluorotoluene (PID)	77	67-127	EPA 8021B
Bromofluorobenzene (PID)	83	80-122	EPA 8021B

*= Value outside of QC limits; see narrative
C= Presence confirmed, but RPD between columns exceeds 40%
ND= Not Detected
RL= Reporting Limit

Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd.
Analytical Laboratories, Since 1878

Curtis & Tompkins Laboratories Analytical Report

Lab #:	181851	Location:	Redwood Regional Park
Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	2005-02		
Matrix:	Water	Sampled:	09/13/05
Units:	ug/L	Received:	09/13/05

Field ID:	MW-9	Diln Fac:	1.000
Type:	SAMPLE	Batch#:	105744
Lab ID:	181851-007	Analyzed:	09/14/05

Analyte	Result	RL	Analysis
Gasoline C7-C12	3,600	50	EPA 8015B
MTBE	ND	2.0	EPA 8021B
Benzene	250	0.50	EPA 8021B
Toluene	ND	0.50	EPA 8021B
Ethylbenzene	330	0.50	EPA 8021B
m,p-Xylenes	33 C	0.50	EPA 8021B
o-Xylene	2.7	0.50	EPA 8021B

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	Analysis
Trifluorotoluene (FID)	143 *	62-141	EPA 8015B
Bromofluorobenzene (FID)	124	78-134	EPA 8015B
Trifluorotoluene (PID)	104	67-127	EPA 8021B
Bromofluorobenzene (PID)	99	80-122	EPA 8021B

Field ID:	MW-10	Diln Fac:	1.000
Type:	SAMPLE	Batch#:	105744
Lab ID:	181851-008	Analyzed:	09/14/05

Analyte	Result	RL	Analysis
Gasoline C7-C12	87	50	EPA 8015B
MTBE	ND	2.0	EPA 8021B
Benzene	5.0	0.50	EPA 8021B
Toluene	ND	0.50	EPA 8021B
Ethylbenzene	3.6	0.50	EPA 8021B
m,p-Xylenes	ND	0.50	EPA 8021B
o-Xylene	ND	0.50	EPA 8021B

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	Analysis
Trifluorotoluene (FID)	104	62-141	EPA 8015B
Bromofluorobenzene (FID)	116	78-134	EPA 8015B
Trifluorotoluene (PID)	82	67-127	EPA 8021B
Bromofluorobenzene (PID)	93	80-122	EPA 8021B

*= Value outside of QC limits; see narrative
C= Presence confirmed, but RPD between columns exceeds 40%
ND= Not Detected
RL= Reporting Limit

Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd.
Analytical Laboratories, Since 1878

Curtis & Tompkins Laboratories Analytical Report

Lab #:	181851	Location:	Redwood Regional Park
Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	2005-02		
Matrix:	Water	Sampled:	09/13/05
Units:	ug/L	Received:	09/13/05

Field ID: MW-11 Lab ID: 181851-009
Type: SAMPLE

Analyte	Result	RL	Diln Fac	Batch#	Analyzed	Analysis
Gasoline C7-C12	12,000	100	2.000	105744	09/14/05	EPA 8015B
MTBE	ND	4.0	2.000	105744	09/14/05	EPA 8021B
Benzene	220	1.0	2.000	105744	09/14/05	EPA 8021B
Toluene	ND	1.0	2.000	105744	09/14/05	EPA 8021B
Ethylbenzene	840	2.5	5.000	105803	09/15/05	EPA 8021B
m,p-Xylenes	740	1.0	2.000	105744	09/14/05	EPA 8021B
o-Xylene	22	1.0	2.000	105744	09/14/05	EPA 8021B

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	Diln Fac	Batch#	Analyzed	Analysis
Trifluorotoluene (FID)	93	62-141	2.000	105744	09/14/05	EPA 8015B
Bromofluorobenzene (FID)	120	78-134	2.000	105744	09/14/05	EPA 8015B
Trifluorotoluene (PID)	117	67-127	2.000	105744	09/14/05	EPA 8021B
Bromofluorobenzene (PID)	100	80-122	2.000	105744	09/14/05	EPA 8021B

Type: BLANK Batch#: 105744
Lab ID: QC308829 Analyzed: 09/14/05
Diln Fac: 1.000

Analyte	Result	RL	Analysis
Gasoline C7-C12	ND	50	EPA 8015B
MTBE	ND	2.0	EPA 8021B
Benzene	ND	0.50	EPA 8021B
Toluene	ND	0.50	EPA 8021B
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.50	EPA 8021B
m,p-Xylenes	ND	0.50	EPA 8021B
o-Xylene	ND	0.50	EPA 8021B

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	Analysis
Trifluorotoluene (FID)	96	62-141	EPA 8015B
Bromofluorobenzene (FID)	104	78-134	EPA 8015B
Trifluorotoluene (PID)	76	67-127	EPA 8021B
Bromofluorobenzene (PID)	85	80-122	EPA 8021B

Type: BLANK Batch#: 105803
Lab ID: QC309085 Analyzed: 09/15/05
Diln Fac: 1.000

Analyte	Result	RL	Analysis
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.50	EPA 8021B

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	Analysis
Trifluorotoluene (FID)	96	62-141	EPA 8015B
Bromofluorobenzene (FID)	108	78-134	EPA 8015B
Trifluorotoluene (PID)	78	67-127	EPA 8021B
Bromofluorobenzene (PID)	90	80-122	EPA 8021B

*= Value outside of QC limits; see narrative
C= Presence confirmed, but RPD between columns exceeds 40%
ND= Not Detected
RL= Reporting Limit

Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd.
Analytical Laboratories, Since 1878

Batch QC Report

Curtis & Tompkins Laboratories Analytical Report

Lab #:	181851	Location:	Redwood Regional Park
Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	2005-02	Analysis:	EPA 8021B
Type:	LCS	Diln Fac:	1.000
Lab ID:	QC308830	Batch#:	105744
Matrix:	Water	Analyzed:	09/14/05
Units:	ug/L		

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits
MTBE	20.00	20.05	100	72-124
Benzene	20.00	19.74	99	80-120
Toluene	20.00	19.39	97	80-120
Ethylbenzene	20.00	19.63	98	80-120
m,p-Xylenes	20.00	19.11	96	80-120
o-Xylene	20.00	19.96	100	80-120

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Trifluorotoluene (PID)	77	67-127
Bromofluorobenzene (PID)	88	80-122

Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd.
Analytical Laboratories, Since 1878

Batch QC Report

Curtis & Tompkins Laboratories Analytical Report

Lab #:	181851	Location:	Redwood Regional Park
Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	2005-02	Analysis:	EPA 8015B
Type:	LCS	Diln Fac:	1.000
Lab ID:	QC308831	Batch#:	105744
Matrix:	Water	Analyzed:	09/14/05
Units:	ug/L		

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits
Gasoline C7-C12	2,000	1,954	98	80-120

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Trifluorotoluene (FID)	133	62-141
Bromofluorobenzene (FID)	116	78-134

Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd.
Analytical Laboratories, Since 1878

Batch QC Report

Curtis & Tompkins Laboratories Analytical Report

Lab #:	181851	Location:	Redwood Regional Park
Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	2005-02	Analysis:	EPA 8015B
Field ID:	SW-3	Batch#:	105744
MSS Lab ID:	181851-001	Sampled:	09/13/05
Matrix:	Water	Received:	09/13/05
Units:	ug/L	Analyzed:	09/15/05
Diln Fac:	1.000		

Type: MS Lab ID: QC308866

Analyte	MSS Result	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits
Gasoline C7-C12	10.82	2,000	1,956	97	80-120

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Trifluorotoluene (FID)	140	62-141
Bromofluorobenzene (FID)	128	78-134

Type: MSD Lab ID: QC308867

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Lim
Gasoline C7-C12	2,000	1,927	96	80-120	2	20

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Trifluorotoluene (FID)	136	62-141
Bromofluorobenzene (FID)	122	78-134

RPD= Relative Percent Difference

Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd.
Analytical Laboratories, Since 1878

Batch QC Report

Curtis & Tompkins Laboratories Analytical Report

Lab #:	181851	Location:	Redwood Regional Park
Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	2005-02	Analysis:	EPA 8021B
Type:	BS	Diln Fac:	1.000
Lab ID:	QC309086	Batch#:	105803
Matrix:	Water	Analyzed:	09/15/05
Units:	ug/L		

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits
Ethylbenzene	20.00	20.44	102	80-120

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Trifluorotoluene (PID)	80	67-127
Bromofluorobenzene (PID)	94	80-122

Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd.
Analytical Laboratories, Since 1878

Batch QC Report

Curtis & Tompkins Laboratories Analytical Report

Lab #:	181851	Location:	Redwood Regional Park
Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	2005-02	Analysis:	EPA 8021B
Type:	BSD	Diln Fac:	1.000
Lab ID:	QC309175	Batch#:	105803
Matrix:	Water	Analyzed:	09/15/05
Units:	ug/L		

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Lim
Ethylbenzene	20.00	19.14	96	80-120	7	20

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Trifluorotoluene (PID)	76	67-127
Bromofluorobenzene (PID)	85	80-122

RPD= Relative Percent Difference

Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd.

Total Extractable Hydrocarbons

Lab #:	181851	Location:	Redwood Regional Park
Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	Prep:	EPA 3520C
Project#:	2005-02	Analysis:	EPA 8015B
Matrix:	Water	Sampled:	09/13/05
Units:	ug/L	Received:	09/13/05
Diln Fac:	1.000	Prepared:	09/22/05
Batch#:	106058		

Field ID: SW-3 | Lab ID: 181851-001
Type: SAMPLE | Analyzed: 09/23/05

Analyte	Result	RL
Diesel C10-C24	ND	50

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Hexacosane	92	60-135

Field ID: SW-2 | Lab ID: 181851-002
Type: SAMPLE | Analyzed: 09/23/05

Analyte	Result	RL
Diesel C10-C24	ND	50

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Hexacosane	90	60-135

Field ID: MW-2 | Lab ID: 181851-003
Type: SAMPLE | Analyzed: 09/23/05

Analyte	Result	RL
Diesel C10-C24	ND	50

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Hexacosane	89	60-135

Field ID: MW-4 | Lab ID: 181851-004
Type: SAMPLE | Analyzed: 09/23/05

Analyte	Result	RL
Diesel C10-C24	ND	50

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Hexacosane	96	60-135

Field ID: MW-7 | Lab ID: 181851-005
Type: SAMPLE | Analyzed: 09/23/05

Analyte	Result	RL
Diesel C10-C24	2,700 L Y	50

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Hexacosane	95	60-135

L= Lighter hydrocarbons contributed to the quantitation
Y= Sample exhibits chromatographic pattern which does not resemble standard
ND= Not Detected
RL= Reporting Limit

Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd.

Total Extractable Hydrocarbons

Lab #:	181851	Location:	Redwood Regional Park
Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	Prep:	EPA 3520C
Project#:	2005-02	Analysis:	EPA 8015B
Matrix:	Water	Sampled:	09/13/05
Units:	ug/L	Received:	09/13/05
Diln Fac:	1.000	Prepared:	09/22/05
Batch#:	106058		

Field ID: MW-8 | Lab ID: 181851-006
Type: SAMPLE | Analyzed: 09/23/05

Analyte	Result	RL
Diesel C10-C24	1,200 L Y	50

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Hexacosane	92	60-135

Field ID: MW-9 | Lab ID: 181851-007
Type: SAMPLE | Analyzed: 09/23/05

Analyte	Result	RL
Diesel C10-C24	1,200 L Y	50

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Hexacosane	96	60-135

Field ID: MW-10 | Lab ID: 181851-008
Type: SAMPLE | Analyzed: 09/23/05

Analyte	Result	RL
Diesel C10-C24	ND	50

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Hexacosane	91	60-135

Field ID: MW-11 | Lab ID: 181851-009
Type: SAMPLE | Analyzed: 09/24/05

Analyte	Result	RL
Diesel C10-C24	3,100 L Y	50

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Hexacosane	92	60-135

Type: BLANK | Analyzed: 09/23/05
Lab ID: QC310179 | Cleanup Method: EPA 3630C

Analyte	Result	RL
Diesel C10-C24	ND	50

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Hexacosane	84	60-135

L= Lighter hydrocarbons contributed to the quantitation
Y= Sample exhibits chromatographic pattern which does not resemble standard
ND= Not Detected
RL= Reporting Limit

8.0

Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd.

Batch QC Report

Total Extractable Hydrocarbons

Lab #:	181851	Location:	Redwood Regional Park
Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	Prep:	EPA 3520C
Project#:	2005-02	Analysis:	EPA 8015B
Matrix:	Water	Batch#:	106058
Units:	ug/L	Prepared:	09/22/05
Diln Fac:	1.000	Analyzed:	09/23/05

Type: BS
Lab ID: QC310180
Cleanup Method: EPA 3630C

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits
Diesel C10-C24	2,500	1,931	77	53-138

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Hexacosane	93	60-135

Type: BSD
Lab ID: QC310181
Cleanup Method: EPA 3630C

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Lim
Diesel C10-C24	2,500	1,987	79	53-138	3	36

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Hexacosane	102	60-135

RPD= Relative Percent Difference

APPENDIX D

Historical Groundwater and Surface Water Analytical Results

HISTORICAL GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELLS ANALYTICAL RESULTS

REDWOOD REGIONAL PARK SERVICE YARD, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

(all concentrations in ug/L, equivalent to parts per billion [ppb])

Well MW-2									
Event	**Date**	**TVHg**	**TEHd**	**Benzene**	**Toluene**	**Ethylbenzene**	**Total Xylenes**	**Total BTEX**	**MTBE**
1	Nov-94	**66**	*< 50*	**3.4**	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	**0.9**	**4.3**	*NA*
2	Feb-95	**89**	*< 50*	**18**	**2.4**	**1.7**	**7.5**	**30**	*NA*
3	May-95	*< 50*	*< 50*	**3.9**	*< 0.5*	**1.6**	**2.5**	**8.0**	*NA*
4	Aug-95	*< 50*	*< 50*	**5.7**	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	**5.7**	*NA*
5	May-96	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	**—**	*NA*
6	Aug-96	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	**—**	*NA*
7	Dec-96	*< 50*	*< 50*	**6.3**	*< 0.5*	**1.6**	*< 0.5*	**7.9**	*NA*
8	Feb-97	*< 50*	*< 50*	**0.69**	*< 0.5*	**0.55**	*< 0.5*	**1.2**	*NA*
9	May-97	**67**	*< 50*	**8.9**	*< 0.5*	**5.1**	*< 1.0*	**14**	*NA*
10	Aug-97	*< 50*	*< 50*	**4.5**	*< 0.5*	**1.1**	*< 0.5*	**5.6**	*NA*
11	Dec-97	**61**	*< 50*	**21**	*< 0.5*	**6.5**	**3.9**	**31**	*NA*
12	Feb-98	**2,000**	**200**	**270**	**92**	**150**	**600**	**1,112**	*NA*
13	Sep-98	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	**—**	**7.0**
14	Apr-99	**82**	**710**	**4.2**	*< 0.5*	**3.4**	**4.0**	**12**	**7.5**
15	Dec-99	**57**	*< 50*	**20**	**0.6**	**5.9**	*<0.5*	**27**	**4.5**
16	Sep-00	*< 50*	*< 50*	**0.72**	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	**0.7**	**7.9**
17	Jan-01	**51**	*< 50*	**8.3**	*< 0.5*	**1.5**	*< 0.5*	**9.8**	**8.0**
18	Apr-01	**110**	*< 50*	**10**	*< 0.5*	**11**	**6.4**	**27**	**10**
19	Aug-01	**260**	**120**	**30**	**6.7**	**1.6**	**6.4**	**45**	**27**
20	Dec-01	**74**	**69**	**14**	**0.8**	**3.7**	**3.5**	**22**	**6.6**

Well MW-2 (continued)									
Event	**Date**	**TVHg**	**TEHd**	**Benzene**	**Toluene**	**Ethylbenzene**	**Total Xylenes**	**Total BTEX**	**MTBE**
21	Mar-02	*< 50*	*< 50*	**2.3**	**0.51**	**1.9**	**1.3**	**8.3**	**8.2**
22	Jun-02	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	**7.7**
23	Sep-02	**98**	*< 50*	**5.0**	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	**13**
24	Dec-02	*< 50*	*< 50*	**4.3**	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*< 2.0*
25	Mar-03	**130**	**82**	**39**	*< 0.5*	**20**	**4.1**	**63**	**16**
26	Jun-03	*< 50*	*< 50*	**1.9**	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	**1.9**	**8.7**
27	Sep-03	**120**	*< 50*	**8.6**	**0.51**	**0.53**	*< 0.5*	**9.6**	**23**
28	Dec-03	**282**	*<100*	**4.3**	**1.6**	**1.3**	**1.2**	**8.4**	**9.4**
29	Mar-04	**374**	*<100*	**81**	**1.2**	**36**	**7.3**	**126**	**18**
30	Jun-04	*< 50*	*< 50*	**0.75**	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	**15**
31	Sep-04	**200**	*< 50*	**23**	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	**0.70**	**24**	**16**
32	Dec-04	**80**	*< 50*	**14**	*< 0.5*	*2.9*	**0.72**	**18**	**20**
33	Mar-05	**190**	**68**	**27**	*<0.5*	**14**	**11**	**52**	**26**
34	Jun-05	**68**	*< 50*	**7.1**	*< 0.5*	**6.9**	**1.8**	**16**	**24**
35	Sep-05	*< 50*	*< 50*	**2.5**	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 1.0*	**2.5**	**23**
Well MW-4									
Event	**Date**	**TVHg**	**TEHd**	**Benzene**	**Toluene**	**Ethylbenzene**	**Total Xylenes**	**Total BTEX**	**MTBE**
1	Nov-94	**2,600**	**230**	**120**	**4.8**	**150**	**88**	**363**	*NA*
2	Feb-95	**11,000**	**330**	**420**	**17**	**440**	**460**	**1,337**	*NA*
3	May-95	**7,200**	**440**	**300**	**13**	**390**	**330**	**1,033**	*NA*
4	Aug-95	**1,800**	**240**	**65**	**6.8**	**89**	**67**	**227**	*NA*
5	May-96	**1,100**	**140**	**51**	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	**47**	**98**	*NA*
6	Aug-96	**3,700**	**120**	**63**	**2.0**	**200**	**144**	**409**	*NA*
7	Dec-96	**2,700**	**240**	**19**	*< 0.5*	**130**	**93**	**242**	*NA*
8	Feb-97	**3,300**	*< 50*	**120**	**1.0**	**150**	**103**	**374**	*NA*
9	May-97	**490**	*< 50*	**2.6**	**6.7**	**6.4**	**6.7**	**22**	*NA*
10	Aug-97	**1,900**	**150**	**8.6**	**3.5**	**78**	**53**	**143**	*NA*
11	Dec-97	**1,000**	**84**	**4.6**	**2.7**	**61**	**54**	**123**	*NA*
12	Feb-98	**5,300**	**340**	**110**	**24**	**320**	**402**	**856**	*NA*
13	Sep-98	**1,800**	*< 50*	**8.9**	*< 0.5*	**68**	**27**	**104**	**23**
14	Apr-99	**2,900**	**710**	**61**	**1.2**	**120**	**80**	**263**	**32**
15	Dec-99	**1,000**	**430**	**4.0**	**2.0**	**26**	**14**	**46**	*< 2.0*

Well MW-4 (continued)									
Event	**Date**	**TVHg**	**TEHd**	**Benzene**	**Toluene**	**Ethylbenzene**	**Total Xylenes**	**Total BTEX**	**MTBE**
16	Sep-00	**570**	**380**	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	**16**	**4.1**	**20**	**2.4**
17	Jan-01	**1,600**	**650**	**4.2**	**0.89**	**46**	**13.8**	**65**	**8.4**
18	Apr-01	**1,700**	**1,100**	**4.5**	**2.8**	**48**	**10.7**	**66**	**5.0**
19	Aug-01	**1,300**	**810**	**3.2**	**4.0**	**29**	**9.7**	**46**	*< 2.0*
20	Dec-01	*< 50*	**110**	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	**1.2**	**1.2**	*< 2.0*
21	Mar-02	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*< 2.0*
22	Jun-02	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*< 2.0*
23	Sep-02	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*< 2.0*
24	Dec-02	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*< 2.0*
25	Mar-03	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*< 2.0*
26	Jun-03	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*< 2.0*
27	Sep-03	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*< 2.0*
28	Dec-03	*<50*	*<100*	*<0.3*	*<0.3*	*<0.3*	*<0.6*	—	*< 5.0*
29	Mar-04	*<50*	*<100*	*<0.3*	*<0.3*	*<0.3*	*<0.6*	—	*< 5.0*
30	Jun-04	*<50*	**2,500**	*<0.3*	*<0.3*	*<0.3*	*<0.6*	—	*< 5.0*
31	Sep-04	*<50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 1.0*	—	*< 2.0*
32	Dec-04	*<50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 1.0*	—	*< 2.0*
33	Mar-05	*<50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 1.0*	—	*< 2.0*
34	Jun-05	*<50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 1.0*	—	*< 2.0*
35	Sep-05	*<50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 1.0*	—	*< 2.0*

Well MW-5									
Event	**Date**	**TVHg**	**TEHd**	**Benzene**	**Toluene**	**Ethylbenzene**	**Total Xylenes**	**Total BTEX**	**MTBE**
1	Nov-94	**50**	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
2	Feb-95	**70**	*< 50*	**0.6**	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	**0.6**	*NA*
3	May-95	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
4	Aug-95	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
5	May-96	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
6	Aug-96	**80**	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
7	Dec-96	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
8	Feb-97	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
9	May-97	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
10	Aug-97	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
11	Dec-97	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
12	Feb-98	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
13	Sep-98	*< 50*	*<50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*< 2*
Groundwater monitoring in this well discontinued in 1998 with Alameda County Health Care Services Agency approval. Subsequent groundwater monitoring conducted to confirm plume's southern limit									
14	Jun-04	*< 50*	*<50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	**5.9**
15	Sep-04	*<50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 1.0*	—	*< 2.0*

Well MW-7									
Event	**Date**	**TVHg**	**TEHd**	**Benzene**	**Toluene**	**Ethylbenzene**	**Total Xylenes**	**Total BTEX**	**MTBE**
1	Jan-01	**13,000**	**3,100**	**95**	**4**	**500**	**289**	**888**	**95**
2	Apr-01	**13,000**	**3,900**	**140**	*< 0.5*	**530**	**278**	**948**	**52**
3	Aug-01	**12,000**	**5,000**	**55**	**25**	**440**	**198**	**718**	**19**
4	Dec-01	**9,100**	**4,600**	**89**	*< 2.5*	**460**	**228**	**777**	*< 10*
5	Mar-02	**8,700**	**3,900**	**220**	**6.2**	**450**	**191**	**867**	**200**
6	Jun-02	**9,300**	**3,500**	**210**	**6.3**	**380**	**155**	**751**	**18**
7	Sep-02	**9,600**	**3,900**	**180**	*< 0.5*	**380**	**160**	**720**	*< 2.0*
8	Dec-02	**9,600**	**3,700**	**110**	*< 0.5*	**400**	**189**	**699**	*< 2.0*
9	Mar-03	**10,000**	**3,600**	**210**	**12**	**360**	**143**	**725**	**45**
10	Jun-03	**9,300**	**4,200**	**190**	*< 10*	**250**	**130**	**570**	**200**
11	Sep-03	**10,000**	**3,300**	**150**	**11**	**300**	**136**	**597**	*< 2.0*
12	Dec-03	**9,140**	**1,100**	**62**	**45**	**295**	**184**	**586**	**89**
13	Mar-04	**8,170**	**600**	**104**	**41**	**306**	**129**	**580**	**84**
14	Jun-04	**9,200**	**2,700**	**150**	*< 0.5*	**290**	**91**	**531**	*< 2.0*
15	Sep-04	**9,700**	**3,400**	**98**	*< 0.5*	**300**	**125**	**523**	*< 2.0*
16	Dec-04	**8200**	**4,000**	**95**	*< 0.5*	**290**	**124**	**509**	*< 2.0*
17	Mar-05	**10,000**	**4,300**	**150**	*<0.5*	**370**	**71**	**591**	*<2.0*
18	Jun-05	**10,000**	**3,300**	**210**	*<1.0*	**410**	**56**	**676**	*<4.0*
19	Sep-05	**7,600**	**2,700**	**110**	*<1.0*	**310**	**54**	**474**	*<4.0*

Well MW-8									
Event	**Date**	**TVHg**	**TEHd**	**Benzene**	**Toluene**	**Ethylbenzene**	**Total Xylenes**	**Total BTEX**	**MTBE**
1	Jan-01	**14,000**	**1,800**	**430**	**17**	**360**	**1230**	**2,037**	**96**
2	Apr-01	**11,000**	**3,200**	**320**	**13**	**560**	**1,163**	**2,056**	**42**
3	Aug-01	**9,600**	**3,200**	**130**	**14**	**470**	**463**	**1,077**	**14**
4	Dec-01	**3,500**	**950**	**69**	**2.4**	**310**	**431**	**812**	*< 4.0*
5	Mar-02	**14,000**	**3,800**	**650**	**17**	**1,200**	**1,510**	**3,377**	**240**
6	Jun-02	**2,900**	**1,100**	**70**	**2.0**	**170**	**148**	**390**	**19**
7	Sep-02	**1,000**	**420**	**22**	*< 0.5*	**64**	**50**	**136**	*< 2.0*
8	Dec-02	**3,300**	**290**	**67**	*< 0.5*	**190**	**203**	**460**	*< 2.0*
9	Mar-03	**13,000**	**3,500**	**610**	**12**	**1,100**	**958**	**2,680**	*< 10*
10	Jun-03	**7,900**	**2,200**	**370**	**7.4**	**620**	**562**	**1,559**	*< 4.0*
11	Sep-03	**3,600**	**400**	**120**	**3.3**	**300**	**221**	**644**	*< 2.0*
12	Dec-03	**485**	**100**	**19**	**1.5**	**26**	**36**	**83**	*< 5.0*

Well MW-8 (continued)									
Event	**Date**	**TVHg**	**TEHd**	**Benzene**	**Toluene**	**Ethylbenzene**	**Total Xylenes**	**Total BTEX**	**MTBE**
13	Mar-04	**16,000**	**900**	**592**	**24**	**1,060**	**1,870**	**3,546**	**90**
14	Jun-04	**5,900**	**990**	**260**	**9.9**	**460**	**390**	**1,120**	*< 10*
15	Sep-04	**2,000**	**360**	**100**	*< 2.5*	**180**	**102**	**382**	*< 10*
16	Dec-04	**15,000**	**4,000**	**840**	**21**	**1,200**	**1,520**	**3,581**	*< 10*
17	Mar-05	**24,000**	**7,100**	**840**	**51**	**1,800**	**2,410**	**5,101**	*<10*
18	Jun-05	**33,000**	**5,700**	**930**	**39**	**2,500**	**3,860**	**7,329**	*<20*
19	Sep-05	**5,600**	**1,200**	**270**	**6.6**	**400**	**390**	**1,067**	*<20*

Well MW-9									
Event	**Date**	**TVHg**	**TEHd**	**Benzene**	**Toluene**	**Ethylbenzene**	**Total Xylenes**	**Total BTEX**	**MTBE**
1	Aug-01	**11,000**	**170**	**340**	**13**	**720**	**616**	**1,689**	**48**
2	Dec-01	**9,400**	**2,700**	**250**	**5.1**	**520**	**317**	**1,092**	*< 10*
3	Mar-02	**1,700**	**300**	**53**	**4.2**	**120**	**67**	**244**	**20**
4	Jun-02	**11,000**	**2,500**	**200**	**16**	**600**	**509**	**1,325**	**85**
5	Sep-02	**3,600**	**2,800**	**440**	**11**	**260**	**39**	**750**	*< 4.0*
6	Dec-02	**7,000**	**3,500**	**380**	**9.5**	**730**	**147**	**1,266**	*< 10*
7	Mar-03	**4,400**	**1,400**	**320**	**6.9**	**400**	**93**	**820**	*< 2.0*
8	Jun-03	**7,600**	**1,600**	**490**	**10**	**620**	**167**	**1,287**	*< 4.0*
9	Sep-03	**8,300**	**2,900**	**420**	**14**	**870**	**200**	**1,504**	*< 10*
10	Dec-03	**7,080**	**700**	**287**	**31**	**901**	**255**	**1,474**	*< 10*
11	Mar-04	**3,550**	**600**	**122**	**15**	**313**	**84**	**534**	**35**
12	Jun-04	**6,800**	**1,700**	**350**	*< 2.5*	**620**	**99**	**1,069**	*< 10*
13	Sep-04	**7,100**	**1,900**	**160**	*8.1*	**600**	**406**	**1,174**	*< 10*
14	Dec-04	**4,700**	**2,800**	**160**	*< 2.5*	**470**	*< 0.5*	**630**	*< 10*
15	Mar-05	**4,200**	**1,600**	**97**	*<2.5*	**310**	**42**	**449**	*< 10*
16	Jun-05	**9,900**	**2,000**	**170**	*<2.5*	**590**	**359**	**1,119**	*< 10*
17	Sep-05	**3,600**	**1,200**	**250**	*<0.5*	**330**	**36**	**616**	*< 2.0*

Well MW-10									
Event	**Date**	**TVHg**	**TEHd**	**Benzene**	**Toluene**	**Ethylbenzene**	**Total Xylenes**	**Total BTEX**	**MTBE**
1	Aug-01	**550**	**2,100**	**17**	*< 0.5*	**31**	**44**	**92**	**40**
2	Dec-01	*< 50*	**81**	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	**25**
3	Mar-02	*< 50*	*< 50*	**0.61**	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	**0.61**	**6.0**
4	Jun-02	*< 50*	*< 50*	**0.59**	*< 0.5*	**0.58**	*< 0.5*	**1.2**	**9.0**
5	Sep-02	**160**	**120**	**10**	*< 0.5*	**6.7**	**3.6**	**20**	**26**
6	Dec-02	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	**16**
7	Mar-03	**110**	*< 50*	**11**	*< 0.5*	**12**	**1.3**	**24**	**15**
8	Jun-03	**110**	*< 50*	**9.6**	*< 0.5*	**6.8**	*< 0.5*	**16**	**9.0**
9	Sep-03	*< 50*	*< 50*	**1.1**	*< 0.5*	**1.5**	*< 0.5*	**2.6**	**7.0**
10	Dec-03	**162**	*<100*	**6.9**	*<0.3*	**8.0**	*<0.6*	**15**	**9.9**
11	Mar-04	**94**	*<100*	**2.8**	*<0.3*	**5.7**	**7.0**	**16**	*<5.0*
12	Jun-04	**150**	**56**	**11**	*< 0.5*	**12**	*< 0.5*	**23**	**15**
13	Sep-04	*< 50*	*< 50*	**1.6**	*< 0.5*	**1.9**	*< 1.0*	**3.5**	**5.8**
14	Dec-04	**64**	*< 50*	**3.7**	*< 0.5*	**3.7**	**0.7**	**8.1**	**10**
15	Mar-05	**95**	**98**	**8.3**	*<0.5*	**7.7**	**0.77**	**17**	**13**
16	Jun-05	**150**	**57**	**14**	*<0.5*	**10**	**1.0**	**25**	*<2.0*
17	Sep-05	**87**	*< 50*	**5.0**	*<0.5*	**3.6**	*<1.0*	**9**	*<2.0*

Well MW-11									
Event	**Date**	**TVHg**	**TEHd**	**Benzene**	**Toluene**	**Ethylbenzene**	**Total Xylenes**	**Total BTEX**	**MTBE**
1	Aug-01	**17,000**	**7,800**	**390**	**17**	**820**	**344**	**1,571**	*< 10*
2	Dec-01	**5,800**	**2,800**	**280**	**7.8**	**500**	**213**	**1,001**	*< 10*
3	Mar-02	**100**	**94**	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	**0.64**	*< 0.5*	**0.64**	**2.4**
4	Jun-02	**8,200**	**2,600**	**570**	**13**	**560**	**170**	**1,313**	*< 4*
5	Sep-02	**12,000**	**4,400**	**330**	**13**	**880**	**654**	**1,877**	*< 10*
6	Dec-02	**18,000**	**4,500**	**420**	*< 2.5*	**1,100**	**912**	**2,432**	*< 10*
7	Mar-03	**7,800**	**2,600**	**170**	**4.7**	**530**	**337**	**1,042**	**53**
8	Jun-03	**14,000**	**3,800**	**250**	*< 2.5*	**870**	**693**	**1,813**	*< 10*
9	Sep-03	**10,000**	**3,000**	**250**	**9.9**	**700**	**527**	**1,487**	*< 4*
10	Dec-03	**15,000**	**1,100**	**314**	**60**	**1,070**	**802**	**2,246**	**173**
11	Mar-04	**4,900**	**400**	**72**	**17**	**342**	**233**	**664**	**61**
12	Jun-04	**10,000**	**2,300**	**210**	**2.8**	**690**	**514**	**1,417**	*< 10*
13	Sep-04	**7,200**	**2,300**	**340**	*< 2.5*	**840**	**75**	**1,255**	*< 10*
14	Dec-04	**11,000**	**3,900**	**180**	**5.1**	**780**	**695**	**1,660**	*< 10*
15	Mar-05	**4,600**	**1,900**	**69**	*<2.5*	**300**	**206**	**575**	*< 10*
16	Jun-05	**1,400**	**590**	**85**	*<0.5*	**110**	**8.2**	**203**	*< 2.0*
17	Sep-05	**12,000**	**3,100**	**220**	*< 1.0*	**840**	**762**	**1,822**	*< 4.0*

HISTORICAL SURFACE WATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS

REDWOOD REGIONAL PARK SERVICE YARD, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

(all concentrations in ug/L, equivalent to parts per billion [ppb])

Sampling Location SW-1 (Upstream of Contaminated Groundwater Discharge Location SW-2)									
Event	**Date**	**TVHg**	**TEHd**	**Benzene**	**Toluene**	**Ethylbenzene**	**Total Xylenes**	**Total BTEX**	**MTBE**
1	Feb-94	**50**	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
2	May-95	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
3	May-96	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
4	Aug-96	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
5	Dec-96	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
6	Feb-97	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
7	Aug-97	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
8	Dec-97	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
9	Feb-98	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
10	Sep-98	*< 50*	*<50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*< 2.0*
11	Apr-99	*< 50*	*<50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*< 2.0*
Sampling at this location discontinued after April 1999 with Alameda County Health Services Agency approval.									

Sampling Location SW-2 (Area of Historical Contaminated Groundwater Discharge)									
Event	**Date**	**TVHg**	**TEHd**	**Benzene**	**Toluene**	**Ethylbenzene**	**Total Xylenes**	**Total BTEX**	**MTBE**
1	Feb-94	**130**	*< 50*	**1.9**	*< 0.5*	**4.4**	**3.2**	**9.5**	*NA*
2	May-95	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
3	Aug-95	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
4	May-96	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
5	Aug-96	**200**	*< 50*	**7.5**	*< 0.5*	**5.4**	*< 0.5*	**13**	*NA*
6	Dec-96	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
7	Feb-97	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
8	Aug-97	**350**	**130**	**13**	**0.89**	**19**	**11**	**44**	*NA*
9	Dec-97	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
10	Feb-98	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
11	Sep-98	*< 50*	*<50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*< 2.0*
12	Apr-99	**81**	*<50*	**2.0**	*< 0.5*	**2.5**	**1.3**	**5.8**	**2.3**
13	Dec-99	**1,300**	**250**	**10**	**1.0**	**47**	**27**	**85**	**2.2**
14	Sep-00	**160**	**100**	**2.1**	*< 0.5*	**5.2**	**1.9**	**9.2**	**3.4**
15	Jan-01	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	**0.53**	*< 0.5*	**0.5**	*< 2.0*
16	Apr-01	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*< 2.0*
17	Sep-01	**440**	**200**	**2.1**	*< 0.5*	**17**	**1.3**	**20**	**10**
18	Dec-01	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	**-**	*< 2.0*
19	Mar-02	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	**-**	*< 2.0*
20	Jun-02	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	**-**	*< 2.0*
21	Sep-02	**220**	**590**	**10**	*< 0.5*	**13**	*< 0.5*	**23**	*< 2.0*
22	Dec-02	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	**-**	*< 2.0*
23	Mar-03	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	**0.56**	*< 0.5*	**0.56**	**2.8**
24	Jun-03	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	**-**	*< 2.0*
25	Sep-03	**190**	**92**	**2.1**	*< 0.5*	**4.2**	*< 0.5*	**6.3**	*< 2.0*
26	Dec-03	**86**	*< 100*	*< 0.3*	*< 0.3*	*< 0.3*	*< 0.6*	**-**	*< 5.0*
27	Mar-04	*<50*	*<100*	*<0.3*	*<0.3*	**1.1**	*<0.6*	**1.1**	*< 5.0*
28	Jun-04	*<50*	*<50*	*<0.5*	*<0.5*	**0.83**	*<0.5*	**0.83**	*< 2.0*
29	Sep-04	**260**	**370**	**4.4**	*<0.5*	**6.3**	*< 1.0*	**11**	*< 2.0*
30	Dec-04	*<50*	*<50*	*<0.5*	*<0.5*	*<0.5*	*< 1.0*	**-**	*< 2.0*
31	Mar-05	*<50*	*<50*	*<0.5*	*<0.5*	*<0.5*	*< 1.0*	**-**	*< 2.0*
32	Jun-05	*<50*	*<50*	*<0.5*	*<0.5*	*<0.5*	*< 1.0*	**-**	*< 2.0*
33	Sep-05	*<50*	*<50*	*<0.5*	*<0.5*	*<0.5*	*< 1.0*	**-**	*< 2.0*

Sampling Location SW-3 (Downstream of Contaminated Groundwater Discharge Location SW-2)									
Event	**Date**	**TVHg**	**TEHd**	**Benzene**	**Toluene**	**Ethylbenzene**	**Total Xylenes**	**Total BTEX**	**MTBE**
1	May-95	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
2	Aug-95	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
3	May-96	*< 50*	**74**	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
4	Aug-96	**69**	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
5	Dec-96	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
6	Feb-97	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
7	Aug-97	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
8	Dec-97	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
9	Feb-98	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*NA*
10	Sep-98	*< 50*	*<50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*< 2.0*
11	Apr-99	*< 50*	*<50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*< 2.0*
12	Dec-99	*< 50*	*<50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*< 2.0*
13	Sep-00	*NS*	*NS*	*NS*	*NS*	*NS*	*NS*	—	*NS*
14	Jan-01	*< 50*	*<50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*< 2.0*
15	Apr-01	*< 50*	*<50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*< 2.0*
16	Sep-01	*NS*	*NS*	*NS*	*NS*	*NS*	*NS*	—	*NS*
17	Dec-01	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*< 2.0*
18	Mar-02	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	*< 2.0*
19	Jun-02	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	—	**2.4**
20	Sep-02	*NS*	*NS*	*NS*	*NS*	*NS*	*NS*	—	*NS*
21	Dec-02	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	-	*< 2.0*
22	Mar-03	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	-	*< 2.0*
23	Jun-03	*< 50*	*< 50*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	*< 0.5*	-	*< 2.0*
24	Sep-03	*NS*	*NS*	*NS*	*NS*	*NS*	*NS*	—	*NS*
25	Dec-03	**60**	*< 100*	*< 0.3*	*< 0.3*	*< 0.3*	*< 0.6*	-	*< 5.0*
26	Mar-04	*<50*	*<100*	*<0.3*	*<0.3*	*<0.6*	*<0.6*	-	*< 5.0*
27	Jun-04	*NS*	*NS*	*NS*	*NS*	*NS*	*NS*	—	*NS*
28	Sep-04	*NS*	*NS*	*NS*	*NS*	*NS*	*NS*	—	*NS*
29	Dec-04	*<50*	*<50*	*<0.5*	*<0.5*	*<0.5*	*< 1.0*	-	*< 2.0*
30	Mar-05	*<50*	*<50*	*<0.5*	*<0.5*	*<0.5*	*< 1.0*	-	*< 2.0*
31	Jun-05	*<50*	*<50*	*<0.5*	*<0.5*	*<0.5*	*< 1.0*	-	*< 2.0*
32	Sep-05	*<50*	*<50*	*<0.5*	*<0.5*	*<0.5*	*< 1.0*	-	*< 2.0*

NS = Not Sampled (no surface water present during sampling event)